

Oscillation Theorems for n th-Order Functional Differential Equations

G. G. HAMEDANI AND GARY S. KRENZ

*Department of Mathematics, Statistics and Computer Science,
Marquette University, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53233*

Submitted by E. Stanley Lee

Received January 26, 1988

Two oscillation theorems for even-order equations $x^{(n)}(t) + p(t)f(x(t), x(h(t))) = 0$ are established. These results are the extensions of those reported by Hamedani for the second-order equation $x''(t) + p(t)f(x(t), x(h(t))) = 0$. © 1989 Academic Press, Inc.

INTRODUCTION

The purpose of this paper is to study the oscillatory behavior of the differential equation

$$x^{(n)}(t) + p(t)f(x(t), x(h(t))) = 0, \quad t \geq t_0, \quad (1)$$

where n is even, $n \geq 2$. We shall restrict our attention to those solutions of (1) which exist on some ray $[T, \infty)$, where $T \geq t_0$, and which are nontrivial in any neighborhood of infinity. Such a solution is called *oscillatory* if it has arbitrarily large zeros. Otherwise, the solution is said to be *nonoscillatory*. An equation is said to be *oscillatory* if all of its solutions are oscillatory.

For the sake of completeness, we shall first state a few conditions and recall various oscillation results concerning the equation

$$x''(t) + p(t)f(x(t), x(h(t))) = 0. \quad (2)$$

Conditions:

(C₁) $p \in C[t_0, \infty)$, $p(t) \geq 0$, $t \geq t_0$;

(C₂) $h \in C[t_0, \infty)$, $g(t) \leq h(t)$, and $0 < k \leq g'(t) \leq 1$;

(C₃) $f \in C(R \times R)$, $R = (-\infty, \infty)$, and $f(x, y)$ has the sign of x and y when they have the same sign;

(C₄) there exists $M > 0$ such that, uniformly for $x \geq M$,

$$\liminf_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} \left| \frac{f(x, y)}{y} \right| \geq c > 0;$$

(C₅) $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t \int_t^\infty p(s) ds = \infty$;

(C₆) $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t^{1-m}(1/m!) \int_{t_0}^t (t-u)^{m-1} p(u) du = \infty$, for some $m > 2$;

(C₇) $h \in C[t_0, \infty)$, $h(t) \leq t$, for $t \geq t_0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} h(t) = \infty$;

(C₈) $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t \int_{\gamma(t)}^\infty p(s)(h(s)/s) ds > c^{-1}$, where c is as in (C₄);

(C₉) $\limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t \int_{\gamma(t)}^\infty p(s) ds > c^{-1}$, where c is as in (C₄) and $\gamma(t) = \sup\{s \geq t_0 \mid h(s) \leq t\}$ for $t \geq t_0$;

(C₁₀) $\int_{t_0}^\infty p(s)(h(s)/s) ds < \infty$;

(C₁₁) there exists a positive integer K such that $\alpha_0(t) = \delta c \int_t^\infty p(s)(h(s)/s) ds$ and $\alpha_m(t) = \int_t^\infty \alpha_{m-1}^2(s) ds + \alpha_0(t)$ are defined for $m = 1, 2, \dots, K-1$, but $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \int_{t_0}^t \alpha_{K-1}^2(s) ds = \infty$, where c is as in (C₄) and δ is a constant, $0 < \delta < 1$;

(C₁₂) $\alpha_m(t)$ is defined for $m = 1, 2, \dots$, such that $\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_m(t) = \infty$ pointwise for all large t .

Remarks 1. (i) Travis [6] proved that under conditions (C₁)–(C₅) all solutions of (2) are oscillatory.

(ii) In [8], Yeh showed that under conditions (C₁)–(C₄) and (C₆) all solutions of (2) are oscillatory, extending the result in (i).

(iii) Recently, Hamedani [4] reported that under conditions (C₁), (C₃), (C₄), (C₇), and either (C₈) or (C₉) all solutions of (2) are oscillatory.

