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#### Abstract

For a matroid $M$, an element $e$ such that both $M \backslash e$ and $M / e$ are regular is called a regular element of $M$. We determine completely the structure of non-regular matroids with at least two regular elements. Besides four small size matroids, all 3-connected matroids in the class can be pieced together from $F_{7}$ or $S_{8}$ and a regular matroid using 3-sums. This result takes a step toward solving a problem posed by Paul Seymour: find all 3-connected non-regular matroids with at least one regular element Oxley (1992) [5, 14.8.8].
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## 1. Introduction

The matroid terminology follows Oxley [5]. Let $M$ be a matroid and $X$ be a subset of the ground set $E$. The connectivity function $\lambda$ is defined as $\lambda(X)=r(X)+r(E-X)-r(M)$. Observe that $\lambda(X)=\lambda(E-X)$. For $j \geq 1$, a partition $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ of $E$ is called a $j$-separation if $\left|X_{1}\right|,\left|X_{2}\right| \geq j$, and $\lambda\left(X_{1}\right) \leq j-1$. When $\lambda\left(X_{1}\right)=j-1$, we call ( $X_{1}, X_{2}$ ) an exact $j$-separation. When $\lambda\left(X_{1}\right)=j-1$ and $\left|X_{1}\right|=j$ or $\left|X_{2}\right|=j$ we call $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ a minimal exact $j$-separation. For $k \geq 2$, we say $M$ is $k$-connected if $M$ has no $j$-separation for $j \leq k-1$. A matroid is internally $k$-connected if it is $k$-connected and has no non-minimal exact $k$-separations. In particular, a simple matroid is 3 -connected if $\lambda\left(X_{1}\right) \geq 2$ for all partitions ( $X_{1}, X_{2}$ ) with $\left|X_{1}\right|,\left|X_{2}\right| \geq 3$. A 3-connected matroid is internally 4-connected if $\lambda\left(X_{1}\right) \geq 3$ for all partitions $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ with $\left|X_{1}\right|,\left|X_{2}\right| \geq 4$.

The 1-sum, 2-sum, and 3-sum of binary matroids are defined in [6]. A cycle of a binary matroid is a disjoint union of circuits. Let $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ be binary matroids with non-empty ground sets $E_{1}$ and $E_{2}$, respectively. We define a new binary matroid $M_{1} \Delta M_{2}$ to be the matroid with ground set $E_{1} \Delta E_{2}$ and with cycles having the form $C_{1} \Delta C_{2}$ where $C_{i}$ is a cycle of $M_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. When $E_{1} \cup E_{2}=\phi$, then $M_{1} \Delta M_{2}$ is a 1 -sum of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$. When $\left|E_{1}\right|,\left|E_{2}\right| \geq 3, E_{1} \cap E_{2}=\{z\}$ and $z$ is not a loop or coloop of

[^0]$M_{1}$ or $M_{2}$, then $M_{1} \Delta M_{2}$ is a 2 -sum of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$. When $\left|E_{1}\right|,\left|E_{2}\right| \geq 7, E_{1} \cap E_{2}=T$ and $T$ is a triangle in $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, then $M_{1} \Delta M_{2}$ is a 3 -sum of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$.

An element $e$ in a non-regular matroid $M$ is called a regular element if both $M \backslash e$ and $M / e$ are regular. Seymour posed the following problem that appears in Oxley's book Matroid Theory [5, 14.8.8]: find all 3 -connected non-regular matroids with at least one regular element. In this paper, we take a step toward solving this problem by determining the class of 3-connected non-regular matroids with at least two regular elements.

We denote the 4-point line as $U_{2,4}$ and the Fano matroid as $F_{7}$. We denote by $S_{8}$ the following single-element extension of $F_{7}$. It is self-dual. A single-element extension of $S_{8}$ that will play a role is $P_{9}$ shown below.

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{7} & =\left[I_{3} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{llll}
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right.\right] \quad S_{8}=\left[I_{4} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right.\right] \\
P_{9} & =\left[I_{4} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right.\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

For this paper, it helps to think of $F_{7}$ as the single-element extension of the 3-wheel with spokes labeled $\{1,2,3\}$ where the new element forms a circuit with $\{1,2,3\}$. The matroid $P_{9}$ is the singleelement extension of the 4 -wheel with spokes $\{1,2,3,4\}$ where the new element forms a circuit with any three consecutive spokes, say $\{1,2,3\}$. Then $P_{9} \backslash 1 \cong S_{8}$ and $P_{9} \backslash 3 \cong S_{8}$. Moreover, $P_{9} \backslash\{1,3\} \cong F_{7}^{*}$.

Let $F_{7}^{p}$ and $S_{8}^{p}$ be the matroids obtained from $F_{7}$ and $S_{8}$, respectively, by adding an element in parallel with an element belonging to at least two triangles. Note that every element of $F_{7}$ is in at least two triangles, but only one element of $S_{8}$ is in two triangles. The main result of this paper gives a complete characterization of the matroids with at least two regular elements.

