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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study Coxeter systems with two-dimensional Davis-Vinberg complexes. We show that for a Coxeter group $W$, if $(W, S)$ and $\left(W, S^{\prime}\right)$ are Coxeter systems with two-dimensional Davis-Vinberg complexes, then there exists $S^{\prime \prime} \subset W$ such that ( $W, S^{\prime \prime}$ ) is a Coxeter system which is isomorphic to ( $W, S$ ) and the sets of reflections in ( $W, S^{\prime \prime}$ ) and ( $W, S^{\prime}$ ) coincide. Hence, the Coxeter diagrams of $(W, S)$ and ( $W, S^{\prime}$ ) have the same number of vertices, the same number of edges and the same multiset of edge-labels. This is an extension of the results of A. Kaul and N. Brady, J.P. McCammond, B. Mühlherr and W.D. Neumann. © 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

The purpose of this paper is to study Coxeter systems with two-dimensional Davis-Vinberg complexes. A Coxeter group is a group $W$ having a presentation

$$
\left.\langle S|(s t)^{m(s, t)}=1 \quad \text { for } s, t \in S\right\rangle,
$$
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Fig. 1. Two distinct Coxeter diagrams for $D_{6}$.
where $S$ is a finite set and $m: S \times S \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \cup\{\infty\}$ is a function satisfying the following conditions:
(1) $m(s, t)=m(t, s)$ for each $s, t \in S$,
(2) $m(s, s)=1$ for each $s \in S$, and
(3) $m(s, t) \geqslant 2$ for each $s, t \in S$ such that $s \neq t$.

The pair $(W, S)$ is called a Coxeter system. For a Coxeter group $W$, a generating set $S^{\prime}$ of $W$ is called a Coxeter generating set for $W$ if $\left(W, S^{\prime}\right)$ is a Coxeter system. In a Coxeter system $(W, S)$, the conjugates of elements of $S$ are called reflections. We note that the reflections depend on the Coxeter generating set $S$ and not just on the Coxeter group $W$. Let $(W, S)$ be a Coxeter system. For a subset $T \subset S, W_{T}$ is defined as the subgroup of $W$ generated by $T$, and called a parabolic subgroup. If $T$ is the empty set, then $W_{T}$ is the trivial group.

A diagram is an undirected graph $\Gamma$ without loops or multiple edges with a map $\operatorname{Edges}(\Gamma) \rightarrow\{2,3,4, \ldots\}$ which assigns an integer greater than 1 to each of its edges. Since such diagrams are used to define Coxeter systems, they are called Coxeter diagrams.

Let $(W, S)$ and $\left(W^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)$ be Coxeter systems. Two Coxeter systems $(W, S)$ and ( $W^{\prime}, S^{\prime}$ ) are said to be isomorphic, if there exists a bijection $\psi: S \rightarrow S^{\prime}$ such that

$$
m(s, t)=m^{\prime}(\psi(s), \psi(t))
$$

for each $s, t \in S$, where $m(s, t)$ and $m^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)$ are the orders of $s t$ in $W$ and $s^{\prime} t^{\prime}$ in $W^{\prime}$, respectively.

In general, a Coxeter group does not always determine its Coxeter system up to isomorphism. Indeed some counterexamples are known.

Example 1 (Bourbaki [1, p. 38, Exercise 8], Brady et al. [2]). It is known that the Coxeter groups defined by the diagrams in Fig. 1 are isomorphic and $D_{6}$.

Example 2 (Mühlherr [11], Brady et al. [2]). In [11], Mühlherr showed that the Coxeter groups defined by the diagrams in Fig. 2 are isomorphic.

Here there exists the following natural problem:
Problem (Brady et al. [2], Charney and Davis [4]). When does a Coxeter group determine its Coxeter system up to isomorphism?


Fig. 2. Coxeter diagrams for isomorphic Coxeter groups.

Recently, Mühlherr and Weidmann proved that skew-angled Coxeter systems are reflection rigid up to diagram twisting [12].

It is known that each Coxeter system $(W, S)$ defines a CAT( 0 ) geodesic space $\Sigma(W, S)$ called the Davis-Vinberg complex [5-7,10]. Here $\operatorname{dim} \Sigma(W, S) \geqslant 1$ by definition, and $\operatorname{dim} \Sigma(W, S)=1$ if and only if the Coxeter group $W$ is isomorphic to the free product of some $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Hence if $\operatorname{dim} \Sigma(W, S)=1$, then the Coxeter group $W$ is rigid, i.e., $W$ determines its Coxeter system up to isomorphism. In this paper, we investigate Coxeter systems with two-dimensional Davis-Vinberg complexes.