(iv) Hamedani [4] also showed that under (C₁), (C₃), (C₄), (C₇), (C₁₀), and either (C₁₁) or (C₁₂) all solutions of (2) are oscillatory, extending the results of Yan [7] for the linear case $x''(t) + p(t)x(h(t)) = 0$.

In a recent paper, Grace and Lalli [2] extended Yeh's result (ii) to Eq. (1) without further restrictions on the functions involved. In this paper we shall extend the results given in (iii) and (iv) to Eq. (1) without further restrictions on the functions involved.

RESULTS

The following lemmas are basic for our discussions. The first two are taken from [3], the third from [1], and the fourth from [5]. They are given here for the sake of completeness.

LEMMA 1. Let x be a positive, n times differentiable function on $[t_0, \infty)$. If $x^{(n)}(t)$ is of constant sign and not identically zero in any interval $[t_1, \infty)$, then there exists $t_x \geq t_0$ and an integer j , $0 \leq j \leq n$ with $n + j$ even for $x^{(n)} \geq 0$ or $n + j$ odd for $x^{(n)} \leq 0$, such that $j > 0$ implies $x^{(k)}(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_x$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, j - 1$) and $j \leq n - 1$ implies that $(-1)^{j+k} x^{(k)}(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_x$ ($k = j, j + 1, \dots, n - 1$).

LEMMA 2. If the function x is as in Lemma 1 and $x^{(n-1)}(t) x^{(n)}(t) \leq 0$ for $t \geq t_x$, then for every λ , $0 < \lambda < 1$, there exists an $M_1 > 0$ such that

$$x(\lambda t) \geq M_1 t^{n-1} |x^{(n-1)}(t)|$$

for all large t . In addition, if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(t) \neq 0$, then there exists $M_2 > 0$ such that

$$x(t) \geq M_2 t^{n-1} |x^{(n-1)}(t)|$$

for all large t .

LEMMA 3. Let (C_7) hold and let $x(t) \in C^2[T, \infty)$, $x(t) > 0$, $x'(t) > 0$, and $x''(t) < 0$ for $t \geq T$. Then for each $k_1 \in (0, 1)$ there exists a $T_{k_1} \geq T$ such that

$$x(h(t)) \geq k_1 \frac{h(t)}{t} x(t), \quad t \geq T_{k_1}.$$

LEMMA 4. Let $x(t) \in C^2[T, \infty)$ with $x(t) > 0$, $x'(t) > 0$, and $x''(t) \leq 0$ for $t \geq T$. Then for each $k_2 \in (0, 1)$ there is a $T_{k_2} \geq T$ such that

$$x(t) \geq k_2 t x'(t), \quad \text{for } t \geq T_{k_2}.$$

Observe that in Lemma 4, the choice of $k_2 \in (0, 1)$ is arbitrary, while in Lemma 2, for $n = 2$, the M_2 "exists."

THEOREM 1. Under the conditions stated in (iii), Eq.(1) is oscillatory.

Proof. W.l.o.g., in (C_4) , we may assume $\liminf_{|y| \rightarrow \infty} |f(x, y)/y| > c$ uniformly in $x \geq M$. In view of (C_8) or (C_9) it suffices to consider only unbounded solutions.

Let $x(t)$ be a positive unbounded nonoscillatory solution of Eq. (1) on an interval $[t_1, \infty)$, $t_1 \geq t_0$. Let $t_2 \geq t_1$ be chosen so that

$$h(t) \geq t_1 \quad \text{for all } t \geq t_2.$$

Then $x(h(t)) > 0$ for all $t \geq t_2$. From (1) and (C_3) , it follows that $x^{(n)}(t) < 0$ for $t \geq t_2$. By Lemma 1, there exist an odd integer j , $1 \leq j \leq n - 1$,

and a $t_3 \geq t_2$ such that $x^{(k)}(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_3$ ($k = 0, 1, \dots, j-1$) and $(-1)^{j+k} x^{(k)}(t) > 0$ for $t \geq t_3$ ($k = j, j+1, \dots, n-1$).

