#### Respiratory Medicine (2013) 107, 1810-1813



### SHORT COMMUNICATION

# Severe adenovirus pneumonia requiring extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support – Serotype 7 revisited



## S.Y. Low<sup>a,\*</sup>, T.T. Tan<sup>b</sup>, C.H.K. Lee<sup>a</sup>, C.M. Loo<sup>a</sup>, H.C. Chew<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore <sup>b</sup> Department of Infectious Diseases, Singapore General Hospital, Singapore

Received 3 July 2013; accepted 10 September 2013 Available online 19 September 2013

KEYWORDS Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation; Adenovirus; Pneumonia	<b>Summary</b> <i>Introduction:</i> Adenovirus causing severe fatal pneumonia has been well described in infants, children, and patients with immunocompromised function, but reports in previously healthy adults are rare. We report 3 cases of severe adenovirus pneumonia in whom conventional mechanical ventilation failed and required extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support. <i>Methods:</i> Retrospective case records review of 3 patients admitted to the medical intensive care unit, Singapore General Hospital, a tertiary care university-affiliated hospital, with severe
	adenovirus pneumonia requiring extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support from February to March 2013. <i>Results:</i> All 3 patients were previously healthy immunocompetent adults from the commu- nity with negative HIV serology. Duration prior to development of respiratory failure requiring intubation and invasive mechanical ventilation was 2, 8 and 3 days. Veno- venous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) support as rescue ventilation was instituted in all 3 patients after 2, 16, and 5 days of conventional mechanical ventilator sup-

port. Duration on ECMO support was 16, 22, and 9 days and mechanical ventilation was 18, 62, and 19 days respectively. Length of stay in intensive care unit was 18, 68, and 21 days, and length of stay in hospital was 20, 70, and 31 days respectively. Two of the 3 patients

\* Corresponding author. Department of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine, 20 College Road, Academia, Singapore 169856, Singapore. Tel.: +65 63214700; fax: +65 62275247.

E-mail address: low.su.ying@sgh.com.sg (S.Y. Low).

0954-6111/\$ - see front matter © 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.rmed.2013.09.008

died.

*Conclusion:* The mainstay of treatment for patients with severe adenovirus pneumonia is still supportive, with the use of antivirals not apparently effective. Whilst ECMO support for rescue ventilation may be considered, the outcomes do not appear as promising as other viral pneumonias, mirroring that previously described in the paediatric population. © 2013 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

#### Introduction

Adenovirus causing severe fatal pneumonia has been well described in infants, children, and patients with immunocompromised function, but reports in previously healthy adults are rare. We report 3 cases of severe adenovirus pneumonia in whom conventional mechanical ventilation failed and required extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) support.

#### Methods

Retrospective case records review of 3 patients admitted to the medical intensive care unit, Singapore General Hospital, a tertiary care university-affiliated hospital, with severe adenovirus pneumonia requiring ECMO support from February to March 2013. Patients were considered for ECMO based on a set of criteria used by our centre – age below 65 years, good premorbid status, and presented with a PaO2:FiO2 ratio <60 secondary to severe pneumonia despite optimal conventional mechanical ventilation for over 6 h.

Veno-venous ECMO was performed for all 3 patients. The common femoral veins were cannulated percutaneously using the Seldinger technique. Venous blood was drained from the inferior vena cava using a long 19 F or 21 F cannula in one common femoral vein and returned to the venous system via the contralateral femoral vein using a short 17 F cannula after passing through the oxygenator. A centrifugal pump was used to drive the circuit flow. Flow rates were adjusted to achieve adequate oxygenation.

#### Results

Age of the 3 patients was 38, 62, and 32 years, and BMI was 21.5, 25.6, and 34.7 kg/m<sup>2</sup> respectively. Two were male of Chinese ethnicity, the third was an Indian female. One of the 3 patients was a smoker. Duration of symptoms prior to presentation was 6, 4, and 4 days. Cough and fever were present in all 3 patients, with a highest temperature of 39, 38.7, and 40 °C respectively. One patient had associated rhinorrhea, another had diarrhoea, and both manifested rhabdomyolysis. None of the patients presented with dyspnoea or sorethroat. All 3 patients were previously healthy immunocompetent adults from the community with negative HIV serology. Total white cell counts were within the normal range (4.71, 5.8, and  $9.4 \times 10^9$ /L) but 2 of the 3 patients manifested mild lymphopenia. Inflammatory marker CRP was markedly raised although procalcitonin was only mildly elevated. All 3 patients had adenovirus PCR isolated using the Seegene Anyplex II RV16 Detection Assay (Seegene, Korea) -2 from endotracheal tube aspirates and 1 from throat swab. Genotyping revealed adenovirus sero-type 7 for all 3 patients. Bronchoscopy with bronchial washings was performed in the 3 patients to exclude any viral and/or bacterial co-infections and none were detected. Empiric broad-spectrum antibiotics were initially administered but deescalated when microbiology results were available.

