Journal of the American College of Cardiology © 2001 by the American College of Cardiology Published by Elsevier Science Inc.

EDITORIAL COMMENT

Endovascular Aneurysm Repair With the AneuRx Stent-Graft Is Safe, But Is it Effective?*

Timothy A. M. Chuter, MD, FACS San Francisco, California

In this issue of the *Journal*, Howell et al. (1) present some tuly remarkable results. Working in an interventional cardiology suite, they were able to implant the Medtronic AneuRx stent graft in all but one of 215 patients. Most of these patients had major comorbidity with an American Society of Anesthesiologists grade of IV or higher in 58.6% of patients. All these sick patients underwent stent-graft implantation under general anesthesia, yet only one patient suffered a non–Q-wave myocardial infarction, none died in the perioperative period, and most went home the next day.

See page 1040

One notable aspect of the technique described by Howell et al. (1) is the use of the Prostar XL device to facilitate percutaneous arterial closure. The 16F contralateral access site was closed this way in 174 of 188 attempts, and the 22F ipsilateral access site was closed in 26 of 27 attempts. Few centers have achieved such a high rate of success with percutaneous arterial insertion of large-bore sheaths. Indeed, one wonders, given their results, why Howell et al. (1) did not attempt totally percutaneous repair in more cases.

Based on these results, one can certainly say endovascular aneurysm repair with the AneuRx device was safe, but one cannot say it was effective. The efficacy of endovascular aneurysm repair is usually assessed by the number of endoleaks (types 1 and 3), the rate of diameter change, and the incidence of rupture.

In the report by Howell et al. (1), the data on early endoleak are marred by a reliance on intraoperative angiography. Most of the patients in their study (1) had contrastenhanced computed tomography (CT) prior to discharge from the hospital and again one month later, yet these data are not presented. Nevertheless, the rate of endoleak is close to the rate seen in multicenter studies of the AneuRx device. For example, the core laboratory data for the Food and Drug Administration (FDA) study (2) show an early endoleak rate of 50%. Most of these leaks are designated type IV based on their diffuse appearance. This type of endoleak, through tiny holes in the fabric, is often assumed to be self-limited and of no significance, an assumption that may be ill founded. Holes in the fabric can transmit pressure to the aneurysm, even when they are small and plugged with thrombus. In my opinion, the porosity of the AneuRx graft accounts for the lower rate of aneurysm shrinkage relative to the rate seen with less porous stent grafts, such as the Guidant Ancure device (3).

The high rate of late rupture following endovascular aneurysm repair with the AneuRx device is a particular cause for concern (4,5). If one excludes the cases of perioperative rupture, the mean time to rupture of published cases was almost two years (4). Howell et al. (1) are not in the position to assess this aspect of device performance, because only 22 of their 214 patients were followed longer than two years. According to a recent health care advisory, the FDA has information on 25 cases of late rupture. This is a small number relative to the total number of devices inserted, but more worrisome when considered as a proportion of the small number of long-term implants.

The AneuRx device is not the only one associated with a high incidence of late rupture. Worldwide, even more cases of rupture followed repair with the Vanguard device. It is instructive to remember that the Vanguard, and its precursor the Stentor, looked very promising in the short-tomedium term (6), and by the time that problems became apparent, many patients had been treated. Other devices are less prone to late aneurysm rupture. For example, not a single case of late rupture has been reported following treatment with an Ancure bifurcated stent graft.

Reports of fabric tears, stent fractures, component separation, and migration are also worrisome. A recent study from Italy (7) showed migration of 10 mm or more in approximately 27% of AneuRx cases at three years. The corresponding incidence for the Ancure device was 0.5% (8).

In conclusion, every aspect of stent-graft function is device specific. Some devices are stable and effective, but others are not. The excellent results reported by Howell et al. (1) show that the AneuRx device can be safely implanted in an interventional suite. In two more years they will have the data to show whether or not this operation provided durable protection from aneurysm rupture.

Reprint requests and correspondence: Dr. Timothy A. M. Chuter, Division of Vascular Surgery, UCSF, 505 Parnassus Ave., M-488, San Francisco, California 94143. E-mail: chutert@ surgery.ucsf.edu.

REFERENCES

- Howell MH, Strickman N, Mortazavi A, Hallman CH, Krajcer Z. Preliminary results of endovascular abdominal aortic aneurysm exclusion with the AneuRx stent-graft. J Am Coll Cardiol 2001;38:1040–6.
- Zarins CK, White RA, Hodgson KJ, et al. Endoleak as a predictor of outcome after endovascular aneurysm repair: AneuRx multicenter clinical trial. J Vasc Surg 2000;32:90–107.
- 3. Makaroun MS. The Ancure endografting system: an update. J Vasc Surg 2001;33:S129-34.

^{*}Editorials published in the *Journal of the American College of Cardiology* reflect the views of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of *JACC* or the American College of Cardiology.

From the Division of Vascular Surgery, University of California at San Francisco, San Francisco, California.

1048 Chuter Editorial Comment

- 4. Zarins CK, White RA, Moll FL, et al. The AneuRx stent graft: four-year results and worldwide experience 2000. J Vasc Surg 2001;33: S135-45.
- 5. FDA Public Health Notification: Problems with endovascular grafts for treatment of abdominal aortic aneurysm (AAA). April 27, 2000.
- Beebe HG, Cronenwett JL, Katzen BT, et al. Results of an aortic endograft trial: impact of device failure beyond 12 months. J Vasc Surg 2001;33:S55-63.
- Cao P, Verzini F, De Rango P, et al. Device migration after endoluminal AAA repair: analysis of 83 cases with minimum follow-up of 2 years. Presented at the Society for Vascular Surgery, Baltimore, MD, June 10, 2001.
- 8. Makaroun MS, Chaikof EL, Naslund T, Matsumura J. Efficacy of the bifurcated Ancure endograft versus open repair of abdominal aortic aneurysm: a reappraisal. Presented at the Society for Vascular Surgery, Baltimore, MD, June 10, 2001.