Theorem 1.1. A 3-connected non-regular matroid $M$ has at least two regular elements if and only if
(i) $M$ is $U_{2,4}, F_{7}, F_{7}^{*}$ or $S_{8}$; or
(ii) $M$ is the 3 -sum of $F_{7}$ or $S_{8}$ with a 3-connected regular matroid (with the possible exception of elements in parallel with the 3 -sum triangle); or
(iii) M is the 3-sum of $F_{7}^{p}$ or $S_{8}^{p}$ with two 3-connected regular matroids (with the possible exception of elements in parallel with the 3 -sum triangle). These two 3 -sums are made along two disjoint triangles of $F_{7}^{p}$ or $S_{8}^{p}$.

In order to prove this result we use the following theorems. The first is by Oxley and appears in $[4,3.9]$.

Theorem 1.2. Let $M$ be a non-binary 3-connected matroid having an element esuch that $M \backslash e$ and $M / e$ are both regular. Then $M \cong U_{2,4}$.

The next result by Zhou appears in [7, 1.2]. The matroid $S_{10}$, shown below, is the first matroid in the internally 4 -connected infinite family of almost-graphic matroids $S_{3 n+1}$ [3]. The matroid $M\left[E_{5}\right.$ ] appears in [1] where Kingan characterized the class of matroids with no minors isomorphic to $M\left(K_{5} \backslash e\right), M^{*}\left(K_{5} \backslash e\right)$ and $A G(3,2) . M\left[E_{5}\right]$ is a splitter for this class. It is self dual and internally 4 -connected. The self-dual 4-connected matroid $T_{12}$ appears in [2].

$$
S_{10}=\left[I_{4} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right.\right] \quad E_{5}=\left[I_{5} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right.\right]
$$

$$
T_{12}=\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Theorem 1.3. A non-regular internally 4-connected binary matroid other than $F_{7}$ and $F_{7}^{*}$ contains one of the following matroids as a minor: $M\left(E_{5}\right), S_{10}, S_{10}^{*}, T_{12} \backslash e$, and $T_{12} / e$.

It can be checked that $S_{10}, S_{10}^{*} T_{12} \backslash e$, and $T_{12} / e$ each have one regular element and $M\left(E_{5}\right)$ has zero regular elements. Moreover, the number of regular elements in a non-regular matroid is bounded above by the number of regular elements in any non-regular minor. We use this fact throughout the paper. The next result follows from Theorem 1.2 and the above discussion.

Corollary 1.4. If $M$ is an internally 4-connected binary non-regular matroid having at least two regular elements, then $M$ is isomorphic to $F_{7}$ or $F_{7}^{*}$.
Finally, we use the following results by Seymour that appear in [6, 2.9 and 4.1].
Theorem 1.5. If $\left(X_{1}, X_{2}\right)$ is an exact 3 -separation of a binary matroid $M$, with $\left|X_{1}\right|,\left|X_{2}\right| \geq 4$, then there are binary matroids $M_{1}, M_{2}$ on $X_{1} \cup T, X_{2} \cup T$, respectively (where $T$ contains three new elements), such that $M$ is the 3 -sum of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$. Conversely if $M$ is the 3 -sum of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, then $\left(E\left(M_{1}\right)-E\left(M_{2}\right), E\left(M_{2}\right)-\right.$ $E\left(M_{1}\right)$ ) is an exact 3 -separation of $M$, and $\left|E\left(M_{1}\right)-E\left(M_{2}\right)\right|,\left|E\left(M_{2}\right)-E\left(M_{1}\right)\right| \geq 4$.

Theorem 1.6. If $M$ is binary and is the 3 -sum of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$, and $M$ is 3 -connected, then $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are isomorphic to minors of $M$.

In the next section, we give several separation lemmas that are used in the proof of the main theorem. In the third section, we give results on the number of regular elements in a matroid. Finally, in the fourth section, we prove Theorem 1.1. The difficulty in completely finishing off Seymour's problem lies in determining the structure of the non-regular internally 4-connected matroids with one regular element.

## 2. Understanding 3-separations in the context of regular elements

We will denote by $\operatorname{si}(M)$ and $c o(M)$ the simple and cosimple matroid, respectively, associated with $M$. Let $M$ be a 3 -connected non-regular binary matroid such that $M$ is the 3 -sum of matroids $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ where $\left|E\left(M_{1}\right)\right|,\left|E\left(M_{2}\right)\right| \geq 7, E\left(M_{1}\right) \cap E\left(M_{2}\right)=T$ and $T$ is a triangle in $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$. Assume that $e \in E\left(M_{1}\right)-E\left(M_{2}\right)$ is a regular element of $M$.

