Additive Commutators of Rational 2×2 Matrices*

Olga Taussky Department of Mathematics California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California 91109

Recommended by Hans Schneider

ABSTRACT

The expression of det (AB-BA) as a norm in both $(Q(\sqrt{m}) \text{ and } Q(\sqrt{n}) \text{ for } 2 \times 2$ rational matrices with characteristic roots in $Q(\sqrt{m})$, resp. $Q(\sqrt{n})$, is studied here further, see [1]. A necessary and sufficient condition for this element to be also a norm in $Q(\sqrt{m}, \sqrt{n})$ is obtained.

In a recent note [6] the following fact was proved:

THEOREM 1. Let A, B be integral 2×2 matrices. Let the characteristic roots of A be α, α' , assumed irrational. Then the determinant of AB - BA is a negative norm in $Q(\alpha)$.

This is now complemented by the following result, which concerns rational matrices. Although Theorem 1 dealt with integral matrices, the norm obtained is, in general, not the norm of an integer. However, the application of the whole investigation is of a number theoretic nature. For, expressing a rational number as a norm in a quadratic field leads to the solution of a ternary diophantine equation $ax^2 + by^2 + cz^2 = 0$, where a, b, c are integers.

THEOREM 2. Every negative norm in a quadratic field $Q(\sqrt{m})$ can be represented as det(AB - BA), where A, B are 2×2 rational matrices and A has its characteristic roots in $Q(\sqrt{m})$.

This result will be obtained by an extension of another theorem stated without proof in [6].

^{*}This work was carried out (in part) under an NSF grant. It was presented at the International Congress in Vancouver, B.C. in August 1974.

THEOREM 3. Let Z be the matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ d & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, where d is a rational number, not a square. If Z is expressed in the form Z = XY - YX, where X, Y are rational matrices,¹ then X can be so chosen that its characteristic roots lie in a field $Q(\sqrt{M})$, where M is an arbitrary norm in $Q(\sqrt{d})$.

Proof of Theorem 3. Let

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} x_{11} & x_{12} \\ x_{21} & x_{22} \end{pmatrix}, \qquad Y = \begin{pmatrix} y_{11} & y_{12} \\ y_{21} & y_{22} \end{pmatrix};$$

then

 $Z = XY - YX = \begin{pmatrix} z_{11} & z_{12} \\ z_{21} & z_{22} \end{pmatrix},$

where

$$z_{11} = -z_{22} = x_{12} y_{21} - x_{21} y_{12}, \tag{1}$$

$$z_{12} = (x_{11} - x_{22})y_{12} - (y_{11} - y_{22})x_{12}, \qquad (2)$$

$$z_{21} = -(x_{11} - x_{22})y_{21} + (y_{11} - y_{22})x_{21}.$$
 (3)

If
$$Z = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ d & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
, then
 $z_{11} = 0, \quad z_{12} = 1, \quad z_{21} = d.$ (4)

The number z_{11} can be considered as the negative determinant of the last two linear equations defined by (4) with $x_{11} - x_{22}$, $y_{11} - y_{22}$ as unknowns. Since $z_{11} = 0$, we have

$$-dy_{12} = y_{21}, \qquad -dx_{12} = x_{21}. \tag{5}$$

It further follows that both $x_{11} - x_{22}$, x_{12} can be chosen arbitrarily. Hence the characteristic roots of X lie in the field generated by the square root of $(\operatorname{tr} X)^2 - 4 \det X = (x_{11} - x_{22})^2 - 4 dx_{12}^2$, which is an arbitrary norm in $Q(\sqrt{d})$. This proves Theorem 3.

