Review

Ubiquitination in the antiviral immune response
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Abstract

Ubiquitination has long been known to regulate fundamental cellular processes through the induction of proteasomal degradation of target proteins. More recently, 'atypical' non-degradative types of poly-ubiquitin chains have been appreciated as important regulatory moieties by modulating the activity or subcellular localization of key signaling proteins. Intriguingly, many of these non-degradative types of ubiquitination regulate the innate sensing pathways initiated by pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), ultimately coordinating an effective antiviral immune response. Here we discuss recent advances in understanding the functional roles of degradative and atypical types of ubiquitination in innate immunity to viral infections, with a specific focus on the signaling pathways triggered by RIG-I-like receptors, Toll-like receptors, and the intracellular viral DNA sensor cGAS.
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Introduction

Infection with viral pathogens triggers an immediate antiviral response in the host cell, commonly termed ‘innate immune response’. This response is characterized by rapid gene expression of a variety of antiviral and inflammation-inducing molecules, including type-I interferons (IFN-α/β), type-III IFNs (IFN-λ or IL-28/29), proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines. Upon secretion and subsequent binding to their respective receptors on the surface of surrounding cells, IFNs lead to the upregulation of more than one hundred different interferon-stimulated genes (ISGs) (Ivashkiv and Donlin, 2014; Liu et al., 2011). ISGs encode for either signaling molecules, including transcription factors, that amplify the innate immune response, or for antiviral effector proteins to block virus replication through multiple mechanisms, such as cleavage of viral RNA or shutdown of host cell translation. Furthermore, secreted proinflammatory cytokines and chemokines produced during the innate immune response are critical for priming and fine-tuning the adaptive immune response (Sadler and Williams, 2008; Sen and Sarkar, 2007).

One class of important molecules in the activation of the innate antiviral response are pattern recognition receptors (PRRs), which recognize viral proteins or specific features in the viral nucleic acid, and then trigger immune signaling that results in IFN production (Creagh, 2006; Takeuchi and Akira, 2010). At least three major classes of PRRs recognizing viral nucleic acids have been identified: (1) the cytosolic RIG-I-like receptors (RLRs) sensing viral RNA species produced during both RNA and DNA virus infections; (2) the membrane-bound Toll-like receptors (TLRs) detecting viral RNA or DNA in endolysosomes immediately after virus entry; and (3) a group of structurally-unrelated viral DNA sensors, with cGAS (cyclic GMP-AMP synthase) representing a key sensor of various DNA virus infections; (2) the membrane-bound Toll-like receptors (TLRs) detecting viral RNA or DNA in endolysosomes immediately after virus entry; and (3) a group of structurally-unrelated viral DNA sensors, with cGAS (cyclic GMP-AMP synthase) representing a key sensor of various DNA virus infections. Upon sensing of viral nucleic acid, these sensors activate several kinases belonging to the IKK kinase) family, namely the canonical IKKα and IKKβ together with their essential regulatory subunit IKKγ/NEMO, as well as the non-canonical IKKε and TANK-binding kinase-1 (TBK1). IKKε/β/γ and TBK1/IKKε then activate the transcription factors NF-κB and IFN-regulatory factors 3 and 7 (IRF3/7), respectively. In addition, PRRs activate several mitogen-activated protein kinases (MAPK), leading to the activation of AP-1 (activator protein-1). IRF-3/7, NF-κB and AP-1, upon their translocation into the nucleus, transcriptionally induce IFNs and other cytokines, ultimately establishing an antiviral program in the infected host cell or uninfected surrounding cells (Loo and Gale, 2011; Goubau and Deddouche, 2013).

Aberrant PRR activation and signaling can lead to chronic inflammation and tissue damage, and potentially cause autoimmune disorders. Indeed, recent findings indicated that some autoimmune diseases, e.g. systemic lupus erythematosus and Aicardi-Goutières Syndrome, are linked to single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) in PRRs that lead to their constitutive activation (reviewed in (Kato and Fujita, 2014; Smith and Jeffries, 2014)). To prevent premature or excessive activation of PRR-induced antiviral signaling, an elegant system of regulation is in place. A key host mechanism for modulating the stability and signaling activity of PRRs and their downstream signaling molecules is reversible posttranslational modification (PTM), with phosphorylation and ubiquitination being the most well studied PTMs. Here we focus on the role of ubiquitination and the reversal of this process, deubiquitination, in the regulation of three major innate sensing pathways of viral infections: the RLR, TLR and cGAS-STING pathways.