Remark. Let $(W, S)$ be a Coxeter system. We note that $\operatorname{dim} \Sigma(W, S) \leqslant 2$ if and only if $W_{T}$ is infinite for each $T \subset S$ such that $|T|>2$. It is known that for $\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right\} \subset S$ if
(1) $m\left(s_{i}, s_{j}\right) \geqslant 3$ for each $i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$ such that $i \neq j$, or
(2) $m\left(s_{i}, s_{j}\right)=\infty$ for some $i, j \in\{1,2,3\}$,
then the parabolic subgroup $W_{\left\{s_{1}, s_{2}, s_{3}\right\}}$ is infinite (see [1]). Hence, for example, if
(1) $(W, S)$ is of type $K_{n}$ (cf. [9]),
(2) all edge-labels of the Coxeter diagram of $(W, S)$ are odd,
(3) all edge-labels of the Coxeter diagram of $(W, S)$ are greater than 2 (i.e. $(W, S)$ is skew-angled), or
(4) the Coxeter diagram of $(W, S)$ is true,
then $\operatorname{dim} \Sigma(W, S) \leqslant 2$.
We first recall some basic properties of Coxeter groups and Davis-Vinberg complexes in Section 2. After some preliminaries in Section 3, we prove the following theorem in Section 4.

Theorem 1. Let $(W, S)$ and $\left(W^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)$ be Coxeter systems with two-dimensional DavisVinberg complexes. Suppose that there exists an isomorphism $\phi: W \rightarrow W^{\prime}$. For each $s \in S$, if $\phi(s)$ is not a reflection in $\left(W^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)$, then there exist unique elements $t \in S$ and $s^{\prime}, t^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}$ such that for some $w^{\prime} \in W^{\prime}$,
(1) $m(s, t)=2$,
(2) $m(s, u)=\infty$ for each $u \in S \backslash\{s, t\}$,
(3) $\phi\left(W_{\{s, t\}}\right)=w^{\prime} W_{\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}}^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$,
(4) $m^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)=2$,
(5) $m^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)=\infty$ for each $u^{\prime} \in S^{\prime} \backslash\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}$,
(6) $\phi(s)=w^{\prime} s^{\prime} t^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ and
(7) $\phi(t)=w^{\prime} t^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$.

Here we can define an automorphism $\psi$ of $W$ as follows: for each $s \in S$,
(1) if $\phi(s)$ is a reflection in $\left(W^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)$, then $\psi(s)=s$, and
(2) if $\phi(s)$ is not a reflection in $\left(W^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)$, then $\psi(s)=s t$, where $t$ is a unique element of $S$ such that $m(s, t)=2$.

Then the Coxeter systems $(W, S)$ and $(W, \psi(S))$ are isomorphic and by Theorem 1 the isomorphism $\phi: W \rightarrow W^{\prime}$ maps reflections in $(W, \psi(S))$ onto reflections in $\left(W^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)$. Thus we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 2. Let $(W, S)$ and $\left(W, S^{\prime}\right)$ be Coxeter systems with two-dimensional DavisVinberg complexes. Then there exists $S^{\prime \prime} \subset W$ such that $\left(W, S^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is a Coxeter system which is isomorphic to $(W, S)$ and the sets of reflections in $\left(W, S^{\prime \prime}\right)$ and $\left(W, S^{\prime}\right)$ coincide.

This implies the following corollary which is an extension of the results of Kaul [9] and Brady et al., [2, Lemma 5.3].

Corollary 3. For a Coxeter group $W$, if $(W, S)$ and $\left(W, S^{\prime}\right)$ are Coxeter systems with twodimensional Davis-Vinberg complexes, then the Coxeter diagrams of $(W, S)$ and ( $W, S^{\prime}$ ) have the same number of vertices, the same number of edges and the same multiset of edge-labels.

Here a multiset is a collection in which the order of the entries does not matter, but multiplicities do. Thus the multisets $\{1,1,2\}$ and $\{1,2,2\}$ are different. In Corollary 3, we cannot omit the assumption "with two-dimensional Davis-Vinberg complexes" by Example 1.

## 2. Basics on Coxeter groups and Davis-Vinberg complexes

In this section, we introduce some basic properties of Coxeter groups and Davis-Vinberg complexes.

