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We study the existence of periodic solutions to differential equations of the form $L(x)+g\left(t, x, x^{\prime}, \ldots, x^{(m)}\right)=f(t)$ with $L(x)=x^{(m)}+a_{m-1} x^{(m-1)}+\cdots+a_{1} x^{\prime}$. (C) 1997 A cademic Press

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to establish the existence of periodic solutions to the nonlinear differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{(m)}+a_{m-1} x^{(m-1)}+\cdots+a_{1} x^{\prime}+g\left(t, x, x^{\prime}, \ldots, x^{(m)}\right)=f(t), \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{m-1}$ are constants, $g: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and $T$-periodic ( $T>0$ ) in its first variable, and $f(t)$ is a continuous $T$-periodic function.
This and similar types of problems have recently received considerable attention. (See [2, 4, 6, 7, 9-12, 14-18], etc.) In most known existence results, the nonlinearity $g$ depends at most on the lower order derivatives $x^{\prime}, x^{\prime \prime}, \ldots$, and $x^{(m-1)}$ and, hence, defines a compact nonlinear operator between some appropriate Banach spaces. Therefore, the abstract results used there are not applicable to (1.1). We extend the result of [17] and allow the nonlinearity $g$ to depend on the highest derivative $x^{(m)}$. In our case, the nonlinearity $g$ defines a $k$-set contractive operator between some

[^0]Banach spaces. Our method is based on the continuation theory for $k$-set contractions [7].
A s in [17], the interest of our conditions lies in the possibility of proving an existence theorem for the problem (1.1) without needing an assumption on the growth of $g$ for $x \geq 0$ or else for $x \leq 0$. In [14] and [16], the authors studied the similar nonlinear periodic boundary value problems and allowed the nonlinearity $g$ to depend on the highest derivative of $x(t)$. However, our conditions on $g$ in this paper are different from theirs.

To show the existence of solutions to the considered problems we will use the continuation theory for $k$-set contractions [7,10]. Our method in this direction relies on an abstract theorem developed in [16] and a priori bounds on solutions. We will state this abstract theorem in Section 2.

## 2. ABSTRACT EXISTENCE THEOREMS

In this section we will briefly state the part of the abstract continuation theory for $k$-set contractions that will be used in our study of Eq. (1.1).
Let $Z$ be a Banach space. For a bounded subset $A \subset Z$, let $\Gamma_{Z}(A)$ denote the (K uratovski) measure of non-compactness defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Gamma_{Z}(A)=\inf \left\{\delta>0: \exists \text { a finite number of subsets } A_{i} \subset A,\right. \\
& \left.\qquad A=\cup_{i} A_{i}, \operatorname{diam}\left(A_{i}\right) \leq \delta\right\} . \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Here, $\operatorname{diam}\left(A_{i}\right)$ denotes the maximum distance between the points in the set $A_{i}$. Let $X$ and $Y$ be Banach spaces and $\Omega$ a bounded open subset of $X$. A continuous and bounded map $N: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow Y$ is called $k$-set-contractive if for any bounded $A \subset \bar{\Omega}$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{Y}(N(A)) \leq k \Gamma_{X}(A) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, for a continuous and bounded map $T: X \rightarrow Y$ we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
l(T)=\sup \left\{r \geq 0: \forall \text { bounded subset } A \subset X, r \Gamma_{X}(A) \leq \Gamma_{Y}(T(A))\right\} . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, let $L: X \rightarrow Y$ be a Fredholm operator of index zero, and $N: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow Y$ be $k$-set-contractive with $k<l(L)$. U sing the approach of M awhin's, it was shown by Hetzer [10] that if $L x \neq N x$ for all $x \in \partial \Omega$, then one can associate with the pair $(L, N)$ a topological degree $D[(L, N), Q]$ which has most of the important properties of the so-called Leray-Schauder degree. In particular, it has a homotopy invariance property that allows one to prove the following

Theorem 2.1 [16]. Let $L: X \rightarrow Y$ be a Fredholm operator of index zero, and $y \in Y$ be a fixed point. Suppose that $N: \bar{\Omega} \rightarrow Y$ is $k$-set-contractive with
$k<l(L)$ where $\Omega \subset X$ is bounded, open, and symmetric about $0 \in \Omega$. Suppose further that:
(A ) $L x \neq \lambda N x+\lambda y$, for $x \in \partial \Omega, \lambda \in(0,1)$, and
(B) $[Q N(x)+Q y, x] \cdot[Q N(-x)+Q y, x]<0$, for $x \in \operatorname{Ker}(L) \cap$ $\partial \Omega$,
where [, ] is some bilinear form on $Y \times X$ and $Q$ is the projection of $Y$ onto coker $(L)$. Then there exists $x \in \bar{\Omega}$ such that $L x-N x=y$.