Ubiquitin conjugation and deubiquitination of proteins

Ubiquitin is a small, 76 amino acid protein that is conserved across eukaryotic organisms and can be covalently attached to lysines or other residues in target proteins to modify their stabilities or activities. Ubiquitin conjugation is completed through step-wise catalysis using three distinct classes of enzymes, termed E1, E2 and E3 (Pickart and Eddins, 2004; Chernorudskiy and Gainullin, 2013). First, E1 activates the ubiquitin molecule in an ATP-dependent manner by forming an intermediate thioester bond between an active cysteine group in the E1 enzyme itself and the ubiquitin C-terminus. The E1 ubiquitin-activating enzyme then binds to the E2 enzyme, also called ubiquitin-conjugating enzyme, which accepts the ubiquitin at a catalytic cysteine residue. Finally, the E3 ubiquitin ligase, in complex with E2, facilitates the transfer of the ubiquitin moiety to the substrate protein by forming an isopeptide bond, usually between the ε-amino group of a lysine in the substrate and the C-terminal glycine residue of the ubiquitin molecule. Given that the E3 ligase determines the substrate specificity and that there are many different substrate proteins for ubiquitination in human cells, it is not surprising that a large number (more than 700) of E3 ligases exists. Furthermore, in humans, there are two E1 enzymes, which usually do not have any specificity for the E2 or E3 enzyme, and ~40 different E2 enzymes, whose primary function is to determine which types of polyubiquitin chains are catalyzed by the E3. The E3 ubiquitin ligase superfamily can be classified into four major families: Really Interesting New Gene (RING), homologous to E6-associated protein C-terminus (HECT), UFD2 homology (U-box), and RING-in-between-RING (RBR) E3 ligases (Berndsen and Wolberger, 2014; Mattiolo and Simxa, 2014; Nagy and Dikic, 2010). Members of each E3 ligase family facilitate ubiquitin conjugation to the target protein through different mechanisms. RING E3 ligases, the most prevalent, never directly bind to the ubiquitin moiety. Instead, they serve as mediators for direct transfer of the ubiquitin molecule from the E2 enzyme to the substrate. In contrast, in the case of HECT E3 ligases, an intermediate bond between ubiquitin and a catalytic cysteine of the E3 ligase is formed before transfer of ubiquitin to the target protein. U-box E3 ligases, also dubbed E4 ubiquitin ligases, primarily elongate polyubiquitin chains that have already been begun by another E3 ligase (Koegl et al., 1999). The recently identified family of RBR E3 ligases (further reviewed in (Spratt et al., 2014)) are structurally characterized by two domains which are bioinformatically similar to RING domains, separated by an intervening sequence called IBR (in-between-RING). RBR E3 ligases catalyze ubiquitin conjugation through a hybrid mechanism in which the first RING domain acts as a canonical RING ligase, interacting with the E2 enzyme, bringing it in proximity to the substrate. The second RING domain, also called required for catalysis (Rcat), then accepts the ubiquitin from the E2 enzyme before transferring it to the substrate, similar to the action of a HECT ligase (Spratt et al., 2014).
Conjugation of ubiquitin to substrates generally occurs at lysine residues, but may also occur at cysteine, serine, threonine, and tyrosine residues (McDowell and Philpott, 2013). Residues can be modified with a single ubiquitin moiety (monoubiquitination), two ubiquitin proteins (diubiquitination), or chains of ubiquitin (polyubiquitination). Polyubiquitin chains are usually formed through covalent binding of the C-terminal glycine of one ubiquitin molecule to an internal lysine residue of another ubiquitin molecule. As ubiquitin harbors seven internal lysine residues (K6, K11, K27, K29, K33, K48, and K63), seven different types of polyubiquitin chains can arise (Fig. 1) (McDowell and Philpott, 2013; Ikeda et al., 2010). Among them, K48-linked ubiquitination, the classical ubiquitination involved in proteasomal degradation, and K63-linked polyubiquitination represent the most well-studied polyubiquitin types. Additionally, the C-terminus of one ubiquitin molecule can also bind to the N-terminal methionine of another ubiquitin molecule, giving rise to ‘linear ubiquitination’ (also called ‘head-to-tail’ or Met1 ubiquitination). Polyubiquitin chains are usually covalently linked to the substrate; however, over the past several years, it has become clear that polyubiquitin chains can also be bound non-covalently by substrate proteins. The cellular fate of ubiquitinated proteins varies greatly based on the linkage type of ubiquitin chains formed on the modified residue (Fig. 1) (Ikeda et al., 2010; Kulathu and Komander, 2012). Classical K48-linked polyubiquitin chains are generally recognized by the proteasome, leading to degradation of the substrate, a mechanism utilized for normal protein turnover in the cell. In contrast, K63-linked ubiquitination does not usually trigger proteasomal degradation, but instead plays an important role in signal transduction pathways. Mechanically, K63-linked ubiquitination of proteins has been shown to activate signaling pathways by either stabilizing substrates, or by acting as a scaffold for the formation of a signaling multi-complex. Specifically, K63-linked ubiquitination can promote the multimerization of signaling proteins, thereby inducing their active states, allowing for the recruitment of additional interaction partners and ultimately signal transduction. Furthermore, K63-linked ubiquitination can lead to the formation of an active signaling complex through recruitment of binding partners that harbor specific ubiquitin-binding domains (UBDs) that recognize K63-polyubiquitin-modified proteins.