Definition 2.1. Let $(W, S)$ be a Coxeter system and $T \subset S$. The subset $T$ is called a spherical subset of $S$, if the parabolic subgroup $W_{T}$ is finite.

Definition 2.2. Let $(W, S)$ be a Coxeter system and $w \in W$. A representation $w=s_{1} \cdots s_{l}$ ( $s_{i} \in S$ ) is said to be reduced, if $\ell(w)=l$, where $\ell(w)$ is the minimum length of a word in $S$ which represents $w$.

The following lemmas are known.

Lemma 2.3 (Bourbaki [1], Brown [3], Davis [5], Hymphreys [8]). Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system.
(i) Let $w \in W$ and let $w=s_{1} \cdots s_{l}$ be a representation. If $\ell(w)<l$, then $w=s_{1} \cdots \hat{s}_{i} \cdots$ $\hat{s_{j}} \cdots s_{l}$ for some $1 \leqslant i<j \leqslant l$.
(ii) Let $w \in W$ and let $w=s_{1} \cdots s_{l}$ be a representation. Then the length $\ell(w)$ is even if and only if $l$ is even.
(iii) For each $w \in W$, there exists a unique subset $S(w) \subset S$ such that $S(w)=\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{l}\right\}$ for every reduced representation $w=s_{1} \cdots s_{l}\left(s_{i} \in S\right)$.
(iv) Let $w \in W$ and $T \subset S$. Then $w \in W_{T}$ if and only if $S(w) \subset T$.
(v) For each subset $T \subset S,\left(W_{T}, T\right)$ is a Coxeter system.
(vi) For all subsets $T_{1}, T_{2} \subset S, W_{T_{1}}=W_{T_{2}}$ if and only if $T_{1}=T_{2}$.
(vii) If $W$ is finite, then there exists a unique element $w_{0} \in W$ of longest length.

Lemma 2.4 (Bourbaki [1], Davis [5, Lemma 7.11]). Let (W, S) be a Coxeter system, let $T \subset S$ and let $w \in W_{T}$. Then the following statements are equivalent:
(1) $W_{T}$ is finite and $w$ is the element of longest length in $W_{T}$;
(2) $\ell(w t)<\ell(w)$ for each $t \in T$.

Lemma 2.5 (Bourbaki [1, p. 12, Proposition 3]). Let $(W, S)$ be a Coxeter system and let $s, t \in S$. Then $s$ is conjugate to $t$ if and only if there exists a sequence $s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n} \in S$ such that $s_{1}=s, s_{n}=t$ and $m\left(s_{i}, s_{i+1}\right)$ is odd for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, n-1\}$.

Lemma 2.6 (Brady et al. [2, Results 3.7 and 3.8]). Let $(W, S)$ and $\left(W, S^{\prime}\right)$ be Coxeter systems. Then
(1) if $S \subset R_{S^{\prime}}$ then $R_{S}=R_{S^{\prime}}$, and
(2) if $R_{S}=R_{S^{\prime}}$ then $|S|=\left|S^{\prime}\right|$,
where $R_{S}$ and $R_{S^{\prime}}$ are the sets of all reflections in $(W, S)$ and $\left(W, S^{\prime}\right)$, respectively.
By Results 1.8, 1.9 and 1.10 in [2], we obtain the following theorem.
Theorem 2.7 (cf. Brady et al. [2]). Let (W,S) and ( $W^{\prime}$, $S^{\prime}$ ) be Coxeter systems. Suppose that there exists an isomorphism $\phi: W \rightarrow W^{\prime}$. Then for each maximal spherical subset $T \subset$ $S$, there exists a unique maximal spherical subset $T^{\prime} \subset S^{\prime}$ such that $\phi\left(W_{T}\right)=w^{\prime} W_{T^{\prime}}^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ for some $w^{\prime} \in W^{\prime}$.

We introduce a definition of the Davis-Vinberg complex of a Coxeter system.
Definition 2.8 (Davis [5-7]). Let $(W, S)$ be a Coxeter system and let $W \mathscr{S}^{f}$ denote the set of all left cosets of the form $w W_{T}$, with $w \in W$ and a spherical subset $T \subset S$. The set $W \mathscr{S}^{f}$ is partially ordered by inclusion. The Davis-Vinberg complex $\Sigma(W, S)$ is defined as the geometric realization of the partially ordered set $W \mathscr{S}^{f}[5,6]$. Here it is known that $\Sigma(W, S)$ has a structure of a PE (i.e. piecewise euclidean) cell complex whose 1 -skeleton is the Cayley graph of $W$ with respect to $S$ [7]. Then the vertex set of each cell of the PE
cell complex $\Sigma(W, S)$ is $w W_{T}$ for some $w \in W$ and some spherical subset $T$ of $S$. The Coxeter group $W$ acts properly, discontinuously and cocompactly as isometries on the PE cell complex $\Sigma(W, S)$ with the natural metric [5,7].