## 3. MAIN RESULTS

Let $C_{T}^{0}$ denote the linear space of real valued continuous $T$-periodic functions on $\mathbb{R}$. The linear space $C_{T}^{0}$ is a Banach space with the usual norm for $x \in C_{T}^{0}$ given by $|x|_{0}=\max _{t \in \mathbb{R}}|x(t)|$. Let $C_{T}^{m}(m \geq 1)$ denote the linear space of $T$-periodic functions with $m$ continuous derivatives. $C_{T}^{m}$ is a Banach space with norm $|x|_{m}=\max \left\{\left|x^{(i)}\right|_{0}: 0 \leq i \leq m\right\}$.

Let $X=C_{T}^{m}$ and $Y=C_{T}^{0}$ and let $L: X \rightarrow Y$ be given by

$$
L(x)=x^{(m)}+a_{m-1} x^{(m-1)}+\cdots+a_{1} x^{\prime} .
$$

It is obvious that $L$ is a bounded linear map. Next define a (nonlinear) map $N: X \rightarrow Y$ by

$$
N(x)(t)=-g\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t), x^{\prime \prime}(t), \ldots, x^{(m)}(t)\right)
$$

Now, the problem (1.1) has a solution $x(t)$ if and only if $L x-N x=f$ for some $x \in X$.

We put the following conditions on $g$ and $f$. They are similar to the ones contained in [17].
(H3.1) $g: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous and $T$-periodic ( $T>0$ ) in its first variable.
(H3.2) There exist measurable functions $\mu_{+}, \mu_{-}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \cup\{ \pm \infty\}$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mu_{+}(t) \leq \lim _{x \rightarrow+\infty} \inf g\left(t, x, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right), & t \in \mathbb{R}, \\
\mu_{-}(t) \geq \lim _{x \rightarrow-\infty} \sup g\left(t, x, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right), & t \in \mathbb{R}
\end{array}
$$

uniformly for $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m}$.
(H3.3) There exist constants $c_{1}, c_{2} \in \mathbb{R}$, such that
$g\left(t, x, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \geq c_{1} \quad$ for $x \geq 0,\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}$
and
$g\left(t, x, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \leq c_{2} \quad$ for $x \leq 0,\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}$.
Theorem 3.1. Let (H 3.1)-(H 3.3) be satisfied and assume
(a) The only $T$-periodic solutions to the equation $L x=0$ are the constants.
(b) There exists a $k \in[0,1)$, such that

$$
\left|g\left(t, x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m-1}, p\right)-g\left(t, x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m-1}, q\right)\right| \leq k|p-q|
$$

for any $\left(t, x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m-1}, p\right),\left(t, x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m-1}, q\right) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$.
(c) There exist positive constants $p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{m}, p$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|g\left(t, x, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right| \leq & g\left(t, x, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+p_{0}|x|+p_{1}\left|x_{1}\right| \\
& +p_{2}\left|x_{2}\right|+\cdots+p_{m}\left|x_{m}\right|+p
\end{aligned}
$$

$\forall\left(t, x, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$, or

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|g\left(t, x, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right| \leq & -g\left(t, x, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)+p_{0}|x|+p_{1}\left|x_{1}\right| \\
& +p_{2}\left|x_{2}\right|+\cdots+p_{m}\left|x_{m}\right|+p
\end{aligned}
$$

$\forall\left(t, x, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) \in \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$.
(d) There exists

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \mu_{-}(t) d t<\int_{0}^{T} f(t) d t<\int_{0}^{T} \mu_{+}(t) d t .
$$

Then there exists an $\delta>0$ such that when $\max \left\{p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{m}\right\}<\delta$, the problem (1.1) has a solution.

Notice that if $g$ is non-negative or nonpositive then our key condition (c) in the above theorem is automatically satisfied.

