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#### Abstract

The aim of this work is to provide a complete characterization of a $(m, n)$-cube. The latter are the pieces of discrete planes appearing in Theoretical Computer Science, Discrete Geometry and Combinatorics. This characterization in three dimensions is the exact equivalent of the preimage for a discrete segment as it has been introduced by Mcllroy. Further this characterization, which avoids the redundancies, reduces the combinatorial problem of determining the cardinality of the ( $m, n$ )-cubes to a new combinatorial problem consisting of determining the volumic regions formed by the crossing of planes. This work can find applications in Imaging, Vision, and pattern recognition for instance. © 2015 Kalasalingam University. Production and Hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
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## 1. Introduction

In [1] $+[2]$, the following combinatorial result on the Farey vertices $F V(m, n)$ has been suggested:

$$
\exists K>0, \text { such that } \forall(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^{* 2}, \quad|F V(m, n)| \leq K m^{2} n^{2}(m+n) \ln ^{2}(m n)
$$

But, according to other experimentations, the optimal bound would be of order 6:

$$
\exists K>0, \text { such that } \forall(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^{* 2}, \quad|F V(m, n)| \leq K m^{2} n^{2}(m+n)^{2}
$$

[^0]And consequently, at best, the cardinality of the pieces of discrete planes of order $(m, n)$ satisfies the following inequality:

$$
\exists K>0, \text { such that } \forall(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^{* 2}, \quad\left|\mathcal{U}_{m, n}\right| \leq K m^{3} n^{3}(m+n) \ln ^{2}(m n) .
$$

For this purpose, the strategy is to directly focus on the Farey vertices [3] with some tools of Number Theory, Combinatorics and Graph Theory.

In her thesis [4], Debled-Rennesson also studied this problem. Another step forward has been taken by Domenjoud, Jamet, Vergnaud, and Vuillon in [5] where an exact formula (from combinatorial Number Theory) for the cardinality of the $(2, n)$-cubes has been derived. In [3], it was found that the number of straight Farey lines is asymptotically $\frac{m n(m+n)}{\zeta(3)}$ when $m$ and $n$ go to infinity, and $\zeta$ denotes the well-known Riemann Zeta function defined by:

$$
\zeta(s)=\sum_{n=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{n^{s}} \text { on the region }\{s \in \mid \Re(s)>1\} .
$$

By this formula, it is established that it is impossible to improve the bound of the ( $m, n$ )-cubes by the study of Farey lines only.

Our motivation is to obtain a more precise bound on the cardinality of the ( $m, n$ )-cubes by studying a combinatorial problem.

In his Ph-D thesis, David Coeurjolly [6] introduced a characterization of the preimage of a piece of discrete plane. He also worked on this subject in [7]. McIlroy, [8], has shown that the preimage of a discrete segment contains all the necessary informations enabling to characterize a discrete segment. A. Daurat et al. talked about the structure of polyhedron of this preimage in [9].

If we denote the set of discrete segments of length $n$, by $S_{n}$, we have the following combinatorial result: $\left|S_{n}\right|$ is exactly equal to the number of regions (the connected components) formed by the Farey rays of order $n$ in the square $[0,1] \times[0,1]$. This is explained in [8]. And we also know [10] that

$$
\left|S_{n}\right|=1+\sum_{i=1}^{n}(n-i+1) \varphi(i)
$$

where $\varphi$ denotes the Euler's totient function.
In order to extend the notion of preimage of a discrete segment of length $n$ to the three dimensional case of the pieces of discrete planes (of order $(m, n)$ ), our idea is to construct a bijection in order to establish a geometrical link between a piece of discrete plane (or ( $m, n$ )-cube) and a region in three dimensions. The main idea is to incorporate in a unique set the greatest possible amount of informations in order to avoid redundancies.

Indeed, if we talk about possible redundancies, it is because the case can occur where a given ( $m, n$ )-cube is associated to several Farey vertices [1]. In fact, some experiments have shown that it is almost always the case.

