

L^1 -Factorization for C_{00} -Contractions with Isometric Functional Calculus

I. Chalendar

*U.F.R. Mathématiques et Informatique, Université Bordeaux I,
351, Cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence Cedex, France*
E-mail: chalenda@math.u-bordeaux.fr

and

J. Esterle



provided by Elsevier - Publisher Connector

E-mail: esterle@math.u-bordeaux.fr

Received February 4, 1997; revised June 9, 1997 and September 16, 1997;
accepted September 24, 1997

Let T be an absolutely continuous contraction acting on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . For $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, define $x^T y \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ by its Fourier coefficients: $x^T y^\wedge(n) = (T^{*n}x, y)$ if $n \geq 0$ and $x^T y^\wedge(n) = (T^{-n}x, y)$ if $n < 0$. The main technical result of the paper is that the vanishing condition $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|x_n^T w\|_{L^1/H_0^1} + \|w^T x_n\|_{L^1/H_0^1}) = 0$, $w \in \mathcal{H}$ implies that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n^T w\|_{L^1} = 0$, $w \in \mathcal{H}$. Using known factorization techniques, we exhibit a Borel set σ_T such that for any $f \in L^1(\sigma_T)$, there exist $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $f = (x^T y)|_{\sigma_T}$. In the case where $T \in \mathbb{A} \cap C_{00}$, this leads to a simple proof of the fact that for every $f \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ there exists $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $f = x^T y$. In this case we also show, using dilation theory in the unit disk, that every strictly positive lower semicontinuous function $\varphi \in L^1(\mathbb{T})$ can be written in the form $\varphi = x^T x$. Examples show that this is the best possible result for the class $\mathbb{A} \cap C_{00}$. © 1998 Academic Press

1. INTRODUCTION

Let \mathcal{H} be a separable, infinite-dimensional complex Hilbert space and let $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators on \mathcal{H} . A contraction $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is absolutely continuous if T is completely nonunitary or if the spectral measure of its unitary part is absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. If $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is an absolutely continuous

contraction, then, for any $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$, there exists a function $x^T y \in L^1$ such that the Fourier coefficients of $x^T y$ satisfy:

$$(x^T y)^\wedge(n) = \begin{cases} (T^{*n}x, y) & n \geq 0 \\ (T^{-n}x, y) & n < 0. \end{cases}$$

We write \mathbb{D} for the open unit disk in the complex plane \mathbb{C} , and \mathbb{T} for the unit circle. The spaces $L^p = L^p(\mathbb{T})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ are the usual Lebesgue function spaces relative to Lebesgue measure m on \mathbb{T} . The spaces $H^p = H^p(\mathbb{T})$, $1 \leq p \leq \infty$ are the usual Hardy spaces. It is well-known [13] that the dual space of L^1/H_0^1 , where $H_0^1 = \{f \in L^1: \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{it}) e^{imt} dt = 0, n=0, 1, \dots\}$, can be identified by H^∞ . If we denote by $[g]$ the class of $g \in L^1$ in L^1/H_0^1 , the duality is given by the pairing:

$$\langle f, [g] \rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}} fg \, dm, \quad f \in H^\infty, \quad g \in L^1.$$

We denote by $\mathbb{A} = \mathbb{A}(\mathcal{H})$ the class of all absolutely continuous contractions $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ for which the Sz-Nagy-Foias functional calculus $\Phi_T: H^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is an isometry. In 1988, H. Bercovici and B. Chevreau have proved independently that if $T \in \mathbb{A}$, then, for any function $f \in L^1$, there exists $x, y \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $[f] = [x^T y]$, that is, $f^\wedge(-n) = (T^n x, y)$, $n \geq 0$ (see [3], [10]). Notice that if we take $f=1$, we obtain a nontrivial invariant subspace for T .

In this paper, we study the possibility of solving exactly equations of the form $f = x^T y$ (that is, for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(x^T y)^\wedge(n) = f^\wedge(n)$) where f is a given function in L^1 and where $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is an absolutely continuous contraction.

In the case where $T=S$, where S is the usual shift on H^2 (so that $x^S y = x\bar{y}$ for $x, y \in H^2$), this question has been solved by J. Bourgain in 1986, [9]: The above factorization holds for $f \in L^1$, $f \neq 0$ if and only if $\log |f| \in L^1$. Notice that if $\log |f| \notin L^1$, we can nevertheless find $x, y \in H^2$ such that we have $f^\wedge(n) = (x^S y)^\wedge(n)$, $n \neq 1$, whereas the Bercovici–Chevreau Theorem gives $f^\wedge(n) = (x^S y)^\wedge(n)$, $n \leq 0$.

Recall that if T is an absolutely continuous contraction on \mathcal{H} and if σ is a Borel subset of \mathbb{T} , then σ is said to be essential for T (cf., Definition 3.1 in [10]) if:

$$\|f(T)\| \geq \|f|_\sigma\|_\infty, \quad f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{T}).$$

We will denote by $Ess(T)$ the maximal essential Borel subset for T (see Proposition 3.3 of [10]). Also denote by Σ_T (resp. Σ_{*T}) the support of the spectral measure of the unitary part of the minimal isometric dilation (resp.

minimal coisometric extension) of T and by σ_T the Borel set $\text{Ess}(T) \setminus (\Sigma_T \cup \Sigma_{*T})$.

We show (Theorem 4.3) that if $f \in L^1(\sigma_T)$, the equation $f = (x \begin{smallmatrix} T \\ \end{smallmatrix} y)_{|\sigma_T}$ has a solution in $\mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H}$. More precisely, for any infinite array $(f_{i,j})_{i,j \geq 1}$ consisting of elements of $L^1(\sigma_T)$, there exist sequences $(x_i)_{i \geq 1}$, $(y_j)_{j \geq 1}$ of \mathcal{H} such that $f_{i,j} = (x_i \begin{smallmatrix} T \\ \end{smallmatrix} y_j)_{|\sigma_T}$, $i, j \geq 1$.

Recall that $C_{0\cdot} = C_{0\cdot}(\mathcal{H})$ is the class of all contractions $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ such that the sequence $(\|T^n x\|)_{n \geq 1}$ converges to zero for every $x \in \mathcal{H}$, and that $C_{\cdot 0}$ and C_{00} are defined by $C_{\cdot 0} = (C_{0\cdot})^*$, $C_{00} = C_{\cdot 0} \cap C_{0\cdot}$. In the case of the usual shift on H^2 , $\Sigma_T = \emptyset$, but if $T \in \mathbb{A} \cap C_{00}$, then $\sigma_T = \mathbb{T}$, and so T has the following factorization property:

(1.1) For any infinite array $(f_{i,j})_{i,j \geq 1}$ consisting of elements of L^1 , there exist sequences $(x_i)_{i \geq 1}$, $(y_j)_{j \geq 1}$ of \mathcal{H} such that $f_{i,j} = (x_i \begin{smallmatrix} T \\ \end{smallmatrix} y_j)$, $i, j \geq 1$.

This property of the class $\mathbb{A} \cap C_{00}$ was never explicitly stated in the literature, but it can be deduced immediately from Corollary 6.9 in [7] and Proposition 4.2 in [6] (see Remark 1, Section 5). The main new technical result of the paper is given by Theorem 3.2 which says that if $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is an absolutely continuous contraction and if $(u_n)_n$ is a sequence of elements of \mathcal{H} verifying $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|[u_n \begin{smallmatrix} T \\ \end{smallmatrix} w]\| + \|[w \begin{smallmatrix} T \\ \end{smallmatrix} u_n]\|) = 0$ for every $w \in \mathcal{H}$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n \begin{smallmatrix} T \\ \end{smallmatrix} w\|_1 = 0$ for every $w \in \mathcal{H}$. Using this theorem, we can prove that every $T \in \mathbb{A} \cap C_{00}$ satisfies (1.1) following the standard Scott Brown's approximation scheme developed in [8] (see Theorem B, below).

