

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com



Procedia **Earth and Planetary Science** 

Procedia Earth and Planetary Science 7 (2013) 953 - 957

# **Water Rock Interaction [WRI 14]**

# Estimating the reactive surface area of minerals in natural hydrothermal fields: preliminary results

P. ZUDDAS a,c\*, J. RILLARD *a,b*

<sup>a</sup> Earth Sciences Department UMR CNRS 5276 University of Lyon 1, Villeurbanne, FRANCE<br><sup>b</sup> IMERIS, National Institute of Emvironmental and Industrial Rick Suman, Underground Rick Div. Vernouil and  *INERIS, National Institute of Environmental and Industrial Risk Survey, Underground Risk Div., Verneuil en Halatte, FRANCE c University Pierre and Marie Curie Paris-Sorbonne, ISTEP, Paris, FRANCE* 

# **Abstract**

We estimated variation of reactive surface area (RSA) of minerals in the Galician (Spain) geothermal field using the chemical composition of fluids as input data. Our methodology is based on reconstructing the fluid composition according to a reaction progress schema that uses the fractional degree of advancement of the mass-transfer process. RSA of the principal mineral is estimated by using a transposed reaction rate that introduces experimental kinetic rate constants. We found that over the entire reaction process, RSA of feldspars and biotite varied by 2–4 orders of magnitude, thereby explaining the changes observed in  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  partial pressure and fluid pH.

© 2012 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. © 2013 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. Open access under [CC BY-NC-ND license.](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/) Selection and/or peer-review under responsibility of the Organizing and Scientific Committee of WRI 14 – 2013

*Keywords: CO*<sub>2</sub>-water-rock interaction; dissolved metals; field experiements.

# **1. Introduction**

Fluid – rock interaction controls many environmental and geological processes occurring at the Earth's surface. The quantification of these processes is important in most treatments of global element cycles, notably carbon dynamics and in the challenging areas of geothermal resource evaluation and CO2 geological storage. The comparison of laboratory derived rates to field scale studies is difficult due to the fact that most laboratory-derived rates are 2-5 orders of magnitude higher than field derived rates [1, 2],. Given the complexity of evaluating reactive transport processes [2, 3], the majority of the investigations apply mass balance isotopic concepts like the water-rock ratio; whereas fluid flow and T-xCO2 equilibrium are rarely evaluated in a kinetic framework under natural conditions. In this work, we attempt to estimate variations in the mineral reactive surface area using chemical fluid composition as input data.

 $*$  Corresponding author. Tel.:  $+33$  1 4427 4964.

*E-mail address:* pierpaolo.zuddas@upmc.fr.

We developed an original methodology for quantifying this variable in a natural context and assumed that the evolution of chemical fluids is the signal response to the water-rock interaction as it intrinsically incorporates timescale and reservoir properties (porosity, permeability, water/rock ratio). For long time of residence (>10000 years) and larger space scale (> 150 km2), we assume that fluid composition is meanly driven by reactivity than fluid dynamics (porosity, permeability). The Galician geothermal field was chosen because of its relatively homogenous geological setting and

co-genetic fluid evolution with a wide range of  $pCO<sub>2</sub>$  partial pressure at emergence. Two Variscan granites generated by an anatexis process characterize this area [4]. Based on petrographical modal abundances, we estimated that the main mineralogical paragenesis is constituted by silicate minerals in the following proportions: quartz 35%, K-feldspar 20% albite 35%, biotite 10% and muscovite 1%. Accessory minerals like calcite and clays, mainly kaolinite, generally fill secondary porosities in the rock fractures [5]. Water from springs and boreholes was collected using polypropylene falcon tubes previously cleaned with nitric acid. Samples were filtered at 0.45μm for major elements and at 0.01μm for trace elements and acidified with HCl or  $HNO<sub>3</sub>$ .

