

JOURNAL OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS 24, 253–267 (1977)

n th Order Stieltjes Differential Boundary Operators and Stieltjes Differential Boundary Systems

ALLAN M. KRALL

Department of Mathematics, McAllister Building, The Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania 16802

Received September 2, 1975; revised February 13, 1976

This article discusses linear differential boundary systems, which include n th-order differential boundary relations as a special case, in $\mathcal{L}_n^p[0, 1] \times \mathcal{L}_n^q[0, 1]$, $1 \leq p < \infty$. The adjoint relation in $\mathcal{L}_n^p[0, 1] \times \mathcal{L}_n^q[0, 1]$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$, is derived. Green's formula is also found. Self-adjoint relations are found in $\mathcal{L}_n^p[0, 1] \times \mathcal{L}_n^p[0, 1]$, and their connection with Coddington's extensions of symmetric operators on subspaces of $\mathcal{L}_n^p[0, 1]$ is established.

1. INTRODUCTION

Traditionally, discussion of a linear differential problem of n th order was followed by a similar discussion of first-order systems. Further, except under special circumstances [8, p. 205] there was little connection between self-adjoint problems of each type. For example, in the regular case, linear second-order equations were first discussed by Liouville [17], n th-order equations by Birkhoff [3], while systems followed later when discussed by Birkhoff and his colleague Langer [4]. In the singular case, linear second-order equations were first discussed by Weyl [21]; n th-order equations followed in discussions by Kodaira [11] and Levinson [16]; while systems were first discussed later by Brauer [5] and Atkinson [2].

Recently, however, Walker [19, 20] has written two rather remarkable articles which show that an n th order expression, scalar or vector,¹ can be written as a first-order system with three marvelous properties:

1. The adjoint system is found by merely replacing the coefficients by their conjugate transposes. This strengthens the analogy between differential operators and matrices.

2. Self-adjointness is preserved. That is, a self-adjoint n th order expression becomes a self-adjoint vector system of first order.

¹ Some very minor modifications are needed in Walker's paper in the vector case.

3. Minimal conditions concerning the differentiability of the coefficients are imposed. This requires the introduction of quasi-derivatives for the functions or vectors to be operated upon, but broadens the generality of the description.

Although the vector-matrix forms are too complicated to exhibit here in any detail, we can state that the first-order equation

$$iq_0(q_0y)' + p_0y = \lambda wy + f$$

becomes

$$iY' = \lambda[q_0^{-1}wq_0^{-1}]Y + [-q_0^{-1}p_0q_0^{-1}]Y + [q_0^{-1}f]$$

upon substituting $Y = q_0y$ and dividing by q_0 . The second-order equation

$$-\{(p_0y)'\} - i\{(q_0y)' + (q_0y)'\} + p_1y = \lambda wy + f$$

is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} & \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ D^{[1]}y \end{pmatrix}' \\ &= \lambda \begin{pmatrix} -w & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ D^{[1]}y \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} p_1 - q_0p_0^{-1}q_0 & iq_0p_0^{-1} \\ -ip_0^{-1}q_0 & p_0^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} y \\ D^{[1]}y \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -f \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned}$$

Higher odd-order systems are slightly different from the first-order representation. Even-order systems also are slightly different from what one would be led to believe by studying the second-order system representation, but are not so different as those of odd order. We refer to Walker's papers [19, 20] for details.

In all cases the vector system which results has the form

$$JY' - BY = \lambda AY + AF,$$

where J is nonsingular, constant, and satisfies $J = -J^*$. If the n th order expression is self-adjoint, then $B = B^*$ and $A = A^*$. Further, except for equations of first order, A consists of $-w$ in the upper left corner and zeros elsewhere.

This paper studies differential boundary relations with the form exhibited by Walker's systems. In so doing we generalize earlier results for systems [12-15], since the matrix A may be singular, and simultaneously we derive for the first time the proper form for n th order differential boundary relations in a system representation. Self-adjoint n th order differential boundary relations fall out automatically merely by making certain assumptions concerning the coefficients.

The connection between n th order differential boundary relations and the self-adjoint extensions of symmetric differential operators is at this point obvious.

2. THE SETTING AND THE PROBLEM

Our notation conforms with Walker's [19, 20] rather than the author's earlier work [12-15].

J, A, B are $n \times n$ matrices whose components are bounded and measurable. Further, J is nonsingular, of bounded variation, and regular. A is positive and symmetric; i.e., $y^*Ay \geq 0$ for all n -dimensional vectors y , and $A = A^*$.