As with K63-linked ubiquitination, linear ubiquitin chains positively regulate signal transduction events rather than leading to protein degradation (Walczak et al., 2012). Linear ubiquitination is catalyzed by LUBAC, an E3 ligase complex consisting of hemodized iron-responsive element binding protein 2 ubiquitin ligase-1 (HOIL-1) and HOIL-1-interacting protein (HOIP), often complexed with SHANK-associated RH domain-interacting protein (SHARPIN) (Kirisako et al., 2006; Tokunaga et al., 2011; Gerlach et al., 2011; Ikeda et al., 2011). The functions of the remaining five polyubiquitin linkages are much more enigmatic. Treatment with proteasomal inhibitors leads to cellular enrichment of not only K48-linked polyubiquitin, but also K11-, K29-, and K33-linked polyubiquitin, suggesting that these ubiquitin linkage types may also play roles in protein degradation (Wagner et al., 2011; Kim et al., 2011; Krajsova-Ivantsov and Ciechanover, 2012). In contrast, K6-linked ubiquitin chains seem not to be involved in protein degradation, but may be connected to DNA damage (Kulathu and Komander, 2012); however, the exact outcomes of K6-linked ubiquitination of substrates remain largely unclear. K11-linked ubiquitination is thought to specifically regulate the proteasomal degradation of proteins that are involved in cell cycle regulation; consistent with this, the levels of K11-linked ubiquitin chains vary at different time points during the cell cycle (Matsumoto et al., 2010). This leads to speculation that perhaps K11-linked ubiquitination specifically targets proteins involved in cell cycle control for degradation, while K48-linked ubiquitination acts as a more general cellular degradation signal. K11-linked polyubiquitination has also been shown to have an activating role in tumor necrosis
factor (TNF) signaling (Dynek et al., 2010). Finally, K11-linked ubiquitination of MHC (major histocompatibility complex) class I mediated by the K5 protein of Kaposi's sarcoma herpes virus (KSHV) leads to receptor internalization and immune evasion (Goto et al., 2010; Boname et al., 2010).

K27-linked ubiquitination has also been implicated in protein degradation; however, its primary role seems to be in the modification of mitochondrial proteins, triggering the induction of mitophagy. This mechanism is particularly well-characterized for Parkin, which leads to the K11-linked ubiquitination of multiple mitochondrial proteins, inducing their degradation (Glauser et al., 2010, 2011). K27-linked ubiquitination has also been shown to regulate the differentiation of regulatory T cells (Peng et al., 2011). The least is known about K29- and K33-linked polyubiquitination. Interestingly, members of the AMP-activated protein kinase (AMPK) family can be modified with both K29- and K33-linked ubiquitin chains. These modifications did not affect the protein stability of AMPKs, but inhibited their activities through an unidentified mechanism (Al-Hakim et al., 2008). One study has also linked K33-linked ubiquitination to non-proteolytic regulation of T-cell receptor signal transduction (Huang et al., 2010).

Much more work is needed to delve into the fates of these atypical ubiquitin linkages to determine the linkage-specific fates of protein substrates.

