



Korovkin type approximation theorems obtained through generalized statistical convergence

Osama H.H. Edely^a, S.A. Mohiuddine^{b,*}, Abdullah K. Noman^b

^a Department of Mathematics and Computer, Tafila Technical University, Jordan

^b Department of Mathematics, Aligarh Muslim University, Aligarh 202002, India

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Received 17 April 2010

Accepted 13 July 2010

Keywords:

Approximation theorems

Korovkin theorem

Statistical convergence

λ -statistical convergence

ABSTRACT

The concept of λ -statistical convergence was introduced in [M. Mursaleen, λ -statistical convergence, Math Slovaca, 50 (2000) 111–115] by using the generalized de la Vallée Poussin mean. In this work we apply this method to prove some Korovkin type approximation theorems.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction and preliminaries

The concept of statistical convergence for sequences of real numbers was introduced by Fast [1] and Steinhaus [2] independently in the same year 1951, and since then several generalizations and applications of this notion have been investigated by various authors.

Let K be a subset of \mathbb{N} , the set of natural numbers. Then the *asymptotic density* of K , denoted by $\delta(K)$, is defined as

$$\delta(K) = \lim_n \frac{1}{n} |\{k \leq n : k \in K\}|,$$

where the vertical bars denote the cardinality of the enclosed set.

The number sequence $x = (x_j)$ is said to be *statistically convergent* to the number ℓ if for each $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{n} |\{j \leq n : |x_j - \ell| \geq \epsilon\}| = 0.$$

In this case, we write $st - \lim x_k = L$.

Remark 1.1. It is well known that every statistically convergent sequence is convergent, but the converse is not true. For example, suppose that the sequence $x = (x_n)$ is defined as

$$x = (x_n) = \begin{cases} \sqrt{n}, & \text{if } n \text{ is square} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that the sequence $x = (x_n)$ is statistically convergent to 0, but it is not convergent.

The idea of λ -statistical convergence was introduced in [3] as follows:

* Corresponding author.

E-mail addresses: osamaedely@yahoo.com (O.H.H. Edely), mohiuddine@gmail.com (S.A. Mohiuddine), akanoman@gmail.com (A.K. Noman).

Let $\lambda = (\lambda_n)$ be a non-decreasing sequence of positive numbers tending to ∞ such that

$$\lambda_{n+1} \leq \lambda_n + 1, \quad \lambda_1 = 0.$$

The generalized de la Vallée Poussin mean is defined by

$$t_n(x) =: \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{j \in I_n} x_j$$

where $I_n = [n - \lambda_n + 1, n]$.

A sequence $x = (x_j)$ is said to be (V, λ) -summable to a number ℓ (see [4]) if

$$t_n(x) \rightarrow \ell \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

A sequence $x = (x_j)$ is said to be strongly (V, λ) -summable to a number ℓ if

$$\frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{j \in I_n} |x_j - \ell| \rightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

We denote it by $x_j \rightarrow \ell[V, \lambda]$ as $j \rightarrow \infty$.

Let $K \subseteq \mathbb{N}$ be a set of positive integers; then

$$\delta_\lambda(K) = \lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} |\{n - \lambda_n + 1 \leq j \leq n : j \in K\}|$$

is said to be the λ -density of K .

If $\lambda_n = n$, the λ -density reduces to the natural density. Also, since $(\lambda_n/n) \leq 1$, $\delta(K) \leq \delta_\lambda(K)$ for every $K \subseteq \mathbb{N}$.

The number sequence $x = (x_j)$ is said to be λ -statistically convergent to the number ℓ if for each $\epsilon > 0$, $\delta_\lambda(K_\epsilon) = 0$, where $K_\epsilon = \{j \in I_n : |x_j - \ell| > \epsilon\}$, i.e.

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{\lambda_n} |\{j \in I_n : |x_j - \ell| > \epsilon\}| = 0.$$

In this case we write $st_\lambda\text{-}\lim_j x_j = \ell$ and we denote the set of all λ -statistically convergent sequences by S_λ .