Let $\llbracket-m, m \rrbracket$ denotes the set $\{-m, \ldots,-1,0,1, \ldots, m\}$ of consecutive integers between $-m$ and $m$.
Definition 1 ([3]). A Farey line of order $(m, n)$ is a line whose equation is $u \alpha+v \beta+w=0$ with $(u, v, w) \in$ $\llbracket-m, m \rrbracket \times \llbracket-n, n \rrbracket \times \mathbb{Z}$, and which has at least 2 intersection points with the frontier of $[0,1]^{2} .(u, v, w)$ are the coefficients. $(\alpha, \beta)$ are the variables. The set of Farey lines of order $(m, n)$ is denoted by $F L(m, n)$.

Definition 2 ([1]). A Farey vertex of order $(m, n)$ is the intersection of two Farey lines. The set of Farey vertices of order ( $m, n$ ), obtained as intersection points of Farey lines of order $(m, n)$, is denoted by $F V(m, n)$.

We recall that $\rfloor$ denotes the integer part, and $\rangle$ denotes the fractional part.
If $a$ and $b$ are two integers, $a \wedge b$ denotes the greatest common divisor of $a$ and $b$, and $a \vee b$ denotes the least common multiple.
$\operatorname{Card}(A)$ or $|A|$ denotes the cardinality of the set $A$.
Definition 3 ([1]). The Farey diagram for the $(m, n)$-cubes of order $(m, n)$ is the diagram defined by the passage of Farey lines in $[0,1]^{2}$ (see Fig. 1).


Fig. 1. Farey lines of order $(3,3)$.
Definition 4 ([11]). The Farey sequence of order $n$ is the set

$$
F_{n}=\{0\} \bigcup\left\{\frac{p}{q}, \mid 1 \leq p \leq q \leq n, \text { and } p \wedge q=1\right\} .
$$

We mention [11] as a forthcoming modern reference work on the Farey sequences.
Definition 5 ([1]). A Farey edge of order $(m, n)$ is an edge of the Farey diagram of order $(m, n)$. The set of Farey edges is denoted by $F E(m, n)$.

Definition $6([1])$. The Farey graph of order $(m, n)$ is the graph $G F(m, n)=(F V(m, n), F E(m, n))$.
Definition 7 ([1]). A Farey facet of order $(m, n)$ is a facet of the Farey graph of order $(m, n)$. We denote the set of Farey facets of order $(m, n)$ by $F F(m, n)$.

Definition 8. A Farey plane of order $(l, m, n)$ is a plane whose equation is $u \alpha+v \beta+w \gamma+x=0$ with $(u, v, w, x)$ $\in \llbracket 0, l \rrbracket \times \llbracket 0, m \rrbracket \times \llbracket 0, n \rrbracket \times \mathbb{Z}$, and which passes through the cube $[0,1]^{3} .(u, v, w, x)$ are the coefficients. $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ are the variables. We denote the set of Farey planes of order $(l, m, n)$ by $F P(l, m, n)$.

Definition 9. The 3D-Farey diagram of order $(l, m, n)$ is the diagram in $[0,1]^{3}$ defined by the Farey planes of order $(l, m, n)$. We denote the Farey diagram of order $(l, m, n)$ by $F D(l, m, n)$.

Definition 10. The $K H$-diagrams of order ( $m, n$ ) is the 3D-Farey diagram of order ( $m, n, 1$ ). It is denoted by $K H(m, n)$.

Definition 11. A Volumic Farey region of order $(m, n)$ is a volumic connected component of the $K H$-diagram of order $(m, n)$. We denote the set of volumic Farey regions of order $(m, n)$, by $V F R(m, n)$.


Fig. 2. Examples of two (4, 3)-cubes (red and green). (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)

Let $m$ and $n$ be two positive integers. We let $\mathcal{F}_{m, n}$ denote the set $=\llbracket 0, m-1 \rrbracket \times \llbracket 0, n-1 \rrbracket$. Let $\mathcal{U}_{m, n}$ denote the set of all $(m, n)$-cubes.

Definition 12 ([9]). Let $m$ and $n$ be two positive integers. A ( $m, n$ )-pattern is a map $w: \mathcal{F}_{m, n} \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z} . m \times n$ is called the size of the $(m, n)$-pattern $w$. The set of $(m, n)$-patterns is denoted by $\mathcal{M}_{m, n}$.