Duration prior to development of respiratory failure requiring intubation and invasive mechanical ventilation was 2, 8, and 3 days. Veno-venous ECMO support as rescue ventilation was instituted in all 3 patients after 2, 16, and 5 days of conventional mechanical ventilator support. One patient required inotropic support prior to ECMO implantation but none were on renal replacement therapy. Duration on ECMO support was 16, 22, and 9 days and mechanical ventilation was 18, 62, and 19 days respectively. The 3 patients' oxygenation response to ECMO is shown in Table 1.

Two patients were treated with antiviral medications – one with oseltamivir and the other with cidofovir. Both patients demised despite maximal support. The third patient received 3 days of intravenous immunoglobulin and was discharged home after 31 days in hospital. None of the patients were given steroid therapy. Length of stay in intensive care unit was 18, 68, and 21 days, and length of stay in hospital was 20, 70, and 31 days respectively.

#### Discussion

Severe adenovirus disease affecting a previously healthy adult host is rare and reports scarce. Sporadic cases of severe pneumonia from adenovirus in healthy adults have been published – serotype 4 [1,2], serotype 7 [3], and more recently serotype 14 [4]. Outbreaks of severe respiratory illness caused by adenovirus serotype 14 in the community [5] and Air Force training facility [6] have also been described. An older paper described an outbreak in a mental care centre from serotype 35 [7]. Clinical presentation of our 3 patients were very similar to a previous review, where cough and high fever were dominant symptoms and progression to dyspnoea and acute respiratory failure occurring within days [8].

Despite the advances in intensive care, overall mortality from severe acute respiratory failure remains high at 66% [9,10]. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation was first clinically introduced in 1972 as a means of cardiopulmonary support for patients with potentially reversible cardiac and/or respiratory failure in whom maximal conventional ventilator strategies have been exhausted. It's use is most established in the neonatal and paediatric

		Pre ECMO	D1	D5	D10	D15	Pre ECMO explant	Post ECMO explant
Patient 1								
ECMO manufacturer/model:	Terumo,	Capiox SP						
Conventional Vent settings	FiO2	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.8	1.0		
	PEEP	12	8	20	18	18		
PaO2		50	51	75	52	а		
ECMO FiO2			1.0	1.0	0.8	1.0		
Patient 2								
ECMO manufacturer/model:	Maquet,	Rotaflow						
Conventional Vent settings	FiO2	1.0	0.8	0.6	0.7	0.6	0.6	1.0
	PEEP	18	12	16	16	16	10	12
PaO2		41	80	93	102	60	57	69
ECMO FiO2			1.0	1.0	1.0	0.6	0.5	
Patient 3								
ECMO manufacturer/model:	Maquet,	Rotaflow						
Conventional Vent settings	FiO2	1.0	0.5	0.6	0.4		0.4	0.5
	PEEP	16	8	12	12		12	10
PaO2		51	107	63	70		70	74
ECMO FiO2			1.0	1.0	0.8		0.8	

<sup>a</sup> No blood gas was taken.

population in whom viral pneumonia accounts for 25% of all respiratory failure cases necessitating ECMO with an overall survival of 60% [11]. Unfortunately a primary diagnosis of adenovirus pneumonia often requires prolonged ECMO support and portends the poorest survival of 25% [12]. The use of ECMO in adult respiratory failure is more controversial with previous randomized controlled studies failing to demonstrate an improved outcome with ECMO support [13,14]. More recent studies have suggested that careful patient selection is crucial to outcome, with isolated viral pneumonia demonstrating the highest survival rates of 78% with ECMO [15,16]. The H1N1 influenza pandemic led to a resurgence of interest in the feasibility of adult ECMO support with studies showing an overall inhospital mortality of 28% [17]. We could find only one previous publication of ECMO support for severe adenovirus pneumonia in an adult heart transplant recipient [18]. Our report suggest that ECMO support for rescue ventilation in patients with severe adenovirus pneumonia may improve outcome, although overall mortality remains high.

#### Conclusion

More than 40 years after the first description of adenovirus serotype 7 causing fatal pneumonia in 3 healthy military trainees [3], we report 3 previously healthy adults with severe adenovirus serotype 7 pneumonia in whom conventional mechanical ventilation failed and required ECMO support. The mainstay of treatment is still supportive, with the use of antivirals not apparently effective. Despite the advances in intensive care, 2 of our 3 patients died. Whilst ECMO support for rescue ventilation may be considered for patients with severe adenovirus pneumonia, the outcomes do not appear as promising as other viral pneumonias, mirroring that previously described in the paediatric population [12].