In the last section we discuss factorizations of the form $f = x \begin{smallmatrix} T \\ \end{smallmatrix} x$ for positive functions $f \in L^1$. We show that, if f is strictly positive and lower semi-continuous, such a factorization holds for every $T \in \mathbb{A} \cap C_{00}$ (examples show that this result is the best possible for the class $\mathbb{A} \cap C_{00}$).

The theory of contractions in the class $\mathbb{A} \cap C_{00}$ is based on the following three results.

THEOREM A (Theorem 10 in [4]). *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be an absolutely continuous contraction. For any $f \in L^1(\text{Ess}(T))$ there exist some sequences $(x_n)_n$, $(y_n)_n$ in \mathcal{H} which converge weakly to 0 and such that:*

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - x_n \begin{smallmatrix} T \\ \end{smallmatrix} y_n\|_1 = 0 \\ \|x_n\| \|y_n\| \leq \|f\|_1, \quad n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

THEOREM B (Corollary of Proposition 7.2 in [8]). *Let E, F, G be complex Banach spaces and let $\varphi: E \times F \rightarrow G$ be a bilinear map. Suppose that there exists $K > 0$ such that for any $z \in G$, there exists a sequence $(x_n, y_n)_n$ of elements of $E \times F$ verifying:*

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi(x_n, y_n) - z\| = 0 \\ \|x_n\| \|y_n\| \leq K \|z\| & n \geq 1 \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|\varphi(x, y_n)\| + \|\varphi(x_n, y)\|) = 0 & x \in E, \quad y \in F. \end{cases}$$

Then, for any infinite array $(z_{i,j})_{i,j \geq 1}$ of elements of G and any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exist sequences $(u_i)_{i \geq 1}$ in E and $(v_j)_{j \geq 1}$ in F such that:

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(u_i, v_j) = z_{i,j} & i, j \geq 1 \\ \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \|u_i\| \|v_j\| \leq (K + \varepsilon) \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \|z_{i,j}\|. \end{cases}$$

THEOREM C (Proposition 2.7 in [12]). *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be a contraction in the class C_{00} and let $(x_n)_n$ be a sequence of elements of \mathcal{H} which converges weakly to 0. Then, for any $w \in \mathcal{H}$, we have:*

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|[x_n \ T \ w]\| + \|[w \ T \ x_n]\|) = 0.$$

The proof of our main result, Theorem 3.2, is based on approximations of functions in BMOA by functions in H^∞ due to S. V. Kisliakov ([17, 18]) and rediscovered independently by J. Bourgain (Lemma 1 in [9]) and also on the classical functional model of absolutely continuous contractions (cf. [20]):

For a separable Hilbert space \mathcal{D} , we denote by $L^2(\mathcal{D})$ the classes of measurable functions $u: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ such that:

$$\|u\|_2 := \left(\frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle u(e^{it}), u(e^{it}) \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} dt \right)^{1/2} < \infty,$$

where $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{D}}$ denotes the scalar product in \mathcal{D} . We denote by $L^\infty(\mathcal{D})$ the set of all essentially bounded functions in $L^2(\mathcal{D})$. If $f \in L^\infty = L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$, we can define the multiplication operator M_f on $L^2(\mathcal{D})$ by:

$$(M_f u)(\xi) = f(\xi) u(\xi), \quad u \in L^2(\mathcal{D}), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{T}.$$

In particular, if z denotes the identity map of \mathbb{T} ($z(\xi) = \xi, \xi \in \mathbb{T}$), then M_z is a unitary operator. It follows from [20] that for every absolutely continuous contraction T , there exists a Hilbert space \mathcal{D} and a subspace $\mathcal{H} \subset L^2(\mathcal{D})$ such that:

- \mathcal{H} is semi-invariant for M_z , i.e. $P_{\mathcal{H}} M_{z|_{\mathcal{H}}}^n = (P_{\mathcal{H}} M_{z|_{\mathcal{H}}})^n, n \geq 1$ and
- $P_{\mathcal{H}} M_{z|_{\mathcal{H}}}$ is unitarily equivalent to T .

In this situation, for every x and y in \mathcal{H} , we have:

$$(x^T y)(\xi) = \langle x(\xi), y(\xi) \rangle_{\mathcal{D}}, \quad \text{a.e. on } \mathbb{T}.$$

Also, it follows from Proposition 5 in [2] that \mathcal{D} and \mathcal{H} can be chosen so that $L^\infty(\mathcal{D}) \cap \mathcal{H}$ is dense in \mathcal{H} .

The fact that $L^\infty(\mathcal{D}) \cap \mathcal{H}$ is dense in \mathcal{H} allows us to reduce the proof of Theorem 3.2 to the case where $w \in L^\infty(\mathcal{D}) \cap \mathcal{H}$. We can then use approximation results of Section 2. In this section we present, in a slightly more general form, the method of Kisliakov–Bourgain to approximate, with respect to L^2 -norm, functions in H^p , $p > 4$ (and thus functions in BMOA) by functions in H^∞ with some control on the H^∞ -norm.

The results of Section 5 are based on the theory of compressions for contractions in the class $\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{K}_0}$ (see [6]). Recall that \mathcal{K} is said to be a semi-invariant subspace for $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ (write $K \in SI(T)$) if $\mathcal{K} = \mathcal{M} \cap \mathcal{N}^\perp$ where $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{N} \in Lat(T)$ ($Lat(T)$ denotes, as usual the lattice of all invariant subspaces for T). If $\mathcal{K} \in SI(T)$, the operator $T_{\mathcal{K}} := P_{\mathcal{K}} T|_{\mathcal{K}}$, where $P_{\mathcal{K}}$ denotes the orthogonal projection of \mathcal{H} onto \mathcal{K} , is called the compression of T to \mathcal{K} and we also say that T dilates $T_{\mathcal{K}}$. Remark that if $\mathcal{K} \in SI(T)$ and if $x, y \in \mathcal{K}$, then $x^T y = x^{T_{\mathcal{K}}} y$. In [6] it is proved that if $T \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{K}_0}$, then T has a compression which is unitarily equivalent to an arbitrary diagonal operator with eigenvalues in the open unit disk.

2. APPROXIMATION BY H^∞ IN H^p AND BMOA

We denote by $N^+ = N^+(\mathbb{D})$ the Smirnoff class, which can be defined as the algebra of all holomorphic functions f in \mathbb{D} such that $f = Ag$ where A is an inner function and where g is an outer function (see, for example, Theorem 4.14 in [19]).

LEMMA 2.1. *Let f be a function of N^+ and let $\delta \geq 1$. Then there exists a function $g \in H^\infty$, $\|g\|_\infty \leq \delta$, such that:*

$$\|f - g\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\frac{2 + \delta^2}{\pi}} \left(\int_{E_\delta} |f(e^{it})|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \quad \text{where}$$

$$E_\delta = \{t \in [0, 2\pi); |f(e^{it})| > \delta\}.$$

Proof. Consider the analytic function g in \mathbb{D} defined by $g = fG$ where G is the outer function

$$G(z) = \exp \left\{ (1/2\pi) \int_{E_\delta} (\log \delta - \log |f(e^{it})|) \left(\frac{e^{it} + z}{e^{it} - z} \right) dt \right\}.$$

If we set $F_\delta = \{t \in [0, 2\pi); |f(e^{it})| \leq \delta\}$, then we get:

$$\begin{cases} |g(e^{it})| = |f(e^{it})|, & t \in F_\delta \\ |g(e^{it})| = \delta, & \text{elsewhere.} \end{cases}$$

Thus, the function g belongs to H^∞ and $\|g\|_\infty \leq \delta$. It is clear that:

$$2\pi \|f - g\|_2^2 = \int_{E_\delta} |(f - g)(e^{it})|^2 dt + \int_{F_\delta} |(f - g)(e^{it})|^2 dt.$$