M, N are $m \times n$ matrices, $m \leq 2n$, satisfying $\text{rank}(M : N) = m$, and P, Q are $(2n - m) \times n$ matrices with $\text{rank}(P : Q) = 2n - m$, such that $\begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ P & Q \end{pmatrix}$ is nonsingular. The matrix $\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{M} & \tilde{N} \\ \tilde{P} & \tilde{Q} \end{pmatrix}$ is chosen such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} \tilde{M} & \tilde{N} \\ \tilde{P} & \tilde{Q} \end{pmatrix}^* \begin{pmatrix} M & N \\ P & Q \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -J(0) & 0 \\ 0 & J(1) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus \tilde{M} and \tilde{N} are $m \times n$ matrices satisfying $\text{rank}(\tilde{M} : \tilde{N}) = m$, and \tilde{P} and \tilde{Q} are $(2n - m) \times n$ matrices satisfying $\text{rank}(\tilde{P} : \tilde{Q}) = 2n - m$.

K is a regular $m \times n$ matrix valued function of bounded variation satisfying $d(KJ)(0) = 0, d(KJ)(1) = 0$. K_1 is a regular $r \times n$ matrix valued function of bounded variation satisfying $d(K_1J)(0) = 0, d(K_1J)(1) = 0$.

Similarly H is a regular $n \times (2n - m)$ valued function of bounded variation satisfying $dH(0) = 0, dH(1) = 0$. H_1 is a regular $n \times s$ matrix valued function of bounded variation satisfying $dH_1(0) = 0, dH_1(1) = 0$.

The setting we wish to use is the Banach space $\mathcal{L}_n^p[0, 1], 1 \leq p < \infty$, generated by the seminorm

$$\|f\| = \left(\int_0^1 [f^*A^{2/p}f]^{p/2} dt \right)^{1/p}.$$

(Since A is positive, it has a spectral resolution $A = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i p_i$, where $\lambda_i \geq 0$ are its eigenvalues and p_i are their corresponding projections. $A^{2/p}$ is then given by $A^{2/p} = \sum_{i=1}^n \lambda_i^{2/p} p_i$.)

Two elements f_1 and f_2 are said to be equivalent if $\|f_1 - f_2\| = 0$. Under this equivalence $\|\cdot\|$ becomes a norm, and $\mathcal{L}_n^p[0, 1]$ is a Banach space. In the case $A = I$ this is equivalent to the norm used in earlier works [13-15]. Further, when $p = q = 2$, the norms take the usual familiar form.

The dual space is $\mathcal{L}_n^q[0, 1], 1/p + 1/q = 1$, generated by the norm

$$\|g\| = \left(\int_0^1 [g^*A^{2/q}g]^{q/2} dt \right)^{1/q}.$$

Hölder's inequality then quickly follows, since

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^1 g^*Af dt \right| &= \left| \int_0^1 (A^{1/q}g)^* (A^{1/p}f) dt \right| \\ &\leq \left(\int_0^1 \|A^{1/q}g\|_{E_2}^{q/2} dt \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_0^1 \|A^{1/p}f\|_{E_2}^p dt \right)^{1/p} \\ &= \left(\int_0^1 [g^*A^{2/q}g]^{q/2} dt \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_0^1 [f^*A^{2/p}f]^{p/2} dt \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

(Here E_2 denotes the n -dimensional Euclidean vector norm $\|x\| = [\sum_1^n \|x_2\|^2]^{1/2}$). Continuous linear functionals have the representation

$$\langle f, g \rangle = \int_0^1 g^* A f \, dt,$$

where $f \in \mathcal{L}_n^p[0, 1]$, $g \in \mathcal{L}_n^q[0, 1]$.²

Now let D denote those elements $y \in \mathcal{L}_n^p[0, 1]$ satisfying:

1. For each y there is an $s \times 1$ matrix valued constant ψ such that

$$(y + H[Py(0) + Qy(1)] + H_1\psi)$$

is absolutely continuous.

2. $J(y + H[Py(0) + Qy(1)] + H_1\psi)' - By = Af$, where $f \in \mathcal{L}_n^p[0, 1]$.
3. $My(0) + Ny(1) + \int_0^1 d(KJ)y = 0$,

$$\int_0^1 d(K_1J)y = 0.$$

For all y in D we say that $ly = f$ provided

$$J(y + H[Py(0) + Qy(1)] + H_1\psi)' - By = Af.$$

Note that either ψ or f may not be unique. Further, the domain D may not be dense in $\mathcal{L}_n^p[0, 1]$. If K_1J contains rows which are absolutely continuous, D may be orthogonal to the subspace spanned by their conjugate transposes.