Remark. For a Coxeter system ( $W, S$ ), by the definition of $\Sigma(W, S)$, $\operatorname{dim} \Sigma(W, S)=\max \{|T|: T$ is a spherical subset of $S\}$.

Theorem 2.7 implies the following lemma.
Lemma 2.9. Let $(W, S)$ and $\left(W^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)$ be Coxeter systems with two-dimensional DavisVinberg complexes. Suppose that there exists an isomorphism $\phi: W \rightarrow W^{\prime}$.
(i) For each two elements $s, t \in S$ such that $m(s, t)<\infty$, there exist unique two elements $s^{\prime}, t^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}$ such that $\phi\left(W_{\{s, t\}}\right)=w^{\prime} W_{\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}}^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ (hence $\left.m(s, t)=m^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)\right)$ for some $w^{\prime} \in W^{\prime}$.
(ii) The multisets of edge-labels of the Coxeter diagrams of $(W, S)$ and $\left(W^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)$ coincide.

## 3. Lemmas on Coxeter groups

We show some lemmas needed later.
Lemma 3.1. Let $(W, S)$ be a Coxeter system and let $w \in W$. Suppose that $w^{2}=1$ and $\ell(w)=\min \left\{\ell\left(v w v^{-1}\right): v \in W\right\}$. Then $W_{S(w)}$ is finite and $w$ is the element of longest length in $W_{S(w)}$, where $S(w)$ is the subset of $S$ defined in Lemma 2.3(iii).

Proof. Let $w=s_{1} \cdots s_{l}$ be a reduced representation. Since $w^{2}=1$,

$$
s_{1} \cdots s_{l}=w=w^{-1}=s_{l} \cdots s_{1} .
$$

Hence $\ell\left(w s_{1}\right)<\ell(w)$. By Lemma 2.3(i),

$$
w s_{1}=\left(s_{1} \cdots s_{l}\right) s_{1}=s_{1} \cdots \hat{s_{i}} \cdots s_{l}
$$

for some $i \in\{1, \ldots, l\}$. Suppose that $1<i \leqslant l$. Then

$$
s_{1} w s_{1}=s_{2} \cdots \hat{s_{i}} \cdots s_{l},
$$

and $\ell\left(s_{1} w s_{1}\right)<\ell(w)$. This contradicts the assumption

$$
\ell(w)=\min \left\{\ell\left(v w v^{-1}\right): v \in W\right\} .
$$

Thus $i=1$ and $w s_{1}=s_{2} \cdots s_{l}$. Hence $w=\left(s_{2} \cdots s_{l}\right) s_{1}$ is reduced.
By iterating the above argument,

$$
w=\left(s_{i+1} \cdots s_{l}\right)\left(s_{1} \cdots s_{i}\right)
$$

is reduced for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, l-1\}$. Hence $\ell\left(w s_{i}\right)<\ell(w)$ for each $i \in\{1, \ldots, l\}$, i.e., $\ell(w s)<\ell(w)$ for each $s \in S(w)$. By Lemma 2.4, $W_{S(w)}$ is finite and $w$ is the element of longest length in $W_{S(w)}$.


In the case $m(s, t)=2$


In the case $m(s, t)=4$

Fig. 3. Isometry $v$ on the 2-cell $C$.

Remark. Let $(W, S)$ and ( $W^{\prime}, S^{\prime}$ ) be Coxeter systems with two-dimensional Davis-Vinberg complexes. Suppose that there exists an isomorphism $\phi: W \rightarrow W^{\prime}$. Let $s \in S$. Since $(\phi(s))^{2}=1$, by Lemma 3.1, either
(1) $\phi(s)$ is a reflection in $\left(W^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)$, or
(2) $\phi(s)=w^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime} t^{\prime}\right)^{m^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ for some $w^{\prime} \in W^{\prime}$ and $s^{\prime}, t^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}$, where $m^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)$ is even and $m^{\prime}=m^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) / 2$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $(W, S)$ be a Coxeter system with two-dimensional Davis-Vinberg complex, let $s, t, a, b \in S$ and let $w, x \in W$. Suppose that $m(s, t)$ is even, $m(a, b)$ is finite and $w(s t)^{m} w^{-1} \in x W_{\{a, b\}} x^{-1}$, where $m=m(s, t) / 2$. Then $w W_{\{s, t\}} w^{-1}=x W_{\{a, b\}} x^{-1}$ and $\{s, t\}=\{a, b\}$.