Remark that:

$$\int_{F_\delta} |(f - g)(e^{it})|^2 dt = \int_{F_\delta} |f(e^{it})|^2 |1 - G(e^{it})|^2 dt.$$

If we define the function φ in \mathbb{T} by:

$$\begin{cases} \varphi(e^{it}) = 0, & t \in F_\delta \\ \varphi(e^{it}) = \log \delta - \log |f(e^{it})| & \text{elsewhere,} \end{cases}$$

then $G(e^{it}) = \exp \{ \varphi(e^{it}) + i\tilde{\varphi}(e^{it}) \}$, $t \in [0, 2\pi)$ where $\tilde{\varphi}$ denotes the Hilbert transform of φ . Since $G(e^{it}) = \exp \{ i\tilde{\varphi}(e^{it}) \}$, $t \in F_\delta$, we get:

$$\int_{F_\delta} |(f - g)(e^{it})|^2 dt \leq \delta^2 \int_{F_\delta} |1 - \exp i\tilde{\varphi}(e^{it})|^2 dt.$$

Using the inequality $|1 - e^{ix}|^2 \leq 2x^2$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we obtain that:

$$\int_{F_\delta} |(f - g)(e^{it})|^2 dt \leq 2\delta^2 \int_{F_\delta} |\tilde{\varphi}(e^{it})|^2 dt \leq 2\delta^2 \int_{\mathbb{T}} |\tilde{\varphi}(e^{it})|^2 dt.$$

Since the Hilbert transform is an isometry with respect to the L^2 -norm and since $\varphi(e^{it}) = 0$, $t \in F_\delta$, it follows that:

$$\int_{F_\delta} |(f - g)(e^{it})|^2 dt \leq 2\delta^2 \int_{E_\delta} |\varphi(e^{it})|^2 dt.$$

Since $\delta \geq 1$, it is clear that $|\varphi(e^{it})|^2 \leq |f(e^{it})|^2$ for any $t \in E_\delta$, which implies that:

$$\int_{F_\delta} |(f - g)(e^{it})|^2 dt \leq 2\delta^2 \int_{E_\delta} |f(e^{it})|^2 dt.$$

Moreover, we easily get that:

$$\int_{E_\delta} |(f-g)(e^{it})|^2 dt \leq 4 \int_{E_\delta} |f(e^{it})|^2 dt,$$

and the lemma follows. ■

PROPOSITION 2.2. 1. *Let $p > 4$ and let $f \in H^p$. For any $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$ there exists a function $g \in H^\infty$ such that:*

$$\begin{cases} \|f-g\|_2 < \varepsilon \|f\|_p \\ \|g\|_\infty < c_p \varepsilon^{2/(4-p)} \|f\|_p \end{cases} \quad \text{where } 0 < c_p \leq 6^{1/(p-4)}.$$

2. *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2]$ and let $f \in BMOA$. Then there exists a function $g \in H^\infty$ and a numerical constant $d > 0$ such that:*

$$\begin{cases} \|f-g\|_2 \leq \varepsilon \|f\|_{BMO} \\ \|g\|_\infty \leq d \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \|f\|_{BMO}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. For the first assertion, we may suppose that $\|f\|_p \leq 1$. Since $H^p \subset N^+$ for $p > 0$, there exists a function $g \in H^\infty$, $\|g\|_\infty \leq \delta$, such that:

$$\|f-g\|_2 \leq \sqrt{\frac{2+\delta^2}{\pi}} \left(\int_{E_\delta} |f(e^{it})|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \quad (1)$$

where $E_\delta = \{t \in [0, 2\pi); |f(e^{it})| > \delta\}$. Applying Hölder's inequality, we obtain that:

$$\int_{E_\delta} |f(e^{it})|^2 dt \leq \left(\int_{E_\delta} |f(e^{it})|^p dt \right)^{2/p} m(E_\delta)^{1-2/p}. \quad (2)$$

Moreover, since $\|f\|_p^p \geq (1/2\pi) \int_{E_\delta} |f(e^{it})|^p dt \geq (1/2\pi) \delta^p m(E_\delta)$, we obtain $m(E_\delta) \leq 2\pi/\delta^p$. Hence, we get, for $p > 4$:

$$\|f-g\|_2 \leq \frac{\sqrt{4+2\delta^2}}{\delta^{p/2-1}} \leq \frac{\sqrt{6}}{\delta^{(p-4)/2}}.$$

For $\varepsilon \in (0, 1]$, set $\delta = (\sqrt{6}/\varepsilon)^{2/(p-4)}$. The first assertion follows.

For the second assertion, we may suppose that $\|f\|_{BMO} \leq 1$. By using (1) and (2) for $p = 3$ (for example) and since $BMOA \subset \bigcap_{p>0} H^p$, we get:

$$\|f-g\|_2 \leq K\delta^{1/2} m(E_\delta)^{1/6}$$

for some positive constant $K > 0$. Moreover, by the John–Nirenberg Theorem (see [16]), there exists a numerical constant $k > 0$ such that:

$$m(E_\delta) < \frac{1}{k} \exp(-k\delta).$$

Hence, we have for some constant $c_0 > 0$:

$$\begin{cases} \|f - g\|_2 \leq c_0 \delta^{1/2} \exp\left(\frac{-k\delta}{6}\right) \\ \|g\|_\infty \leq \delta. \end{cases}$$

For ε small enough set $\delta = 6/k \log(1/\varepsilon^2)$. We easily get that:

$$\begin{cases} \|f - g\|_2 \leq \varepsilon \\ \|g\|_\infty \leq d \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \end{cases}$$

for some positive constant $d > 0$, which completes the proof of the lemma. ■

COROLLARY 2.3 ([9, 17, 18]). *Let $\varepsilon \in (0, 1/2]$ and let $f \in L^\infty$. Then there exist $g^+ \in H^\infty$, $g^- \in \overline{H_0^\infty}$ such that:*

$$\begin{cases} \|f - (g^+ + g^-)\|_2 \leq \varepsilon \|f\|_\infty \\ \|g^+\|_\infty + \|g^-\|_\infty \leq c \log\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right) \|f\|_\infty \end{cases}$$

where c is a numerical constant.

Proof. For $f \in L^2$ denote by $\hat{f}(n)$ the n th Fourier coefficient of f and set $P_+(f)(e^{it}) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \hat{f}(n) e^{int}$, $P_-(f)(e^{it}) = \sum_{n < 0} \hat{f}(n) e^{int}$. Since $P_+(H^\infty) \subset \text{BMOA}$ and since L^∞ embeds continuously in BMO (see [15, p. 223]), the corollary follows immediately from Proposition 2.2. ■

3. VANISHING CONDITIONS

Recall that if T is an absolutely continuous contraction, there exists a w^* - w^* continuous L^∞ -functional calculus $\Psi_T: L^\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$. This functional calculus is defined by the formula:

$$(f(T)x, y) = \langle f, x^T y \rangle, \quad x, y \in \mathcal{H}, f \in L^\infty.$$

It is easy to check that this functional calculus is not multiplicative unless T is a unitary operator. For H^∞ , we obtain the usual Sz.-Nagy-Foias functional calculus Φ_T . Also, for $\varphi \in \overline{H^\infty}$, $\Psi_T(\varphi) = \Phi_{T^*}(\tilde{\varphi})$ where $\tilde{\varphi}(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} \hat{\varphi}(-n) z^n$ (see [5], p. 12).

In this section we use Corollary 2.3 to obtain “vanishing conditions.” Remark that we do not use the full strength of BMO estimates.