In order to handle these complexities we define a *linear relation* rather than an operator. Let L be the linear relation given by

$$L = \{(y, f): ly = f, y \in D\} \subset \mathcal{L}_n^p[0, 1] \times \mathcal{L}_n^p[0, 1].$$

It is this linear relation we wish to consider in detail, specifically we wish to determine its adjoint, determine when it is self-adjoint, project it onto subspaces, where it is uniquely and densely defined, and determine spectral resolutions for it where possible. The setting, as indicated, is that of a linear relation. We refer to Arens' paper [1] for details.

² Other equivalent norms are possible. If $\|f\|_{E_p}$ denotes $(\sum_{i=1}^n \|f_i\|^p)^{1/p}$, then $\|f\| = (\int_0^1 \|A^{1/p}f\|_{E_p}^2 dt)^{1/2}$ is (perhaps) even more natural. Hölder's inequality then is

$$\left| \int_0^1 g^* A f \, dt \right| \leq \left(\int_0^1 \|A^{1/q}g\|_{E_q}^q dt \right)^{1/q} \left(\int_0^1 \|A^{1/p}f\|_{E_p}^p dt \right)^{1/2}.$$

Continuous linear functionals have the same form.

3. THE ADJOINT OF L

Just as operators have, so do linear relations have adjoints. They are determined in much the same way as adjoint operators. Further, if a linear relation is generated by a densely defined operator, then the adjoint relation is generated by the adjoint operator.

If $(y, f) \in L$, then $(z, g) \in L^*$ if

$$\langle f, z \rangle - \langle y, g \rangle = 0.$$

As it was used earlier, $\langle f, z \rangle$ denotes a continuous linear functional generated by $z \in \mathcal{L}_n^q[0, 1]$ operating on $f \in \mathcal{L}_n^p[0, 1]$, $1/p + 1/q = 1$. Clearly $L^* \subset \mathcal{L}_n^q[0, 1] \times \mathcal{L}_n^q[0, 1]$. In order to find L^* , we introduce our candidate, exhibit Green's formula, then derive the result.

Let D^+ denote those elements $z \in \mathcal{L}_n^q[0, 1]$ satisfying:

1. For each z there is an $r \times 1$ matrix valued constant ϕ such that

$$(J^*z + J^*K^* [\tilde{M}z(0) + \tilde{N}z(1)] + J^*K_1^*\phi)$$

is absolutely continuous.

2. $-(J^*z + J^*K^* [\tilde{M}z(0) + \tilde{N}z(1)] + J^*K_1^*\phi)' - B^*z = Ag$, where $g \in \mathcal{L}_n^q[0, 1]$.

3. $\tilde{P}z(0) + \tilde{Q}z(1) + \int_0^1 dH^*(J^*z) = 0$,

$$\int_0^1 dH_1^*(J^*z) = 0.$$

For all $z \in D^+$ we say that $l^+z = g$, provided

$$-(J^*z + J^*K^* [\tilde{M}z(0) + \tilde{N}z(1)] + J^*K_1^*\phi)' - B^*z = Ag.$$

The linear relation L^+ is given by

$$L^+ = \{(z, g): l^+z = g, z \in D^+\} \subset \mathcal{L}_n^q[0, 1] \times \mathcal{L}_n^q[0, 1].$$

4.1. THEOREM (Green's formula). *Let $(y, ly) \in L$, $(z, l^+z) \in L^+$. Then*

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 [z^*\{J(y + H[Py(0) + Qy(1)] + H_1\psi) - By\} \\ & \quad - \{- (J^*z + J^*K^* [\tilde{M}z(0) + \tilde{N}z(1)] + J^*K_1^*\phi)' - B^*z\}^* y] dt \\ & = [\tilde{M}z(0) + \tilde{N}z(1)]^* [My(0) + Ny(1) + \int_0^1 d(KJ)y] \\ & \quad + [\tilde{P}z(0) + \tilde{Q}z(1) + \int_0^1 dH^*(J^*z)]^* [Py(0) + Qy(1)] \\ & \quad + \left[\int_0^1 dH_1^*(J^*z) \right]^* \psi + \phi^* \left[\int_0^1 d(K_1J)y \right]. \end{aligned}$$

The proof involves a number of Stieltjes integrations by parts and is similar to that found in [15]. For further reference we recommend [10, 18].