Proof. Suppose that $m(s, t)$ is even, $m(a, b)$ is finite and $w(s t)^{m} w^{-1} \in x W_{\{a, b\}} x^{-1}$, where $m=m(s, t) / 2$. Let $v=w(s t)^{m} w^{-1}$ and let $C$ and $D$ be the 2-cells in $\Sigma(W, S)$ such that

$$
C^{(0)}=w W_{\{s, t\}} \quad \text { and } \quad D^{(0)}=x W_{\{a, b\}} .
$$

Then $v$ is an isometry of $\Sigma(W, S)$ and the barycenter of $C$ is the unique fixed point of $v$ because $m(s, t)=2 m$ and $\operatorname{dim} \Sigma(W, S)=2$ (cf. Fig. 3). Since

$$
v=w(s t)^{m} w^{-1} \in x W_{\{a, b\}} x^{-1},
$$

there exists $u \in W_{\{a, b\}}$ such that $v=x u x^{-1}$. Then

$$
v\left(x W_{\{a, b\}}\right)=x u x^{-1}\left(x W_{\{a, b\}}\right)=x\left(u W_{\{a, b\}}\right)=x W_{\{a, b\}} .
$$

Hence $v D=D$. In general, for each cell $E$ of $\Sigma(W, S)$ and each $y \in W$, if $y E=E$ then the isometry $y$ fixes the barycenter of $E$ by the definition of $\Sigma(W, S)$. Thus, the barycenter of $D$ is a fixed point of $v$. On the other hand, the barycenter of $C$ is the unique fixed point of $v$. Hence $C=D$ and

$$
w W_{\{s, t\}}=C^{(0)}=D^{(0)}=x W_{\{a, b\}} .
$$

Since $x^{-1} w W_{\{s, t\}}=W_{\{a, b\}}$, we have that

$$
x^{-1} w \in x^{-1} w W_{\{s, t\}}=W_{\{a, b\}}
$$

Hence, $W_{\{s, t\}}=W_{\{a, b\}}$ and $\{s, t\}=\{a, b\}$ by Lemma 2.3(vi). Since

$$
x^{-1} w,\left(x^{-1} w\right)^{-1} \in W_{\{s, t\}}=W_{\{a, b\}}
$$

$x^{-1} w W_{\{s, t\}} w^{-1} x=W_{\{a, b\}}$. Hence, we obtain that $w W_{\{s, t\}} w^{-1}=x W_{\{a, b\}} x^{-1}$.
Lemma 3.3. Let $(W, S)$ and $\left(W^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)$ be Coxeter systems with two-dimensional DavisVinberg complexes such that there exists an isomorphism $\phi: W \rightarrow W^{\prime}$, let $s \in S$, let $s^{\prime}, t^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}$ and let $w^{\prime} \in W^{\prime}$. Suppose that $m^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)$ is even and $\phi(s)=w^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime} t^{\prime}\right)^{m^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$, where $m^{\prime}=m^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) / 2$. Then there exists a unique element $t \in S$ such that
(1) $\phi\left(W_{\{s, t\}}\right)=w^{\prime} W_{\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}}^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$,
(2) $\phi(t)$ is a reflection in $\left(W^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)$, and
(3) $m(s, t)=m^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)=2$.