In addition to the described homogenously-linked polyubiquitin chains, in the past few years mixed or branched chains of polyubiquitin have been identified (Nakasone et al., 2013; Kirkpatrick et al., 2006). However, to date it remains largely unclear how these mixed ubiquitin linkages are made and what roles they play.

Recently, a role for unanchored or ‘free’ ubiquitin chains has been described. These chains are generated by E2/E3 ligases, but are not covalently conjugated to a substrate protein, and instead are bound non-covalently. To date, three linkage types of unanchored polyubiquitin have been identified, K63-linked, K48-linked and linear ubiquitin chains, all of which play important roles in innate immune signaling. Unanchored K63-linked and linear ubiquitin chains have been described for their roles in promoting NEMO and/or RIG-I signaling (as described in detail below). Unanchored K48 ubiquitin chains were recently shown to play a positive regulatory role in type-I IFN receptor (IFNAR) signal transduction. Specifically, upon IFNAR activation, IKKe binds to unanchored K48-linked ubiquitin chains, which activates IKKe to phosphoacceptor signal transducer and activator of transcription 1 (STAT1), ultimately triggering expression of antiviral ISGs (Rajbaum et al., 2014a).

The role of ubiquitin in RLR signal transduction

The RLRs are a family of DExD/H-box helicases which recognize viral RNA species in the cytosol of infected cells. The two best-studied RLR members are retinoic acid-inducible gene-1 (RIG-I) and melanoma differentiation-associated protein 5 (MDA5), critical for the detection of viral 5′-triphosphorylated RNA or long dsRNA, respectively (reviewed in detail in (Goubau and Deddouche, 2013; Schlee, 2013)). In addition, it has recently been shown that RIG-I can also detect viral RNA containing a diphosphate moiety (Goubau et al., 2014). Functional studies in RIG-I and MDA5 knockout (KO) cells showed that RIG-I senses influenza viruses, arenaviruses and vesicular stomatitis virus (VSV), while MDA5 detects picornaviruses. Furthermore, many RNA viruses including Flaviviruses, reoviruses and paramyxoviruses are sensed both by RIG-I and MDA5, often in a temporally-distinct manner (Loo and Gale, 2011; Kato et al., 2006). RIG-I has also been implicated in the detection of various DNA viruses (e.g. Epstein-

Barr virus and adenoviruses) by sensing 5′-triphosphorylated small RNAs produced during replication. The third RLR member, LGP2 (laboratory of genetics and physiology 2), is not believed to have sensing capacity but instead, has been shown to have a regulatory role (reviewed in (Rodriguez et al., 2014)). All three RLR proteins share a helicase and C-terminal domain (CTD), both of which confer RNA binding ability (Yoneyama et al., 2004; Andrejeva et al., 2004). Additionally, RIG-I and MDA5, but not LGP2, harbor two N-terminal caspase activation and recruitment domains (CARDs), which are critical for downstream signaling and IFN induction. After binding to their RNA ligands, RIG-I and MDA5 oligomerize and interact with MAVS (also known as Cardif, IPS-1 or VISA) on mitochondria via CARD–CARD interactions (Kawai

Fig. 2. Regulation of RLRs by ubiquitination. RIG-I and MDA5, members of the RIG-I-like receptor (RLR) family, recognize cytoplasmic viral RNA species and subsequently signal through the adaptor protein MAVS (also called Cardif, IPS-1, or VISA) on mitochondria. Through various steps (not illustrated), MAVS activates downstream signaling, leading to gene expression of type-I IFNs (IFN-α/β). The stability and signaling activities of RIG-I, MDA5 and MAVS are tightly regulated by K48- and K63-linked polyubiquitination, respectively. RIG-I is activated by K63-linked ubiquitination mediated by TRIM25, an IFN-inducible E3 ubiquitin ligase belonging to the large family of TRIM proteins. TRIM25 ubiquitinates several lysines in the N-terminal caspase activation and recruitment domains (CARDs) of RIG-I (not illustrated). TRIM25-mediated ubiquitination specifically at K172 in RIG-I is critical for RIG-I signaling. In addition, TRIM4 and MEX3C were shown to induce K63-linked ubiquitination of the RIG-I CARDs. Furthermore, RIPLET induces K63-linked ubiquitination of K788 (and also other residues) in MAVS. MAVS is ubiquitinated with K63-linked ubiquitin chains; however, the E3 ligase for MAVS K63-ubiquitination is unknown. Multiple different E3 ubiquitin ligases have been reported to induce the K48-linked ubiquitination of RLRs and MAVS, triggering their degradation by the proteasome: RNF125 for RIG-I; TRIM13 and RNF125 for MDA5; and AIP4/Itch, Smurf1/2, RNF5 and RNF125 for MAVS. The K48-linked ubiquitination of RIG-I can be actively removed by USP4. Furthermore, TRIM44, an atypical TRIM protein that lacks the RING E3 ligase domain, inhibits the K48-linked ubiquitination of MAVS through an unidentified mechanism.
et al., 2005; Meylan et al., 2005; Seth et al., 2005; Xu et al., 2005). Activated MAVS then initiates a signaling cascade, which intersects with the signaling pathway induced by several other innate immune receptors (e.g. TLR or CgAS), resulting in the activation of IRF3/7, AP-1 and NF-κB, which function to trigger transcriptional activation of IFNs and proinflammatory cytokines (Loo and Gale, 2011; Belgnoufi et al., 2011).