Let T be an absolutely continuous contraction. We use the same notations as in the introduction. We thus identify \mathcal{H} with a closed subspace of $L^2(\mathcal{D})$ semi-invariant for the multiplication operator M_z such that $L^\infty(\mathcal{D}) \cap \mathcal{H}$ is dense in \mathcal{H} , and we identify T with the compression of M_z to \mathcal{H} . For x in $L^\infty(\mathcal{D})$, set $\|x\|_\infty = \text{ess sup}_{\zeta \in \mathbb{T}} \|x(\zeta)\|$.

LEMMA 3.1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be an absolutely continuous contraction. Let $f \in L^\infty$ and let $x \in L^\infty(\mathcal{D}) \cap \mathcal{H}$. Then we have:*

$$\|f(T)x\| \leq \|f\|_2 \|x\|_\infty.$$

Proof. We have for $y \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |(f(T)x, y)| &= |\langle f, x^T y \rangle| \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi} \left| \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{it}) \langle x(e^{it}), y(e^{it}) \rangle_{\mathcal{D}} dt \right| \\ &\leq \|f\|_2 \|x\|_\infty \|y\|. \end{aligned}$$

The lemma follows. ■

THEOREM 3.2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be an absolutely continuous contraction and let $(x_n)_n$ be a sequence of elements of \mathcal{H} . The following assertions are equivalent:*

- (i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n^T w\|_1 = 0, \quad w \in \mathcal{H}$
- (ii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|[x_n^T w]\| + \|[w^T x_n]\|) = 0, \quad w \in \mathcal{H}.$

Remark. Since $y^T z = \overline{z^T y}$, $y, z \in \mathcal{H}$, we have $\|y^T z\|_1 = \|z^T y\|_1$.

Proof. We only have to prove that if $\|[x_n^T w]\| + \|[w^T x_n]\| \rightarrow 0$ for every $w \in \mathcal{H}$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n^T w\|_1 = 0$ for every $w \in \mathcal{H}$. Assume that the sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ satisfies Condition (ii) and let $w \in \mathcal{H}$. We have

$$|(x_n, w)| = |\langle 1, [x_n^T w] \rangle| \leq \|[x_n^T w]\|,$$

and so the sequence $(x_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges weakly to 0. Let $w \in L^\infty(\mathcal{D}) \cap \mathcal{H}$. Then there exists a function $\varphi_n \in L^\infty$, $\|\varphi_n\|_\infty = 1$ such that $\|x_n^T w\|_1 = (\varphi_n(T) w, x_n)$. Assume that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n^T w\|_1 > 0$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that for $n \geq 1$, $\|x_n^T w\|_1 \geq \tau > 0$, $\|x_n\| \leq 1$, $\|w\|_\infty \leq 1$. By Corollary 2.3, there exist $g_n^+ \in H^\infty$, $g_n^- \in \overline{H_0^\infty}$, such that:

$$\begin{cases} \|\varphi_n - g_n\|_2 \leq \frac{\tau}{3} & \text{where } g_n = g_n^+ + g_n^- \\ \|g_n^+\|_\infty + \|g_n^-\|_\infty \leq c \log\left(\frac{3}{\tau}\right). \end{cases}$$

We have:

$$(\varphi_n(T) w, x_n) = ((\varphi_n - g_n)(T) w, x_n) + (g_n(T) w, x_n).$$

By Lemma 3.1 and Schwartz inequality, we get $|((\varphi_n - g_n)(T) w, x_n)| \leq \tau/3$. Also,

$$\begin{aligned} (g_n(T) w, x_n) &= (g_n^+(T) w, x_n) + (g_n^-(T) w, x_n) \\ &= \langle g_n^+, [w^T x_n] \rangle + \overline{\langle g_n^-, [x_n^T w] \rangle}. \end{aligned}$$

Since the sequences $(g_n^+)_n$ and $(g_n^-)_n$ are bounded in H^∞ and in $\overline{H_0^\infty}$ respectively, and since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|[x_n^T w]\| + \|[w^T x_n]\|) = 0$, we have $|(g_n(T) w, x_n)| \leq \tau/3$ if n is large enough. Hence we obtain $|(\varphi_n(T) w, x_n)| \leq 2\tau/3 < \tau$ if n is large enough, contradicting the assertion $\|x_n^T w\|_1 \geq \tau$. The theorem follows then from the fact that $L^\infty(\mathcal{D}) \cap \mathcal{H}$ is dense in \mathcal{H} . ■

The next Corollary yields information about the continuity of the L^∞ -functional calculus Ψ_T in the particular case where $T \in C_{00}$.

COROLLARY 3.3. *Let T be in the class C_{00} . Then, for any sequence $(\varphi_n)_{n \geq 1}$ in L^∞ such that $\varphi_n \xrightarrow{w^*} 0$, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_n(T) x\| = 0$, $x \in \mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. We will prove the corollary by showing that if $(\varphi_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is a bounded sequence in L^∞ such that $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_n(T) x\| > 0$ for some $x \in \mathcal{H}$, then the sequence $(\varphi_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is not w^* -convergent to 0. In this situation, there exists a sequence $(y_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of elements of \mathcal{H} satisfying $\|y_n\| = 1$ and

$$\|\varphi_n(T) x\| = |(\varphi_n(T) x, y_n)| = |\langle \varphi_n, x^T y_n \rangle|.$$

Recall (see, for example, [12, Proposition 2.7]) that if $T \in C_0$. (resp. $T \in C_{\cdot 0}$) and if $(z_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges weakly to 0, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|[w^T z_n]\| = 0$ (resp. $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|[z_n^T w]\| = 0$) for any $w \in \mathcal{H}$. We can assume, without loss

of generality, that $\delta = \inf_{n \geq 1} \|\varphi_n(T)x\| > 0$ and that there exists $y \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $(y_n)_{n \geq 1}$ converges weakly to y . Set $z_n = y - y_n$. Since $T \in C_{00}$, it follows then from Theorem 3.2 that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x^T z_n\|_1 = 0$. Since the sequence $(\varphi_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is bounded in L^∞ , we have:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle \varphi_n, x^T z_n \rangle| = 0.$$

Also,

$$(\varphi_n(T)x, y) = \langle \varphi_n, x^T y_n \rangle + \langle \varphi_n, x^T z_n \rangle.$$

Hence,

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |(\varphi_n(T)x, y)| = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle \varphi_n, x^T y_n \rangle|$$

with

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\langle \varphi_n, x^T y_n \rangle| = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_n(T)x\| \geq \delta > 0.$$

Since the L^∞ -functional calculus $\Psi_T: f \rightarrow f(T)$ is w^* - w^* continuous from L^∞ into $\mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$, the sequence $(\varphi_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is not w^* -convergent to 0 in L^∞ , and the corollary follows. ■

4. L^1 -FACTORIZATION

We discuss here factorizations of the form $f = x^T y$ where f is a given function in L^1 and where T is an absolutely continuous contraction.

The notation and terminology employed herein agree with those in [11, 20]. Recall that the minimal unitary dilation $U \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$ of an absolutely continuous contraction T is also absolutely continuous.

The minimal isometric dilation U_+ of T is the restriction of $U \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{U})$ to the subspace $\mathcal{U}_+ = \text{Span}\{U^n \mathcal{H}, n \geq 0\}$, which is invariant for U . The operator U_+ has a Wold decomposition $U_+ = S_* \oplus R$ corresponding to a decomposition of \mathcal{U}_+ as $\mathcal{S}_* \oplus \mathcal{R}$, where $S_* \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S}_*)$ is a unilateral shift of some multiplicity and $R \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R})$ is an absolutely continuous unitary operator.