We need a slight variation of l^+z . Let $l^{++}z = g$ if for some ϕ, ϕ_1 ,

$$-(J^*z + J^*K^*\phi_1 + J^*K_1^*\phi)' - B^*z = Ag, \quad g \in \mathcal{L}_n^q[0, 1].$$

With no boundary constraints, this generates a larger linear relation L^{++} . Green's formula for L and L^{++} is

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_0^1 [z^*(\ell y) - (\ell^{++}z)^* y] dt \\ &= [\tilde{M}z(0) + \tilde{N}z(1)]^* \left[My(0) + Ny(1) + \int_0^1 d(KJ)y \right] \\ &+ \left[\tilde{P}(0) + \tilde{Q}z(1) + \int_0^1 dH^*(J^*z) \right]^* [Py(0) + Qy(1)] \\ &+ \left[\int_0^1 dH_1^*(J^*z) \right]^* \psi + \phi^* \left[\int_0^1 d(K_1J)y \right] \\ &+ [\phi_1 - \tilde{M}z(0) - \tilde{N}z(1)]^* \left[\int_0^1 d(KJ)y \right]. \end{aligned}$$

4.2. THEOREM. *The domain of L^*, D^* , is D^+ . $L^* = L^+$.*

Proof. Green's formula shows that $L^+ \subset L^*$. To show the reverse inclusion, temporarily let $y \in D \cap C_0^1[0, 1]$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \int_0^1 d(KJ)y = -\int_0^1 (KJ) y' dt, \\ 0 &= \int_0^1 d(K_1J)y = -\int_0^1 (K_1J) y' dt. \end{aligned}$$

Further, ψ may be chosen 0 so that

$$Jy' - By = Af,$$

$ly = f$ and $(y, f) \in L$. Let $(z, g) \in L^*$. Then

$$\langle f, z \rangle - \langle y, g \rangle = 0,$$

or

$$\int_0^1 z^* Af dt - \int_0^1 g^* Ay dt = 0.$$

Since $Jy' - By = Af$,

$$\int_0^1 z^*[Jy' - By] dt - \int_0^1 g^* Ay dt = 0.$$

If the terms involving y are isolated and integration by parts is performed on them, the result is

$$\int_0^1 \left\{ J^*z + \int_0^t [B^*z + Ag] d\xi \right\}^* y' = 0.$$

Since y vanishes at 0 and at 1, y' is orthogonal with weight matrix I to constants C . Further y' is orthogonal with weight matrix I to $(KJ)^*$ and $(K_1J)^*$ and nothing else. (Anything else would imply an extra constraint on D). Thus for appropriate ϕ, ϕ_1 ,

$$J^*z + \int_0^t [B^*z + Ag] d\xi = C - J^*K^*\phi_1 - J^*K_1^*\phi.$$

Transposing,

$$J^*z + J^*K^*\phi_1 + J^*K_1^*\phi = -\int_0^t [B^*z + Ag] d\xi + C.$$

Differentiating,

$$-(J^*z + J^*K^*\phi_1 + J^*K_1^*\phi)' - B^*z = Ag.$$

This implies $(z, g) \in L^{++}$.

If y is now permitted to be an arbitrary element in D , Green's formula for L and L^{++} shows

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \left[\tilde{P}z(0) + \tilde{Q}z(1) + \int_0^1 dH_1^*(J^*z) \right]^* [Py(0) + Qy(1)] \\ &+ \left[\int_0^1 dH_1^*(J^*z) \right]^* \psi \\ &+ [\phi_1 - \tilde{M}z(0) - \tilde{N}z(1)]^* \left[\int_0^1 d(KJ)y \right]. \end{aligned}$$

$Py(0) + Qy(1)$ varies over C^{2n-m} , for if not, a linear combination of its rows would vanish, putting an extra constraint on D . Likewise ψ varies over C^s . Finally if a linear combination of rows of KJ were constant, then so would the same linear combination of components of $\int_0^1 d(KJ)y$ be zero. Its coefficient from $\phi_1 - \tilde{M}z(0) - \tilde{N}z(1)$ would be arbitrary. But then the corresponding product within

$$(J^*z + J^*K[\tilde{M}z(0) + \tilde{N}z(1)] + J^*K_1^*\phi)'$$

would vanish. So effectively

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}z(0) + \tilde{Q}z(1) + \int_0^1 dH^*(J^*z) &= 0, \\ \int_0^1 dH_1^*(J^*z) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\phi_1 = \tilde{M}z(0) + \tilde{N}z(1).$$

Throughout the preceding argument ψ was free. If, however, $\psi = \psi_0 + Q \int_0^1 d(K_1 J)y$, then a minor variation in the argument and a minor change in Green's formula shows that $\phi = \phi_0 - Q^* \int_0^1 dH_1^*(J * z)$. These integrals are introduced in papers by Coddington [6] and Zimmerberg [22].

Note further there is nothing to stop a portion of H_1 or $(K_1 J)$ from being identical with H or (KJ) . Hence integrals involving H and (KJ) may also appear in the differential equations.

4. SELF-ADJOINT DIFFERENTIAL BOUNDARY RELATIONS

In this section we restrict our attention to the Hilbert space $\mathcal{L}_n^2[0, 1]$, generated by the inner product

$$\langle y, z \rangle = \int_0^1 z^* A y \, dt,$$

and characterize those linear relations which are self-adjoint, i.e., those linear relations L which satisfy $L = L^*$.

