Appl. Math. Lett. Vol. 6, No. 4, pp. 87-90, 1993 Printed in Great Britain. All rights reserved

0893 - 9659 / 93 \$6.00 + 0.00Copyright@ 1993 Pergamon Press Ltd

# A NOTE ON A COLLECTIVELY COMPACT APPROXIMATION FOR WEAKLY SINGULAR INTEGRAL OPERATORS

## ALAIN LARGILLIER AND MAURICIO LEVET

Équipe d'Analyse Numérique de Saint-Étienne, (member of the U.R.A. nº 740 to C.N.R.S.) Université Jean Monnet, 23, rue du Docteur Paul Michelon 42023 Saint Etienne cedex 2 - France

(Received and accepted December 1992)

Abstract—We prove the collectively compact convergence of the Nyström method when applied on a truncated version of a weakly singular integral operator. As a consequence, we get the quasi compact convergence of finite rank projection operators derived from the Kantorovitch singularity subtraction approximation.

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $C^0[0,1]$  denote the space of continuous functions  $\varphi:[0,1]\to \mathbb{C}$  and  $\|\cdot\|_{\infty}$  the  $L^{\infty}$  norm.  $T: \mathcal{C}^0[0,1] \to \mathcal{C}^0[0,1]$  is an integral operator

$$(T\varphi)(t) = \int_0^1 k(t,s)\,\varphi(s)\,ds,\tag{1.1}$$

with weakly singular kernel k(t,s) = g(|t-s|) h(t,s), where  $h: [0,1]^2 \to \mathbb{C}$  is continuous and  $g: ]0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  satisfies (cf. [1]):

$$q \in \mathcal{C}^0([0,1]) \cap L^1(0,1),$$
 (1.2a)

$$\exists \delta \in ]0,1]$$
 such that  $g \ge 0$  and  $g$  is a nonincreasing function on  $]0,\delta].$  (1.2b)

T is then a compact operator and examples of g are  $g(u) = u^{-\alpha}$ ,  $(\alpha \in ]0,1[)$ ,  $g(u) = -\ln u$ . We consider the following approximation  $T_n^N$  to T (N for Nyström): For  $\mu \in ]0, \delta]$  we define  $g_{\mu}$  by  $g_{\mu}(u) = g(\mu)$  if  $u \in [0, \mu]$  and  $g_{\mu}(u) = g(u)$  if  $u \in [\mu, 1]$  and we set

$$(T_n^N arphi)(t) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_{i,n} \, g_{\mu_n}(|t-t_{i,n}|) \, h \, (t,t_{i,n}) arphi(t_{i,n}),$$

where the real sequences  $t_{i,n}$ ,  $\omega_{i,n}$  and  $\mu_n$  satisfy:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \qquad 0 \le t_{1,n} < t_{2,n} < \dots < t_{n,n} \le 1,$$
 (1.3a)

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \qquad \forall i = 1, 2, \dots, n, \quad \omega_{i,n} \ge 0,$$
 (1.3b)

For any continuous function 
$$f$$
,  $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i,n} f(t_{i,n}) \to \int_{0}^{1} f(t) dt$ , as  $n \to \infty$ , (1.3c)

 $\exists c > 0$  such that  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}$  and any interval  $\mathcal{G}$  of type [a, b] or [a, b],

such that 
$$b - a \le \frac{1}{n}$$
,  $\sum_{t_{i,n} \in \mathcal{G}} \omega_{i,n} \le \frac{c}{n}$ , (1.3d)

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \mu_n \in ]0, \delta] \quad \text{and} \quad \mu_n \downarrow 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$
 (1.3e)

$$\exists \rho > 0 \text{ such that } \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \qquad \mu_n \ge \frac{\rho}{n}.$$
 (1.3f)

Typeset by  $A_MS$ -TEX

## 2. THE MAIN RESULT

It has been said but never used nor proved, that  $T_n^N$  is a collectively compact approximation to T. We give here a proof and we show some of its consequences. We begin by a lemma proved in [1]:

Lemma 2.1. Under conditions (1.3) any continuous nonincreasing function f satisfies

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i,n} f(t_{i,n}) \le \frac{c}{n} f(0) + c \int_{0}^{1} f(t) dt.$$

Theorem 2.2. Under conditions (1.3)  $T_n^N$  is a collectively compact approximation to T.