Definition 13 ([9]). Let $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in[0,1]^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$. The $(m, n)$-cube $w_{i, j}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ at the position $(i, j)$ of a discrete plane $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$ is the ( $m, n$ )-pattern $w$ defined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
w\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) & =p_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}\left(i+i^{\prime}, j+j^{\prime}\right)-p_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(i, j) & & \text { for all }\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{F}_{m, n} \\
& =\left\lfloor\alpha\left(i+i^{\prime}\right)+\beta\left(j+j^{\prime}\right)+\gamma\right\rfloor-\lfloor\alpha i+\beta j+\gamma\rfloor & & \text { for all }\left(i^{\prime}, j^{\prime}\right) \in \mathcal{F}_{m, n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $p_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(i, j)=\lfloor\alpha i+\beta j+\gamma\rfloor$ and $\left\{\left(i, j, p_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}(i, j)\right), \mid(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}\right\}$ defines the discrete plane $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$ (see Fig. 2).
We can reduce to the case where $\gamma \in[0,1[$, if we consider that $\gamma=\lfloor\gamma\rfloor+\langle\gamma\rangle$ in Definition 13.

## Proposition 1 ([9]).

1. The ( $k, l$ )th point of the ( $m, n$ )-cube at the position $(i, j)$ of the discrete plane $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$ can be computed by the following formula:

$$
w_{i, j}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)(k, l)= \begin{cases}\lfloor\alpha k+\beta l\rfloor & \text { if }\langle\alpha i+\beta j+\gamma\rangle<C_{k, l}^{\alpha, \beta} \\ \lfloor\alpha k+\beta l\rfloor+1 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

where $C_{k, l}^{\alpha, \beta}=1-\langle\alpha k+\beta l\rangle$.
2. The ( $m, n$ )-cube $w_{i, j}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)$ depends only on the interval $\left[B_{h}^{\alpha, \beta}, B_{h+1}^{\alpha, \beta}[\right.$ containing $\langle\alpha i+\beta j+\gamma\rangle$, where the $B_{h}^{\alpha, \beta}$ are the numbers $C_{k, l}^{\alpha, \beta}$ ordered in ascending order.
3. For all $h \in \llbracket 0, m n-1 \rrbracket$, if $\left[B_{h}^{\alpha, \beta}, B_{h+1}^{\alpha, \beta}[\right.$ is non-empty, then there exists $i, j$ such that $\langle\alpha i+\beta j+\gamma\rangle \in$ $\left[B_{h}^{\alpha, \beta}, B_{h+1}^{\alpha, \beta}\left[\right.\right.$. Hence the number of ( $m, n$ )-cubes in the discrete plane $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$ satisfies

$$
\left|\mathcal{C}_{m, n, \alpha, \beta}\right|=\operatorname{card}\left(\left\{C_{k, l}^{\alpha, \beta} \mid(k, l) \in \mathcal{F}_{m, n}\right\}\right) \leq m n .
$$

## Corollary 1 ([9]).

1. 

$$
\forall(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in[0,1]^{2} \times\left[0,1\left[, \quad w_{0,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)=w_{0,0}(\alpha, \beta,\langle\gamma\rangle) .\right.\right.
$$

2. 

$$
\begin{aligned}
\forall(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in[0,1]^{2} \times\left[0,1\left[, \forall(i, j) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2}, \quad w_{i, j}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)\right.\right. & =w_{0,0}(\alpha, \beta, \alpha i+\beta j+\gamma) \\
& =w_{0,0}(\alpha, \beta,\langle\alpha i+\beta j+\gamma\rangle) .
\end{aligned}
$$

3. The set of $(m, n)$-cubes of the discrete planes $\mathcal{P}_{\alpha, \beta, \gamma}$ depends only on $(\alpha, \beta)$, and is denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{m, n, \alpha, \beta}$.