The minimal coisometric extension B of T is the compression of U to the subspaces $\mathcal{B} = \text{Span}\{U^n \mathcal{H}, n \leq 0\} = \text{Span}\{U^{*n} \mathcal{H}, n \geq 0\}$, invariant for U^* (hence semi-invariant for U). The operator B has a Wold decomposition $B = S^* \oplus R_*$ corresponding to a decomposition of \mathcal{B} as $\mathcal{S} \oplus \mathcal{R}_*$, where $S \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{S})$ is a unilateral shift of some multiplicity and where $R_* \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{R}_*)$

is an absolutely continuous unitary operator. We denote by Q, Q_*, A, A_* the orthogonal projections of \mathcal{U} onto $\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}_*, \mathcal{R}, \mathcal{R}_*$ respectively.

Throughout this section, expressions such as maximality, uniqueness, and equality of Borel subsets of \mathbb{T} are to be interpreted as satisfied up to Borel subsets of Lebesgue measure zero.

We denote by Σ_T (resp. Σ_{*T}) the support of the spectral measure of R (resp. R_*).

The following lemma is a direct consequence of Theorem 3.2.

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be an absolutely continuous contraction and let $(x_n)_n$ be a sequence of elements of \mathcal{H} which converges to 0 in the weak topology. Assume that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|Ax_n\| + \|A_*x_n\|) = 0$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n^T w\|_1 = 0$ for every $w \in \mathcal{H}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.7 in [12], we know that:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|[Qw^B Qx_n]\| = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|[Q_*x_n^{U,+} Q_*w]\|.$$

Also, for $w \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$[w^T x_n] = [Qw^B Qx_n] + [A_*w^B A_*x_n] \quad \text{and,}$$

$$[x_n^T w] = [Q_*x_n^{U,+} Q_*w] + [Ax_n^{U,+} A_w].$$

Hence $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|[x_n^T w]\| + \|[w^T x_n]\|) = 0$ for every $w \in \mathcal{H}$. The result follows then from Theorem 3.2. ■

Recall that if T is an absolutely continuous contraction on \mathcal{H} and if σ is a Borel subset of \mathbb{T} , then σ is said to be essential for T (cf., Definition 3.1 in [10]) if:

$$\|f(T)\| \geq \|f|_\sigma\|_\infty, \quad f \in H^\infty(\mathbb{T}).$$

We will denote by $Ess(T)$ the maximal essential Borel subset for T (see Proposition 3.3 in [10]).

LEMMA 4.2. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be an absolutely continuous contraction. For any function $f \in L^1(Ess(T) \setminus (\Sigma_T \cup \Sigma_{*T}))$, there exist two sequences of elements of \mathcal{H} , $(x_n)_n$ and $(y_n)_n$ bounded by $\|f\|_1^{1/2}$ such that:*

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - x_n^T y_n\|_1 = 0 \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|x_n^T w\|_1 + \|y_n^T w\|_1) = 0, \quad w \in \mathcal{H}. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Using the standard functional process of approximation of Bercovici (see [3, 11]), we see that if $f \in L^1(\text{Ess}(T))$ there exist in \mathcal{H} two sequences $(u_n)_n$ and $(v_n)_n$ which converge to 0 in the weak topology and such that:

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - u_n^T v_n\|_1 = 0 \\ \|u_n\| \leq \|f\|_1^{1/2} \quad \text{and} \quad \|v_n\| \leq \|f\|_1^{1/2}, \quad n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

By Lemma 4.1, it is sufficient to prove that, if $f = 0$ a.e. on $\Sigma_T \cup \Sigma_{*T}$, we have:

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|A_* u_n\| + \|A_* v_n\| + \|A u_n\| + \|A v_n\|) = 0.$$

Set $\sigma = \text{Ess}(T) \setminus (\Sigma_T \cup \Sigma_{*T})$ and denote by χ_σ the characteristic function of σ . We have:

$$u_n^T v_n = Q u_n^B Q v_n + A_* u_n^B A_* v_n.$$

Identifying again \mathcal{H} to a closed subspace of $L^2(\mathcal{D})$, we obtain $\chi_\sigma A_* u_n = 0$. Hence $\chi_\sigma(u_n^T v_n) = \chi_\sigma Q u_n^B \chi_\sigma Q v_n$.

Moreover, we have

$$\|\chi_\sigma Q u_n\| \leq \|Q u_n\| \leq \|u_n\| \leq \|f\|_1^{1/2}, \quad (3)$$

$$\|\chi_\sigma Q v_n\| \leq \|Q v_n\| \leq \|v_n\| \leq \|f\|_1^{1/2}. \quad (4)$$

Given that $f \in L^1(\sigma)$, it is clear that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - \chi_\sigma(u_n^T v_n)\|_1 = 0$, that is, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - \chi_\sigma Q u_n^B \chi_\sigma Q v_n\|_1 = 0$. It follows from this that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\chi_\sigma Q u_n\| = \|f\|_1^{1/2} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\chi_\sigma Q v_n\|,$$

which implies that, by (3):

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|u_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Q u_n\| \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|v_n\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Q v_n\|. \end{cases}$$

It follows from the equalities

$$\|u_n\|^2 = \|A_* u_n\|^2 + \|Q u_n\|^2, \quad \|v_n\|^2 = \|A_* v_n\|^2 + \|Q v_n\|^2,$$

that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_* u_n\| = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|A_* v_n\|$.

The proof of $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Au_n\| = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|Av_n\|$ uses similar arguments and is left to the reader. The starting point is the equality:

$$u_n^T v_n = Q_* u_n^{U_*} Q_* v_n + Au_n^{U_*} Av_n. \quad \blacksquare$$

Let $f = (f_{i,j})_{i,j \geq 1}$ be an infinite array of functions in L^1 . We define $\|f\|_1 \in [0, \infty]$ by the formula $\|f\|_1 = \sum_{i,j \geq 1} \|f_{i,j}\|_1$. We can now formulate our main result:

THEOREM 4.3. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be an absolutely continuous contraction and let $\varepsilon > 0$.*

*Then, for any infinite array $(f_{i,j})_{i,j \geq 1}$ of functions in $L^1(\sigma_T)$ where $\sigma_T = \text{Ess}(T) \setminus (\Sigma_T \cup \Sigma_{*T})$, there exist some sequences $(x_i)_{i \geq 1}$ and $(y_j)_{j \geq 1}$ of elements of \mathcal{H} , bounded by $(1 + \varepsilon) \|f\|_1^{1/2}$, such that $f_{i,j} = (x_i^T y_j)_{|\sigma_T}$ ($i \geq 1, j \geq 1$).*

In particular, for any function $f \in L^1(\sigma_T)$, there exist $x \in \mathcal{H}, y \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $f = (x^T y)_{|\sigma_T}$ and $\|x\| \|y\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|f\|_1$.

Proof. By Lemma 4.2 we know that for any $f \in L^1(\sigma_T)$, there exist two sequences of elements of \mathcal{H} , $(x_n)_n$ and $(y_n)_n$ bounded by $\|f\|_1^{1/2}$ such that:

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - x_n^T y_n\|_1 = 0 \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|x_n^T w\|_1 + \|y_n^T w\|_1) = 0, \quad w \in \mathcal{H}. \end{cases}$$

In particular, we get:

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - (x_n^T y_n)_{|\sigma_T}\|_1 = 0 \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (\|(x_n^T w)_{|\sigma_T}\|_1 + \|(y_n^T w)_{|\sigma_T}\|_1) = 0, \quad w \in \mathcal{H}. \end{cases}$$

The proof of the theorem is now an immediate consequence of Proposition 7.2 of [8] applied to the sesquilinear map $A: \mathcal{H} \times \mathcal{H} \rightarrow L^1(\sigma_T)$ defined by the formula $A(x, y) = (x^T y)_{|\sigma_T}$. \blacksquare

In the case where $T \in \mathbb{A} \cap C_{00}$, we have $\Sigma_T = \emptyset = \Sigma_{*T}$ and $\text{Ess}(T) = \mathbb{T}$. Indeed, $T \in C_0$. (resp. $T \in C_{\cdot 0}$) if and only if $\Sigma_{*T} = \emptyset$ (resp. $\Sigma_T = \emptyset$) and $T \in \mathbb{A}$ if and only if $\mathbb{T} = \text{Ess}(T)$. We obtain the following corollary.