Lemma 2.1. The element e is not spanned by $E\left(M_{2}\right)-E\left(M_{1}\right)$ in $M$.
Proof. Suppose $e$ is spanned by $E\left(M_{2}\right)-E\left(M_{1}\right)$ in $M$. Then $e$ is spanned by $T$ in $M_{1}$ and so $e$ is in parallel to some element $t \in T$. By hypothesis, $M \backslash e$ is regular. Observe that $M_{1} \backslash e$ and $M_{2}$ are regular because:
(i) when $\left|E\left(M_{1}\right)\right|>7, M \backslash e$ is the 3 -sum of $M_{1} \backslash e$ with $M_{2}$;
(ii) when $\left|E\left(M_{1}\right)\right|=7, M_{1} \backslash e$ has 6 elements and is isomorphic to $M\left(K_{4}\right)$. So $M \backslash e$ is obtained from $M_{2}$ after a $\Delta-Y$ operation along the triangle $T$.
But $M_{1}$ is obtained from $M_{1} \backslash e$ by adding $e$ in parallel with $t$. Therefore $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ are regular; a contradiction because the class of regular matroids is closed under 3 -sums. Thus $e$ is not spanned by $E\left(M_{2}\right)-E\left(M_{1}\right)$ in $M$.

Lemma 2.2. The element $e$ is not spanned by $E\left(M_{2}\right)-E\left(M_{1}\right)$ in $M^{*}$.
Proof. If $N_{i}$ is obtained from $M_{i}$ by a $\Delta-Y$ operation along the triangle $T$, then $M^{*}$ is the 3-sum of $N_{1}^{*}$ and $N_{2}^{*}$. Applying Lemma 2.1 , we conclude that $e$ is not spanned by $E\left(M_{2}\right)-E\left(M_{1}\right)$ in $M^{*}$.

In the next result, we describe how the presence of a regular element in $M_{1}$ impacts the structure of $M$. We prove that one of two situations must occur: either $M_{1}$ is non-regular with $e$ as a regular element and $M_{2}$ is regular or $M_{2}$ is non-regular and $M_{1}$ is a small matroid with a specific structure. In the latter situation we prove that $E\left(M_{1}\right)-T=T^{\prime} \cup T^{*}$ where $T^{\prime}$ is a triangle and $T^{*}$ is a triad such that $e \in T^{\prime} \cap T^{*}$ and $E\left(M_{1}\right)-E\left(M_{2}\right)$ is closed in $M$. Since $M$ is binary, a triangle and triad must intersect in an even number of elements. This means $M_{1}$ has just 7 elements, one of which is parallel with an element of $T$.

Lemma 2.3. (i) $M_{2}$ is a regular matroid; or
(ii) there is a triangle $T^{\prime}$ and a triad $T^{*}$ of $M$ such that $e \in T^{\prime} \cap T^{*}$ and $E\left(M_{1}\right)-T=T^{\prime} \cup T^{*}$.

Moreover,
(iii) when (i) happens, $M_{1}$ is a non-regular matroid having e as a regular element;
(iv) when (ii) happens, $E\left(M_{1}\right)-E\left(M_{2}\right)$ is closed in $M$.

Proof. Assume that (i) does not hold, that is,
$M_{2}$ is non-regular.
First, we establish that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(M_{1}\right)=3 \text { or } \operatorname{si}(M / e) \text { is not } 3 \text {-connected. } \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $r\left(M_{1}\right) \geq 4$ and $\operatorname{si}(M / e)$ is 3 -connected. If $T^{\prime}$ is a triangle of $M$ containing $e$, then, by Lemma 2.1, $\left|E\left(M_{2}\right) \cap T^{\prime}\right| \leq 1$. Therefore we may assume that si $(M / e)=M / e \backslash X$, for $X \subseteq E\left(M_{1}\right)-T$. If $M_{1} / e \backslash X \simeq M\left(K_{4}\right)$, then $M_{2}$ is obtained from si $(M / e)$ after a $Y-\Delta$ operation along the triad $E\left(M_{1}\right)-(e \cup X \cup T)$. So $M_{2}$ is regular; a contradiction to (1). If $M_{1} / e \backslash X \not \approx M\left(K_{4}\right)$, then $\operatorname{si}(M / e)$ is the 3-sum of $M_{1} / e \backslash X$ and $M_{2}$. As si $(M / e)$ is regular, it follows that $M_{2}$ is regular; a contradiction to (1). We have (2).

If $N_{i}$ is obtained from $M_{i}$ by a $\Delta-Y$ operation along the triangle $T$, then $M^{*}$ is the 3 -sum of $N_{1}^{*}$ and $N_{2}^{*}$. Note that Lemma 2.3(i) holds for the decomposition $M=M_{1} \triangle M_{2}$ if and only if Lemma 2.3(i) holds for the decomposition $M^{*}=N_{1}^{*} \Delta N_{2}^{*}$. The analogous statement occurs when we replace (i) by (ii). Therefore, the dual of (2) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(N_{1}^{*}\right)=3 \text { or }[\operatorname{co}(M \backslash e)]^{*}=\operatorname{si}\left(M^{*} / e\right) \text { is not 3-connected. } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Bixby's Theorem [5, 8.4.6], $\operatorname{si}(M / e)$ or $\operatorname{co}(M \backslash e)$ is 3 -connected. By (2) and (3), $r\left(M_{1}\right)=3$ or $r\left(N_{1}^{*}\right)=3$. Taking the dual when necessary, we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
r\left(M_{1}\right)=3 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we prove the following claim.
Claim: $M_{1}$ does not have a minor $N$ such that $T$ and $T^{\prime}=E(N)-T$ are triangles of $N, e \notin E(N)=T \cup T^{\prime}$ and $r(N)=2$.

