Pointwise supercloseness of the displacement for tensor-product quadratic pentahedral finite elements
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A B S T R A C T

In this work, we derive a weak estimate of the second type for tensor-product quadratic pentahedral finite elements over uniform partitions of the domain for the Poisson equation. Combining with an estimate for the $W^{2,1}$-seminorm of the discrete Green's function, pointwise supercloseness of the displacement between the finite element approximation and the interpolant to the true solution is given.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

There have been many studies concerned with superconvergence of the finite element method in 3D. Books and survey papers have been published. For the literature, we refer the reader to [1–22] and references therein. Supercconvergence of prismatic elements (pentahedral elements) was studied in [5,9,12,17,21]. Recently, for pointwise superconvergence of the function value (the so-called displacement), we obtained a result for block finite elements (see [13]). The present work will focus on pointwise supercloseness of the displacement for tensor–product quadratic pentahedral elements.

In this work, we shall use the symbol $C$ to denote a generic constant, which is independent of the discretization parameter $h$ and which may not be the same in each occurrence, and also use the standard notation for the Sobolev spaces and their norms.

The model problem considered is

$$- \Delta u = f \text{ in } \Omega, \quad u = 0 \text{ on } \partial \Omega. \quad (1.1)$$

Here $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, and $\Omega = \Omega_{xy} \times \Omega_z \equiv (0, 1)^2 \times (0, 1) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ is a rectangular block with a boundary, $\partial \Omega$, consisting of faces parallel to the $x$-, $y$-, and $z$-axes.

To discretize the problem, one proceeds as follows. The domain $\Omega$ is firstly partitioned into subcubes of side $h$, and each of these is then subdivided into two pentahedra (triangular prisms). We denote by $\{T^h\}$ a uniform family of pentahedral partitions as above. Thus $\Omega = \bigcup_{\mathcal{P} \in \tau} \mathcal{P}$. Obviously, we may write $\mathcal{P} = D \times L$ (see Fig. 1), where $D$ and $L$ are open, and stand for a triangle parallel to the $xy$-plane and a one-dimensional interval parallel to the $z$-axis, respectively.

We introduce the tensor-product quadratic polynomial space denoted by $\mathcal{P}$, that is,

$$q(x, y, z) = \sum_{(i,j,k) \in I} a_{ijk} x^i y^j z^k, \quad a_{ijk} \in \mathbb{R}, \quad q \in \mathcal{P}.$$
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Let Lemma 2.1.

2. A weak estimate of the second type and supercloseness

Here obviously, the interpolation remainder is

\( R = u - \Pi u \)

\( = (u - \Pi_{xy} u) + (u - \Pi_x u) + (\Pi_{xy}(u - \Pi_x u) - (u - \Pi_x u)) \)

\( = R_{xy} + R_x + R^* , \)  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

where \((\Pi_{xy} u)|_e = \Pi^e_{xy} u, (\Pi_x u)|_e = \Pi^e_x u, \) and \( R^* \) is a high-order term. Thus, it suffices to analyze \( R_{xy} \) and \( R_x \). We first bound the integral

\( \int_{\Omega} \nabla R_{xy} \cdot \nabla v \text{ d}x\text{d}y \text{d}z = \int_{\Omega} (\partial_x R_{xy} \partial_x v + \partial_y R_{xy} \partial_y v) \text{ d}x\text{d}y \text{d}z + \int_{\Omega} \partial_y R_{xy} \partial_z v \text{ d}x\text{d}y \text{d}z = I_{xy} + I_z. \)
By the weak estimate of triangular quadratic elements [23], we have

\[
|I_{xy}| \leq \int_{\Omega_{xy}} \left| \int_{\Omega_{xy}} (\partial_{y} R_{xy} \partial_{y} \psi + \partial_{y} R_{xy} \partial_{y} \psi) \, dx dy \right| \, dz \leq Ch^4 \int_{\Omega_{y}} \|u\|_{4,\infty,\Omega_{xy}} |v|^2_{2,1,\Omega_{xy}} \, dz.
\]

Thus,

\[
|I_{xy}| \leq Ch^4 \|u\|_{4,\infty,\Omega} |v|^h_{1,1,\Omega}.
\] (2.3)

Let \( S_{h} \) be the triangular quadratic finite element space in the domain \( \Omega_{xy} \), and \( \{ \psi_j \} \) the basis of this space. Obviously, the support \( S_j \) of \( \psi_j \) equals the patch of elements that share an internal edge or an internal node. Moreover, if the partition of the domain is uniform, each \( S_j \) is point-symmetric. Then for all cubic polynomials \( p \) on the support \( S_j \) of \( \psi_j \) we have

\[
\int_{S_j} (p - \Pi_{xy} p) \psi_j \, dx dy = 0.
\] (2.4)

The proof of (2.4) is similar to that of Lemma 3.2 in [3].