Remark 1.2. As in Remark 1.1, it is observed that if a sequence is (V, λ) -summable to a number ℓ , then it is also λ -statistically convergent to the same number ℓ but the converse need not be true. For example, let the sequence $z = (z_k)$ be defined by

$$z_k = \begin{cases} k, & \text{if } n - [\sqrt{\lambda_n}] + 1 \leq k \leq n, \\ 0, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then x is λ -statistically convergent to 0 but not (V, λ) -summable.

Recently some Korovkin type approximation theorems were proved in [5–7] by using statistical convergence, lacunary statistical convergence and statistical summability $(C, 1)$, respectively. In this work, we prove some analogues of the classical Korovkin theorem via λ -statistical convergence. The classical Korovkin approximation theorem can be stated as follows (see [8–10]):

Suppose that (T_n) is a sequence of positive linear operators from $C[a, b]$ into $C[a, b]$. Then

- (i) $\lim_n \|T_n(f, x) - f(x)\|_{C[a,b]} = 0$, for all $f \in C[a, b]$, if and only if
- (ii) $\lim_n \|T_n(f_i, x) - f_i(x)\|_{C[a,b]} = 0$, for $i = 0, 1, 2$, where $f_0(x) = 1, f_1(x) = x$ and $f_2(x) = x^2$.

2. Main results

Let $C[a, b]$ be the space of all functions f continuous on $[a, b]$. We know that $C[a, b]$ is a Banach space with norm $\|f\|_\infty := \sup_{a \leq x \leq b} |f(x)|, f \in C[a, b]$. Suppose that $T_n : C[a, b] \rightarrow C[a, b]$. We write $T_n(f, x)$ for $T_n(f(t), x)$ and we say that T is a positive operator if $T(f, x) \geq 0$ for all $f(x) \geq 0$.

Theorem 2.1. Suppose that $T_n : C[a, b] \rightarrow C[a, b]$ is a sequence of positive linear operators satisfying the following conditions:

$$st_\lambda - \lim \|T_n(1, x) - 1\|_\infty = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

$$st_\lambda - \lim \|T_n(t, x) - x\|_\infty = 0, \tag{2.2}$$

$$st_\lambda - \lim \|T_n(t^2, x) - x^2\|_\infty = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

Then for any function $f \in C[a, b]$ bounded on the whole real line, we have

$$st_\lambda - \lim \|T_n(f, x) - f(x)\|_\infty = 0.$$

Proof. Since $f \in C[a, b]$ and f is bounded on the whole real line, we have

$$|f(x)| \leq M, \quad -\infty < x < \infty.$$

Therefore,

$$|f(t) - f(x)| \leq 2M, \quad -\infty < t, x < \infty. \quad (2.4)$$

Also, since $f \in C[a, b]$ we have that f is continuous on $[a, b]$, i.e.

$$|f(t) - f(x)| < \epsilon, \quad \forall |t - x| < \delta. \quad (2.5)$$

Using (2.4), (2.5) and putting $\psi(t) = (t - x)^2$, we get

$$|f(t) - f(x)| < \epsilon + \frac{2M}{\delta^2} \psi, \quad \forall |t - x| < \delta.$$

This means that

$$-\epsilon - \frac{2M}{\delta^2} \psi < f(t) - f(x) < \epsilon + \frac{2M}{\delta^2} \psi.$$

Now we can apply $T_n(1, x)$ to this inequality since $T_n(f, x)$ is monotone and linear. Hence,

$$T_n(1, x) \left(-\epsilon - \frac{2M}{\delta^2} \psi \right) < T_n(1, x) (f(t) - f(x)) < T_n(1, x) \left(\epsilon + \frac{2M}{\delta^2} \psi \right).$$

Note that x is fixed and so $f(x)$ is a constant number. Therefore,

$$-\epsilon T_n(1, x) - \frac{2M}{\delta^2} T_n(\psi, x) < T_n(f, x) - f(x) T_n(1, x) < \epsilon T_n(1, x) + \frac{2M}{\delta^2} T_n(\psi, x). \quad (2.6)$$