Suppose that $N$ exists, say $N=M_{1} \backslash X / Y$. By hypothesis, $e \in X \cup Y$ and so $M \backslash X / Y$ is regular. Moreover, $M \backslash X / Y$ is isomorphic to $M_{2}$. Thus $M_{2}$ is regular; a contradiction to (1). Therefore the claim holds.

If $\operatorname{si}\left(M_{1}\right) \simeq F_{7}$, then $M_{1} / e$ is a rank-2 matroid. By Lemma 2.1, $M_{1} / e$ has $T$ as a triangle. We have a contradiction by the claim because every parallel class of $M_{1} / e$ is non-trivial. Hence, by (4), $\operatorname{si}\left(M_{1}\right) \simeq M\left(K_{4}\right)$. In particular, $T^{*}=E\left(M_{1}\right)-\mathrm{cl}_{M_{1}}(T)$ is a triad of $M_{1}$. By Lemma 2.1, $e \in T^{*}$, say $T^{*}=\left\{e, e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$. Let $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}$ be the elements of $\mathrm{cl}_{M_{1}}(T)-T$. For each $i$, there is $t_{i} \in T$ such that $\left\{f_{i}, t_{i}\right\}$ is a parallel class of $M_{1}$. By the claim, $k \leq 2$. Next, we establish that

$$
\begin{equation*}
k=1 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $\left|E\left(M_{1}\right)\right| \geq 7$ and $\left|E\left(M_{1}\right)-\mathrm{cl}_{M_{1}}(T)\right|=3$, it follows that $k \geq 1$. If (5) does not hold, then $k=2$. In $M_{1} / e$, by the claim, $e_{i}$ is in parallel with $f_{j}$, say $e_{i}$ is in parallel with $f_{i}$, for both $i$. Therefore $T_{i}=\left\{e, e_{i}, f_{i}\right\}$ is a triangle of $M$, for both $i$, and so $T_{1} \Delta T_{2} \Delta\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, t_{3}\right\}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, t_{3}\right\}$, where $T=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{3}\right\}$ is a triangle
of $M_{1}$. Thus $N=M_{1} \backslash e / e_{1}$ is a minor of $M_{1}$ contrary to the claim. Thus (5) holds. By the claim $e_{1}$ or $e_{2}$ is in parallel with $f_{1}$ in $M_{1} / e$, say $e_{1}$. That is, $T^{\prime}=\left\{e, e_{1}, f_{1}\right\}$ is a triangle of $M_{1}$ and so of $M$. We have (ii).

Assume that (i) happens, that is, $M_{2}$ is regular. Thus $M_{1}$ is non-regular because $M$ is non-regular. To conclude (iii) we need to prove only that $e$ is a regular element of $M_{1}$. By the proof of Theorem 1.6, there are disjoint subsets $Y$ and $Z$ of $E\left(M_{2}\right)-E\left(M_{1}\right)$ such that $N=M_{2} \backslash Y / Z$ is a 6-element matroid such that $T^{\prime \prime}=E(N)-T$ is a triangle of $N$ and, for each $f \in T$, there is an $f^{\prime \prime} \in T^{\prime \prime}$ such that $\left\{f, f^{\prime \prime}\right\}$ is a circuit of $N$. So $M \backslash Y / Z$ is isomorphic to $M_{1}$-this isomorphism fix each element of $E\left(M_{1}\right)-E\left(M_{2}\right)$ and sends $f^{\prime \prime}$ into $f$, for each $f^{\prime \prime} \in T^{\prime \prime}$. As both $M \backslash e$ and $M / e$ are regular, it follows that $(M \backslash e) \backslash Y / Z \simeq M_{1} \backslash e$ and $(M / e) \backslash Y / Z \simeq M_{1} / e$ are regular. That is, $e$ is a regular element of $M_{1}$. We have (iii).

Assume that (ii) happens. If $E\left(M_{1}\right)-E\left(M_{2}\right)$ spans an element $g$ of $E\left(M_{2}\right)-E\left(M_{1}\right)$ in $M$, then $\left[E\left(M_{1}\right)-E\left(M_{2}\right)\right] \cup g$ is a 3 -separating set for $M$. Using the 3 -separation induced by this set, we can decompose $M$ as the 3-sum of matroids $M_{1}^{\prime}$ and $M_{2}^{\prime}$ such that $E\left(M_{1}^{\prime}\right)=\left[E\left(M_{1}\right)-E\left(M_{2}\right)\right] \cup g \cup T^{\prime \prime}$ and $T^{\prime \prime}=E\left(M_{1}^{\prime}\right) \cap E\left(M_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. Note that, in $M_{1}^{\prime}$, the element $g$ is in parallel with some element of $T^{\prime \prime}$. In particular, $M_{1}^{\prime} \backslash g \simeq M_{1}$ is regular. So $M_{1}^{\prime}$ is regular; a contradiction to this lemma. Thus $E\left(M_{1}\right)-E\left(M_{2}\right)$ is closed in $M$.