#### **2. Fluid Chemistry**

The Galician geothermal field is characterized by  $Na-HCO<sub>3</sub>$  waters, with an emergence temperature ranging from 15° to 57°C, pH varying from 9.5 to 5.8 and ionic salinity from 6 to 78 mmol/kg [5]. The observed variations in pH, alcalinity and estimated PCO2 partial pressure were used to evaluate a 'continuous' fluid-rock process. The fluid saturation state (S.I.), estimated by PhreeqC geochemical code [6] using wateq4f.dat thermodynamic database, reveals saturation with respect to kaolinite and quartz. This indicates that all major cation activity  $(Ca^{2+}, Mg^{2+}, Na^+, K^+)$  is controlled by the dissolution of granite parent minerals while silica and aluminum activity is controlled by the solubility of neogenic mineral phases. The deep fluid temperature estimated using silica geothermometers [7, 8] falls within an average temperature of  $110\pm10^{\circ}$ C for all the sampling points. The pCO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure at equilibrium, estimated from alkalinity and pH using PhreeqC software, indicates that pCO<sub>2</sub> partial pressure increases linearly from 1 to  $10^5$  Pa as the sum of major cations increases from  $10^{-5}$  to  $10^{-2}$  mol/L.

# **3. Modeling the mineral reactive surface area**

The Galician geothermal field shows evidence of simultaneous mineral dissolution and precipitation. The rate of mineral dissolution reaction 'R', corresponding to the amount of matter released to the fluid by unit of time, can be expressed by the following equation [9]:

$$
R = S \cdot \overline{R} \tag{1}
$$

Where: *R* is the "*in-situ*" rate of dissolution (in mole per unit of time) representing the mineral dissolution rate under Galician geothermal field conditions, *R* is an absolute rate of dissolution expressed in (mole·m-2·sec-1), estimated by laboratory experiments and representing the maximum dissolution rate per unit of mineral area, while  $S$  is the reactive surface area  $(m^2)$ . In a multi-mineral system, fluid-rock reactions can be described by a series of mass balance equations for each of the relevant mineral phases in relation to the reaction rate. The rate of dissolution can be expressed as:

$$
\frac{d\mathbf{n}}{dt} = v \cdot R \tag{2}
$$

Considering temperature, pressure, volume and reaction progress *ζ* of each specific reaction as an independent variable, the system can be easily solved by:

$$
n_{j, end - N_{j, start}} = \int_{\xi = 0}^{\xi = 1} (\sum_{i} \frac{\partial n_{j, i}}{\partial \xi_{i}}) d\xi
$$
 with :  $0 \le \zeta \le 1$  (3)

Where n  $_{j,i}$  stands for the molality of the j<sup>th</sup> aqueous solute in the i<sup>th</sup> reaction,  $\zeta$  represents the overall reaction progress and  $\zeta_i$  is the fractional reaction progress related to the i<sup>th</sup> reaction. Since the solute concentration of Eqn 2 is known in the compositional space  $0 \le \zeta \le 1$ , the system is now solved for *R* and Eqn. 2, in the reaction progress mode, becomes:

$$
\frac{dn}{d\zeta} = v \cdot R_{\zeta} \tag{4}
$$

The evolution of major cation concentrations as a function of the reaction progress  $\zeta$  is reported in Fig.1.



Fig. 1. Evolution of the cation concentration as a function of the reaction progress  $\zeta$ .

The transposed reaction rate,  $R_\zeta$ , can be estimated by :

$$
R_{\zeta} = S_i \cdot \overline{R_i} \left( \frac{dt}{d\zeta} \right) \tag{5}
$$

The *in-situ* dissolution rate *R*, related to the concentration of a specific aqueous species as a function of the reaction advancement, corresponds to the partial derivative of the concentration species as function of *ζ*, while the absolute rate of dissolution *R* is a kinetic function of the reaction affinity and dissolution constants depending on pH, temperature and chemical composition. Values of selected mineral absolute rates,  $\overline{R}$ , are reported in Scislewski and Zuddas [12]. If *R* and  $\overline{R}$  are calculated independently as a function of the reaction advancement, the reactive surface area *S* also becomes a function of the reaction advancement ζ (Eqn.5).