Proof. Suppose that $m^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)$ is even and $\phi(s)=w^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime} t^{\prime}\right)^{m^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$, where $m^{\prime}=m^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) / 2$. By Lemma 2.9, there exist $r, t \in S$ and $x \in W$ such that

$$
\phi^{-1}\left(W_{\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}}^{\prime}\right)=x W_{\{r, t\}} x^{-1}
$$

Here we note that $m(r, t)=m^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)$.
We first show that we may suppose $r=s$.
Since $\phi(s)=w^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime} t^{\prime}\right)^{m^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$,

$$
\left(\phi^{-1}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right)^{-1} s \phi^{-1}\left(w^{\prime}\right)=\phi^{-1}\left(\left(s^{\prime} t^{\prime}\right)^{m^{\prime}}\right) \in \phi^{-1}\left(W_{\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}}^{\prime}\right)=x W_{\{r, t\}} x^{-1}
$$

Hence, $\left(\phi^{-1}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right)^{-1} s \phi^{-1}\left(w^{\prime}\right)=x y x^{-1}$ for some $y \in W_{\{r, t\}}$. Since the length of $\left(\phi^{-1}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right)^{-1} s \phi^{-1}\left(w^{\prime}\right)$ is odd, the length of $y$ is also odd. Hence, $y$ is conjugate to either $r$ or $t$ because $y \in W_{\{r, t\}}$. Here we may suppose that $y$ is conjugate to $r$. Then $s$ is conjugate to $r$, since $\left(\phi^{-1}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right)^{-1} s \phi^{-1}\left(w^{\prime}\right)=x y x^{-1}$.

Now we show that $s=r$. If $s \neq r$, then there exists $a \in S \backslash\{s\}$ such that $m(s, a)$ is odd by Lemma 2.5. By Lemma 2.9, there exist $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}$ such that $\phi\left(W_{\{s, a\}}\right)=x^{\prime} W_{\left\{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right\}}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ for some $x^{\prime} \in W^{\prime}$. Here we note that $m(s, a)=m^{\prime}\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)$. Then

$$
w^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime} t^{\prime}\right)^{m^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}=\phi(s) \in \phi\left(W_{\{s, a\}}\right)=x^{\prime} W_{\left\{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right\}}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{-1}
$$

Hence $\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}=\left\{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right\}$ by Lemma 3.2 and $m^{\prime}\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)=m^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)$. Then

$$
m(s, a)=m^{\prime}\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right)=m^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)
$$

Here $m(s, a)$ is odd. This contradicts the assumption $m^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)=2 m^{\prime}$ is even. Thus $s=r$.
Then $\phi^{-1}\left(W_{\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}}^{\prime}\right)=x W_{\{s, t\}} x^{-1}$, and

$$
w^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime} t^{\prime}\right)^{m^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}=\phi(s) \in \phi\left(W_{\{s, t\}}\right)=(\phi(x))^{-1} W_{\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}}^{\prime} \phi(x)
$$

By Lemma 3.2, $(\phi(x))^{-1} W_{\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}}^{\prime} \phi(x)=w^{\prime} W_{\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}}^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$. Hence

$$
\phi\left(W_{\{s, t\}}\right)=w^{\prime} W_{\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}}^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}
$$

Here we note that such $t \in S$ is unique by Lemma 2.9.
Next we show that $\phi(t)$ is a reflection. Here $\phi(t)$ is a reflection if and only if the length $\ell(\phi(t))$ is odd, because $\phi(t) \in \phi\left(W_{\{s, t\}}\right)=w^{\prime} W_{\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}}^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$. Now we suppose that the length $\ell(\phi(t))$ is even. Then the lengths of $\phi(s)=w^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime} t^{\prime}\right)^{m^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ and $\phi(t)$ are even and the set $\{\phi(s), \phi(t)\}$ generates $\phi\left(W_{\{s, t\}}\right)=w^{\prime} W_{\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}}^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$. In general, for $f, g \in W$ if $\ell(f)$ and $\ell(g)$ are even, then the length $\ell(f g)$ is even by Lemma2.3(ii). Hence the length of each element of $\phi\left(W_{\{s, t\}}\right)$ is even. On the other hand, the length of $w^{\prime} s^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \in$ $w^{\prime} W_{\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}}^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}=\phi\left(W_{\{s, t\}}\right)$ is odd. This is a contradiction. Thus, the length of $\phi(t)$ is odd and $\phi(t)$ is a reflection.

Since $\phi(t)$ is a reflection, $\phi(t)=w^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime} t^{\prime}\right)^{k} s^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ for some $0 \leqslant k<2 m^{\prime}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(s) \phi(t) & =\left(w^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime} t^{\prime}\right)^{m^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right)\left(w^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime} t^{\prime}\right)^{k} s^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right) \\
& =w^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime} t^{\prime}\right)^{m^{\prime}}\left(s^{\prime} t^{\prime}\right)^{k} s^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \\
& =w^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime} t^{\prime}\right)^{m^{\prime}+k} s^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\phi(s) \phi(t)$ is a reflection and $(\phi(s) \phi(t))^{2}=1$, i.e., $(s t)^{2}=1$. This means that $m(s, t)=$ $m^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)=2$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $(W, S)$ be a Coxeter system and let $s, t \in S$. Suppose that $m(s, t)=2$ and $m(s, u)=\infty$ for each $u \in S \backslash\{s, t\}$. Let $S^{\prime}=(S \backslash\{s\}) \cup\{s t\}$. Then $\left(W, S^{\prime}\right)$ is a Coxeter system which is isomorphic to $(W, S)$.