Now that we have shown $M$ has a clearly defined structure, we want to say more about the second situation. Recall that $R(M)$ is the set of regular elements. For a triangle $T^{\prime}$ and triad $T^{*}$ of $M$, we say that $T^{\prime}, T^{*}$ is an undesired fan if $T^{\prime} \cap T^{*} \cap R(M) \neq \emptyset$. Note that $\left\{T^{\prime} \cup T^{*}, E(M)-\left(T^{\prime} \cup T^{*}\right)\right\}$ is an exact 3 -separation for $M$ and by Theorem 1.5, it is possible to decompose $M$ as a 3 -sum using it. In the next lemma we show that the presence of an undesired fan implies the existence of two regular elements.

Lemma 2.4. If $T^{\prime}, T^{*}$ is an undesired fan in $M$ such that $E\left(M_{1}\right)-E\left(M_{2}\right)=T^{\prime} \cup T^{*}$, then $T^{\prime} \cap T^{*} \subseteq R(M)$. Moreover, if $T^{*}-T^{\prime}=\{f\}$, then $M / f$ is a 3-connected non-regular matroid such that $T^{\prime} \cap T^{*} \subseteq R(M / f)$.
Proof. Suppose that $T^{\prime}=\left\{e, e^{\prime}, t\right\}, T^{*}=\left\{e, e^{\prime}, f\right\}$ and $e \in R(M)$. In $M / e^{\prime}, t$ and $e$ are in parallel. As $M \backslash e$ and so $M / e^{\prime} \backslash e$ is regular, it follows that $M / e^{\prime}$ is regular because $M / e^{\prime}$ is obtained from $M / e^{\prime} \backslash e$ by adding $e$ in parallel with $t$. Using duality, we conclude that $M \backslash e^{\prime}$ is regular. Hence $e^{\prime}$ is a regular element of $M$ and so $T^{\prime} \cap T^{*} \subseteq R(M)$.

Next, observe that $E\left(M_{1}\right)=T^{\prime} \cup T^{*} \cup T$ and $E\left(M_{2}\right)=\left[E(M)-\left(T^{\prime} \cup T^{*}\right)\right] \cup T$. As $M_{1}$ is regular, it follows that $M_{2}$ is non-regular. By Lemma 2.3, $f$ does not belong to a triangle of $M$. So $M / f$ is 3-connected because si $(M / f)$ is 3 -connected. But $M / f \simeq M_{2}$ because $M_{1} / f$ has three non-trivial parallel classes each containing one element of $T^{\prime}$ and another of $T$. The result follows because $R(M) \subseteq R(M / f)$.

In the next lemma, we prove that, when this happens, it is possible to uncontract $f$ keeping the property of these two regular elements.

Lemma 2.5. Let $N$ be a 3-connected non-regular binary matroid having different regular elements e and $e^{\prime}$. Suppose that $T^{\prime}$ is a triangle of $N$ such that $e, e^{\prime} \in T^{\prime}$ and $\left\{e, e^{\prime}\right\}$ is not contained in a triad of $N$. If $M$ is a one-element binary lift of $N$, say $M / f=N$, such that $\left\{e, e^{\prime}, f\right\}$ is a triad of $M$, then e and $e^{\prime}$ are regular elements of $M$ (and $M$ is 3-connected).
Proof. Observe that $\operatorname{si}(M / e)=M / e \backslash e^{\prime}$. But, in $M \backslash e^{\prime}, e$ and $f$ are in series. So $M / e \backslash e^{\prime} \simeq M / f \backslash e^{\prime}=N \backslash e^{\prime}$ and $\operatorname{si}(M / e)$ is regular. Thus $M / e$ is regular. As $M \backslash e / f=N \backslash e$, it follows that $M \backslash e / f$ is regular and so $M \backslash e$ is regular. That is, $e$ is a regular element of $M$. A similar argument holds with $e^{\prime}$.

## 3. The number of regular elements in a matroid

Next, we prove a result on the number of regular elements in a binary non-regular matroid. Observe that, $F_{7}^{*}$ has two single-element extensions $S_{8}$ and $A G(3,2)$. The matroid $A G(3,2)$ has one singleelement extension $Z_{4}$. The matroid $S_{8}$ has two single-element extensions, $Z_{4}$ and $P_{9}$. Observe further that $F_{7}$ and $F_{7}^{*}$ have seven regular elements, $S_{8}$ has six regular elements, and $P_{9}$ has four regular elements. $A G(3,2)$ has zero regular elements and consequently, so do all its 3 -connected extensions and coextensions.

Lemma 3.1. Let $M$ be a 3-connected non-regular binary matroid. If $|E(M)| \geq 9$, then $|R(M)|=0,1,2$ or 4. Moreover, if $|R(M)|=4$, then $R(M)$ is both a circuit and a cocircuit of $M$.