Proof of Theorem 2. Let d, m be given rational numbers, not squares, and let d be a norm in $Q(\sqrt{m})$. Express $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ d & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ in the form XY - YX, where

¹This is possible, by a theorem of Shoda [5].

by Theorem 3 the characteristic roots of X are arbitrary norms in $Q(\sqrt{d})$. Since d is a norm in $Q(\sqrt{m})$, it follows that m is a norm in $Q(\sqrt{d})$.² Hence the matrix X can be chosen with characteristic roots in $Q(\sqrt{m})$. (The rational parts of the roots of X are arbitrary too, since a rational scalar matrix may be added to X without changing $x_{11} - x_{22}, x_{12}$.) Denote this matrix by A,

and any matrix Y which satisfies the equation $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ d & 0 \end{pmatrix} = AY - YA$ by B. By

Eq. (2) there is such a B. We then have

$$\det(AB - BA) = -d.$$

To summarize: In the equation

$$C = (AB - BA)$$

with characteristic roots of A in $Q(\sqrt{m})$, we have: det C is a negative norm in $Q(\sqrt{m})$ and m is a norm in $Q(\sqrt{-\det C})$. In particular, this also establishes a link between the fields $Q(\sqrt{d})$ and $Q(\sqrt{-d})$, for -d is a norm in $Q(\sqrt{d})$.

Theorem 1 as stated here is only part of Theorem 1 in [6]. There it was shown that det(AB - BA) is simultaneously a negative norm in $Q(\sqrt{n})$ if B has its characteristic roots in $Q(\sqrt{n})$. The question then arises: if $AB - BA = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ d & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ is given, as well as the field $Q(\sqrt{m})$ in which the

characteristic roots of A lie, how arbitrary is the field $Q(\sqrt{n})$ in which the roots of B lie?

This question is answered by the following theorem:³

THEOREM 4.⁴ The numbers m, n satisfy the relation

$$4mn = r^2 - d, \qquad r \in Q.$$

⁹This follows by an easy computation and is expressed in one of the properties of Hilbert's norm residue symbol.

 ^{3}In connection with Theorems 4 and 5 advice by J. Carroll, H. Kisilevsky, H. Zassenhaus and E. C. Dade was helpful.

⁴Here we have to be careful about the meaning of m and n. For when talking about $Q(\sqrt{m})$, the number m is given up to a square factor. What we need for m here is the discriminant of the characteristic polynomial of the matrix in question.

Hence mn is a norm in $Q(\sqrt{d})$ of a special nature, and d is a norm in $Q(\sqrt{mn})$.

Proof of Theorem 4. In the proof of Theorem 3 it is shown that

$$4m = (x_{11} - x_{22})^2 - 4dx_{12}^2 = \operatorname{norm}(\alpha) \quad \text{with } \alpha \in Q(\sqrt{d}), \quad (6)$$

$$4n = (y_{11} - y_{22})^2 - 4dy_{12}^2 = \operatorname{norm}(\beta) \quad \text{with } \beta \in Q(\sqrt{d}).$$
(7)

Further, via (4):

$$\alpha\beta = [(x_{11} - x_{22}) y_{12} - (y_{11} - y_{22}) x_{12}\lambda] \cdot 2\sqrt{d} + r = 2\sqrt{d} + r, \qquad (8)$$

where α, β are each chosen as one of the two conjugates of the two elements in (6), (7), and r is a rational number. Taking norms in (8) leads to the result. The number r can be obtained by using (6) and (7) again, leading to

$$r = (x_{11} - x_{22})(y_{11} - y_{22}) - 4dx_{12}y_{12}.$$
 (9)

Among problems that remain is a more detailed study of the quadratic fields $Q(\sqrt{m})$, $Q(\sqrt{n})$ in which d can be norm simultaneously. It is known that such a number need not be a norm of the biquadratic field $Q(\sqrt{m}, \sqrt{n})$ with respect to Q; for an example see [2]. See also [3] and [4] for a general study of such questions.

Here the following result will be obtained in the special case under consideration.