Since \( v \in S_{h}^{h} (\Omega) \), then \( \partial_{y} v \in S_{h}^{h} (\Omega_{xy}) \). Thus, \( \partial_{y} v = \sum_{j} \alpha_{j} (z) \psi_{j} (x, y) = \sum_{j} \alpha_{j} \psi_{j} \). From (2.4), we then have

\[
|I_{z}| = \left| \int_{\Omega} (\partial_{z} \psi - \Pi_{xy} \partial_{z} u) \partial_{z} \psi \, dx dy \right| \leq \int_{\Omega_{xy}} \sum_{j} |\alpha_{j}| \left| \int_{\Omega_{xy}} (\partial_{z} \psi - \Pi_{xy} \partial_{z} u) \psi_{j} \, dx dy \right| \, dz
\]

\[
= \int_{\Omega_{xy}} \sum_{j} |\alpha_{j}| \left| \int_{S_j} (\partial_{z} u - p) \psi_{j} \, dx dy \right| \, dz.
\]

Set

\[
M = \max_{j} \max_{z \in \Omega} \left| \int_{S_j} (\partial_{z} u - p) \psi_{j} \, dx dy \right|.
\]

Taking the infimum over all \( p \in \mathcal{P}_{3} (S_{j}) \), using the interpolation error estimate, we get

\[
M \leq Ch^6 \|u\|_{5,\infty,\Omega}.
\] (2.5)

Now we define an affine transformation by \( F : \hat{\Omega} \rightarrow \hat{D} \) such that \( D = F (\hat{\Omega}) \), where \( B = (b_{ij}) \) is a matrix of order \( 2 \times 2 \). For all \( w \in L^2 (D) \), we write \( \hat{w} = F^{-1} (w) \). The usual rules of transformation between elements and reference elements (see [3], [24, p. 40] and [23, p. 79]) tell us that there exists a constant \( C \in \mathbb{C} \) independent of the mesh parameters such that

\[
|\hat{w}|_{0,1,\hat{D}} \leq C \det B^{-1} \|w\|_{0,1,D} \quad \text{and} \quad |\hat{w}|_{1,1,\hat{D}} \leq C \|B\| \det B^{-1} \|w\|_{1,1,D},
\] (2.6)

where \( \|B\| \leq Ch \) (see [3]) and \( \det B^{-1} = \frac{\operatorname{mes}(\hat{\Omega})}{\operatorname{mes}(\Omega)} \leq Ch^{-2} \). For a fixed \( z \in L = (z_{i-1}, z_{i}) \), we have \( \partial_{z} v = \sum_{j} \alpha_{j} \psi_{j} = \sum_{j} \alpha_{j} \hat{\psi}_{j} = \hat{\partial}_{z} v \). By the equivalence of norms in the finite-dimensional space, there also exists a constant \( C \), depending only on the reference triangle \( \hat{\Omega} \), such that

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{6} |\alpha_{j}| \leq C \left( \|\hat{\partial}_{z} v\|_{0,1,\hat{D}} + \|\hat{\partial}_{z} v\|_{1,1,\hat{D}} \right).
\] (2.7)

Combining (2.6) and (2.7) yields

\[
\sum_{j=1}^{6} |\alpha_{j}| \leq Ch^{-2} \left( \|\partial_{z} v\|_{0,1,\Omega_{xy}} + \|\partial_{z} v\|_{1,1,\Omega_{xy}} \right).
\]

Summing over all \( D \) in the partition of the domain \( \Omega_{xy} \) gives

\[
\sum_{j} |\alpha_{j}| \leq Ch^{-2} \left( \|\partial_{z} v\|_{0,1,\Omega_{xy}} + \|\partial_{z} v\|_{1,1,\Omega_{xy}} \right) = Ch^{-2} \|\partial_{z} v\|_{1,1,\Omega_{xy}}.
\]
By the Poincaré inequality, we immediately get
\[ \sum_j |\alpha_j| \leq Ch^{-2}|v|_{2,1,\Omega_0}^h. \]  
(2.8)

From (2.5) and (2.8),
\[ |I_2| \leq Ch^4|u||_{5,\infty,\Omega}|v|_{2,1,\Omega}^h. \]  
(2.9)

Using (2.3) and (2.9), we immediately obtain
\[ \left| \int_\Omega \nabla R_{\gamma_y} \cdot \nabla v \, dx\, dy\, dz \right| \leq Ch^4|u||_{5,\infty,\Omega}|v|_{2,1,\Omega}^h. \]  
(2.10)

Next, we consider the integral
\[ \int_\Omega \nabla R_z \cdot \nabla v \, dx\, dy\, dz = \int_\Omega (\partial_\gamma R_z \partial_\gamma v + \partial_\gamma R_z \partial_\gamma v + \partial_\gamma R_z \partial_\gamma v) \, dx\, dy\, dz. \]  
(2.11)

Let \( \{l_j(z)\}_{j=0}^\infty \) be the normalized orthogonal Legendre polynomial system from the space \( L^2(L) \), and \( \partial_\gamma u \in L^2(L) \). For a fixed point \((x, y) \in D\), we then have the following expansion:
\[ \partial_\gamma u = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \mu_j(x, y)l_j(z), \]
where
\[ \mu_j(x, y) = \int_L \partial_\gamma u \, l_j(z) \, dz, \quad j \geq 0. \]