Integrating Eq. (1) from t to ∞ ($t \geq t_3$), we have

$$x^{(n-1)}(t) \geq \int_t^\infty p(s) f(x(s), x(h(s))) ds. \quad (3)$$

Now suppose that (C_8) holds. If $j = 1$, then $x''(t) < 0$ for $t \geq t_3$. By Lemma 3, for each $k_1 \in (0, 1)$, there exists $t_4 \geq t_3$ such that

$$x(h(t)) \geq k_1 \frac{h(t)}{t} x(t), \quad \text{for } t \geq t_4. \quad (4)$$

Let $k_2 \in (0, 1)$. If $n = 2$, then by Lemma 4, there exists a $t_5 \geq t_4$ such that

$$x(t) \geq k_2 t x^{(n-1)}(t), \quad \text{for } t \geq t_5.$$

On the other hand, if $n > 2$, by applying the second half of Lemma 2 and observing that $M_2 t^{n-1} \geq k_2 t$ for all t sufficiently large, we again obtain

$$x(t) \geq k_2 t x^{(n-1)}(t), \quad \text{for } t \geq t_5,$$

for some $t_5 \geq t_4$. Combining this with (3) and (4) yields

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &\geq k_2 t \int_t^\infty p(s) f(x(s), x(h(s))) ds \\ &\geq k_1 k_2 t \int_t^\infty p(s) \frac{h(s)}{s} \left(\frac{f(x(s), x(h(s)))}{x(h(s))} \right) x(s) ds \\ &\geq k_1 k_2 x(t) \inf_{s \geq t} \left(\frac{f(x(s), x(h(s)))}{x(h(s))} \right) t \int_t^\infty p(s) \frac{h(s)}{s} ds, \end{aligned}$$

for $t \geq t_5$. From this inequality we see that

$$c^{-1} \geq k_1 k_2 \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t \int_t^\infty p(s) \frac{h(s)}{s} ds. \quad (5)$$

From (5) and (C_8) , it follows that

$$c^{-1} < a = \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t \int_t^\infty p(s) \frac{h(s)}{s} ds < \infty. \quad (6)$$

From (5) and (6), observing that $k_1, k_2 \in (0, 1)$ are arbitrary, we obtain the contradiction $c^{-1} < a \leq c^{-1}$.

If $3 \leq j < n - 1$, then by Lemma 2, there exists t_6 such that

$$x^{(j-1)}(t) \geq M_2 t^{n-j} x^{(n-1)}(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_6. \tag{7}$$

By Taylor's formula and Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= x(T_0) + x'(T_0)(t - T_0) + \dots + \frac{x^{(j-1)}(T_0)}{(j-1)!} (t - T_0)^{j-1} \\ &\quad + \frac{x^{(j)}(t_1^*)}{j!} (t - T_0)^j \\ &\geq \frac{x^{(j-1)}(T_0)}{(j-1)!} (t - T_0)^{j-1}, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(j-1)}(t) &= x^{(j-1)}(T_0) + x^{(j)}(T_0)(t - T_0) + \frac{x^{(j+1)}(t_2^*)}{2!} (t - T_0)^2 \\ &\leq x^{(j-1)}(T_0) + x^{(j)}(T_0)(t - T_0), \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where $T_0 = \max\{t_6, t_x\} \leq t_1^*, t_2^* \leq t$.

From (8) and (9), it follows that there exist $M_3 > 0$ and $t_7 \geq T_0$ such that

$$x(t) \geq M_3 t^{j-2} x^{(j-1)}(t), \quad \text{for } t \geq t_7. \tag{10}$$

Since $x^{(j-1)}(t) > 0$, $x^{(j)}(t) > 0$, and $x^{(j+1)}(t) < 0$, by Lemma 3, for $k_1 \in (0, 1)$, there exists $t_8 \geq t_7$ such that

$$x^{(j-1)}(h(t)) \geq k_1 \frac{h(t)}{t} x^{(j-1)}(t) \quad \text{for } t \geq t_8. \tag{11}$$

