

4. Rothwell PM, Eliasziw M, Gutnikov SA, Fox AJ, Taylor DW, Mayberg MR, et al. Analysis of pooled data from the randomized controlled trials of endarterectomy for symptomatic carotid stenosis. *Lancet* 2003;361:107-16.
5. Stary HC, Chandler AB, Glagov S, Guyton JR, Insull W, Jr, Rosenfeld ME, et al. A definition of initial, fatty streak, and intermediate lesions of atherosclerosis. A report from the committee on vascular lesions of the council on arteriosclerosis, American Heart Association. *Circulation* 1994;89:2462-78.
6. Virmani R, Kolodgie FD, Burke AP, Finn AV, Gold HK, Tulenko TN, et al. Atherosclerotic plaque progression and vulnerability to rupture: angiogenesis as a source of intraplaque hemorrhage. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 2005;25:2054-61.
7. Kolodgie FD, Gold HK, Burke AP, Fowler DR, Kruth HS, Weber DK, et al. Intraplaque hemorrhage and progression of coronary atheroma. *New Engl J Med* 2003;349:2316-25.
8. Murphy RE, Moody AR, Morgan PS, Martel AL, Delay GS, Allder S, et al. Prevalence of complicated carotid atheroma as detected by magnetic resonance direct thrombus imaging in patients with suspected carotid artery stenosis and previous acute cerebral ischemia. *Circulation* 2003;107:3053-8.
9. Cappendijk VC, Cleutjens KB, Heeneman S, Schurink GW, Welten RJ, Kessels AG, et al. In vivo detection of hemorrhage in human atherosclerotic plaques with magnetic resonance imaging. *J Mag Reson Imaging* 2004;20:105-10.
10. Moody AR, Murphy RE, Morgan PS, Martel AL, Delay GS, Allder S, et al. Characterization of complicated carotid plaque with magnetic resonance direct thrombus imaging in patients with cerebral ischemia. *Circulation* 2003;107:3047-52.
11. Altaf N, MacSweeney ST, Gladman J, Auer DP. Carotid intraplaque hemorrhage predicts recurrent symptoms in patients with high-grade carotid stenosis. *Stroke* 2007;38:1633-5.
12. Takaya N, Yuan C, Chu B, Saam T, Underhill H, Cai J, et al. Association between carotid plaque characteristics and subsequent ischemic cerebrovascular events: a prospective assessment with MRI-initial results. *Stroke* 2006;37:818-23.
13. Altaf N, Beech A, Goode S, Gladman J, Moody AR, Auer D, MacSweeney S. Magnetic resonance imaging detected carotid intraplaque hemorrhage predicts embolization during carotid endarterectomy. *J Vasc Surg* 2007;46:31-6.
14. Sidhu PS, Allan PL. Ultrasound assessment of internal carotid artery stenosis. *Clinical Radiol* 1997;52:654-8.
15. CAVATAS investigators. Endovascular versus surgical treatment in patients with carotid stenosis in the carotid and vertebral artery transluminal angioplasty study (CAVATAS): a randomized trial. *Lancet* 2001;357:1729-37.
16. Sacco RL, Adams R, Albers G, Alberts MJ, Benavente O, Furie K, et al. Guidelines for prevention of stroke in patients with ischemic stroke or transient ischemic attack: A statement for healthcare professionals from the American Heart Association/American Stroke Association Council on Stroke: cosponsored by the Council on Cardiovascular Radiology and Intervention: the American Academy of Neurology affirms the value of this guideline. *Circulation* 2006;113:e409-49.
17. Golledge J, Greenhalgh RM, Davies AH. The symptomatic carotid plaque. *Stroke* 2000;31:774-81.
18. Redgrave JN, Lovett JK, Gallagher PJ, Rothwell PM. Histological assessment of 526 symptomatic carotid plaques in relation to the nature and timing of ischemic symptoms: the oxford plaque study. *Circulation* 2006;113:2320-8.
19. Takaya N, Yuan C, Chu B, Saam T, Polissar NL, Jarvik GP, et al. Presence of intraplaque hemorrhage stimulates progression of carotid atherosclerotic plaques: a high-resolution magnetic resonance imaging study. *Circulation* 2005;111:2768-75.
20. Toussaint JF, LaMuraglia GM, Southern JF, Fuster V, Kantor HL. Magnetic resonance images lipid, fibrous, calcified, hemorrhagic, and thrombotic components of human atherosclerosis in vivo. *Circulation* 1996;94:932-8.