THEOREM 5. Let $d = -\det(AB - BA)$, where A, B are 2×2 integral matrices, and where the characteristic polynomial of A is $x^2 - m$ and that of B is $x^2 - n$, $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$, and neither a square. Then d is a norm in $Q(\sqrt{m}, \sqrt{n})$ if and only if there is an ideal in the ideal class in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{m}]$ corresponding to the matrix A and an ideal in the ideal class in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{n}]$ corresponding to the matrix B such that the quotient of their norms is a relative norm of an element of $Q(\sqrt{m}, \sqrt{n})$ with respect to $Q(\sqrt{m})$.

Proof. For the definition of matrix classes and their correspondence with ideal classes see [7]. There it is shown that the principal class in $Z[\sqrt{m}]$ corresponds to the class of the companion matrix of $x^2 - m$. Further it is shown that the matrix class corresponding to any ideal class is obtained from

ADDITIVE COMMUTATORS OF RATIONAL MATRICES

a similarity carried out on the companion matrix via an ideal matrix of an ideal in the ideal class in question. The determinant (later called Δ in this proof) of an ideal matrix is the norm of the ideal. (Since multiplication by unimodular matrices is permitted for ideal matrices, this determinant may actually be assumed positive.) Let M be the ideal matrix with determinant Δ_M which transforms A into its companion matrix, and let N be the ideal matrix with determinant Δ_N , which transforms B into its companion matrix. Replace A by $M^{-1}AM$ and B by $N^{-1}BN$ and then apply a similarity via M to AB-BA leading to

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ m & 0 \end{pmatrix} MN^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ n & 0 \end{pmatrix} NM^{-1} - MN^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ n & 0 \end{pmatrix} NM^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ m & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Let $NM^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$.

A straightforward computation gives

$$d = -\det(AB - BA) = X(\Delta_M / \Delta_N)^2 \left\{ \left[na^2 - c^2 - m(-nb^2 + d^2) \right]^2 - m(2nab - 2cd)^2 \right\} = X(\Delta_M / \Delta_N)^2 \operatorname{norm} \lambda,$$

where "norm" here means from $Q(\sqrt{m})$ to Q, and where

$$\lambda = c^{2} + md^{2} - na^{2} - mnb^{2} + \sqrt{m} (2cd - 2abn).$$

Put

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= c + d\sqrt{m} + a\sqrt{n} + b\sqrt{mn} , \\ \bar{\alpha} &= c + d\sqrt{m} - a\sqrt{n} - b\sqrt{mn} . \end{aligned}$$

Then $\alpha \overline{\alpha} = \lambda$. Hence d is a norm in $Q(\sqrt{m}, \sqrt{n})$ with respect to Q if and only if Δ or $-\Delta$ is a relative norm from this field with respect to Q. This proves Theorem 5.

An analogous fact holds for $Q(\sqrt{n})$, $Q(\sqrt{mn})$.

REMARK. If Z = XY - YX and X has rational roots, then these roots can be chosen arbitrarily. This is a special case of a theorem proved in [1].

REFERENCES

- 1 J. Anderson and J. Parker, Choices for A in the matrix equation T = AB BA, Linear and Multilinear Algebra 2 (1974), 203-209.
- 2 J. W. S. Cassels and A. Fröhlich, *Algebraic number theory*, Academic, New York, 1967, Exercise 5.3, p. 360.
- 3 A. Fröhlich, On some topics in the theory of representation of groups and in class field theory, Ph.D Thesis, London, 1950.
- 4 H. Hasse, Beweis eines Satzes und Widerlegung einer Vermutung über das allgemeine Normenrestsymbol, Nachr. Ges. Wiss. Goettingen (1931), 64-69.
- 5 K. Shoda, Einige Sätze über Matrizen, Japan J. Math. 13 (1936), 361-365.
- 6 O. Taussky, Additive commutators between 2×2 matrix representations of orders in identical or different quadratic number fields, *Res. Announce. Bull. AMS* 80 (1974), 885–887.
- 7 O. Taussky, A result concerning classes of matrices, J. Number Theory 6 (1974), 64-71.

Received 13 September 1974