PROOF. We prove that  $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $W \equiv \{T_n^N \varphi : \varphi \in \mathcal{C}^0[0,1] : \|\varphi\|_{\infty} \leq 1, \ n > n_0\}$  is relatively compact. By (1.3e)  $\forall \xi \in ]0, \delta]$ ,  $\exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $n > n_0 \implies \max\{1/n, \rho/n, \mu_n\} < \xi$ . Take  $\xi \in ]0, \delta]$ ,  $n > n_0$ ,  $\varphi \in \mathcal{C}^0[0,1]$  with  $\|\varphi\|_{\infty} \leq 1$  and  $t \in [0,1]$ . Then

$$|(T_{n}^{N}\varphi)(t)| = \left|\sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i,n} g_{\mu_{n}}(|t-t_{i,n}|) h(t,t_{i,n}) \varphi(t_{i,n})\right| \leq ||h||_{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i,n} |g_{\mu_{n}}(|t-t_{i,n}|)|$$

$$\leq ||h||_{\infty} (\sum_{|t-t_{i,n}|<\xi} \omega_{i,n} g_{\mu_{n}}(|t-t_{i,n}|) + \sum_{|t-t_{i,n}|\geq\xi} \omega_{i,n} |g_{\mu_{n}}(|t-t_{i,n}|)|).$$

But, from Lemma 2.1,

$$\sum_{|t-t_{i,n}|<\xi} \omega_{i,n} \, g_{\mu_n}(|t-t_{i,n}|) \leq \frac{2c}{n} \, g(\mu_n) + 2c \int_0^\xi g(u) \, du \leq 2c \, 1 + \frac{1}{\rho} \, \int_0^\xi g(u) \, du.$$

Besides

$$\sum_{|t-t_{i,n}| \ge \xi} \omega_{i,n} |g_{\mu_n}(|t-t_{i,n}|)| = \sum_{|t-t_{i,n}| \ge \xi} \omega_{i,n} |g(|t-t_{i,n}|)| \le c \sup_{u \in [\xi,1]} |g(u)|.$$