But,

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(f, x) - f(x) &= T_n(f, x) - f(x) T_n(1, x) + f(x) T_n(1, x) - f(x) \\ &= [T_n(f, x) - f(x) T_n(1, x)] + f(x) [T_n(1, x) - 1]. \end{aligned} \quad (2.7)$$

Using (2.6) and (2.7), we have

$$T_n(f, x) - f(x) < \epsilon T_n(1, x) + \frac{2M}{\delta^2} T_n(\psi, x) + f(x) (T_n(1, x) - 1). \quad (2.8)$$

Now, let us estimate $T_n(\psi, x)$:

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(\psi, x) &= T_n((t - x)^2, x) = T_n(t^2 - 2tx + x^2, x) \\ &= T_n(t^2, x) - 2x T_n(t, x) + x^2 T_n(1, x) \\ &= [T_n(t^2, x) - x^2] - 2x [T_n(t, x) - x] + x^2 [T_n(1, x) - 1]. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} T_n(f, x) - f(x) &< \epsilon T_n(1, x) + \frac{2M}{\delta^2} \{ [T_n(t^2, x) - x^2] - 2x [T_n(t, x) - x] + x^2 [T_n(1, x) - 1] \} + f(x) (T_n(1, x) - 1) \\ &= \epsilon [T_n(1, x) - 1] + \epsilon + \frac{2M}{\delta^2} \{ [T_n(t^2, x) - x^2] - 2x [T_n(t, x) - x] + x^2 [T_n(1, x) - 1] \} \\ &\quad + f(x) (T_n(1, x) - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Since ϵ is arbitrary we can write

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_n(f, x) - f(x)\|_\infty &\leq \left(\epsilon + \frac{2Mb^2}{\delta^2} + M \right) \|T_n(1, x) - 1\|_\infty + \frac{4Mb}{\delta^2} \|T_n(t, x) - x\|_\infty + \frac{2M}{\delta^2} \|T_n(t^2, x) - x^2\|_\infty \\ &\leq K (\|T_n(1, x) - 1\|_\infty + \|T_n(t, x) - x\|_\infty + \|T_n(t^2, x) - x^2\|_\infty), \end{aligned} \quad (2.9)$$

where $K = \max \left(\epsilon + \frac{2Mb^2}{\delta^2} + M, \frac{4Mb}{\delta^2} \right)$. For $\epsilon' > 0$, write

$$\begin{aligned} D &= \left\{ n \in I_m : \|T_n(1, x) - 1\|_\infty + \|T_n(t, x) - x\|_\infty + \|T_n(t^2, x) - x^2\|_\infty \geq \frac{\epsilon'}{K} \right\}, \\ D_1 &= \left\{ n \in I_m : \|T_n(1, x) - 1\|_\infty \geq \frac{\epsilon'}{3K} \right\}, \\ D_2 &= \left\{ n \in I_m : \|T_n(t, x) - x\|_\infty \geq \frac{\epsilon'}{3K} \right\}, \\ D_3 &= \left\{ n \in I_m : \|T_n(t^2, x) - x^2\|_\infty \geq \frac{\epsilon'}{3K} \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then $D \subset D_1 \cup D_2 \cup D_3$, and so $\delta_\lambda(D) \leq \delta_\lambda(D_1) + \delta_\lambda(D_2) + \delta_\lambda(D_3)$.

Therefore, using conditions (2.1)–(2.3), we get

$$st_\lambda - \lim \|T_n(f, x) - f(x)\|_\infty = 0.$$

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

Remark 2.1. (i) We get the classical Korovkin theorem by letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.9). (ii) By taking $\lambda_n = n$ in our theorem, we get Theorem 1 of [5].

In the following we give an example of a sequence of positive linear operators satisfying the conditions of Theorem 2.1 but not satisfying the conditions of the Korovkin theorem.