5.1. THEOREM. *The linear relation L is self-adjoint if and only if:*

- (1) $J = -J^*, J' + B - B^* = 0;$
- (2) $m = n, r = s;$
- (3) $d(KJ) = d(CH^*)J^*, d(CH^*) = d(KJ)J^{*-1}$, where $C = MJ(0)^{-1}P^* - NJ(1)^{-1}Q^*$;
- (4) $MJ(0)^{-1}M^* - NJ(1)^{-1}N^* = 0;$
- (5) $dH[PJ(0)^{-1}P^* - QJ(1)^{-1}Q^*] = 0;$
- (6) $d(K_1 J) = d(EH_1^*)J^*, d(EH_1^*) = d(K_1 J)J^{*-1}$, where E is a nonsingular $r \times r$ matrix under which $dH_1[E * \phi + \psi] = 0$.

Proof. We note that the assumptions concerning $M, N, P \cdot Q, \tilde{M}, \tilde{N}, \tilde{P} \cdot \tilde{Q}$ result in the equations

$$\begin{aligned} -MJ(0)^{-1}\tilde{M}^* + NJ(1)^{-1}\tilde{N}^* &= I, \\ -PJ(0)^{-1}\tilde{M}^* + QJ(1)^{-1}\tilde{N}^* &= 0, \\ -MJ(0)^{-1}\tilde{P}^* + NJ(1)^{-1}\tilde{Q}^* &= 0, \\ -PJ(0)^{-1}\tilde{P}^* + QJ(1)^{-1}\tilde{Q}^* &= I. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that L is self-adjoint. By restricting y to be absolutely continuous and vanish at 0 and 1, a comparison of the differential equations shows

$$Jy' - By = -(J^*y)' - B^*y.$$

If y is locally constant, we find J^* is differentiable and $0 = [-J^* + B - B^*]y = 0$. A reinsertion of this into the previous equation establishes $J = -J^*$.

Since the boundary conditions are equivalent, there must exist nonsingular matrices C and E such that

$$\begin{aligned} My(0) + Ny(1) + \int_0^1 d(KI)y &= C \left[\tilde{P}y(0) + \tilde{Q}y(1) + \int_0^1 dH^*(J^*y) \right], \\ \int_0^1 d(K_1J)y &= E \int_0^1 dH_1^*(J^*y). \end{aligned}$$

This implies $M = C\tilde{P}$, $N = C\tilde{Q}$, and

$$\int_0^1 d(KJ)y = C \int_0^1 dH^*(J^*y).$$

Multiplying $M^* = \tilde{P}^*C^*$ by $-MJ(0)^{-1}$ and $N^* = \tilde{Q}^*C^*$ by $NJ(1)^{-1}$ and adding results in

$$-MJ(0)^{-1}M^* + NJ(1)^{-1}N^* = 0.$$

Multiplying M^* by $-PJ(0)$, N^* by $QJ(1)^{-1}$, and adding results in

$$C = MJ(0)^{-1}P^* - NJ(1)^{-1}Q^*.$$

In

$$\int_0^1 d(KJ)y = \int_0^1 d(CH^*)(J^*y)$$

we vary y to conclude that for arbitrary measurable sets $S \subset [0, 1]$

$$\int_S d(KJ) J^{*-1} = \int_S d(CH^*).$$

This implies that (KJ) is absolutely continuous with respect to (CH^*) . The Radon-Nikodym theorem then implies that

$$\int_S d(KJ) = \int_S d(CH^*)F_0,$$

where F_0 is the Radon-Nikodym derivative of (KJ) with respect to CH^* . Likewise

$$\int_S d(CH^*) = \int_S d(KS)F_1,$$

where F_1 is the Radon–Nikodym derivative of (CH^*) with respect to (KJ) . An elementary substitution shows $F_0 = J^*$, $F_1 = J^{*-1}$ and

$$\int_S d(KJ) = \int_S d(CH^*) J^*,$$

$$\int_S d(CH^*) = \int_S d(KJ) J^{*-1}.$$

We will drop \int_S and merely write $d(-)$ in the future.