Then  $|(T_n^N \varphi)(t)| \leq C \equiv c \|h\|_{\infty} \max\{\sup_{u \in [\xi,1]} |g(u)|, 2(1+1/\rho) \int_0^{\xi} g(u) \, du\}$  and W is bounded. We now show that W is equicontinuous. Take  $t \in [0,1]$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By  $(1.2) \exists \xi \in ]0, \delta]$  such that  $\int_0^{\xi} g(u) \, du < \frac{\varepsilon \rho}{16c \|h\|_{\infty} (3\rho + 2)}$ . The uniform continuity of h in  $[0,1]^2$  and of g in  $[\xi,1]$  imply that  $\exists \eta(\varepsilon,t) > 0$  such that  $\forall t' \in [0,1], \ \forall s \in [0,1], \ |t'-t| < \eta \implies |h(t',s)-h(t,s)| < \frac{\varepsilon \|h\|_{\infty}}{2C}$  and  $\forall u',u \in [\xi,1], \ |u'-u| < \eta \implies |g(u')-g(u)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{12c \|h\|_{\infty}}$ . So that  $\forall t' \in [0,1]$  satisfying  $|t'-t| < \eta$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} |(T_{n}^{N}\varphi)(t') - (T_{n}^{N}\varphi)(t)| &= \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i,n} \left[ g_{\mu_{n}}(|t'-t_{i,n}|) \, h\left(t',t_{i,n}\right) - g_{\mu_{n}}(|t-t_{i,n}|) \, h\left(t,t_{i,n}\right) \right] \varphi(t_{i,n}) \right| \\ &\leq \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i,n} \, g_{\mu_{n}}(|t'-t_{i,n}|) [h\left(t',t_{i,n}\right) - h(t,t_{i,n})] \, \varphi\left(t_{i,n}\right) \right| \\ &+ \left| \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i,n} \left[ g_{\mu_{n}}(|t'-t_{i,n}|) - g_{\mu_{n}}(|t-t_{i,n}|) \right] h\left(t,t_{i,n}\right) \varphi\left(t_{i,n}\right) \right| \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon \|h\|_{\infty}}{2C} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \omega_{i,n} |g_{\mu_{n}}(|t'-t_{i,n}|)| + \|h\|_{\infty} (S_{1} + S_{2} + S_{3} + S_{4}) \\ &\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{2} + \|h\|_{\infty} (S_{1} + S_{2} + S_{3} + S_{4}), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{split} S_1 &\equiv \sum_{\substack{|t'-t_{i,n}| < \xi \\ |t-t_{i,n}| < \xi}} \omega_{i,n} |g_{\mu_n}(|t'-t_{i,n}|) - g_{\mu_n}(|t-t_{i,n}|)| \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{|t'-t_{i,n}| < \xi \\ |t-t_{i,n}| < \xi}} \omega_{i,n} \, g_{\mu_n}(|t'-t_{i,n}|) + \sum_{\substack{|t-t_{i,n}| < \xi \\ |t-t_{i,n}| < \xi}} \omega_{i,n} \, g_{\mu_n}(|t-t_{i,n}|) \\ &\leq 4c \, 1 + \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^\xi g(u) \, du, \\ S_2 &\equiv \sum_{\substack{|t'-t_{i,n}| \ge \xi \\ |t-t_{i,n}| \ge \xi}} \omega_{i,n} |g_{\mu_n}(|t'-t_{i,n}|) - g_{\mu_n}(|t-t_{i,n}|)| \\ &= \sum_{\substack{|t'-t_{i,n}| \ge \xi \\ |t-t_{i,n}| \ge \xi}} \omega_{i,n} |g(|t'-t_{i,n}|) - g(|t-t_{i,n}|)| < \frac{\varepsilon}{12||h||_{\infty}}, \\ S_3 &\equiv \sum_{\substack{|t'-t_{i,n}| < \xi \\ |t-t_{i,n}| \ge \xi}} \omega_{i,n} |g_{\mu_n}(|t'-t_{i,n}|) - g_{\mu_n}(|t-t_{i,n}|)| \\ &\leq \sum_{\substack{|t'-t_{i,n}| < \xi \\ |t-t_{i,n}| \ge \xi}} \omega_{i,n} g_{\mu_n}(|t'-t_{i,n}|) + g(\xi) \sum_{\substack{|t'-t_{i,n}| < \xi \\ |t-t_{i,n}| < \xi}} \omega_{i,n} \\ &+ \sum_{\substack{|t'-t_{i,n}| \le \xi \\ |t-t_{i,n}| \ge \xi}} \omega_{i,n} |g(\xi) - g(|t-t_{i,n}|)| \\ &< 2c \, 2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^\xi g(u) \, du + \frac{\varepsilon}{12||h||_{\infty}}, \\ &< 2c \, 2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^\xi g(u) \, du + \frac{\varepsilon}{12||h||_{\infty}}, \\ &< 2c \, 2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^\xi g(u) \, du + \frac{\varepsilon}{12||h||_{\infty}}, \\ &< 2c \, 2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^\xi g(u) \, du + \frac{\varepsilon}{12||h||_{\infty}}, \\ &< 2c \, 2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^\xi g(u) \, du + \frac{\varepsilon}{12||h||_{\infty}}, \\ &< 2c \, 2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^\xi g(u) \, du + \frac{\varepsilon}{12||h||_{\infty}}, \\ &< 2c \, 2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^\xi g(u) \, du + \frac{\varepsilon}{12||h||_{\infty}}, \\ &< 2c \, 2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^\xi g(u) \, du + \frac{\varepsilon}{12||h||_{\infty}}, \\ &< 2c \, 2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^\xi g(u) \, du + \frac{\varepsilon}{12||h||_{\infty}}, \\ &< 2c \, 2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^\xi g(u) \, du + \frac{\varepsilon}{12||h||_{\infty}}, \\ &< 2c \, 2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^\xi g(u) \, du + \frac{\varepsilon}{12||h||_{\infty}}, \\ &< 2c \, 2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^\xi g(u) \, du + \frac{\varepsilon}{12||h||_{\infty}}, \\ &< 2c \, 2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^\xi g(u) \, du + \frac{\varepsilon}{12||h||_{\infty}}, \\ &< 2c \, 2 + \frac{1}{\rho} \int_0^\xi g(u) \, du + \frac{\varepsilon}{12||h||_{\infty}}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,  $\forall t \in [0,1], \ \forall \varepsilon > 0, \ \exists \eta(\varepsilon,t) > 0$  such that if  $\|\varphi\|_{\infty} \leq 1$ , then  $\forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \ \forall t' \in [0,1], \ |t'-t| < \eta \implies |(T_n^N \varphi)(t') - (T_n^N \varphi)(t)| < \varepsilon$ . Since [0,1] is compact the equicontinuity of W follows from the Arzela-Ascoli theorem. The pointwise convergence of  $T_n^N$  to T is proved in [1].