Example 2.1. Consider the sequence of classical Bernstein polynomials

$$B_n(f, x) := \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}; \quad 0 \leq x \leq 1.$$

Let the sequence (P_n) be defined by $P_n : C[0, 1] \rightarrow C[0, 1]$ with $P_n(f, x) = (1 + z_n)B_n(f, x)$, where z_n is defined as above. Then

$$B_n(1, x) = 1, \quad B_n(t, x) = x, \quad B_n(t^2, x) = x^2 + \frac{x - x^2}{n},$$

and the sequence (P_n) satisfies the conditions (2.1)–(2.3). Hence we have

$$st_\lambda - \lim \|P_n(f, x) - f(x)\|_\infty = 0.$$

On the other hand, we get $P_n(f, 0) = (1 + z_n)f(0)$, since $B_n(f, 0) = f(0)$, and hence

$$\|P_n(f, x) - f(x)\|_\infty \geq |P_n(f, 0) - f(0)| = z_n |f(0)|.$$

We see that (P_n) does not satisfy the classical Korovkin theorem, since $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} z_n$ does not exist.

Next we study a Korovkin type theorem for a sequence of positive linear operators on $L_p[a, b]$ via λ -statistical convergence.

Theorem 2.2. Let (A_n) be the sequence of positive linear operators $A_n : L_p[a, b] \rightarrow L_p[a, b]$ and let the sequence $\{\|A_n\|\}$ be uniformly bounded. Suppose that

$$st_\lambda - \lim \|A_n(1, x) - 1\|_{L_p} = 0,$$

$$st_\lambda - \lim \|A_n(t, x) - x\|_{L_p} = 0,$$

and

$$st_\lambda - \lim \|A_n(t^2, x) - x^2\|_{L_p} = 0.$$

Then for any function $f \in L_p[a, b]$, we have

$$st_\lambda - \lim \|A_n(f, x) - f(x)\|_{L_p} = 0.$$

Remark 2.2. We can reformulate the above theorem under the same hypothesis as follows; that is, if

$$st - \lim \|B_n(1, x) - 1\|_{L_p} = 0,$$

$$st - \lim \|B_n(t, x) - x\|_{L_p} = 0,$$

and

$$st - \lim \|B_n(t^2, x) - x^2\|_{L_p} = 0,$$

hold. Then for any function $f \in L_p[a, b]$, we have

$$st - \lim \|B_n(f, x) - f(x)\|_{L_p} = 0,$$

where $B_n = \frac{1}{\lambda_n} \sum_{k \in I_n} A_k$.

Remark 2.3. By Theorem 2.1 of [4], we have (i) $x_k \rightarrow L[V, \lambda] \Rightarrow x_k \rightarrow L(S_\lambda)$ but not the converse, (ii) if $x = (x_k)$ is bounded and $x_k \rightarrow L(S_\lambda)$, then $x_k \rightarrow L[V, \lambda]$ and hence $x_k \rightarrow L(C, 1)$ provided x is not eventually constant. We use this observation to prove the following result.

Theorem 2.3. Let $T_n : C[a, b] \rightarrow C[a, b]$ be a sequence of positive linear operators satisfying the conditions (2.2) and (2.3) of Theorem 2.1 and

$$\lim_n \|T_n(1, x) - 1\|_\infty = 0. \quad (2.1')$$

Then for any $f \in C[a, b]$, we have

$$\lim_m \frac{1}{\lambda_m} \sum_{n \in I_m} \|T_n(f, x) - f(x)\|_\infty = 0.$$

Proof. From the condition (2.1'), it follows that $\|T_n(1, x)\|_\infty \leq M'$, for some constant $M > 0$ and for all $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$. Hence, for $f \in C[a, b]$, we have

$$\|T_n(f, x) - f(x)\|_\infty \leq \|f\|_\infty \|T_n(1, x)\|_\infty + \|f\|_\infty \leq M(M' + 1). \quad (2.10)$$

Since (2.1') implies (2.1), by Theorem 2.1 we get

$$st_\lambda - \lim \|T_n(f, x) - f(x)\|_\infty = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

By Remark 2.3, (2.10) and (2.11) together give the desired result.

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

3. λ -statistical order

In this section we deal with the order of λ -statistical convergence of a sequence of positive linear operators.