## 2. Main results

According to the axiom of choice, there is a choice function Ch:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C h: \mid \mathcal{U}_{m, n} & \longrightarrow[0,1]^{2} \times[0,1[ \\
w & \longmapsto\left(\alpha_{w}, \beta_{w}, \gamma_{w}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $C h$ is an injection.

$$
\left(\alpha_{w}, \beta_{w}, \gamma_{w}\right) \in\left\{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma), w_{0,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)=w\right\}
$$

By reducing the set of arrival values to the values taken by $C h, C h$ is a bijection from $\mathcal{U}_{m, n}$ to $\left\{\left(\alpha_{w}, \beta_{w}, \gamma_{w}\right), w \in\right.$ $\left.\mathcal{U}_{m, n}\right\}$.

Definition 14. Let $(i, j)=(0,0)$. The characteristic of a $(m, n)$-cube $w=w_{0,0}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)$ is the set of $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in$ $[0,1]^{2} \times[0,1[$ such that:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w_{0,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)=w_{0,0}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right) \\
\forall(k, l) \in \mathcal{F}_{m, n}, \gamma<C_{k, l}^{\alpha, \beta} \Leftrightarrow \gamma_{1}<C_{k, l}^{\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}} \\
\forall(k, l) \in \mathcal{F}_{m, n},\langle\alpha k\rangle+\langle\beta l\rangle<1 \Leftrightarrow\left\langle\alpha_{1} k\right\rangle+\left\langle\beta_{1} l\right\rangle<1
\end{array}\right.
$$

We denote it by $\chi(w, C h(w))$, with $\operatorname{Ch}(w)=\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)$ :

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in[0,1]^{2} \times\left[0,1\left[, \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w=w_{0,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \\
\forall(k, l) \in \mathcal{F}_{m, n}, \gamma<C_{k, l}^{\alpha, \beta} \Leftrightarrow \gamma_{1}<C_{k, l}^{\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}} \\
\forall(k, l) \in \mathcal{F}_{m, n},\langle\alpha k\rangle+\langle\beta l\rangle<1 \Leftrightarrow\left\langle\alpha_{1} k\right\rangle+\left\langle\beta_{1} l\right\rangle<1
\end{array}\right\} . \text {. } \text { where }\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)=C h(w)\right.\right.
\end{array}\right.
$$

We denote by $T(m, n)[i, j]=T(m, n)[0,0]$ the set of the characteristics of the $(m, n)$-cubes.

## Lemma 1.

$\forall w \in \mathcal{U}_{m, n}, \quad \chi(w, C h(w)) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. For all $w=w_{0,0}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right) \in \mathcal{U}_{m, n}\left(\right.$ with $\left.\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)=C h(w)\right)$, we have:

$$
\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right) \in\left\{(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in[0,1]^{2} \times\left[0,1\left[, w_{0,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma)=w\right\}\right.\right.
$$

and

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\forall(k, l) \in \mathcal{F}_{m, n}, \gamma_{1}<C_{k, l}^{\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}} \Leftrightarrow \gamma_{1}<C_{k, l}^{\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}} \\
\forall(k, l) \in \mathcal{F}_{m, n},\left\langle\alpha_{1} k\right\rangle+\left\langle\beta_{1} l\right\rangle<1 \Leftrightarrow\left\langle\alpha_{1} k\right\rangle+\left\langle\beta_{1} l\right\rangle<1 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

So, $\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right) \in \chi(w, C h(w))$.
Proposition 2. Let $(i, j)=(0,0) \in \mathcal{F}_{m, n}$. Then,

$$
f: \left\lvert\, \begin{aligned}
& T(m, n)[0,0] \\
& \chi(w, \operatorname{Ch}(w)) \longmapsto \mathcal{U}_{m, n} \\
& w_{0,0}(\operatorname{Ch}(w))
\end{aligned}\right.
$$

is a bijection.
Let us define $\mathcal{O}(w, C h(w))$ as:

$$
\mathcal{O}(w, C h(w))=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in[0,1]^{2} \times\left[0,1\left[, \quad\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\forall(k, l) \in \mathcal{F}_{m, n}, & \lfloor\alpha k\rfloor+\lfloor\beta l\rfloor=\left\lfloor\alpha_{1} k\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\beta_{1} l\right\rfloor \\
\forall(k, l) \in \mathcal{F}_{m, n}, & \gamma<C_{k, l}^{\alpha, \beta} \Leftrightarrow \gamma_{1}<C_{k, l}^{\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}} \\
\forall(k, l) \in \mathcal{F}_{m, n}, & \langle\alpha k\rangle+\langle\beta l\rangle<1 \Leftrightarrow\left\langle\alpha_{1} k\right\rangle+\left\langle\beta_{1} l\right\rangle<1
\end{array}\right\} . .4 .\right.\right.
\end{array}\right.
$$

## Proposition 3.

$$
\forall w \in \mathcal{U}_{m, n}, \quad \chi(w, C h(w))=\mathcal{O}(w, C h(w)) .
$$

Proof. If we fix a $w=w_{0,0}(\operatorname{Ch}(w))$ where $\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)=C h(w)$, we can study his characteristic:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in[0,1]^{2} \times\left[0,1\left[, \quad\left\{\begin{array}{l}
w=w_{0,0}(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \\
\forall(k, l) \in \mathcal{F}_{m, n}, \gamma<C_{k, l}^{\alpha, \beta} \Leftrightarrow \gamma_{1}<C_{k, l}^{\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}} \\
\forall(k, l) \in \mathcal{F}_{m, n},\langle\alpha k\rangle+\langle\beta l\rangle<1 \Leftrightarrow\left\langle\alpha_{1} k\right\rangle+\left\langle\beta_{1} l\right\rangle<1
\end{array}\right\} . \text {. } \text { where }\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)=C h(w)\right.\right.
\end{array}\right.
$$

The membership conditions of ( $\alpha, \beta, \gamma$ ) with this set can be rewritten:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall l \in \llbracket 0, n-1 \rrbracket \\
& {\left[\forall k \in \llbracket 0, m-1 \rrbracket, w_{0,0}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)(k, l)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\lfloor\alpha k+\beta l\rfloor & \text { if } \gamma<C_{k, l}^{\alpha, \beta} \\
\lfloor\alpha k+\beta l\rfloor+1 & \text { in other cases }
\end{array}\right] .\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

So,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall l \in \llbracket 0, n-1 \rrbracket \\
& {\left[\forall k \in \llbracket 0, m-1 \rrbracket,\lfloor\alpha k+\beta l\rfloor=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
w_{0,0}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)(k, l) & \text { if } \gamma<C_{k, l}^{\alpha, \beta} \\
w_{0,0}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)(k, l)-1 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right] .\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

Under the properties of the characteristics of the $(m, n)$-cube $w_{0,0}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)$, we deduce that the system can be rewritten:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall l \in \llbracket 0, n-1 \rrbracket \\
& {\left[\forall k \in \llbracket 0, m-1 \rrbracket,\lfloor\alpha k+\beta l\rfloor=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
w_{0,0}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)(k, l) & \text { if } \gamma_{1}<C_{k, l}^{\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}} \\
w_{0,0}\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)(k, l)-1 & \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right] .\right.}
\end{aligned}
$$

So, in both cases, because of Proposition 1, we derive:

$$
\forall l \in \llbracket 0, n-1 \rrbracket, \forall k \in \llbracket 0, m-1 \rrbracket, \quad\lfloor\alpha k+\beta l\rfloor=\left\lfloor\alpha_{1} k+\beta_{1} l\right\rfloor .
$$

Then, with the last condition on $\chi(w, C h(w))$, it yields the claim.

For the first time, and by analogy with the works of McIlroy in [8], for the first time, we are able to give a formula (which has to be precised) giving the cardinality of the ( $m, n$ )-cubes, as a combinatorial identity:

## Proposition 4.

$$
\left|\mathcal{U}_{m, n}\right|=\left|\left\{\mathcal{O}(w, C h(w)), w \in \mathcal{U}_{m, n}\right\}\right| .
$$

Proof. Follows from Propositions 2 and 3.