### **4. Results and Conclusions**

We estimated the reactive surface area (RSA) using the *in situ* dissolution rate of Eqn. 1 and found a progressive change throughout the process. All the investigated RSA progressively increased throughout the water-rock interaction process. Albite and K-feldspar RSA systematically increased by two orders of magnitude while biotite RSA increased by more than 4 orders of magnitude. Our results show a higher variation in biotite RSA throughout the entire  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -water-rock interaction process. The determining role played by biotite in the global granite hydrothermal alteration process was earlier proposed [11] in the Cornwell Carnemellis granite. The results of this work are in agreement with the long term and a large-scale evolution of crust-water interaction and quantify previous estimations based on Sr isotopic ratios [13, 14].

Our original modeling approach indicates that the RSA is not a constant parameter, contrary to what is generally assumed in current modeling. Our preliminary findings indicate that  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  mineralization in granite hydrothermal fields is mainly driven by silicate minerals and especially by biotite, whereas calcite dissolution contributes only negligibly to the global budget. The kinetic approach used in this study offers a reliable geochemical methodology for estimating the evolution of mineral reactivity, taking into account ratio of RSA of different minerals in natural water-rock interaction systems.

## **References**

- [1] White AF, Brantley SL. The effect of time on the weathering of silicate minerals: why do weathering rates differ in the laboratory and field? *Chemical Geology* 2003; **202**: 479-506.
- -Navarre-Sitchler A, Brantley S, Basalt weathering across scales. *Earth and Planetory Sciences Letter* 2007; **261**: 321-334.
- [3] Malmström M, Destuni G, Banwart SA, Strömberg BHE, Resolving the Scale-Dependence of Mineral Weathering Rates. *Environmental Sciences and Technology* 2000 ; **34**: 1375-1378.
- [4] Capdevilla R, Corretge Q, Floor P. Les granitoïdes varisques de la Meseta Ibérique. *Bulletin de la Société Géologique Française*. 1973; **15** : 209-22.
- [5] Michard G, Beaucaire C. Les eaux thermales du granite de Galice (Espagne): des eaux carbogazeusee aux eaux alcalines. *Chemical Geology* 1993; **110**: 345-360.
- [6] Parkhust DL, Appelo CAJ. User's Guide to Phreeqc (version 2) A Computer Program for Speciation. Batch-Reaction. One-Dimensional Transport and Inverse Geochemical Calculations. U.S. Department of the Interior/ U.S. Geological Survey. Denver. Colorado, 1999.
- [7] Helgeson HC, Brown TH, Nigrini A, Jones TA. Calculation of mass transfer in geochemical processes involving aqueous solutions. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 1970; 34: 569–592.
- [8] Fouillac C, Michard G. Sodium/Lithium ratio in water applied to geothermometry of geothermal reservoirs. *Geothermics* 1981; **10**: 55-70.
- [9] Marini L, Ottonello G, Canepa M, Cipolli F. Water–rock interaction in the Bisagno valley (Genoa. Italy): application of an inverse approach to model spring water chemistry*. Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 2000; **64**: 2617–2635.
- [10] Prigogine I. Introduction to Thermodynamics of Irreversible Processes. 2nd ed. New York: Interscience Publishers, 1968. 147p.
- [11] Edmunds WM, Kay RLF, McCartney RA. Origin of saline groundwaters in the Carnmenellis Granite (Cornwall. England): Natural processes and reaction during Hot Dry Rock reservoir circulation. *Chemical Geology* 1985; **49**: 287-301.
- [12] Scislewski A, Zuddas P. Estimation of reactive mineral surface area during water–rock interaction using fluid chemical data. *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta* 2010; **74**: 6996–7007.
- [13] Seimbille F, Zuddas P, Michard G. Granite–hydrothermal interaction: a simultaneous estimation of the mineral dissolution rate based on the isotopic doping technique. *Earth and Planetary Science Letters* 1998; **157**: 183–191.

[14] Li G, Chen J, Ji J, Liu L, Yang J, Sheng X, Global cooling forced increase in marine strontium isotopic ratios: Importance of mica weathering and a kinetic approach. *Earth and Planetary Sciences Letter* 2007; **254**: 303–312.