COROLLARY 4.4. *Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ be in the class $\mathbb{A} \cap C_{00}$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$.*

Then, for any infinite array $(f_{i,j})_{i,j \geq 1}$ of functions in L^1 , there exist some sequences $(x_i)_{i \geq 1}$ and $(y_j)_{j \geq 1}$ of elements of \mathcal{H} , bounded by $(1 + \varepsilon) \|f\|_1^{1/2}$, such that $f_{i,j} = x_i^T y_j$ ($i \geq 1, j \geq 1$).

In particular, for any function $f \in L^1$, there exist $x \in \mathcal{H}$, $y \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $f = x^T y$ and $\|x\| \|y\| \leq (1 + \varepsilon) \|f\|_1$.

Recall that the class $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ consists in those absolutely continuous contractions T for which given any family $(f_{i,j})_{i,j \geq 1}$ of elements of L^1/H_0^1 , there exist two sequences $(x_i)_{i \geq 1}$ and $(y_j)_{j \geq 1}$ of elements of \mathcal{H} such that $f_{i,j} = [x_i^T y_j]$ ($i, j \geq 1$). By Proposition 4.2 of [6], if $T \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{x}_0}$, there exists a compression $T_{\mathcal{M}}$ of T which is in the class $\mathbb{A} \cap C_{00}$, see below. Using Corollary 4.4 and the equality $x^{T_{\mathcal{M}}} y = x^T y$ for any $x, y \in \mathcal{M}$, we see that the assertion of Corollary 4.4 is still true under the relaxed hypothesis $T \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{x}_0}$. In the other direction, Corollary 4.4 can be deduced immediately from [6, 7] since $\mathbb{A} \cap C_{00} \subset \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ (see Remark 1, Section 5).

5. SPATIAL FACTORIZATIONS FOR THE CLASS $\mathbb{A} \cap C_{00}$

We discuss here factorizations of the form $f = x^T x$, where $T \in \mathbb{A} \cap C_{00}$. If $A = (\lambda_n)_n$ is a sequence of complex numbers, we will say that an operator $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{H})$ is A -diagonal if there exists an orthonormal basis $(e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of \mathcal{H} such that $T e_n = \lambda_n e_n$ ($n \geq 1$). Now we state as a lemma a basic result from [6].

LEMMA 5.1 ([6], Proposition 4.2). *Let $T \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{x}_0}$. Then for every sequence $A = (\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of elements of \mathbb{D} , there exists a compression $T_{\mathcal{M}}$ of T which is A -diagonal.*

For $r \in [0, 1)$ denote by $P_r(t) = (1 - r^2)/|1 - re^{it}|^2$ the usual Poisson kernel, and for $\lambda = re^{i\theta} \in \mathbb{D}$, set:

$$P_{\lambda}(e^{it}) = P_r(\theta - t) = \frac{1 - |\lambda|^2}{|1 - \bar{\lambda}e^{it}|^2}.$$

We will need the following standard fact.

LEMMA 5.2. *Let $f \geq 0$ be a continuous function on \mathbb{T} . Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $c_1, \dots, c_n \geq 0$ and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{D}$ such that:*

$$\left\| f - \sum_{k=1}^n c_k P_{\lambda_k} \right\|_{\infty} < \varepsilon.$$

Proof. For $r \in [0, 1)$, set:

$$f_r(e^{it}) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} r^{|n|} \hat{f}(n) e^{int} = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{is}) P_r(t - s) ds.$$

Then $f_r = 1/2\pi \int_0^{2\pi} f(e^{is}) P_{re^{is}} ds$, the integral being computed in the Bochner sense in $\mathcal{C}(\mathbb{T})$, and there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ such that $\|f - f_r\|_\infty < \varepsilon/2$. Now there exists a Riemann sum $g = 1/2\pi \sum_{j=1}^n (s_{j+1} - s_j) f(e^{is_j}) P_{re^{is_j}}$ such that $\|f_r - g\|_\infty < \varepsilon/2$, and the lemma follows.

Recall that a sequence $A = (\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of elements of \mathbb{D} is dominating if $\|u\|_\infty = \sup_{n \geq 1} |u(\lambda_n)|$ for every $u \in H^\infty(\mathbb{D})$. Denote by ℓ^1_+ the set of all sequences $(c_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of non-negative real number such that $\sum_{n \geq 1} c_n < \infty$. We identify as usual functions on \mathbb{T} which agree almost everywhere.

THEOREM 5.3. *Let $f \in L^1 \setminus \{0\}$. Then the following conditions imply each other.*

1. f is lower semi-continuous (l.s.c.) and strictly positive on \mathbb{T} .
2. For every $T \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{x}_0}$, there exists $x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $x^T x = f$.
3. For every dominating sequence $A = (\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of elements of \mathbb{D} , there exists $(c_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \ell^1_+$ such that $f = \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n P_{\lambda_n}$.

Proof. Denote by \mathcal{F} the set of all finite sums $\sum_{k=1}^n c_k P_{\lambda_k}$, where $c_1, \dots, c_n \geq 0$, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{D}$ and denote by \mathcal{G} the set of all functions $\varphi \in L^1$ which can be written under the form $\varphi = \sum_{n=1}^\infty c_n P_{\lambda_n}$ where $(c_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \ell^1_+$ and where $\lambda_n \in \mathbb{D}$ ($n \geq 1$). Clearly $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \varphi_n \in \mathcal{G}$ if $\varphi_n \in \mathcal{G}$ for $n \geq 1$ and if $\sum_{n=1}^\infty \varphi_n \in L^1$. Let f be a strictly positive continuous function on \mathbb{T} . Then $\delta = \inf \{f(\xi), \xi \in \mathbb{T}\} > 0$. It follows from Lemma 5.2 that there exists $\varphi_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $-\delta/2 \leq f - \varphi_1 - \delta \leq \delta/2$, so that $\delta/2 \leq f - \varphi_1 \leq 2\delta$. By using the same argument, we can construct by induction a sequence φ_n of elements of \mathcal{F} such that:

$$\frac{\delta}{2^n} \leq f - (\varphi_1 + \dots + \varphi_n) \leq \frac{\delta}{2^{n-2}}, \quad n \geq 1.$$

Hence we have:

$$f(\xi) - \frac{\delta}{2^{n-2}} \leq \varphi_1(\xi) + \dots + \varphi_n(\xi) \leq f(\xi) \quad \text{for } n \geq 1, \quad \xi \in \mathbb{D}.$$

So, we have proved that $f = \sum_{n=1}^\infty \varphi_n \in \mathcal{G}$. Now assume that $f \in L^1$ is strictly positive and l.s.c. on \mathbb{T} . Then $\delta = \min_{\xi \in \mathbb{T}} f(\xi) > 0$. There exists a sequence $(f_n)_n$ of non-negative continuous functions on \mathbb{T} such that $f - \delta = \sum_{n=1}^\infty f_n$. Set $g_n = f_n + \delta/2^n$ ($n \geq 1$). Then $g_n \in \mathcal{G}$, and so $f = \sum_{n=1}^\infty g_n \in \mathcal{G}$. Hence there exists a sequence $A = (\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$ of elements of \mathbb{D} and $(c_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \ell^1_+$ such that $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n P_{\lambda_n}$.