Proof. Assume this result fails. Choose a minimal counter-example $M$. We have four possibilities: $|R(M)|=3$; or $|R(M)|=4$ and $R(M)$ is not a circuit of $M$; or $|R(M)|=4$ and $R(M)$ is not a cocircuit of $M$; or $|R(M)| \geq 5$. In all four cases, $R(M) \neq \emptyset$. In particular, $A G(3,2)$ is not a minor of $M$ because $R(A G(3,2))=\emptyset$. Thus $S_{8}$ is a minor of $M$. But the only 3-connected binary single-element extension of $S_{8}$ without a minor isomorphic to $A G(3,2)$ is $P_{9}$. Therefore $M$ has $P_{9}$ or $P_{9}^{*}$ as a minor. But $\left|R\left(P_{9}\right)\right|=4$ and $R\left(P_{9}\right)=R\left(P_{9}^{*}\right)$ is both a circuit and a cocircuit of $P_{9}$. Hence $|E(M)| \geq 10$. Moreover, $|R(M)| \leq\left|R\left(P_{9}\right)\right|=4$ and by Corollary $1.4, M$ is not internally 4-connected.

Suppose $|R(M)|=3$. By Theorem 1.5, we can decompose $M$ as the 3 -sum of matroids $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ such that $E\left(M_{1}\right) \cap E\left(M_{2}\right)=T$ and $E\left(M_{1}\right) \cap R(M) \neq \phi$. If Lemma 2.3(ii) occurs and $f \in T^{*}-T^{\prime}$, then by Lemma 2.4 and the choice of $M$, the results holds for $M / f$. Moreover, $T^{\prime} \cap T^{*} \subseteq R(M)$. As $R(M) \subseteq R(M / f)$ and $|R(M)|=3$, it follows that $|R(M / f)|=4$ and $R(M / f)$ is both a circuit and a cocircuit of $M / f$. Thus $R(M) \cup g$ is a cocircuit of $M$, where $\{g\}=R(M / f)-R(M)$. If $R(M) \cup g$ is not a circuit of $M$, then $R(M) \cup\{f, g\}$ is a circuit of $M$ containing $T^{*}$; a contradiction. Hence $R(M) \cup g$ is both a circuit and a cocircuit of $M$. Note that $[R(M) \cup g] \Delta T^{*}$ is a triad of $M$ and $[R(M) \cup g] \Delta T^{\prime}$ is a triangle of $M$ whose intersection contains a regular element. Therefore, by Lemma 2.4 the intersection has two regular elements ( $g$ is the other regular element); a contradiction. Thus Lemma 2.3(i) occurs. Observe that $R(M)$ is contained in a circuit-cocircuit of $M_{1}$ consisting of regular elements avoiding $T$. Thus every element in this circuit-cocircuit is also a regular element of $M$; a contradiction. Thus we proved that $M$ cannot have exactly three regular elements.

Next, suppose $|R(M)|=4$, but $R(M)$ is not a circuit and cocircuit. By Theorem 1.5, we can decompose $M$ as the 3 -sum of matroids $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ such that $E\left(M_{1}\right) \cap E\left(M_{2}\right)=T$ and $E\left(M_{1}\right) \cap R(M) \neq$ $\phi$. If Lemma 2.3(ii) occurs, $f \in T^{*}-T^{\prime}$, then, by Lemma $2.4, M / f$ has the same regular elements as $M$. By the choice of $M, R(M)$ is a circuit-cocircuit of $M / f$. As $R(M) \cup f$ contains a triad of $M$, it follows that $R(M) \cup f$ is not a circuit of $M$. Thus $R(M)$ is a circuit-cocircuit of $M$.

We may assume by Lemma 2.3(i) that $M_{2}$ is regular, $M_{1}$ is non-regular, and $|R(M)| \subseteq E\left(M_{1}\right)$. By the choice of $M$ if $\left|E\left(M_{1}\right)\right| \geq 9, R(M)$ is a circuit-cocircuit of $\operatorname{si}\left(M_{1}\right)$ and therefore of $M$; a contradiction. Thus $M_{1}$ has at most 8 elements. Since $\operatorname{si}\left(M_{1}\right)$ is non-regular, $\mathrm{si}\left(M_{1}\right)$ is isomorphic to $F_{7}$ or $S_{8}$. In both cases, $R(M)$ is a circuit-cocircuit of this matroid.

Using the previous lemma, we can refine the second part of Lemma 2.4.
Lemma 3.2. Let $M$ be a 3 -connected non-regular binary matroid with $|E(M)| \geq 10$ and suppose $T, T^{*}$ is an undesired fan of $M$ such that $T^{*}-T=\{f\}$. Then $M / f$ is a non-regular 3-connected matroid such that $R(M / f)=R(M)$.
Proof. We argue by contradiction. Since $T \cap T^{*} \subseteq R(M)$, it follows from Lemma 2.4 that $|R(M)| \geq 2$. Lemma 3.1 implies that $|R(M / f)|$ is 2 or 4 . If $|R(M / f)|=|R(M)|$, then $R(M / f)=R(M)$ because $R(M) \subseteq R(M / f)$; a contradiction. By Lemma 3.1, $|R(M / f)|=4$ and $|R(M)|=2$. Moreover, $R(M / f)$ is a circuit-cocircuit of $M / f$.