Similarly

$$d(EH_1^*) = d(K_1J)J^{*-1},$$

$$d(K_1J) = d(EH_1^*)J^*.$$

Finally, comparing the differential equations in general,

$$Jdy + JdH[Py(0) + Qy(1)] + JdH_1\psi - Bydt$$

$$= -J^{*'} dt y - J^* dy - d(KJ)^* [\tilde{M}y(0) + \tilde{N}y(1)] - d(K_1J)^* \phi - B^*Y dt.$$

By permitting y to vary, this implies upon canceling

$$dH[C^*\tilde{M} - P] = 0, \quad dH[C^*\tilde{N} - Q] = 0, \quad dH_1[E^*\phi + \psi] = 0.$$

Multiplying the first by $-J(0)^{*-1}P^*$, the second by $J(1)^{*-1}Q^*$, and adding results in

$$dH[PJ(0)^{-1}P^* - QJ(1)^{-1}Q^*] = 0.$$

Conversely, suppose conditions 1–6 of the theorem hold. Then from the equations noted at the beginning of the proof and from condition 4, we find

$$(MJ(0)^{-1}NJ(1)^{-1}) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{P}^* \\ -\tilde{Q}^* \end{pmatrix} = 0,$$

and

$$(MJ(0)^{-1}NJ(1)^{-1}) \begin{pmatrix} M^* \\ -N^* \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Employing an argument analogous to that of [8, pp. 289–291] we find there exists a nonsingular matrix T such that $M = T\tilde{P}$, $N = T\tilde{Q}$. A further simplification shows $T = C$.

Similarly, modulo multiplication by dH ,

$$(PJ(0)^{-1}QJ(1)^{-1}) \begin{pmatrix} P^* \\ -Q^* \end{pmatrix} = 0$$

and

$$(PJ(0)^{-1}QJ(1)^{-1}) \begin{pmatrix} \tilde{M}^* \\ \tilde{N}^* \end{pmatrix} = 0.$$

Consequently, modulo dH , there exists a nonsingular matrix T_1 such that $P = T_1\tilde{M}$, $Q = T_1\tilde{N}$. A further simplification shows $T_1 = -C^*$ and that $dH[P + C^*\tilde{M}] = 0$, $dH[Q + C^*\tilde{N}] = 0$.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} & \{J(y + H[Py(0) + Qy(1) + H_1\psi]' - By) dt \\ &= Jdy + JdH[Py(0) + Qy(1)] + JdH_1 - By dt \\ &= Jdy + dJy - B^*y dt - JdH[C^*\tilde{M}y(0) + C^*\tilde{N}y(1)] - JdH_1E^*\phi \\ &= -d(J^*y) - d(KJ)^* [\tilde{M}y(0) + \tilde{N}y(1)] - d(K_1J)^*\phi - B^*y dt \\ &= \{-(J^*y + J^*K^* [\tilde{M}y(0) + \tilde{N}y(1)] + J^*K_1^*(\phi)' - B^*y\} dt. \end{aligned}$$

Further,

$$\begin{aligned} E \int_0^1 dH_1^*(J^*y) &= \int_0^1 d(K_1J) J^{*-1}(J^*y) = \int_0^1 d(K_1J)y, \\ C [\tilde{P}y(0) + \tilde{Q}y(1) + \int_0^1 dH^*(Jy)] &= My(0) + Ny(1) + \int_0^1 d(KJ)y, \end{aligned}$$

and L is self-adjoint.

If

$$\begin{aligned} \psi &= \psi_0 + Q \int_0^1 d(K_1J)y, \\ &= \psi_0 + QE \int_0^1 dH_1^*(J^*y), \end{aligned}$$

then it is easy to see $dH_1[E^*\phi_0 + \psi_0] = 0$ and $dH_1[E^*Q^* - QE] \int_0^1 dH_1^*(Jy) = 0$. Consequently the differential equation generating a self-adjoint linear relation in this case is

$$J \left(y + H[Py(0) + Qy(1)] + H_1QE \int_0^1 dH_1^*(J^*y) + H_1\psi_0 \right)' - By = Af,$$

where $QE = E^*Q^*$. It is precisely this equation which is considered by Coddington [6] and Zimmerberg [22]. Differences in notation, however, almost preclude exhibiting the connection in detail.

In closing this section we would like to give three examples. First, if $J = (1/i)I$, $B = iP$, $A = I$, and M, N, P, Q are replaced by $(1/i)A, (1/i)B, C, D$, then L is the same as the linear relation M discussed in [15]. Second, if H, H_1, K, K_1 are all zero, then Theorem 5.1 is the classical result. Third, if

$$\begin{aligned} J &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & A &= \begin{pmatrix} -w & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & B &= \begin{pmatrix} p_1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \\ H = -K &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & H(t - 1/2) \\ -H(t - 1/2) & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & H_1 = K_1 &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \\ M &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & N &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, & P &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, & Q &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \end{aligned}$$

then L is equivalent to the self-adjoint second-order problem

$$\begin{aligned} -y'' + \delta'(t - \tfrac{1}{2}) y'(0) + \delta(t - \tfrac{1}{2}) y(1) + p_1 y &= -wf, \\ y(0) + y(\tfrac{1}{2}) &= 0, \\ Dy(1) + Dy(\tfrac{1}{2}) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $Dy = -y'(t) + \delta(t - \frac{1}{2})y'(0)$, $H(t - \frac{1}{2})$ is the Heaviside function jumping from 0 to 1 at $t = \frac{1}{2}$, and $\delta(t - \frac{1}{2})$, $\delta'(t - \frac{1}{2})$ are its first and second generalized derivatives.