**Activation of RIG-I–MAVS signaling by K63-linked polyubiquitin**

Over the past several years, it has become evident that K63-linked polyubiquitination plays a crucial role in promoting RLR signaling (Fig. 2). The regulation of RIG-I by K63-linked ubiquitin chains has been particularly well-characterized. Mass spectrometry and biochemical analyses showed that the N-terminal CARDs of RIG-I undergo K63-linked ubiquitination by TRIM25 (also called estrogen-responsive finger protein [EFP]), an IFN-inducible E3 ligase belonging to the tripartite motif (TRIM) protein family (Gack et al., 2007). This study showed that upon viral infection, TRIM25 binds using its C-terminal SPRY domain to the first CARD of RIG-I; the RING E3 ligase activity of TRIM25 then conjugates covalent K63-polyubiquitin chains to the residue K172 in the second CARD of RIG-I (as well as to five other lysine residues: K99, K169, K181, K190 and K193). Before TRIM25–RIG-I binding and RIG-I ubiquitination, specific serine and threonine residues in the RIG-I CARDs must be dephosphorylated by PP1 (Gack et al., 2010; Nistal-Villan et al., 2010; Wies et al., 2013; Maharaj et al., 2012). The K63-linked ubiquitin chains on RIG-I promote RIG-I's interaction with MAVS, evidenced by mutation of K172 in RIG-I as well as trim25 gene targeting, both of which profoundly reduced the RIG-I CARD ubiquitination and binding of RIG-I to MAVS (Gack et al., 2007, 2008; Rajbaum et al., 2012). Functional studies in mouse embryonic fibroblast cells (MEFs) deficient in the trim25 gene demonstrated that TRIM25 is an important activator of RIG-I and critical for an effective IFN-mediated immune response to various RNA virus infections. Notably, no covalent K63-linked ubiquitination of the MDA5 CARDs, with or without ectopic expression of TRIM25, was detected in human cells (Gack et al., 2007). This study showed for the first time that a member of the TRIM family, comprising ~70 proteins in humans, promotes PRR signaling through conjugation of unconventional polyubiquitin, thereby inducing type-I IFNs. Intriguingly, in the past few years, it has been shown that several other TRIM members – all characterized by the RBCC motif comprised of a RING, B-box and Coiled-coil domain (CCD) – regulate PRR signaling pathways by catalyzing K63-linked ubiquitin chains or other non-degradative types of polyubiquitin. Moreover, some TRIM proteins also conjugate degradative K48-linked ubiquitination to key signaling molecules in innate immunity, thereby dampening antiviral and proinflammatory responses. Thus, TRIM proteins represent an important class of immunoregulatory molecules in innate signaling pathways. In addition, several TRIM members act as antiviral restriction factors (e.g. TRIM5α and TRIM59α) by directly interacting with viral proteins to block virus replication (Gack, 2011; Rajbaum et al., 2014b; McNab et al., 2011).