#### Acknowledgement

We would like to thank Dr Lynette Oon, Senior Consultant, Department of Pathology, Singapore General Hospital, for her help with the adenovirus PCR isolation, and Lin Cui, Principal Scientific Officer, National Public Health Laboratory, Ministry of Health, Tan Tock Seng Hospital, Singapore, for the genotyping.

#### References

- Levin S, Dietrich J, Guillory J. Fatal nonbacterial pneumonia associated with adenovirus type 4: occurrence in an adult. J Am Med Assoc 1967;201:975–7.
- [2] Retalis P, Strange C, Harley R. The spectrum of adult adenovirus pneumonia. Chest 1996;109:1656-7.
- [3] Dudding BA, Wagner SC, Zeller JA, Gmelich JT, French GR, Top Jr FH. Fatal pneumonia associated with adenovirus type 7 in three military trainees. N Engl J Med 1972;286:1289–92.
- [4] Louie JK, Kajon AE, Holodniy M, Guardia-LaBar L, Lee B, Petru AM, Hacker JK, Schnurr DP. Severe pneumonia due to adenovirus serotype 14: a new respiratory threat? Clin Infect Dis 2008;46:421–5.
- [5] Lewis PF, Schmidt MA, Lu X, Erdman DD, Campbell M, Thomas A, et al. A community-based outbreak of severe respiratory illness caused by human adenovirus serotype 14. J Infect Dis 2009;199:1427–34.
- [6] Tate JE, Bunning ML, Lott L, Lu X, Su J, Metzgar D, et al. Outbreak of severe respiratory disease associated with emergent human adenovirus serotype 14 at a US air force training facility in 2007. J Infect Dis 2009;199:1419–26.
- [7] Klinger JR, Sanchez MP, Curtin LA, Durkin M, Matyas B. Multiple cases of life-threatening adenovirus pneumonia in a mental health care center. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1998; 157:645–9.
- [8] Hakim FA, Tleyjeh IM. Severe adenovirus pneumonia in immunocompetent adults: a case report and review of the literature. Eur J Clin Microbiol Infect Dis 2008;27:153–8.

- [9] Rubenfeld GD, Caldwell E, Peabody E, Weaver J, Martin DP, Neff M, et al. Incidence and outcomes of acute lung injury. N Engl J Med 2005;353:1685–93.
- [10] Rubenfeld GD, Herridge MS. Epidemiology and outcomes of acute lung injury. Chest 2007;131:554–62.
- [11] Meyer TA, Warner BW. Extracorporeal life support for the treatment of viral pneumonia: collective experience from ELSO registry. J Pediatr Surg 1997;32:232–6.
- [12] Allibhai TF, Spinella PC, Meyer MT, Hall BH, Kofos D, DiGeronimo RJ. Survival after prolonged pediatric extracorporeal membrane oxygenation support for adenoviral pneumonia. J Pediatr Surg 2008;43:e9–11.
- [13] Zapol WM, Snider MT, Hill JD, Fallat RJ, Bartlett RH, Edmunds LH, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation in severe acute respiratory failure: a randomized prospective study. J Am Med Assoc 1979;242:2193–6.
- [14] Morris AH, Wallace CJ, Menlove RL, Clemmer TP, Orme JF, Weaver LK, et al. Randomized clinical trial of pressurecontrolled inverse ratio ventilation and extracorporeal CO<sub>2</sub>

removal for adult respiratory distress syndrome. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1994;149:295-305.

- [15] Masiakos PT, Islam S, Doody DP, Schnitzer JJ, Ryan DP. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for nonneonatal acute respiratory failure. Arch Surg 1999;123:375–80.
- [16] Nehra D, Goldstein AM, Doody DP, Ryan DP, Chang Y, Masiakos PT. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for nonneonatal acute respiratory failure. The Massachusetts General Hospital experience from 1990 to 2008. Arch Surg 2009;144: 427–32.
- [17] Zangrillo A, Biondi-Zoccai G, Landoni G, Frati G, Patroniti N, Pesenti A, et al. Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation (ECMO) in patients with H1N1 influenza infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis including 8 studies and 266 patients receiving ECMO. Crit Care 2013;17:R30.
- [18] Refaat M, McNamara D, Teuteberg J, Kormos R, McCurry K, Shullo M, et al. Successful cidofovir treatment in an adult heart transplant recipient with severe adenovirus pneumonia. J Heart Lung Transplant 2008;27:699–700.