### 2.0.1. Study of $\mathcal{O}(w, \operatorname{Ch}(w))$

If $\in \mathcal{U}_{m, n}$, let us define $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}(w, C h(w))$ as follows:

$$
\mathcal{O}^{\prime}(w, C h(w))=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in[0,1]^{2} \times[0,1[,
\end{array}\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\forall k \in \llbracket 0, m-1 \rrbracket, & \lfloor\alpha k\rfloor=\left\lfloor\alpha_{1} k\right\rfloor \\
\forall l \in \llbracket 0, n-1 \rrbracket, & \lfloor\beta l\rfloor=\left\lfloor\beta_{1} l\right\rfloor \\
\forall(k, l) \in \mathcal{F}_{m, n}, & \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha k+\beta l<1+\lfloor\alpha k\rfloor+\lfloor\beta l\rfloor \\
\Leftrightarrow \\
\alpha_{1} k+\beta_{1} l<1+\left\lfloor\alpha_{1} k\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\beta_{1} l\right\rfloor
\end{array}\right. \\
\\
\forall(k, l) \in \mathcal{F}_{m, n}, & \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\gamma<1-\alpha k-\beta l+\lfloor\alpha k+\beta l\rfloor \\
\Leftrightarrow \\
\gamma_{1}<1-\alpha_{1} k-\beta_{1} l+\left\lfloor\alpha_{1} k+\beta_{1} l\right\rfloor
\end{array}\right.
\end{array}\right\} .\right.
$$

Proposition 5. Let $w \in \mathcal{U}_{m, n}$. Then,

$$
\mathcal{O}^{\prime}(w, C h(w))=\mathcal{O}(w, C h(w))
$$

Proof. The equation

$$
\forall(k, l) \in \mathcal{F}_{m, n}, \quad\lfloor\alpha k\rfloor+\lfloor\beta l\rfloor=\left\lfloor\alpha_{1} k\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\beta_{1} l\right\rfloor
$$

gives, in particular, that

$$
\forall k \in \llbracket 0, m-1 \rrbracket, \quad\lfloor\alpha k\rfloor=\left\lfloor\alpha_{1} k\right\rfloor
$$

and,

$$
\forall l \in \llbracket 0, n-1 \rrbracket, \quad\lfloor\beta l\rfloor=\left\lfloor\beta_{1} l\right\rfloor .
$$

Reciprocally, if

$$
\begin{cases}\forall k \in \llbracket 0, m-1 \rrbracket, & \lfloor\alpha k\rfloor=\left\lfloor\alpha_{1} k\right\rfloor \\ \forall l \in \llbracket 0, n-1 \rrbracket, & \lfloor\beta l\rfloor=\left\lfloor\beta_{1} l\right\rfloor\end{cases}
$$

then

$$
\left.\forall(k, l) \in \mathcal{F}_{m, n}, \quad \text { we have }\lfloor\alpha k\rfloor+\lfloor\beta l\rfloor=\left\lfloor\alpha_{1} k\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\beta_{1}\right\rfloor\right\rfloor .
$$

The remaining of the assertion lies on the fact that:

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{k, l}^{\alpha, \beta} & =1-\langle\alpha k+\beta l\rangle \\
& =1-\alpha k-\beta l+\lfloor\alpha k+\beta l\rfloor
\end{aligned}
$$

## Corollary 2.

$$
h: \left\lvert\,\left\{\begin{aligned}
\left\{\mathcal{O}^{\prime}(w, C h(w)), w \in \mathcal{U}_{m, n}\right\} & \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_{m, n} \\
\mathcal{O}^{\prime}(w, C h(w)) & \longmapsto w
\end{aligned}\right.\right.
$$

is a bijection.