B efore proving Theorem 3.1, we need the following lemmas.
Lemma 3.2. L is a Fredholm map of index 0 and satisfies

$$
l(L) \geq 1 .
$$

Proof. It is easy to verify that $L$ is a Fredholm map of index 0 due to the condition (a) of Theorem 3.1. In fact, for $y \in Y$ we define

$$
Q(y)=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} y(t) d t
$$

Then $\operatorname{Im}(L) \subseteq \operatorname{ker}(Q)$. A pplying the $L^{2}$ theory of Fourier series to the equation $L x=y$, we also can see that $\operatorname{Im}(L) \supseteq \operatorname{Ker}(Q)$. Therefore, $\operatorname{Im}(L)$ is closed and $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker}(L)=\operatorname{codim} \operatorname{Im}(L)=1$. Let $A \subset X$ be a bounded subset and let $\eta=\Gamma_{Y}(L(A))>0$. Given $\epsilon>0$, according to the definition, there is a finite number of subsets $A_{i}$ of $A$ such that $\operatorname{diam}_{0}\left(L\left(A_{i}\right) \leq\right.$ $+\epsilon$. Since $X$ is compactly embedded into $C_{T}^{m-1}$ and since $A_{i}$ are bounded in $X$, it follows that there is a finite number of subsets $A_{i j}$ of $A_{i}$ such that $\operatorname{diam}_{m-1}\left(A_{i j}\right)<\epsilon$, and hence, $\operatorname{diam}_{m}\left(A_{i j}\right) \leq \eta+\epsilon+m a \epsilon$, where $a=$ $\max _{1 \leq i \leq m-1}\left\{\left|a_{i}\right|\right\}$ and $\operatorname{diam}_{k}(\cdot)$ are defined with respect to the norms $|\cdot|_{m}$, $0 \leq k \leq m$. This proves

$$
\Gamma_{X}(A) \leq \eta=\Gamma_{Y}(L(A)),
$$

that is, $l(L) \geq 1$.
Lemma 3.3. $N: X \rightarrow Y$ is a $k$-set-contractive map with $k<1$ as given in condition (b) of Theorem 3.1.

Proof. Let $A \subset X$ be a bounded subset and let $\eta=\Gamma_{X}(A)$. Then for any $\epsilon>0$, there is a finite family of subsets $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ with $A=\cup_{i} A_{i}$ and $\operatorname{diam}_{m}\left(A_{i}\right) \leq \eta+\epsilon$. Now it follows from the fact that $g$ is uniformly continuous on any compact subset of $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{m+1}$, and from the fact that $A$ and $A_{i}$ are precompact in $C_{T}^{m-1}$ with norm $|\cdot|_{m-1}$, that there is a finite family of subsets $\left\{A_{i j}\right\}$ of $A_{i}$ such that $A_{i}=\bigcup_{j} A_{i j}$ with

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mid g\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t), \ldots, x^{(m-1)}(t), u^{(m)}(t)\right) \\
& \quad-g\left(t, u(t), u^{\prime}(t), \ldots, u^{(m-1)}(t), u^{(m)}(t)\right) \mid<\epsilon
\end{aligned}
$$

for any $x, u \in A_{i j}$. Therefore, for $x, u \in A_{i j}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|N x-N u\|_{0}= & \sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1} \mid g\left(t, x, x^{\prime}, \ldots, x^{(m-1)}, x^{(m)}\right) \\
& \quad-g\left(t, u, u^{\prime}, \ldots, u^{(m-1)}, u^{(m)}\right) \mid \\
\leq & \sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1} \mid g\left(t, x, x^{\prime}, \ldots, x^{(m-1)}, x^{(m)}\right) \\
& \quad-g\left(t, x, x^{\prime}, \ldots, x^{(m-1)}, u^{(m)}\right) \mid \\
& +\sup _{0 \leq t \leq 1} \mid g\left(t, x, x^{\prime}, \ldots, x^{(m-1)}, u^{(m)}\right) \\
& \quad-g\left(t, u, u^{\prime}, \ldots, u^{(m-1)}, u^{(m)}\right) \mid \\
\leq & k\left\|x^{(m)}-u^{(m)}\right\|_{0}+\epsilon \leq k \eta+(k+1) \epsilon .
\end{aligned}
$$

That is,

$$
\Gamma_{Y}(N(A)) \leq k \Gamma_{X}(A) .
$$

The next lemma is from [17].
Lemma 3.4. Under the assumption (a) of Theorem 3.1, there is a constant $\mu>0$ such that

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\left|x^{(i)}\right|_{0}+\int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{(m)}(t)\right| d t \leq \mu \int_{0}^{T}|L x(t)| d t
$$

for all $x \in C_{m}^{T}$.

## Proof. See [17].

Lemma 3.5. There is a number $r_{0}$, such that for each solution $x(t)$ to $L x+\lambda N x=\lambda f, 0<\lambda<1$, there is a $z \in[0, T]$, with $|x(z)| \leq r_{0}$. Here $z$ may depend on $x(t)$ and $\lambda$.