Let $T \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{x}_0}$. It follows from Lemma 5.1 that there exists a closed subspace \mathcal{M} of \mathcal{H} semi-invariant for T such that the compression $S = T_{\mathcal{M}}$ is

A -diagonal. Let $(e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{M} such that $Se_n = \lambda_n e_n$ ($n \geq 1$). An immediate computation shows that $e_n \overset{S}{\cdot} e_m = \delta_{n,m} P_{\lambda_n}$ for $n \geq 1$, $m \geq 1$. Hence we have:

$$x \overset{T}{\cdot} x = x \overset{S}{\cdot} x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |(x, e_n)|^2 P_{\lambda_n}, \quad x \in \mathcal{M}.$$

Taking $(x, e_n) = c_n^{1/2}$ for $n \geq 1$, we see that $x \overset{T}{\cdot} x = f$, and Condition (2) is satisfied.

Now, let $f \in L^1 \setminus \{0\}$ satisfying Condition (2), let $A = (\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be a dominating sequence in \mathbb{D} and set $Te_n = \lambda_n e_n$ where $(e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ is an orthonormal basis of the separable Hilbert space \mathcal{H} . Then $T \in C_{00}$ and since $u(T)e_n = u(\lambda_n)e_n$ for $u \in H^\infty$, we see that $T \in \mathbb{A}$. Since f satisfies Condition (2), there exists $x \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $x \overset{T}{\cdot} x = f$. The same computation as above shows that $x \overset{T}{\cdot} x = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n P_{\lambda_n}$ where $(c_n)_{n \geq 1} = (|(x, e_n)|^2)_{n \geq 1} \in \ell^1_+$ and so f satisfies Condition (3).

Now, if $f = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n P_{\lambda_n}$ with $(c_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \ell^1_+$, $(\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{D}$, we can assume that $c_1 > 0$. Set $f_p = \sum_{n=1}^p c_n P_{\lambda_n}$. Then $f(\zeta) = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} f_p(\zeta)$ for $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$ and f_p is strictly positive and continuous for every $p \geq 1$. Hence f is strictly positive and lower semi-continuous on \mathbb{T} , which concludes the proof of the theorem. ■

Remarks. 1. As in the introduction, we say that an absolutely continuous contraction T has Property (1.1) if for any infinite array $(f_{i,j})_{i,j \geq 1}$ consisting of elements of L^1 , there exist sequences $(x_i)_{i \geq 1}$, $(y_j)_{j \geq 1}$ of \mathcal{H} such that $f_{i,j} = x_i \overset{T}{\cdot} y_j$, $i, j \geq 1$. First notice that T has Property (1.1) if and only if there exists a compression of T , say $T_{\mathcal{M}}$, which has Property (1.1). Let $(\mu_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{D}$ be a dominating sequence for \mathbb{T} and let $A = (\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{D}$ be a sequence such that the set $\{n \geq 1; \lambda_n = \mu_m\}$ is infinite for $m \geq 1$. Let S be a A -diagonal operator. It follows immediately from Lemma 5.1 that every $T \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ dilates S . Since the essential spectrum of S is dominating for \mathbb{T} , we have $S \in (BCP) = \bigcap_{0 \leq \theta < 1} (BCP)_\theta$ (see the definitions in [7], p. 354). Applying Corollary 6.9 of [7], we obtain that S has Property (1.1). So every $T \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ has Property (1.1) whereas the definition of the class $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ only gives sequences $(u_i)_{i \geq 1}$ and $(v_j)_{j \geq 1}$ of vectors in \mathcal{H} satisfying the much weaker condition $[u_i \overset{T}{\cdot} v_j] = [f_{ij}]$ ($i, j \geq 1$). The present paper gives a new proof of this fact. By means of Theorem 3.2, we deduce from Theorem A, B and C that every $T \in \mathbb{A} \cap C_{00}$ has Property (1.1). The fact that Property (1.1) holds for any $T \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ follows then immediately from Lemma 5.1 (consider a sequence $A = (\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{D}$ which is dominating for \mathbb{T}). A partial result in this direction was given in [14].

2. For $x = (x_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \ell^2$, $y = (y_n)_{n \geq 1} \in \ell^2$, set $x \cdot y = (x_n \cdot y_n)_{n \geq 1}$. An easy computation shows that if a sequence $(x^{(p)})_{p \geq 1}$ of elements of ℓ^2

converges to 0 in the weak* topology, then $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|x^{(p)} \cdot y\|_1 = 0$ for every $y \in \ell^2$. Now, let $A = (\lambda_n)_{n \geq 1} \subset \mathbb{D}$ be dominating for \mathbb{T} . For $g \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T})$ denote by $P(g)$ the Poisson integral of g . Then the non-tangential limits of $P(g)$ agree with g almost everywhere on \mathbb{T} , and so for $p \geq 1$:

$$\|g\|_\infty = \sup_{n \geq p} |P(g)(\lambda_n)| = \sup_{n \geq p} \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}} P_{\lambda_n} g \, dm \right|.$$

Since $\|P_\lambda\|_1 = 1$ for every $\lambda \in \mathbb{D}$, this implies as well known that the closed absolutely convex hull of the sequence $(P_{\lambda_n})_{n \geq p}$ equals the closed unit ball of L^1 for every $p \geq 1$. Let $f \in L^1$. We deduce from the above observation that for every $\varepsilon > 0$ and every $p \geq 1$, there exists a sequence $(c_n)_{n \geq p} \in \ell_1$ such that:

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{n \geq p} |c_n| < \|f\|_1 + \varepsilon \\ f = \sum_{n \geq p} c_n P_{\lambda_n}. \end{cases}$$

In other terms, we can construct a sequence $(c^{(p)})_{p \geq 1}$ (where $c^{(p)} = (c_n^{(p)})_{n \geq 1}$) of elements of ℓ^1 such that $c_n^{(p)} = 0$ for $n \leq p$, $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{n \geq 1} |c_n^{(p)}| = \|f\|_1$ and $f = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n^{(p)} P_{\lambda_n}$ for every $p \geq 1$.

Let T be a A -diagonal operator and let $(e_n)_{n \geq 1}$ be an orthonormal basis of \mathcal{H} such that $Te_n = \lambda_n e_n$, $n \geq 1$. For $p \geq 1$, $n \geq 1$, let $\alpha_n^{(p)}$ and let $\beta_n^{(p)}$ be complex numbers such that $|\alpha_n^{(p)}| = |\beta_n^{(p)}| = |c_n^{(p)}|^{1/2}$ and such that $\alpha_n^{(p)} \cdot \beta_n^{(p)} = c_n^{(p)}$. Set $\alpha^{(p)} = (\alpha_n^{(p)})_{n \geq 1}$, $\beta^{(p)} = (\beta_n^{(p)})_{n \geq 1}$, $x_p = \sum_{n \geq 1} \alpha_n^{(p)} e_n$ and $y_p = \sum_{n \geq 1} \beta_n^{(p)} e_n$. We easily check that:

$$\begin{cases} x_p \text{ }^T y_p = \sum_{n \geq 1} c_n^{(p)} P_{\lambda_n} = f \\ \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|x_p\| = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|\alpha_n^{(p)}\|_2 = \|f\|_1^{1/2} \\ \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|y_p\| = \lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|\beta_n^{(p)}\|_2 = \|f\|_1^{1/2}. \end{cases}$$

Clearly, the sequence $(\alpha^{(p)})_{p \geq 1}$ converges to 0 for the weak* topology on ℓ^2 , and so $\|w \text{ }^T x_p\|_1 \leq \sum_{n \geq 1} |(w, e_n)| |\alpha_n^{(p)}| \rightarrow 0$ ($p \rightarrow \infty$) for every $w \in \mathcal{H}$. By analogous computations we show that $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|w \text{ }^T y_p\|_1 = 0$ for every $w \in \mathcal{H}$. We can now apply directly Proposition 7.2 of [8] to show that T has Property (1.1).

It follows from Lemma 5.1 that every contraction $T \in \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{x}_0}$ has a A -diagonal compression and we obtain an other proof of the fact that T has Property (1.1), based on dilation theory, which does not depend on the elaborated construction of [7].

