

Note

The structure of imperfect critically strongly-imperfect graphs

Eleferie Olaru

University of Galatzi, Str. Domneasca, No. 47, 6200 Galatzi, Romania

Received 4 October 1993; revised 10 November 1994

Abstract

The family of all critically strongly-imperfect graphs decomposes in two nonempty classes: perfect and imperfect ones. In this paper we characterize the critically strongly-imperfect graphs which are, simultaneously, imperfect. We prove that these are precisely the holes of odd length ≥ 5 or their complements.

1. Introduction

All graphs considered in this paper are finite and have neither loops nor multiple edges. We shall use the following terminology and notation: $V(G)$, $E(G)$ are the set of vertices and the set of edges of the graph G , respectively; \bar{G} the graph which is complementary to G ; $H \subseteq G: H$ an induced subgraph of G ; $H \subset G: H$ a proper induced subgraph of G ; For $A \subset V(G)$ and $K \subset E(G)$, $G - A := [V(G) - A]_G$, $G - K := (V(G), E(G) - K)$, $\text{Co}(A) := \{xy: x \in A, y \in V(G) - A \text{ and } xy \in E(G)\}$ is the *co-circuit induced by A in G* ; For $S, Q \subseteq V(G)$, S is a *stable set* in $G \Leftrightarrow E([S]) = \emptyset$, Q is a *clique* in $G \Leftrightarrow Q$ is a stable set in the complement of G , $\mathcal{S}(G) := \{S: S \text{ is a maximal stable set of } G\}$, $\mathcal{C}(G) := \{Q: Q \text{ is a maximal clique of } G\}$, $\alpha(G) := \max\{|S|: S \in \mathcal{S}(G)\}$ is the *stability number* of G , $\omega(G) := \max\{|C|: C \in \mathcal{C}(G)\}$ is the *density number* of G , $\chi(G)$ is the *chromatic number* of G , $\theta(G) := \chi(\bar{G})$, $\mathcal{S}_\alpha(G) := \{S: S \in \mathcal{S}(G) \text{ and } |S| = \alpha(G)\}$, $\mathcal{C}_\omega(G) := \{C: C \in \mathcal{C}(G) \text{ and } |C| = \omega(G)\}$.

Let M be a set, $\mathcal{F} = \{M_i: i \in I\}$ a family of subsets of M and T a subset of M . The set T is called a *transversal* of \mathcal{F} iff $T \cap M_i \neq \emptyset$ for all $i \in I$. A transversal T of \mathcal{F} is called *perfect* iff $|T \cap M_i| = 1$ for all $i \in I$.

Definition. A graph G is called

(1) *s-perfect (c-perfect)* iff for every induced subgraph H of G the family $\mathcal{S}(H)$ ($\mathcal{C}(H)$) has a perfect transversal;

(2) *critically* (or *minimally*) *s-imperfect* (*c-imperfect*) — or, briefly, *s-critical* (*c-critical*) — iff G is *s-imperfect* (*c-imperfect*) and every subgraph $G - x$ ($x \in V(G)$) is *s-perfect* (*c-perfect*).

The *c-perfect* graphs are also known as *strongly perfect graphs*. This concept was introduced by Berge and Duchet [1].

Remark 1. It is easy to check that the following propositions hold:

(a) Let G be a graph. A transversal T of $\mathcal{S}(G)$ ($\mathcal{C}(G)$) is perfect if and only if T is a maximal clique (maximal stable set) of G (see [3])

(b) A graph G is *s-perfect* (*s-critical*) if and only if its complement is *c-perfect* (*c-critical*).

(c) Every hole C_k of length ≥ 5 is *critically s-imperfect*; if k is odd then \bar{C}_k is *critically s-imperfect*, too.

(d) Every *s-imperfect* graph contains a *critically s-imperfect* graph as an induced subgraph.

Definition. A graph G is called:

(1) *α -perfect* (*ω -perfect*) iff for every induced subgraph H of G , the family $\mathcal{S}_\alpha(H)$ ($\mathcal{C}_\omega(H)$) has a perfect transversal which is a clique (stable set) in H ;

(2) *perfect* iff G is *α -perfect* as well as *ω -perfect*;

(3) *critically* (or *minimally*) *imperfect* if G is *imperfect* and every subgraph $G - x$ ($x \in V(G)$) is *perfect*.

Remark 2. A graph G is *α -perfect* (*ω -perfect*) if and only if, for every induced subgraph H of G , we have $\theta(H) = \alpha(H)$ ($\chi(H) = \omega(H)$).

Note that, in fact, (1) and (2) are equivalent; this is the content of the weak version of Berge's Perfect Graph Conjecture and follows from an important result of Lovász [2].

Towards a characterization of the structure of perfect graphs, the following conjecture, due to Berge, has already become famous.

Strong Perfect Graph Conjecture. *A graph G is critically imperfect if and only if G or its complement is an odd hole of length ≥ 5 .*

The problem is: how to characterize, in a simple way, the structure of *critically s-imperfect* (*c-imperfect*) graphs?