Since $T^{*} \subseteq R(M / f) \cup f$, it follows that $R(M / f)$ is also a circuit-cocircuit of $M$. Therefore $T^{\prime}=$ $T \Delta R(M / f)$ is a triangle of $M$ and $T^{*}=T^{*} \Delta R(M / f)$ is a triad of $M$. But $T^{\prime}$ is a triangle of $M / f$ containing two regular elements of $M / f$ such that no triad of $M / f$ contains these two elements. By Lemma 2.5 these two elements are also regular in $M$. Hence $R(M / f)=R(M)$; a contradiction.

A 3-separation $\{X, Y\}$ for a 3-connected matroid is said to be trivial provided $|X|=3$ or $|Y|=3$.
Lemma 3.3. Let $M$ be a 3 -connected non-regular binary matroid such that $|R(M)| \geq 1$. If any nontrivial 3-separation for $M$ has the union of a triangle and a triad of a undesired fan as one of its sets, then $M$ is isomorphic to $S_{8}, F_{7}$ or $F_{7}^{*}$.
Proof. If $|E(M)| \leq 8$, then the result holds. Therefore, suppose that $|E(M)| \geq 9$. First assume that $M$ has just one non-trivial 3-separation. By Theorem $1.5, M$ is the 3 -sum of matroids $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ such that $E\left(M_{1}\right)-E\left(M_{2}\right)$ is the union of the triangle and the triad of the undesired fan. Thus $E\left(M_{1}\right) \cap R(M) \neq \phi$. Observe that Lemma 2.3(ii) holds in this case. By the uniqueness of the 3-separation for $M, M_{2}$ is internally 4-connected. By Theorem 1.3, $M_{2}$ is isomorphic to $F_{7}$. Thus $|E(M)|=8$; a contradiction. Hence $M$ has at least two non-trivial 3-separations.

Let $T_{1}, T_{1}^{*}$ and $T_{2}, T_{2}^{*}$ be different undesired fans of $M$. For $i \in\{1,2\}$, set $Z_{i}=T_{i} \cap T_{i}^{*}$. By Lemmas 2.4 and 3.1, and orthogonality, $R(M)=Z_{1} \cup Z_{2}$ is a circuit-cocircuit of $M$. In particular, $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ are unique
and these are the unique undesired fans of $M$. If $T_{1}-T_{1}^{*}=\{t\}$ and $T_{1}^{*}-T_{1}=\{f\}$, then $T_{2}=Z_{2} \cup t$ and $T_{2}^{*}=Z_{2} \cup f$ because $T_{1} \Delta T_{2}=T_{1}^{*} \Delta T_{2}^{*}=R(M)$. Observe that $Z_{1} \cup Z_{2} \cup\{f, t\}$ is a 2-separating set for $M$; a contradiction.

## 4. The main result

In this section, we give the proof of Theorem 1.1.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. First, we prove the "only if" part. If $M$ is non-binary, then by Theorem 1.2 we may conclude that $M \cong U_{2,4}$. Therefore suppose $M$ is binary and non-regular. Assume that $M$ is binary non-regular and $|R(M)| \geq 2$. If $M$ is an internally 4-connected matroid, then by Corollary $1.4, M$ is isomorphic to $F_{7}$ or $F_{7}^{*}$.

Thus we may assume that $M$ is not internally 4 -connected. By Lemma $3.3, S_{8}$ is the unique matroid having all non-trivial 3 -separations induced by the union of a triangle and a triad of some undesired fan. The result follows in this case. Therefore, we can assume that $M$ has a 3 -separation such that none of its sets is the union of a triangle and a triad in a undesired fan, say $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}\right\}$. By Theorem 1.5 there are 3-connected matroids (up to parallel elements with the common triangle) $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ such that $M$ is the 3 -sum of $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$ and, for $i \in\{1,2\}, E\left(M_{i}\right)=X_{i} \cup T$. By definition, $T$ is the common triangle between $M_{1}$ and $M_{2}$. By Lemma 2.3 we may assume that $M_{1}$ is non-regular and $M_{2}$ is regular. Moreover, $R(M) \subseteq X_{1}$. We may assume that $M_{1}$ is also 3-connected (the elements in parallel with elements of $T$, if they exist, are in $M_{2}$ ). By Lemmas 2.1 and $2.2, T$ does not span any element of $R(M)$ in $M_{1}$ or $M_{1}^{*}$. Thus by induction we have three possibilities.

First, suppose $M_{1}$ is isomorphic to $F_{7}$ or $S_{8}$. The result follows because $M$ is the 3 -sum of a matroid isomorphic to $F_{7}$ or $S_{8}$ (that is $M_{1}$ ) with a regular matroid (that is $M_{2}$ ).