3. Here are other examples of contractions $T \in \mathbb{A} \cap C_{00}$ such that $x^T x$ is l.s.c. and strictly positive for every non-zero $x \in \mathcal{H}$. Let $x = (w(n))_{n \geq 1}$ be a strictly decreasing sequence of positive real numbers such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w(n) = 0$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} w(n)^{1/n} = 1$. For $f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D})$, denote by $\hat{f}(n)$ the n th Taylor coefficient of f at the origin. Consider the weighted Hardy space

$$H_w = \left\{ f \in \text{Hol}(\mathbb{D}); \|f\|_w := \left(\sum_{n \geq 0} |\hat{f}(n)|^2 w^2(n) \right)^{1/2} < \infty \right\}.$$

Denote by $\alpha: z \rightarrow z$ the identity map on \mathbb{D} and denote by $S: f \rightarrow \alpha f$ the usual shift operator on H_w . Clearly, $\sigma(S) = \overline{\mathbb{D}}$ and $S \in \mathbb{A} \cap C_{00}$. An easy computation shows that:

$$\begin{cases} S^{*p} \alpha^n = \frac{w^2(n)}{w^2(n-p)} \alpha^{n-p} & \text{for } n \geq p \\ S^{*p} \alpha^n = 0 & \text{for } n < p. \end{cases}$$

Set $\Delta = (1 - SS^*)^{1/2}$, $D = (1 - S^*S)^{1/2}$. We obtain for any $f \in H_w$:

$$\begin{cases} Df = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(1 - \frac{w^2(n+1)}{w^2(n)} \right)^{1/2} \hat{f}(n) \alpha^n \\ \Delta f = \hat{f}(0) + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(1 - \frac{w^2(n)}{w^2(n-1)} \right)^{1/2} \hat{f}(n) \alpha^n. \end{cases}$$

For $|z| < 1$, set

$$\tilde{f}(z) = \sum_{n \geq 0} z^n D S^n f = \sum_{n \geq 0} \left(1 - \frac{w^2(n+1)}{w^2(n)} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{p=0}^n \hat{f}(p) z^{n-p} \right) \alpha^n$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{f}_*(z) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} z^n \Delta S^{*n} f \\ &= \sum_{p \geq 0} \hat{f}(p) w^2(p) z^p \\ &\quad + \sum_{n \geq 1} \frac{1}{w(n)} \left(\frac{1}{w^2(n)} - \frac{1}{w^2(n-1)} \right)^{1/2} \left(\sum_{p \geq 0} \hat{f}(n+p) w^2(n+p) z^p \right) \alpha^n. \end{aligned}$$

Then \tilde{f} and \tilde{f}_* belong to the vector-valued Hardy space $H^2(\mathbb{D}, H_w)$, the maps $f \rightarrow \tilde{f}$ and $f \rightarrow \tilde{f}_*$ are isometries from H_w onto closed subspaces \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} of $H^2(\mathbb{D}, H_w)$ which are invariant for the backward shift T on $H^2(\mathbb{D}, H_w)$. Also S is unitarily equivalent to $T|_{\mathcal{M}}$ and S^* is unitarily

equivalent to $T_{|\mathcal{L}}$. Let $h \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, H_w)$. It is a standard fact that the non-tangential limit $h(e^{it})$ exists almost everywhere on \mathbb{T} , that $\int_0^{2\pi} \|h(e^{it})\|^2 dt < \infty$, and that we have for $h, l \in H^2(\mathbb{D}, H_w)$:

$$\langle h, l \rangle = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_0^{2\pi} \langle h(e^{it}), l(e^{it}) \rangle dt.$$

Hence $(h^T l)(e^{it}) = \langle h(e^{-it}), l(e^{-it}) \rangle$ almost everywhere on \mathbb{T} . Since $f^S g = \tilde{f}^T \tilde{g}$ and since $f^{S*} g = \tilde{f}_*^T \tilde{g}_*$ for $f, g \in H_w$, we obtain, almost everywhere on \mathbb{T} , for any $f \in H_w$:

$$\begin{aligned} (f^S f)(e^{it}) &= \sum_{n \geq 0} (w^2(n) - w^2(n+1)) \left| \sum_{p=0}^n \hat{f}(p) e^{ipt} \right|^2 \\ &= \left| \sum_{p \geq 0} \hat{f}(p) w^2(p) e^{ipt} \right|^2 \\ &\quad + \sum_{n \geq 1} \left(\frac{1}{w^2(n)} - \frac{1}{w^2(n-1)} \right) \left| \sum_{p \geq 0} \hat{f}(n+p) w^2(n+p) e^{ipt} \right|^2. \end{aligned}$$

It follows then immediately from the first equality that if f is a non-zero function of H_w , then $f^S f$ is l.s.c. and strictly positive on \mathbb{T} .

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors wish to thank B. Chevreau and G. Exner for pointing out a gap in their original statement of Theorem 4.3. They also thank the referee for valuable comments and for his help concerning relevant references.

REFERENCES

1. C. Apostol, H. Bercovici, C. Foias, and C. Pearcy, Invariant subspaces, dilation theory and the structure of the predual of a dual algebra, I, *J. Funct. Anal.* **63** (1985), 369–404.
2. H. Bercovici, A contribution to the theory of operators in the class \mathbb{A} , *J. Funct. Anal.* **78** (1988), 197–207.
3. H. Bercovici, Factorization theorems and the structure of operators on Hilbert space, *Ann. Math.* **128** (1988), 399–413.
4. H. Bercovici, Factorization theorems for integrable functions, in “Analysis at Urbana, II” (E. R. Berkson *et al.*, Eds.), Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, UK, 1988.
5. H. Bercovici, Notes on invariant subspaces, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* **23**(1) (1990), 1–36.
6. H. Bercovici, C. Foias, and C. Pearcy, Dilation theory and systems of simultaneous equations in the predual of an operator algebra, I, *Michigan Math. J.* **30** (1983), 335–354.
7. H. Bercovici, C. Foias, and C. Pearcy, Factoring trace-class operator-valued functions with applications to the class $\mathbb{A}_{\mathfrak{N}_0}$, *J. Operator Theory* **14** (1985), 351–389.
8. H. Bercovici, C. Foias, and C. Pearcy, Two Banach space methods and dual operator algebras, *J. Funct. Anal.* **78** (1988), 306–345.

9. J. Bourgain, A problem of Douglas and Rudin of factorization, *Pac. J. Math.* **121**(1) (1986), 47–50.
10. B. Chevreau, Sur les contractions à calcul fonctionnel isométrique, II, *J. Operator Theory* **20** (1988), 269–293.
11. B. Chevreau, G. Exner, and C. Pearcy, Boundary sets for a contraction, *J. Operator Theory* **34** (1995), 347–380.
12. B. Chevreau and C. Pearcy, On the structure of contraction operators with applications to invariant subspaces, *J. Funct. Anal.* **67** (1986), 360–378.
13. P. Duren, “Theory of H^p Spaces,” Academic Press, San Diego, 1970.
14. G. Exner, Some new elements in the class $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{x}_0}$, *J. Operator Theory* **16** (1986), 203–212.
15. J. B. Garnett, “Bounded Analytic Functions,” Academic Press, San Diego, 1981.
16. F. John and L. Nirenberg, On functions of bounded mean oscillation, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* **14** (1961), 415–426.
17. S. V. Kisliakov, Quantitative aspects of correction theorems, *Zapiski Naučn. Sem. LOMI.* **92** (1979), 182–191.
18. S. V. Kisliakov, A sharp correction theorem, *Studia Math.* **113**(2) (1995), 177–196.
19. M. Rosenblum and J. Rovnyak, “Topics in Hardy Classes and Univalent Functions,” Birkhäuser, Basel, 1993.
20. B. Sz.-Nagy and C. Foias, “Harmonic Analysis of Operators on Hilbert Space,” North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970.