Now from (3), (7), (11), and (C₃), for $t \geq t_8$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(j-1)}(t) &\geq M_2 t^{n-j} \int_t^\infty p(s) f(x(s), x(h(s))) ds \\ &\geq k_1 M_2 t^{n-j} \int_t^\infty p(s) \frac{h(s)}{s} \left(\frac{f(x(s), x(h(s)))}{x^{(j-1)}(h(s))} \right) x^{(j-1)}(s) ds \\ &\geq k_1 M_2 t^{n-j} x^{(j-1)}(t) \int_t^\infty p(s) \frac{h(s)}{s} \left(\frac{f(x(s), x(h(s)))}{x^{(j-1)}(h(s))} \right) ds. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

Using (10) in (12) we obtain

$$1 \geq k_1 M_2 M_3 t^{n-j} \int_t^\infty p(s) \frac{h^{j-1}(s)}{s} \left(\frac{f(x(s), x(h(s)))}{x(h(s))} \right) ds, \quad \text{for } t \geq t_9, \tag{13}$$

where $t_9 = \max\{t_7, t_8\}$. Let $t_{10} \geq t_9$ be such that $h(t) \geq 1$ and for a fixed, but arbitrary $k_2 \in (0, 1)$, $M_2 M_3 t^{n-j} \geq k_2 t$, for all $t \geq t_{10}$. Then from (13) it follows that

$$c^{-1} \geq k_1 k_2 \limsup_{t \rightarrow \infty} t \int_t^\infty p(s) \frac{h(s)}{s} ds, \quad (14)$$

which is identical to (5). The rest now follows as in the case of $j = 1$.

If $j = n - 1 \geq 3$, then by Lemma 4, for $k_2 \in (0, 1)$, there exists t_{11} such that

$$x^{(n-2)}(t) \geq k_2 t x^{(n-1)}(t), \quad \text{for } t \geq t_{11}. \quad (15)$$

From (3) and (15), we have

$$x^{(n-2)}(t) \geq k_2 t \int_t^\infty p(s) \left(\frac{f(x(s), x(h(s)))}{x^{(n-2)}(h(s))} \right) x^{(n-2)}(h(s)) ds, \quad \text{for } t \geq t_{11}. \quad (16)$$

By Lemma 3, for $k_1 \in (0, 1)$, there exists $t_{12} \geq t_{11}$ such that

$$x^{(n-2)}(h(t)) \geq k_1 \frac{h(t)}{t} x^{(n-2)}(t), \quad \text{for } t \geq t_{12}. \quad (17)$$

The argument used in obtaining (10) holds in this setting, hence, for some $M_3 > 0$ and $h(t) \geq t_7$

$$x(h(t)) \geq M_3 h^{n-3}(t) x^{(n-2)}(h(t)).$$

By (C₇), w.l.o.g., we may assume $M_3 h^{n-3}(t) \geq 1$ for all $t \geq t_{13} = \max\{t_7, t_{12}\}$. Thus,

$$x(h(t)) \geq x^{(n-2)}(h(t)), \quad \text{for } t \geq t_{13}. \quad (18)$$

Combining (16), (17), and (18) yields

$$\begin{aligned} x^{(n-2)}(t) &\geq k_1 k_2 t \int_t^\infty p(s) \frac{h(s)}{s} x^{(n-2)}(s) \frac{f(x(s), x(h(s)))}{x(h(s))} ds \\ &\geq x^{(n-2)}(t) \inf_{s \geq t} \frac{f(x(s), x(h(s)))}{x(h(s))} k_1 k_2 t \int_t^\infty p(s) \frac{h(s)}{s} ds \quad \text{for } t \geq t_{13}. \end{aligned}$$

From this we obtain (5) and the argument proceeds as in the case of $j = 1$.

The case where (C₉) holds instead of (C₈) follows in a similar manner.

To give another set of sufficient conditions for the oscillation of (1), we need the following lemma.