## Corollary 3.

$$
\left|\left\{\mathcal{O}^{\prime}(w, C h(w)), w \in \mathcal{U}_{m, n}\right\}\right|=\left|\mathcal{U}_{m, n}\right| .
$$

## Lemma 2.

$$
(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in \mathcal{O}^{\prime}(w, C h(w)) \quad \Rightarrow \forall(k, l) \in \mathcal{F}_{m, n}, \quad\lfloor\alpha k+\beta l\rfloor=\left\lfloor\alpha_{1} k+\beta_{1} l\right\rfloor .
$$

## Proof.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\forall(k, l) \in \mathcal{F}_{m, n}, \quad \text { we have: }\lfloor\alpha k+\beta l\rfloor & = \begin{cases}\lfloor\alpha k\rfloor+\lfloor\beta l\rfloor & \text { if }\langle\alpha k\rangle+\langle\beta l\rangle<1 \\
\lfloor\alpha k\rfloor+\lfloor\beta l\rfloor+1 & \text { if }\langle\alpha k\rangle+\langle\beta l\rangle \geq 1\end{cases} \\
& = \begin{cases}\left\lfloor\alpha_{1} k\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\beta_{1} l\right\rfloor & \text { if }\left\langle\alpha_{1} k\right\rangle+\left\langle\beta_{1} l\right\rangle<1 \\
\left\lfloor\alpha_{1} k\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\beta_{1} l\right\rfloor+1 & \text { if }\left\langle\alpha_{1} k\right\rangle+\left\langle\beta_{1} l\right\rangle \geq 1\end{cases} \\
& =\left\lfloor\alpha_{1} k+\beta_{1} l\right\rfloor .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, we can express the fact differently:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{O}^{\prime}(w, \operatorname{Ch}(w)) \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{l}
(\alpha, \beta, \gamma) \in[0,1]^{2} \times[0,1[, \\
\\
\text { where }\left(\alpha_{1}, \beta_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Ch(w)}
\end{array} \quad\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\forall k \in \llbracket 0, m-1 \rrbracket, & \lfloor\alpha k\rfloor=\left\lfloor\alpha_{1} k\right\rfloor \\
\forall l \in \llbracket 0, n-1 \rrbracket, & \lfloor\beta l\rfloor=\left\lfloor\beta_{1} l\right\rfloor \\
\forall(k, l) \in \mathcal{F}_{m, n}, & \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha k+\beta l+0 \gamma<1+\left\lfloor\alpha_{1} k\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\beta_{1} l\right\rfloor \\
\Leftrightarrow \\
\alpha_{1} k+\beta_{1} l+0 \gamma_{1}<1+\left\lfloor\alpha_{1} k\right\rfloor+\left\lfloor\beta_{1} l\right\rfloor \\
\forall(k, l) \in \mathcal{F}_{m, n},
\end{array}\right. \\
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\alpha k+\beta l+\gamma<1+\left\lfloor\alpha_{1} k+\beta_{1} l\right\rfloor \\
\Leftrightarrow \\
\alpha_{1} k+\beta_{1} l+\gamma_{1}<1+\left\lfloor\alpha_{1} k+\beta_{1} l\right\rfloor
\end{array}\right.
\end{array}\right\} .\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Remark 1. We notice that this last expression of the characteristics for a $(m, n)$-cube is interesting as the right members of the inequalities defining the characteristics are independent of $(\alpha, \beta)$.
So, by Corollary 3 , and by using the fact that the number of sets of the form $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}(w, C h(w))$ is lower than $|V F R(m, n)|$, we derive the main theorem:

## Theorem 1.

$$
\forall(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^{* 2}, \quad\left|\mathcal{U}_{m, n}\right| \leq|V F R(m, n)| .
$$

## 3. Conclusion and scope

In this paper, we have shown that it is possible to completely characterize a $(m, n)$-cube by a unique set. By adding other conditions in our definition of geometrical characterization, we have more informations to characterize the ( $m, n$ )-cube, and the obtained set remains enough general, because it is again defined by Farey planes of order ( $m, n, 1$ ).

If we consider the derived sets, of the type $\mathcal{O}^{\prime}(w, C h(w))$, they are formed by some Farey planes of order ( $m, n, 1$ ). This shows that the upper bound for the cardinality of the ( $m, n$ )-cubes, can be in particular bounded by the number of volumic connected components formed in the $K H$-diagram of order $(m, n)$. Hence,

$$
\forall(m, n) \in \mathbb{N}^{* 2}, \quad\left|\mathcal{U}_{m, n}\right| \leq|V F R(m, n)| .
$$
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