Set
\[ \omega_0(z) = 1, \quad \omega_{j+1}(z) = \int_{z_{j-1}}^z l_j(\xi) \, d\xi, \quad j \geq 0. \]

Then we have
\[ u(x, y, z) = \sum_{j=0}^\infty \beta_j(x, y)\omega_j(z), \quad (x, y, z) \in e = D \times L, \]  
(2.12)

where
\[ \beta_0(x, y) = u(x, y, z_{j-1}), \quad \beta_j(x, y) = \int_L \partial_\gamma u \, l_{j-1}(z) \, dz = O\left(h^{j-\frac{1}{2}}\right), \quad j \geq 1. \]  
(2.13)

Let \( \Pi_z^\gamma \) be the quadratic interpolation operator of projection type with respect to \( z \) defined by
\[ \Pi_z^\gamma u = \sum_{j=0}^2 \beta_j(x, y)\omega_j(z), \quad (x, y, z) \in e = D \times L. \]  
(2.14)

Thus, the interpolation remainder is
\[ R_z = u - \Pi_z^\gamma u = \sum_{j=3}^\infty \beta_j(x, y)\omega_j(z), \quad (x, y, z) \in e. \]

To bound the integral (2.11), it suffices to consider the main term \( r_3 = \beta_3(x, y)\omega_3(z) \). For every \( v \in S_0^h(\Omega) \),
\[ \left| \int_\Omega \partial_\gamma R_z \partial_\gamma v \, dx\, dy\, dz \right| = \left| \int_\Omega \left( \int_L \partial_\gamma \partial_\gamma u(x, y, z)l_2(z) \, dz \right) \omega_3(z) \partial_\gamma v \, dx\, dy\, dz \right| \]
\[ = \left| \int_\Omega \left( \int_L \partial_\gamma \partial_\gamma^2 u(x, y, z)D^{-2}l_2(z) \, dz \right) D^{-1}\omega_3(z) \partial_\gamma v \, dx\, dy\, dz \right| \]
\[ \leq Ch^4|u||_{4,\infty,\Omega}|v|_{2,1,e}. \]

where \( \frac{\partial^2(D^{-2}l_2(z))}{\partial z^2} = l_2(z) \) and \( \frac{\partial(D^{-1}\omega_3(z))}{\partial z} = \omega_3(z) \).
Thus,
\[ \left| \int_e \partial_x R_z \partial_x v \, dx dy dz \right| \leq Ch^4 \| u \|_{4, \infty, \Omega} \| v \|_{2,1,e}. \]  
(2.15)

Similarly,
\[ \left| \int_e \partial_y R_z \partial_y v \, dx dy dz \right| \leq Ch^4 \| u \|_{4, \infty, \Omega} \| v \|_{2,1,e}. \]  
(2.16)

In addition, by the orthogonality of the Legendre polynomial system, we have
\[ \int_e \partial_z r_3 \partial_z v \, dx dy dz = \int_e \beta_3(x, y) l_2(z) \partial_z v \, dx dy dz = 0. \]

Thus,
\[ \int_e \partial_z R_z \partial_z v \, dx dy dz = 0. \]  
(2.17)

From (2.15)–(2.17), summing over all elements yields
\[ \left| \int_\Omega \nabla R_z \cdot \nabla v \, dx dy dz \right| \leq Ch^4 \| u \|_{4, \infty, \Omega} \| v \|_{2,1,\Omega}. \]  
(2.18)

The result (2.1) follows immediately from (2.2), (2.10) and (2.18). □

To analyze pointwise supercloseness, we need to introduce the discrete Green’s function defined by
\[ (\nabla v, \nabla G^h_z) = v(Z) \quad \forall v \in S^h_0(\Omega). \]  
(2.19)

With complicated arguments, we may obtain the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.2.** For \( G^h_z \in S^h_0(\Omega) \) the discrete Green’s function, we have the following estimate:
\[ \| G^h_z \|_{2,1,\Omega} \leq C |\ln h|^\frac{3}{2}. \]  
(2.20)

The proof of Lemma 2.2 can be found in [13]. From (2.1), (2.19) and (2.20), we immediately obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1 (Supercloseness).** Let \( \{ T^h \} \) be a uniform family of pentahedral partitions of \( \Omega \), and \( u \in W^{5, \infty}(\Omega) \cap H^1_0(\Omega) \). For \( u_h \) and \( \Pi u \), the tensor-product quadratic pentahedral finite element approximation and the interpolant corresponding to \( u \), respectively, we have the following supercloseness estimate:
\[ \| u_h - \Pi u \|_{0, \infty, \Omega} \leq Ch^4 |\ln h|^\frac{3}{2} \| u \|_{5, \infty, \Omega}. \]

**Comment.** Lemma 2.1 and Theorem 2.1 are proved under a very high regularity assumption such as \( u \in W^{5, \infty}(\Omega) \). Therefore, the results in the work are only academic.
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