Notice that the class of all *critically s-imperfect* graphs decomposes into two nonempty classes: The graphs in class 1 are *perfect* (e.g., all holes of even length ≥ 6) and the graphs in class 2 are *imperfect* (e.g., all holes of odd length ≥ 5 and their complements).

Our aim is to characterize the graphs of the second class. It is easy to see that all these graphs are necessarily *critically imperfect*. The main result is

Theorem 1. *A graph G is critically s -imperfect (c -imperfect) and, simultaneously, imperfect if and only if G or its complement is a hole of odd length ≥ 5 .*

This theorem immediately implies that the following statement is equivalent to the Strong Perfect Graph Conjecture.

Every critically imperfect graph is also critically strongly imperfect.

2. Proof of Theorem 1

Definition. Let G be a graph. An edge e of G is called α -critical (in G) iff $\alpha(G - e) = \alpha(G) + 1$.

The partial graph G_α of G with

$$E(G_\alpha) = \{e: e \text{ is an } \alpha\text{-critical edge of } G\}$$

is called the α -critical skeleton of G .

A set $A \subset V(G)$ with $\text{Co}(A) \cap E(G_\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ is called an α -critical set of G .

A path of G_α is called an *open α -critical path* (or α -critical, for short) iff its extremities are not adjacent in G .

A recent result of Sebö [4, Theorem 1.2] can be reformulated as follows:

Theorem 2. *If a critically-imperfect graph contains an α -critical path, then the graph or its complement is a hole of odd length ≥ 5 .*

We shall show that every critically s -imperfect graph, which is also imperfect, contains at least an α -critical path. Then, the proof of Theorem 1 follows from Sebö's theorem.

Lemma. *If G is both a critically s -imperfect and an imperfect graph, then the following statements are true:*

- (i) *every clique of G is α -critical;*
- (ii) *G contains an α -critical path.*

Proof. (i) Let G be a critically s -imperfect graph with $\theta(G) > \alpha(G)$ and $A \subset V(G)$ a clique of G . Then $G - A$ possesses a clique transversal Q (see Remark 1(a)). Clearly $\alpha(G - A) = \alpha(G)$ for, otherwise, G would be perfect. This implies the existence of a maximal stable set $S \in \mathcal{S}_\alpha(G - Q)$. The set Q being a clique transversal of $G - A$, we have

$$\alpha(G - A - Q) \leq \alpha(G) - 1$$

and therefore $S \cap A \neq \emptyset$. From the fact that S is a stable set and A is a clique in G we infer that $A \cap S = \{x\}$, for some x , i.e., $S_x := S - \{x\}$ is a stable set in $G - A$. Since $Q \cap S_x = \emptyset$, there is a maximal stable set S' of $G - A$ such that $S_x \subset S'$ for, otherwise, Q could not be a transversal of $S(G - A)$. Let $\{y\} = S' \cap Q$. Clearly, $x \neq y$ and $xy \in E(G)$ because, if not, $S_x \cup \{x, y\}$ would be a stable set of G with $|S_x \cup \{x, y\}| = \alpha(G) + 1$ vertices, in contradiction to the definition of $\alpha(G)$.

Now, $|S_x| = \alpha(G) - 1$ and $S_x \subset S'$ entail $|S'| = \alpha(G)$, i.e., the edge xy is α -critical in G . Evidently, $xy \in \text{Co}(A)$.

(ii) Let H be a (connected) component of the α -critical skeleton G_α of G . Then, $V(H)$ is not a clique in G , since otherwise (i) ensures that

$$\text{Co}(V(H)) \cap E(G_\alpha) \neq \emptyset,$$

thus contradicting the fact that H is a connected component of G . Consequently, there are at least two vertices x, y in $V(H)$, which are not adjacent in G . Then, every xy -path of H is an α -critical path in G . \square

Now, the proof of Theorem 1 follows directly from this lemma and Theorem 2, when G is critically s-imperfect. If G is critically c-imperfect (and imperfect, too), then \bar{G} is critically s-imperfect and, consequently, \bar{G} is a hole of odd length ≥ 5 or its complement and therefore, G has the same structure.

References

- [1] C. Berge and P. Duchet, Strongly perfect graphs, in: C. Berge and V. Chvátal, eds., Topics on Perfect Graphs (Annals of Discrete Mathematics 21) (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1984) 57–61.
- [2] L. Lovász, A characterization of perfect graphs, *J. Combin. Theory (B)* 13 (1972) 95–98.
- [3] E. Olaru and E. Mandrescu, On stable transversals and strong perfectness of graph-join, *An. Univ. Galatzi* 4(9) (1986) 21–24; MR88i:05083a.
- [4] A. Sebö, Forcing colorations and the strong perfect conjecture, in: E. Balas, G. Cornuejols and R. Kannan, eds., *Integer Programming and Combinatorial Optimization 2*, Pittsburgh (1992) 431–445.