Besides TRIM25, an additional E3 ligase has been reported to regulate RIG-I through K63-linked ubiquitination. Ripplet (also called RNF315 or REUL) has been shown to ubiquitinate RIG-I, facilitating its activation (Oshiumi et al., 2009; Gao et al., 2009). In vivo studies confirmed the importance of this E3 ligase in regulating RIG-I signaling: Ripplet-deficient mice produced less IFN and were more susceptible to VSV infection than wild-type (WT) mice (Oshiumi et al., 2010). While these studies confirmed an important role of Ripplet in RIG-I ubiquitination and activation, some of the mechanistic details – specifically which domain and residues in RIG-I are targets of ubiquitination by Ripplet – still remain somewhat unclear. While one study suggested that Ripplet ubiquitinated residues in the N-terminal CARDs (Gao et al., 2009), others demonstrated that Ripplet ubiquitinated several lysine residues in the CTD of RIG-I, of which K788 seemed to be the functionally important residue for regulating RIG-I signaling (Oshiumi et al., 2009, 2013).

The importance of TRIM25– and Ripplet-mediated ubiquitination in RIG-I activation was strengthened by the finding that the non-structural protein 1 (NS1) of influenza A virus (IAV) antagonizes both E3 ligases (Rajbaum et al., 2012; Gack et al., 2009). Initial studies demonstrated that NS1 interacts with human TRIM25, preventing the K63-linked ubiquitination of the RIG-I CARDs (Gack et al., 2009). This was shown to be due to NS1 interacting with the CCD of TRIM25, preventing TRIM25 dimerization, which appears to be critical for TRIM25's enzymatic activity to induce ubiquitination of RIG-I. A recombinant IAV containing an NS1 protein that cannot interact with TRIM25 (E96A/E97A NS1 mutant) did not have an inhibitory effect on the ubiquitin-dependent signaling activity of RIG-I (Gack et al., 2009). The lack of conservation of K172 between human and mouse RIG-I led to additional studies looking at the antagonism of RIG-I by the influenza NS1 protein in cells from different host species. This study showed that while NS1 from human, avian, porcine, and murine IAV strains were all able to interact with human TRIM25, none of the tested NS1 proteins interacted with mouse TRIM25. Instead, NS1 efficiently bound to mouse Ripplet; however, the ability of NS1 proteins to interact with Ripplet was not limited to the murine ortholog. In fact, NS1 proteins from human IAV strains were also able to interact with human Ripplet, blocking both TRIM25 and Ripplet in human cells, which led to profound inhibition of RIG-I-mediated antiviral signaling (Rajbaum et al., 2012). Mechanistically, there is evidence that Ripplet induces K63-linked ubiquitination of the CTD of RIG-I first, which likely stabilizes an open conformation of the RIG-I molecule, in which the CARDs are now accessible for TRIM25 binding and TRIM25-mediated ubiquitination, ultimately promoting RIG-I–MAVS interaction (Oshiumi et al., 2013; Gack, 2014). Further studies are needed to fully understand the species-specific roles of TRIM25 and Ripplet in RIG-I activation, and how these two E3 ligases act in concert to stimulate RIG-I downstream signaling for a rapid and effective antiviral response.

Recently, there have been reports suggesting a role for unanchored K63-linked polyubiquitin chains in the signal activation of RIG-I. Using a cell free system, Zeng et al. showed that in vitro-generated K63- , but not K48- or linear, polyubiquitin chains were able to bind to RIG-I and facilitate its ability to activate IRF3 (Zeng et al., 2010). Subsequent studies showed that the MDA5 CARDs are also able to interact with unanchored K63-linked ubiquitin chains in vitro (Jiang et al., 2012), enabling MDA5 to activate IRF3. However, these studies on MDA5 K63-ubiquitin binding were challenged by Wu et al. who did not observe MDA5 activation by K63-polyubiquitin, leaving the role of K63-polyubiquitin in MDA5 activation ambiguous (Wu et al., 2013a).

In regards to RIG-I, the discrepancy between its covalent K63-linked ubiquitination and ability to bind unanchored K63-ubiquitin resulted in the important question of which type of K63-linked polyubiquitin – covalent or non-covalent – is important for RIG-I activation. The recently solved crystal structure of the RIG-I CARDs demonstrated that three K63-ubiquitin chains are bound along the outer rim of the RIG-I 2CARD tetramer, stabilizing the CARDs in a ‘lockwasher’ conformation (Peisley et al., 2014). Importantly, this study provided several lines of evidence that covalent K63-ubiquitination is important for RIG-I CARD-mediated signaling. First, they showed that residue K172 in RIG-I, previously identified to be covalently attached to K63-ubiquitin (Gack et al., 2007), is not involved in an interaction with unanchored K63-ubiquitin chains. In fact, the structural analysis...
showed that K172 is within the covalent linkage distance (≤20 Å) from the C-terminus of ubiquitin, strongly indicating that this residue is covalently modified. Furthermore, this study showed that covalently-attached K63-ubiquitin chains stabilized the signaling-active RIG-I tetramer more efficiently than non-covalent K63-diubiquitin (Peisley et al., 2014).