LEMMA 5. Assume (C_1) , (C_3) , (C_4) , (C_7) , and (C_{10}) hold. Let $x(t)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of (1) and its corresponding j (as in Lemma 1) be given. Then,

$$w(t) = \begin{cases} x^{(n-1)}(t)/x^{(j-1)}(\lambda t) & \text{if } 1 \leq j < n-1, \text{ and } \lambda \in (0, 1) \\ x^{(n-1)}(t)/x^{(n-2)}(t) & \text{if } j = n-1, \end{cases}$$

satisfies

$$\int_t^\infty w^2(s) ds < \infty, \tag{19}$$

and

$$\int_t^\infty w^2(s) ds + kd \int_t^\infty p(s) \frac{h(s)}{s} ds \leq w(t), \tag{20}$$

for t sufficiently large, where $d > 0$ may depend on $x(t)$, $0 < k < 1$ is an arbitrary constant which is independent of $x(t)$.

Proof. We will give the proof for the case $1 < j < n-1$ (the proof for $j = 1$ or $j = n-1$ is similar). W.l.o.g., we may assume $x(t) > 0$ for all t sufficiently large. In view of the argument given in the proof of Theorem 1, for any k_1 , $0 < k_1 < 1$, there exists $t_8 \geq t_0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= x^{(n)}(t) + p(t) f(x(t), x(h(t))) \\ &= x^{(n)}(t) + p(t) x(h(t)) \left(\frac{f(x(t), x(h(t)))}{x(h(t))} \right) \\ &\geq x^{(n)}(t) + k_1 M_3 p(t) \frac{h^{j-1}(t)}{t} x^{(j-1)}(t) \left(\frac{f(x(t), x(h(t)))}{x(h(t))} \right), \quad \text{for } t \geq t_8 \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

in which we have used (10) and (11), respectively.

Let $w(t) = x^{(n-1)}(t)/x^{(j-1)}(\lambda t)$. From (21), we have

$$w'(t) + \lambda \frac{x^{(n-1)}(t) x^{(j)}(\lambda t)}{(x^{(j-1)}(\lambda t))^2} + k_1 M_3 p(t) \frac{h^{j-1}(t)}{t} \left(\frac{f(x(t), x(h(t)))}{x(h(t))} \right) \leq 0,$$

and, applying Lemma 2 to $x^{(j)}(\lambda t)$, we obtain

$$w'(t) + \lambda M_4 t^{n-j-1} w^2(t) + k_1 M_3 p(t) \frac{h^{j-1}(t)}{t} \left(\frac{f(x(t), x(h(t)))}{x(h(t))} \right) \leq 0.$$

Now, from (C₇) and $x'(t) > 0$, $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} x(h(t))$ tends monotonically upward to either a positive finite value or $+\infty$. In either case, there exists a $d > 0$ such that

$$\frac{f(x(t), x(h(t)))}{x(h(t))} \geq d,$$

for all t sufficiently large. Moreover, for t sufficiently large, $\lambda M_4 t^{n-j-1} \geq 1$ and $M_3 h^{j-2}(t) \geq 1$. Thus for all t sufficiently large,

$$w'(t) + w^2(t) + k_1 dp(t) \frac{h(t)}{t} \leq 0. \tag{22}$$

The rest follows as in Lemma 2 from [7, p. 381].

Following [7], we consider the sequence of functions

$$\{\alpha_m(t)\}, \quad m = 0, 1, 2, \dots, t \in [t_0, \infty), \tag{23}$$

where

$$\alpha_0(t) = \delta c \int_t^\infty p(s) \frac{h(s)}{s} ds, \quad \alpha_m(t) = \int_t^\infty \alpha_{m-1}^2(s) ds + \alpha_0(t), \quad m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

and δ is a constant, $0 < \delta < 1$. We can now state the following results:

THEOREM 2. *Let (C₁), (C₃), (C₄), (C₇), and (C₁₀) hold, and let there exist a constant $\delta, 0 < \delta < 1$, such that one of the conditions (C₁₁) or (C₁₂) is satisfied, then Eq. (1) is oscillatory.*

Proof. It is easy to see that (C₁₁) implies

$$\int \int_t^\infty p(s) \frac{h(s)}{s} ds dt = \infty. \tag{24}$$

To show that (C₁₂) also implies (24), we assume that $\int \int_t^\infty p(s) (h(s)/s) ds dt < \infty$ and obtain a contradiction.