Definition 3.1. The number sequence $x = (x_k)$ is λ -statistically convergent to the number L with degree $0 < \beta < 1$ if for each $\epsilon > 0$,

$$\lim_n \frac{1}{(\lambda_n)^{1-\beta}} |\{j \in I_n : |x_j - L| > \epsilon\}| = 0.$$

In this case, we write

$$x_k - L = (st_\lambda)\text{-}o(k^{-\beta}), \quad \text{as } k \rightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem 3.1. Suppose that $T_n : C[a, b] \rightarrow C[a, b]$ is a sequence of positive linear operators satisfying the following conditions:

$$\begin{aligned} \|T_n(1, x) - 1\|_\infty &= st_\lambda\text{-}o(n^{-\beta_1}), \\ \|T_n(t, x) - x\|_\infty &= st_\lambda\text{-}o(n^{-\beta_2}), \\ \|T_n(t^2, x) - x^2\|_\infty &= st_\lambda\text{-}o(n^{-\beta_3}). \end{aligned}$$

Then for any function $f \in C[a, b]$, we have

$$\|T_n(f, x) - f(x)\|_\infty = st_\lambda\text{-}o(n^{-\beta}), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\beta = \min\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$.

Proof. We can rewrite the inequality (2.9) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\|T_n(f, x) - f(x)\|_\infty}{(\lambda_k)^{1-\beta}} &\leq \left(\epsilon + \frac{2Mb^2}{\delta^2} + M \right) \frac{\|T_n(1, x) - 1\|_\infty}{(\lambda_k)^{1-\beta_1}} \left(\frac{(\lambda_k)^{1-\beta_1}}{(\lambda_k)^{1-\beta}} \right) + \frac{4Mb}{\delta^2} \frac{\|T_n(t, x) - x\|_\infty}{(\lambda_k)^{1-\beta_2}} \left(\frac{(\lambda_k)^{1-\beta_2}}{(\lambda_k)^{1-\beta}} \right) \\ &\quad + \frac{2M}{\delta^2} \frac{\|T_n(t^2, x) - x^2\|_\infty}{(\lambda_k)^{1-\beta_3}} \left(\frac{(\lambda_k)^{1-\beta_3}}{(\lambda_k)^{1-\beta}} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\|T_n(f, x) - f(x)\|_\infty = st_\lambda\text{-}o(n^{-\beta}), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

where $\beta = \min\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\}$.

This completes the proof of the theorem. \square

References

- [1] H. Fast, Sur la convergence statistique, *Colloq. Math.* 2 (1951) 241–244.
- [2] H. Steinhaus, Sur la convergence ordinaire et la convergence asymptotique, *Colloq. Math.* 2 (1951) 73–74.
- [3] M. Mursaleen, λ -statistical convergence, *Math. Slovaca* 50 (2000) 111–115.
- [4] L. Leindler, Über die de la Vallée Poussinsche summierbarkeit allgemeiner orthogonalreihen, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung.* 16 (1965) 375–387.
- [5] A.D. Gadžiev, C. Orhan, Some approximation theorems via statistical convergence, *Rocky Mountain J. Math.* 32 (2002) 129–138.
- [6] R. Patterson, E. Savas, Korovkin and Weierstass approximation via lacunary statistical sequences, *J. Math. Stat.* 1 (2) (2005) 165–167.
- [7] A. Alotaibi, Some approximation theorems via statistical summability $(C, 1)$, *Aligarh Bull. Math.* 26 (2) (2007) 77–81.
- [8] F. Altomare, M. Ampiti, Korovkin-type Approximation Theory and its Applications, in: de Gruyter Stud. Math., vol. 17, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 1994.
- [9] A.D. Gadžiev, The convergence problems for a sequence of positive linear operators on unbounded sets, and theorems analogous to that of P.P. Korovkin, *Soviet Math. Dokl.* 15 (1974) 1433–1436.
- [10] P.P. Korovkin, *Linear operators and the theory of approximation*, India, Delhi, 1960.