Proof. The proof may be found in [17] but for the sake of completeness we give the proof here. Suppose that for each positive integer $n$ there is a $\lambda_{n} \in(0,1)$ and a solution $x_{n}$ of $L x+\lambda_{n} N x=\lambda_{n} \tilde{f}$ with $x_{n}(t) \geq n$ for $t \in[0, T]$. Then we would have

$$
\int_{0}^{T} N x_{n}(t) d t=\int_{0}^{T} f(t) d t
$$

In other words,

$$
\int_{0}^{T} g\left(t, x_{n}(t), x_{n}^{\prime}(t), \ldots, x_{n}^{(m)}(t)\right) d t=\int_{0}^{T} f(t) d t
$$

On the other hand, we also have $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf g\left(t, x_{n}(t), x_{n}^{\prime}(t), \ldots, x_{n}^{(m)}(t)\right) \geq$ $\mu_{+}(t)$. Now, using this and Fatou's lemma, we get

$$
\int_{0}^{T} f(t) d t \geq \int_{0}^{T} \mu_{+}(t) d t
$$

contradicting condition (d). Thus there is a number $r_{1}$ such that if $x$ is a solution of $L x+\lambda N x=\lambda \tilde{f}, \lambda \in(0,1)$ then there is a number $s_{1} \in[0,1]$ with $x\left(s_{1}\right) \leq r_{1}$.

Similarly, by using $\mu_{-}$and Fatou's lemma we can show that there must be a number $r_{2}>0$ such that for any solution $x$ there is a corresponding value $s_{2} \in[0,1]$ with $x\left(s_{2}\right) \geq-r_{2}$. By continuity we conclude that for any solution $x$ there is some $z_{x} \in[0,1]$ with $\left|x\left(z_{x}\right)\right| \leq r_{0}, r_{0}=\max \left\{r_{1}, r_{2}\right\}$.

Lemma 3.6. There exist numbers $M, \delta>0$ such that if conditions of Theorem 3.1 hold and $\max \left\{p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{m}\right\}<\delta$ then every solution $x(t)$ of the problem

$$
L x-\lambda N x=\lambda f, \quad \lambda \in(0,1)
$$

satisfies $|x|_{m} \leq M$.

Proof of Lemma 3.6. Let $L x-\lambda N x=\lambda f$ for $x(t) \in X$, i.e.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(x)(t)+\lambda g\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t), \ldots, x^{(m)}(t)\right)=\lambda f . \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating this identity we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} g\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t), \ldots, x^{(m)}(t)\right) d t=\int_{0}^{T} f(t) d t \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$U$ sing the condition (c) of Theorem 3.1, (3.1), and (3.2) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{T}|L(x)(t)| d t \leq & \int_{0}^{T}\left|g\left(t, x(t), x^{\prime}(t), \ldots, x^{(m)}(t)\right)\right| d t+\int_{0}^{T}|f(t)| d t \\
\leq & 2 \int_{0}^{T}|f(t)| d t+p_{0} T|x|_{0}+p_{1} T|x|_{0}+\cdots \\
& +p_{m-1} T\left|x^{(m-1)}\right|_{0}+p_{m} \int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{(m)}(t)\right| d t+p T \\
\leq & T \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} p_{i}\left|x^{i}\right|_{0}+p_{0} T|x|_{0}++p_{m} \int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{m}(t)\right| d t+\tilde{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tilde{p}=p T+2 \int_{0}^{T}|f|$ is a constant. Combining Lemma 3.4 and the above inequality, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\left(1-T \mu p_{i}\right)\left|x^{i}\right|_{0}+\left(1-\mu p_{m}\right) \int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{m}(t)\right| d t \leq p_{0} T \mu|x|_{0}+\mu \tilde{p} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, according to Lemma 3.5, there exist $z_{x} \in[0, T]$ such that $\left|x\left(z_{x}\right)\right| \leq r_{0}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{align*}
|x|_{0} & =\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}|x(t)| \\
& =\sup _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left|x\left(z_{x}\right)+\int_{z_{x}}^{t} x^{\prime}(t) d t\right| \\
& \leq r_{0}+T\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{0} . \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

Combining (3.3) and (3.4), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=2}^{m-1}\left(1-T \mu p_{i}\right)\left|x^{i}\right|_{0}+\left(1-T \mu p_{1}-T^{2} \mu p_{0}\right)\left|x^{\prime}\right|_{0} \\
& \quad+\left(1-\mu p_{m}\right) \int_{0}^{T}\left|x^{m}(t)\right| d t \leq \mu \tilde{p}+r_{0} T \mu p_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, there exist constants $\delta>0$ and $M_{1}>0$ such that, if

$$
p_{i} \leq \delta, \quad \text { for all } i=0,1, \ldots, m,
$$

then

$$
\left|x^{(i)}\right|_{0} \leq M_{1}, \quad \text { for } i=0,1, \ldots, m-1 .
$$