It can be seen that, for any $\eta > 0$,

$$t \int_t^\infty p(s) \frac{h(s)}{s} ds \leq \eta,$$

for sufficiently large t , and hence

$$\int_t^\infty p(s) \frac{h(s)}{s} ds \leq \frac{\eta}{t}.$$

Thus,

$$\alpha_0(t) = \delta c \int_t^\infty p(s) \frac{h(s)}{s} ds \leq \frac{\delta c \eta}{t},$$

for all large t .

Choose $\eta > 0$ so that $c_0 = \delta c \eta \leq \frac{1}{4}$, then we have

$$\alpha_n(t) \leq c_n/t, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

where $c_n = c_{n-1}^2 + c_0$. The sequence $\{c_n\}$ is bounded by $(1 - \sqrt{1 - 4c_0})/2$ and hence

$$\alpha_n(t) \leq \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4c_0}}{2t}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots,$$

which contradicts (C_{12}) .

In view of the above facts, it suffices to prove that (1) does not have unbounded nonoscillatory solutions. Noting that in Lemma 5, since $x(t)$ is unbounded, we may take $d = c$, the rest of the proof follows from Lemma 5 and the argument given in [7, p. 382].

COROLLARY. *Let (C_1) , (C_7) , and (C_{10}) hold. If $F \in C(R)$, $yF(y) > 0$ for $y \neq 0$, $f(x, y) \equiv F(y)$ satisfies (C_4) , and if there exists a constant δ , $0 < \delta < 1$, such that one of the conditions (C_{11}) or (C_{12}) is satisfied, then the equation*

$$x^{(n)}(t) + p(t) F(x(h(t))) = 0, \quad n \text{ even}, \tag{25}$$

is oscillatory.

Remarks 2. (a) If $n = 2$, then Theorems 1 and 2 (including the corollary) become Theorems 1–3 in [4].

(b) Corollary 4, Theorem 5, and Corollary 6 of [7] can be easily formulated for Eqs. (1) and (25) extending some well-known oscillation criteria. (See Remark 3 of [4].)

(c) The n th-order equations given in Examples 1 and 2 of [2] satisfy the hypotheses of our Theorem 1. Hence they are oscillatory by our criterion as well. However, unlike [2, 6], we do not require a minimum linear growth rate for the delay $h(t)$. Thus, a delay such as $h(t) = \ln(t)$ is allowed in our theorems.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We are grateful to Professor Deshpande for his observations concerning the sequence $\{c_n\}$.

REFERENCES

1. L. ERBE, Oscillation criteria for second order nonlinear delay equations, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **16** (1973), 49–56.
2. S. R. GRACE AND B. S. LALLI, An oscillation criteria for n th order non-linear differential equations with functional arguments, *Canad. Math. Bull.* **26** (1983), 35–40.
3. M. K. GRAMMATIKOPOULOS, Y. G. SFICAS, AND V. A. STAIKAS, Oscillatory properties of strongly superlinear differential equations with deviating arguments, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **67** (1979), 171–187.
4. G. G. HAMEDANI, Oscillation theorems for second order functional differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **135** (1988), 237–243.
5. J. OHRISKA, Oscillation of second order delay and ordinary differential equations, *Czechoslovak. Math. J.* **34** (1984), 107–112.
6. C. C. TRAVIS, Oscillation theorems for second order equations with functional arguments, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **31** (1972), 199–202.
7. J. YAN, Oscillatory property for second order linear delay differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **122** (1987), 380–384.
8. C. C. YEH, An oscillation criterion for second order nonlinear differential equations with functional arguments, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **76** (1980), 72–76.