Proof. The map $\psi: S \rightarrow S^{\prime}$ defined by $\psi(s)=s t$ and $\psi(u)=u$ for each $u \in S \backslash\{s\}$ induces an automorphism $\psi: W \rightarrow W$, and $(W, S)$ and $\left(W, S^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic.

## 4. Proof of the main results

Using some lemmas in Sections 2 and 3, we prove the main results.
Theorem 4.1. Let $(W, S)$ and $\left(W^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)$ be Coxeter systems with two-dimensional DavisVinberg complexes. Suppose that there exists an isomorphism $\phi: W \rightarrow W^{\prime}$. For each $s \in S$, if $\phi(s)$ is not a reflection in $\left(W^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)$, then there exist unique $t \in S$ and $s^{\prime}, t^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}$ such that for some $w^{\prime} \in W^{\prime}$,
(1) $m(s, t)=2$,
(2) $m(s, u)=\infty$ for each $u \in S \backslash\{s, t\}$,
(3) $\phi\left(W_{\{s, t\}}\right)=w^{\prime} W_{\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}}^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$,
(4) $m^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)=2$,
(5) $m^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)=\infty$ for each $u^{\prime} \in S^{\prime} \backslash\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}$,
(6) $\phi(s)=w^{\prime} s^{\prime} t^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ and
(7) $\phi(t)=w^{\prime} t^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$.

Proof. Suppose that $s \in S$ and $\phi(s)$ is not a reflection in $\left(W^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)$. Since $s^{2}=1,(\phi(s))^{2}=1$. By Lemma 3.1, there exist $w^{\prime}, v^{\prime} \in W^{\prime}$ such that $\phi(s)=w^{\prime} v^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ and $v^{\prime}$ is the element of longest length in $W_{S^{\prime}\left(v^{\prime}\right)}^{\prime}$. Since $\phi(s)$ is not a reflection, $v^{\prime} \notin S^{\prime}$, i.e., $\left|S^{\prime}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right|>1$. Hence $\left|S^{\prime}\left(v^{\prime}\right)\right|=2$ because $\operatorname{dim} \Sigma\left(W^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)=2$. Let $S^{\prime}\left(v^{\prime}\right)=\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}$. Since $v^{\prime}$ is the element of longest length in $W_{S^{\prime}\left(v^{\prime}\right)}^{\prime}=W_{\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}}^{\prime}$ and $v^{\prime}$ is not a reflection, $m^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)$ is even and $v^{\prime}=\left(s^{\prime} t^{\prime}\right)^{m^{\prime}}$, where $m^{\prime}=m^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right) / 2$. Hence $\phi(s)=w^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime} t^{\prime}\right)^{m^{\prime}}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$. By Lemma 3.3, there exists a unique element $t \in S$ such that
(i) $\phi\left(W_{\{s, t\}}\right)=w^{\prime} W_{\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}}^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$,
(ii) $\phi(t)$ is a reflection in $\left(W^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)$, and
(iii) $m(s, t)=m^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right)=2$.

Then $\phi(s)=w^{\prime} s^{\prime} t^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ by (iii).
Now $\phi(t)$ is a reflection by (ii) and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi(t) \in \phi\left(W_{\{s, t\}}\right) & =w^{\prime} W_{\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}}^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \\
& =\left\{1, w^{\prime} s^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}, w^{\prime} t^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}, w^{\prime} s^{\prime} t^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, either $\phi(t)=w^{\prime} s^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ or $\phi(t)=w^{\prime} t^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$. Here we may suppose that

$$
\phi(t)=w^{\prime} t^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}
$$

Finally we show that $m(s, u)=\infty$ for each $u \in S \backslash\{s, t\}$ and $m^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)=\infty$ for each $u^{\prime} \in S^{\prime} \backslash\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}$.