5. SELF-ADJOINT OPERATORS ON SUBSPACES OF $\mathcal{L}_n^2[0, 1]$

Let the columns of H_1 be suitably rearranged such that $H_1 = (H_c H_s)$, where the first s_1 form a maximal $n \times s_1$ absolutely continuous matrix H_c satisfying $JH_c' = AH_0$ with the columns of H_0 in $\mathcal{L}_n^p[0, 1]$. Let \mathcal{K}_1 denote the subspace of $\mathcal{L}_n^p[0, 1]$ spanned by the columns of H_0 .

* Likewise let the rows of K_1 be suitably rearranged such that $K_1 = (\begin{smallmatrix} K_c \\ K_s \end{smallmatrix})$, where the first r_1 when multiplied by J forms a maximal $r_1 \times n$ absolutely continuous matrix $K_c J$ satisfying $(K_c J)' = K_0 A$ with the columns of K_0^* in $\mathcal{L}_n^q[0, 1]$. Let \mathcal{K}_1^* denote the subspace of $\mathcal{L}_n^q[0, 1]$ spanned by the columns of K_0^* .

The system describing L can be written in the form

$$J(y + H[Py(0) + Qy(1)] + H_s \psi_s)' - By = Af - AH_0 \psi_c,$$

where $\psi = \begin{pmatrix} \psi_c \\ \psi_s \end{pmatrix}$,

$$\begin{aligned} My(0) + Ny(1) - \int_0^1 d(KJ)y &= 0, \\ \int_0^1 d(K_s J)y &= 0, \\ \int_0^1 K_0 A y dt &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $ly = f$ is written as $l_s y + H_0 \psi_c$, then $l_s y = f - H_0 \psi_c$. Consequently L is defined on $D \subset \mathcal{K}_1^{* \perp}$, but is uniquely defined only in $\mathcal{L}_n^p[0, 1] / \mathcal{K}_1$.

Similarly the system describing L^* is

$$-(J^* z + J^* K^* [\tilde{M}z(0) + \tilde{N}z(1)] + J^* K_s^* \phi_s)' - B^* z = Ag + AK_0^* \phi_c,$$

where $\phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi_s \\ \phi_e \end{pmatrix}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{P}z(0) + \tilde{Q}z(1) + \int_0^1 dH^*(J^*z) &= 0, \\ \int_0^1 dH_s^*(J^*z) &= 0, \\ \int_0^1 H_0^*Az dt &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

If $l^+z = g$ is written as $l_s^+z - K_0^*\phi_c$, then $l_s^+z = g + K_0^*\phi_c$. Consequently L^* is defined on $D^* \subset \mathcal{H}_1^\perp$, but is uniquely defined only in $\mathcal{L}_n^q[0, 1]/\mathcal{H}_1^*$.

If the extra integral terms used by Coddington [6] and Zimmerberg [22] are present, then the same situation results with the integral terms within the parentheses to be differentiated.

The difficulties with uniqueness are considerably simplified if $p = q = 2$, $\mathcal{H}_1 = \mathcal{H}_1^*$ and the linear relation L is self-adjoint. L is then defined in $D \subset \mathcal{H}_1^\perp$ and is uniquely defined in $\mathcal{L}_n^q[0, 1]/\mathcal{H}_1 \simeq \mathcal{H}_1^\perp$. Therefore if ψ_c is determined by projecting l onto \mathcal{H}_1^\perp , a unique operator is defined which has domain dense in \mathcal{H}_1^\perp and range also in \mathcal{H}_1^\perp .

We assume without loss of generality that the columns of H_0 are mutually orthonormal. If $ly = l_s y + H_0\psi_c$ is orthogonal to \mathcal{H}_1 , then

$$\langle l_s y + H_0\psi_c, H_0 \rangle = 0,$$

and

$$\psi_c = -\langle l_s y, H_0 \rangle.$$

Hence the operator l projected onto \mathcal{H}_1 is given by

$$ly = l_s y - H_0\langle l_s y, H_0 \rangle.$$

It is this expression with the integral term present in l_s which is determined by Coddington [6].