Second, suppose $M_{1}$ is the 3 -sum of matroids $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ along a triangle $T^{\prime}$ such that $R(M) \subseteq E\left(N_{1}\right)$; $T^{\prime}$ does not span any element of $R(M)$ in $N_{1} ; N_{1}$ is isomorphic to $F_{7}$ or $S_{8}$ and $N_{2}$ is regular (We may assume that $T^{\prime} \cap E\left(M_{2}\right)=\emptyset$.). If $\left|E\left(N_{2}\right) \cap T\right| \geq 2$, then $T \subseteq E\left(N_{2}\right)$ because an element of $E\left(N_{1}\right)-E\left(N_{2}\right)$ spanned by $E\left(N_{2}\right)-E\left(N_{1}\right)$ in $M_{1}$ must be in parallel with some element of $T^{\prime}$ in $N_{1}$. In this subcase, $M$ is the 3 -sum of $N_{1}$ and the regular matroid obtained by doing the 3 -sum of $N_{2}$ and $M_{2}$ along the triangle $T$. The result follows in this case. Thus we may assume that $\left|E\left(N_{2}\right) \cap T\right| \leq 1$. As any two triangles of $N_{1}$ meet (recall that $N_{1}$ is isomorphic to $F_{7}$ or $S_{8}$ ), it follows that $E\left(N_{2}\right) \cap T=\{t\}$. Thus $t$ is in parallel with an element $t^{\prime}$ of $T^{\prime}$ in $N_{2}$. Let $N_{1}^{\prime}$ be the matroid obtained from $N_{1}$ by adding $t$ in parallel with $t^{\prime}$. Note that $T$ is a triangle of $N_{1}^{\prime}$. Thus $N_{1}^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $F_{7}^{p}$ or $S_{8}^{p}$. Moreover, $M$ is the 3-sum of $N_{1}^{\prime}$ with $N_{2} \backslash t$ and $M_{2}$. The result also follows in this case.

Third, suppose there are matroids $N, N_{1}$, and $N_{2}$ such that:
(1) $M_{1}$ is the 3 -sum of $N, N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$;
(2) $N$ has elements $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ in parallel;
(3) $N \backslash t_{1}$ is isomorphic to $F_{7}$ or $S_{8}$;
(4) $E\left(N_{1}\right)$ and $E\left(N_{2}\right)$ are disjoint;
(5) $T_{i}=E(N) \cap E\left(N_{i}\right)$ is a triangle in both $N$ and $N_{i}$, for both $i \in\{1,2\}$;
(6) $t_{i} \in T_{i}$, for both $i \in\{1,2\}$;
(7) $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ are regular and 3-connected (up to some parallel elements with elements of $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ respectively);
(8) $\left(T_{1} \cup T_{2}\right) \cap E\left(M_{2}\right)=\emptyset$.

We begin by showing that $\left|E\left(N_{i}\right) \cap T\right| \leq 1$, for both $i \in\{1,2\}$. If $\left|E\left(N_{i}\right) \cap T\right| \geq 2$, say $i=2$, then $E\left(N_{2}\right)-T_{2}$ spans $T$ in $M_{1}$. As $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are the only elements of $N$ in parallel, it follows that $T \subseteq E\left(N_{2}\right)-T_{2}$, otherwise the unique element belonging to $E\left(N_{2}\right)-T_{2}$ would be in parallel in $N$ with some element of $T_{2}$ and this element is not $t_{1}$. Hence $M$ is the 3 -sum of $N, N_{1}$ and $N_{2}^{\prime}$, where $N_{2}^{\prime}$ is the 3 -sum of $N_{2}$ and $M_{2}$ along $T$. The result follows, by induction. Thus we may assume that $\left|E\left(N_{i}\right) \cap T\right| \leq 1$, for both $i \in\{1,2\}$. Moreover, when $\left|E\left(N_{i}\right) \cap T\right|=1$, say $E\left(N_{i}\right) \cap T=\left\{a_{i}\right\}, a_{i}$ is in parallel with some element $a_{i}^{\prime} \in T_{i}$ in $N_{i}$. If $A_{i}=\left\{a_{i}\right\}$, when this happens, and $A_{i}=\emptyset$ otherwise, then $M_{1}$ is the 3 -sum or $N^{\prime} \backslash\left[\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}-\left(A_{1} \cup A_{2}\right)\right]$ with $N_{1} \backslash A_{1}$ and $N_{2} \backslash A_{2}$, where $N^{\prime}$ is obtained from $N$ by adding, for both $i \in\{1,2\}, a_{i}$ in parallel with $a_{i}^{\prime}$. As $T$ does not span any element of $R(M)$ in $N^{\prime}$, by Lemma 2.1, and
$|R(M)| \geq 2$, it follows that $T$ spans $T_{1}$ or $T_{2}$, say $T_{2}$. That is, each element of $T$ is in parallel with some element of $T_{2}$ in $N^{\prime}$. We can transfer these elements for $N_{2}$ and we arrive at the previous case.

Finally, to see the "if" part, we use Lemmas 2.5 and 3.2 to reduce the $S_{8}$ case to the $F_{7}$ case in the 3 -sums. The $F_{7}$ case is easy to verify.
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