We suppose that there exists $u \in S \backslash\{s, t\}$ such that $m(s, u)<\infty$. By Lemma 2.9, $\phi\left(W_{\{s, u\}}\right)=x^{\prime} W_{\left\{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right\}}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$ for some $x^{\prime} \in W^{\prime}$ and $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime} \in S^{\prime}$. Then

$$
w^{\prime} s^{\prime} t^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}=\phi(s) \in \phi\left(W_{\{s, u\}}\right)=x^{\prime} W_{\left\{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right\}}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{-1}
$$

By Lemma 3.2, $x^{\prime} W_{\left\{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right\}}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{-1}=w^{\prime} W_{\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}}^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}$. Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(W_{\{s, u\}}\right) & =x^{\prime} W_{\left\{a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}\right\}}^{\prime}\left(x^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \\
& =w^{\prime} W_{\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}}^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1} \\
& =\phi\left(W_{\{s, t\}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $W_{\{s, u\}}=W_{\{s, t\}}$ and $\{s, u\}=\{s, t\}$ by Lemma 2.3(vi). Hence $u=t$. This contradicts the assumption $u \in S \backslash\{s, t\}$. Thus $m(s, u)=\infty$ for each $u \in S \backslash\{s, t\}$.

We note that

$$
\phi(s t)=\left(w^{\prime} s^{\prime} t^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right)\left(w^{\prime} t^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}\right)=w^{\prime} s^{\prime}\left(w^{\prime}\right)^{-1}
$$

and

$$
\phi^{-1}\left(s^{\prime}\right)=\left(\phi^{-1}\left(w^{\prime}\right)\right)^{-1} s t \phi^{-1}\left(w^{\prime}\right) .
$$

By applying the above argument to $\phi^{-1}: W^{\prime} \rightarrow W$, we can prove that $m^{\prime}\left(s^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right)=\infty$ for each $u^{\prime} \in S^{\prime} \backslash\left\{s^{\prime}, t^{\prime}\right\}$.

We obtain the following theorem from Theorem 4.1.
Theorem 4.2. Let $(W, S)$ and $\left(W, S^{\prime}\right)$ be Coxeter systems with two-dimensional DavisVinberg complexes. Then there exists $S^{\prime \prime} \subset W$ such that $\left(W, S^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is a Coxeter system which is isomorphic to $(W, S)$ and $R_{S^{\prime}}=R_{S^{\prime \prime}}$.

Proof. Let

$$
S_{0}=\left\{s \in S: s \text { is not a reflection in }\left(W, S^{\prime}\right)\right\}=\left\{s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right\} .
$$

For each $i \in\{1, \ldots, n\}$, there exists a unique element $t_{i} \in S \backslash S_{0}$ such that $m\left(s_{i}, t_{i}\right)=2$ by Theorem 4.1. Then $s_{i} t_{i}$ is a reflection in ( $W, S^{\prime}$ ) by Theorem 4.1. Let

$$
S^{\prime \prime}=\left(S \backslash S_{0}\right) \cup\left\{s_{1} t_{1}, \ldots, s_{n} t_{n}\right\}
$$

Then $\left(W, S^{\prime \prime}\right)$ is a Coxeter system which is isomorphic to ( $W, S$ ) by Lemma 3.4. Since $S^{\prime \prime} \subset R_{S^{\prime}}$ by the construction of $S^{\prime \prime}, R_{S^{\prime \prime}}=R_{S^{\prime}}$ by Lemma 2.6(1).

Theorem 4.2 implies the following corollary.
Corollary 4.3. For a Coxeter group $W$, if $(W, S)$ and $\left(W, S^{\prime}\right)$ are Coxeter systems with twodimensional Davis-Vinberg complexes, then the Coxeter diagrams of ( $W, S$ ) and ( $W, S^{\prime}$ ) have the same number of vertices, the same number of edges and the same multiset of edge-labels.

Proof. By Lemma 2.9, the Coxeter diagrams of $(W, S)$ and ( $W, S^{\prime}$ ) have the same number of edges and the same multiset of edge-labels. By Theorem 4.2, there exists $S^{\prime \prime} \subset W$ such that ( $W, S^{\prime \prime}$ ) is a Coxeter system which is isomorphic to ( $W, S$ ) and $R_{S^{\prime}}=R_{S^{\prime \prime}}$. Hence $|S|=\left|S^{\prime \prime}\right|=\left|S^{\prime}\right|$ by Lemma 2.6(2).

The main results of this paper have been announced at the Topology Symposium in Japan on July 20, 2003.
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