An inspection shows

6.1. THEOREM. *The linear relation L is self-adjoint if and only if the projected operator l is self-adjoint.*

7. EIGENFUNCTION EXPANSIONS

There are several variations of spectral resolutions or eigenfunction expansions in the literature. First the author in the regular case has discussed four situations. In [12], assuming $J = I$, $A = I$, H and K are absolutely continuous, and $H_1 = 0$, $K_1 = 0$, a nonself-adjoint eigenfunction series expansion was developed.

Likewise in [12] if $J = iI$, $A = I$, H and K are absolutely continuous, and $H_1 = 0$, $K_1 = 0$, a self-adjoint eigenfunction series expansion was found. In [14] the first was extended to permit H and K to be singular Stieltjes measures, but either H or K was required to be continuous. The second was also extended to permit H , K , H_1 , K_1 to be singular Stieltjes measures.

Coddington [6] derived both regular and singular self-adjoint spectral resolutions for both n th order and vector problems under the assumption that H , K , H_1 , K_1 were absolutely continuous. These results overlap with and generalize the self-adjoint expansion in [14]. Dijksma and de Snoo [9] extended Coddington's expansions to include pointwise convergence. Most recently Coddington and Dijksma [7] have derived expansions with self-adjoint case which permit H , K , H_1 , K_1 to be arbitrary Stieltjes measures of bounded variation.

REFERENCES

1. R. ARENS, Operational calculus of linear relations, *Pacific J. Math.* **11** (1961), 9–23.
2. F. V. ATKINSON, "Discrete and Continuous Boundary Problems," Academic Press, New York, 1964.
3. G. D. BIRKHOFF, Boundary value and expansion problems of ordinary differential equations, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **9** (1908), 373–395.
4. G. D. BIRKHOFF AND R. E. LANGER, The boundary problems and development associated with a system of ordinary differential equations of the first order, *Proc. Amer. Acad. Arts Sci.* **58** (1923), 51–128.
5. F. BRAUER, Spectral theory for linear systems of differential equations, *Pacific J. Math.* **10** (1960), 17–34.
6. E. A. CODDINGTON, Self-adjoint problems for nondensely defined ordinary differential operators and their eigenfunction expansions, *Advances in Math.* **15** (1975), 1–40.
7. E. A. CODDINGTON AND A. DIJKSMA, Self-adjoint subspaces and eigenfunction expansions for ordinary differential subspaces, *J. Differential Equations* **20** (1976), 473–526.
8. E. A. CODDINGTON AND N. LEVINSON, "Theory of Ordinary Differential Equations," McGraw-Hill, New York, 1955.
9. A. DIJKSMA AND H. S. V. DE SNOO, Eigenfunction expansions for nondensely defined differential operators, *J. Differential Equations* **17** (1975), 198–219.
10. T. H. HILDEBRANDT, On systems of linear differentio-Stieltjes-integral equations, *Illinois J. Math.* **3** (1959), 352–373.
11. K. KODAIRA, On ordinary differential equations of any even order and the corresponding eigenfunction expansions, *Amer. J. Math.* **72** (1950), 502–544.
12. A. M. KRALL, Differential-boundary operators, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **154** (1971), 429–458.
13. A. M. KRALL, Stieltjes differential-boundary operators, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **41** (1973), 80–86.
14. A. M. KRALL, Stieltjes differential boundary operators, II, *Pacific J. Math.* **55** (1974), 207–218.
15. A. M. KRALL, Stieltjes differential-boundary operators, III, *Pacific J. Math.* **59** (1975), 125–134.
16. N. LEVINSON, A simplified proof of the expansion theorem for singular second order linear differential equations, *Duke Math. J.* **18** (1951), 57–71.

17. J. LIOUVILLE, Sur de développement des fonctions où parties de fonctions en séries dont les divers termes sont assujettis a satisfaire à une même équation différentielles du second ordre contenant un paramètre variable, I and II, *J. Math. Pures Appl.* **1** (1836), 253–265; **2** (1837), 16–35.
18. E. J. McSHANE, "Integration," Princeton Univ. Press, Princeton, N.J., 1944.
19. P. W. WALKER, A vector–matrix formulation formally symmetric ordinary differential equations with applications to solutions of integrable square, *J. London Math. Soc.* **9** (1974), 151–159.
20. P. W. WALKER, An adjoint matrix formulation for ordinary differential equations, unpublished manuscript.
21. H. WEYL, Ueber gewöhnliche lineare Differential-gleichungen mit Singularitäten und die zugehörigen Entwicklungen willkürlicher Funktionen, *Math. Ann.* **68** (1910), 220–269.
22. H. J. ZIMMERBERG, Symmetric integro-differential-boundary problems, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **188** (1974), 407–417.
23. H. J. ZIMMERBERG, Linear integro-differential-boundary-parameter problems, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **55** (1975), 241–256.