M oreover, given such a solution of $L(x)(t)+\lambda g\left(t, x, x^{\prime}, \ldots, x^{(m)}\right)=\lambda f(t)$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|x^{(m)}(t)\right| \leq & \left|g\left(t, x, x^{\prime}, \ldots, x^{(m)}\right)\right|+|f(t)|+\sum_{i=1}^{m-1}\left|a_{i}\right|\left|x^{(i)}\right|_{0} \\
\leq & \left|g\left(t, x, x^{\prime}, \ldots, x^{(m)}\right)-g\left(t, x, x^{\prime}, \ldots, 0\right)\right| \\
& \quad+\left|g\left(t, x, x^{\prime}, \ldots, 0\right)\right|+|f(t)|+(m-1) \max _{1 \leq i \leq m-1}\left|a_{i}\right| M_{1} \\
\leq & k\left|x^{(m)}(t)\right|+\left|g\left(t, x, x^{\prime}, \ldots, 0\right)\right|+|f(t)| \\
& +(m-1) \max _{1 \leq i \leq m-1}\left|a_{i}\right| M_{1} \\
\leq & k\left|x^{(m)}(t)\right|+M_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here, $M_{2}$ is some constant. From this we see that $\left|x^{(m)}\right|_{0} \leq M_{2} /(1-k)$ so that if we let $M=\max \left\{M_{1}, M_{2} /(1-k)\right\}$ then

$$
|x|_{m} \leq M
$$

for some sufficiently small $\delta>0$ and $p_{0}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}<\delta$.
Proof of Theorem 3.1. Let $r>M$, where $M$ is in the Lemma 3.6. We apply Theorem 2.1 for $\Omega=\left\{x \in X:|x|_{0}<r\right\}$. It is easy to see now that all of the necessary conditions in Theorem 2.2 hold except for condition (B). We will now show that condition (B) also holds. Define a bounded bilinear form $[\because \cdot \cdot]$ on $Y \times X$ by $[y, x]=\int_{0}^{T} y(t) x(t) d t$. Also, define $Q: Y \rightarrow$ coker $(L)$ by $y \mapsto \int_{0}^{T} y(t) d t$. Notice that for $x \in \operatorname{ker}(L) \cap \partial \Omega$ we must have $x=r$ or $x=-r$ so that for such an $x$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {[Q N(x)+Q y, x] \cdot[Q N(-x)+Q y, x]} \\
& =r^{2} \int_{0}^{T}(g(t, r, 0, \ldots, 0)-f(t)) d t \\
& \quad \cdot \int_{0}^{T}(g(t,-r, 0, \ldots, 0)-f(t)) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

By condition (d), there is a number $\tilde{M}>0$ such that if $r>\tilde{M}$ then

$$
\int_{0}^{T}(g(t, r, 0, \ldots, 0)-f(t)) d t \cdot \int_{0}^{T}(g(t,-r, 0, \ldots, 0)-f(t)) d t<0
$$

Thus if we pick $r>\max \{M, \tilde{M}\}$ then all of the conditions required in Theorem 2.1 hold. It now follows by Theorem 2.1 that there is a function $x(t) \in X$, such that

$$
L x-N x=f
$$

This finishes the proof of Theorem 3.1.
Example 3.7. Let $g\left(t, x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=h_{1}\left(t, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right) e^{-x^{2}}+h_{2}\left(t, x_{1}\right.$, $\left.\ldots, x_{m-1}\right) e^{x}$, here $h_{1} \geq 0$ and $h_{2}>0$ are bounded continuous $T$-periodic functions in their first variable and $h_{1}$ satisfies

$$
\sup \left|\frac{\partial}{\partial x_{m}} h_{1}\left(t, x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)\right|<1 .
$$

(For example, $h_{1}\left(t, x, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \sin ^{2}\left(x_{m}\right) e^{-x^{2}}$.) By Theorem 3.1, the differential equation

$$
x^{(m)}+h_{1}\left(t, x^{\prime}, \ldots, x^{(m)}\right) e^{-x^{2}}+h_{2}\left(t, x^{\prime}, \ldots, x^{(m-1)}\right) e^{x}=f(t)
$$

has solutions provided $\int_{0}^{T} f(t) d t>0$.
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