Submitted Jun 22, 2007; accepted Sep 29, 2007.

## INVITED COMMENTARY

### A. Ross Naylor, MD, FRCS, *Leicester, United Kingdom*

One of the most enduring Holy Grails in carotid practice is the search for a *preoperative* biomarker or imaging parameter that accurately identifies the “high-risk for stroke” patient amongst a myriad of “lower-risk” patients attending cerebrovascular clinics. Unfortunately, every attempt has (thus far) failed, and it is inevitable that some observers will retain an interested but sceptical wariness regarding the suggestion by Altaf et al that magnetic resonance detection of intraplaque hemorrhage (MR-IPH) might optimize selection for carotid endarterectomy (CEA) or carotid artery angioplasty and stenting (CAS).

At first sight, the omens seem promising. The Altaf et al data suggest that MR-IPH in symptomatic patients with mild/moderate disease predicted an increased risk of recurrent ipsilateral events during a median 28 months’ follow-up. These data are consistent with earlier observations that MR-IPH correlated positively with recurrent ipsilateral events in symptomatic patients with 70% to 99% stenoses awaiting CEA<sup>1</sup> and an increased rate of particulate embolization detected during CEA.<sup>2</sup>

So why do I retain doubts? I genuinely want this type of research to succeed, as too many CEA/CAS procedures are performed in otherwise very low-risk patients: seven of 10 symptomatic and nine of 10 asymptomatic interventions are (with hindsight) unnecessary. However, I keep returning to the anomaly in this paper, which was also present in an earlier study by Altaf et al in patients with severe carotid disease.<sup>1</sup> Why is it that MR-IPH was only predictive of recurrent events in the ipsilateral *symptomatic* artery and not in the contralateral *asymptomatic* artery? In this study, 58% of contralateral asymptomatic carotid arteries had MR-IPH, yet none of these patients had a stroke during 28 months of follow-up. The authors acknowl-

edged this discrepancy, but did not offer an explanation other than noting that coassociation with symptoms was important. Is it possible that some of the “high signal” in these symptomatic patients represented fresh luminal thrombus?

So what are my take-home messages? Notwithstanding the good omens, I need a little more convincing about whether MR-IPH represents cause or effect in symptomatic and asymptomatic patients. Second, I would like to know about temporal changes in MR-IPH: Is it a constant feature, or does it regress with time or statin therapy? Third, is MR-IPH associated with increased procedural risks after CAS? Finally, the anomaly regarding MR-IPH in asymptomatic patients could simply reflect small numbers and short follow-up. I hope that others—perhaps even the Transatlantic Asymptomatic Carotid Intervention Trial (TACIT)<sup>3</sup> with its randomized medical arm—will undertake corroborative studies in larger cohorts of patients. The importance of identifying a reliable preoperative marker of increased stroke risk cannot be understated.

## REFERENCES

1. Altaf N, MacSweeney ST, Gladman J, Auer DP. Carotid intraplaque hemorrhage predicts recurrent symptoms in patients with high-grade carotid stenosis. *Stroke* 2007;38:1633-5.
2. Altaf N, Beech A, Goode SD, Gladman JR, Moody AR, Auer DP, MacSweeney ST. Magnetic resonance imaging detected carotid intraplaque hemorrhage predicts embolization during carotid endarterectomy. *J Vasc Surg* 2007;46:31-6.
3. Gaines PA, Randall MS. Carotid artery stenting for patients with asymptomatic disease and news on TACIT. *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2005; 30:461-3.