## 3. APPLICATIONS TO SINGULARITY SUBTRACTION

We write T in the form

$$(Tarphi)(t)=\int_{0}^{1}k(t,s)\,arphi\left(s
ight)ds=\int_{0}^{1}k(t,s)[arphi\left(s
ight)-arphi\left(t
ight)]\,ds+arphi(t)\int_{0}^{1}k(t,s)\,ds$$

and Kantorovitch's singularity subtraction approximation  $T_n^K$  is motivated:

$$(T_n^K\varphi)(t) \equiv \sum_{i=1}^n \omega_{i,n} \, g_{\mu_n}(|t-t_{i,n}|) \, h\left(t,t_{i,n}\right) \left[\varphi\left(t_{i,n}\right) - \varphi\left(t\right)\right] + \varphi\left(t\right) \int_0^1 k(t,s) \, ds.$$

Let  $\pi_n$  be a sequence of finite rank projections pointwise convergent to the identity. We consider three finite rank operators:  $T_n^{KP} \equiv \pi_n T_n^K$ ,  $T_n^{KS} \equiv T_n^K \pi_n$  and  $T_n^{KG} \equiv \pi_n T_n^K \pi_n$ , where P stands

for projection, S for Sloan and G for Galerkin. The quasi compact convergence, as defined in [2], follows:

THEOREM 3.1. If  $T_n$  is any of the approximations  $T_n^K$ ,  $T_n^{KP}$ ,  $T_n^{KS}$  or  $T_n^{KG}$  then  $T_n$  is pointwise convergent to T and  $(T_n - T)T_n$  converges to zero in the induced operator norm.

PROOF. Since  $T_n^N$  is a collectively compact approximation to T,  $(T_n^N-T)T_n^N$  converges in norm to zero (cf. [3]). But  $T_n^K=T_n^N+\Delta_n$  where  $\Delta_n$  converges in norm to zero. Hence  $(T_n^K-T)T_n^K$  converges in norm to zero. Since  $\pi_n$  is pointwise convergent to the identity,  $\pi_n T_n^N$ ,  $T_n^N \pi_n$  and  $\pi_n T_n^N \pi_n$  are collectively compact approximations to T (cf. [3]). The Banach-Steinhaus theorem implies that  $\pi_n$  is uniformly bounded. Hence  $\pi_n \Delta_n$ ,  $\Delta_n \pi_n$  and  $\pi_n \Delta_n \pi_n$  converge in norm to zero. So that  $(T_n-T)T_n$  converges in norm to zero for  $T_n=T_n^{KP}$ ,  $T_n^{KS}$ ,  $T_n^{KG}$ . The pointwise convergence of  $T_n^{KP}$ ,  $T_n^{KS}$  and  $T_n^{KG}$  follows immediately. Hence  $T_n^{KG}$ ,  $T_n^{KP}$  and  $T_n^{KS}$  are quasi compact approximations to T.

We remark that if (1.3d) changes into

$$\exists c > 0 \text{ such that } \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \quad \forall j = 1, 2, \dots, n \quad \max\{\omega_{j-1,n}, \omega_{j,n}\} \le c(t_{j,n} - t_{j-1,n}) \tag{1.3d'}$$

then all the conclusions hold provided that (1.3f) changes into

$$\exists \rho > 0 \text{ such that } \mu_n \ge \rho \max_{i=1,2,\dots,n} \omega_{i,n}. \tag{1.3f'}$$

#### REFERENCES

- P. M. Anselone and W. Krabs, Approximate solution of weakly singular integral equations, J. Int. Equ. 1, 61-75 (1979).
- M. Ahues, A class of strongly stable operator approximations, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B 28, 435-442 (1987).
- 3. F. Chatelin, Spectral Approximation of Linear Operators, Academic Press, New York, (1983).
- 4. M. Ahues and A. Largillier, A variant of the fixed tangent method for spectral computations on integral operators, Numer. Funct. Anal. and Optimiz. (to appear).
- P. M. Anselone, Collectively Compact Operator Approximation Theory, Prentice-Hall Inc., Engelwood Cliffs, NJ, (1971).
- P.M. Anselone and J. W. Lee, Double approximation methods for the solution of Fredholm integral equations, In *Numerische Methoden der Approximationstheorie*, (Edited by L. Collatz, H. Werner and G. Meniardus), pp. 9-34, Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, (1976).
- 7. P.M. Anselone and G. Opfer, Numerical integration of weakly singular functions, In *Numerische Integration*, (Edited by G. Hämmerlin), Birkhäuser-Verlag, Basel, (1979).
- 8. P.M. Anselone, Singularity subtraction in the numerical solution of integral equations, J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. B 22, 408-418 (1981).