A recent study has implicated a third ubiquitin E3 ligase, TRIM4, in regulating RIG-I signal transduction. Overexpression of TRIM4 led to increased IFN induction following infection with Sendai virus (SeV), a paramyxovirus known to be detected by RIG-I (Yan et al., 2014). More detailed analysis indicated that TRIM4 interacted with RIG-I and led to the K63-linked ubiquitination of K154, K164, and K172 in the CARDs. However, the physiological role and contribution of K63-linked ubiquitination of RIG-I by TRIM4 to innate antiviral immunity has yet to be determined. Another regulatory mechanism of RIG-I activity through K63-linked ubiquitination was recently discovered involving antiviral stress granules (avSG) (Kunioyoshi et al., 2014). The E3 ligase MEX3C was shown to bind to viral RNA, resulting in its association with RIG-I inside avSGs. This study indicated that K48, K99 and K169 of RIG-I were ubiquitinated by MEX3C, and that this ubiquitination increased type-I IFN induction. While this study strengthened the hypothesis that RIG-I’s subcellular localization may be important for RIG-I recruitment, RIG-I has been shown to be degraded in a proteasome-dependent manner based on conjugation of K48-linked polyubiquitin. It was first reported that the RING E3 ligase RNF125 binds to and ubiquitinates RIG-I with K48-linked polyubiquitin chains (Fig. 2). This ubiquitin mark led to RIG-I degradation and decreased SeV-induced IFN induction, indicating a negative-feedback loop by regulating RIG-I protein levels (Arimoto et al., 2007). The same study showed that RNF125 does not act specifically on RIG-I, but also interacted with and ubiquitinates MDA5 and MAVS as well — although to a lesser extent (Arimoto et al., 2007). This identified RNF125 as a relatively non-specific regulator of the RLR pathway by targeting both RIG-I and MDA5 as well as MAVS for degradation. The K48-linked ubiquitination of RIG-I is counteracted by USP4, stabilizing the protein levels of RIG-I and prolonging IFN induction (Wang et al., 2013). Interestingly, USP4 protein levels decrease following virus infection, indicating that it is involved in steady-state regulation of RIG-I.

MDA5 was reported to be negatively regulated by another E3 ubiquitin ligase, TRIM13 (Narayan et al., 2014). This study showed that overexpression of TRIM13 inhibited MDA5-mediated signaling. Furthermore, infection with encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV) induced significantly higher type-I IFN levels in trim13−/− mice than in WT mice (Narayan et al., 2014). In support of this, trim13−/− mice had an increased resistance to EMCV infection than their WT littermates. Of note, this study did not look specifically at MDA5 ubiquitination by TRIM13; however, as TRIM13 has a functional RING E3 ligase domain, it is likely that the mechanism involves degradative K48-linked ubiquitination. More detailed studies are needed to define the mechanistic action of TRIM13 in MDA5 signal transduction.

Both HECT and RING E3 ligases have been shown to function as negative regulators of MAVS signaling by inducing its K48-linked ubiquitination. Besides ubiquitination by RNF125, MAVS undergoes K48-linked ubiquitination at residues K371 and K420 by the HECT E3 ligase AIP4 (Atrophin 1 Interacting Protein 4; also called ITCH) (You et al., 2009). Notably, AIP4/ITCH is not present on the mitochondria during steady state, but is specifically recruited there upon viral infection by the RNA-binding protein PCB2 (poly(rC) binding protein 2), ultimately triggering the proteasomal degradation of MAVS. Experiments in itch−/− MEFs showed that the absence of AIP4 led to sustained production of several cytokines (e.g. type-I IFNs, TNF, and IL6) in response to poly(I:C) transfection or SeV infection (You et al., 2009). Furthermore, the SMAD ubiquitin regulatory factors (Smurf) 1 and 2 have been shown to induce the K48-linked ubiquitination and degradation of MAVS (Wang et al., 2012b; Pan et al., 2014). In the case of Smurf1, Ndpf1, known for its role in the activation of the Nedd4 family of HECT E3 ligases, was critical for MAVS binding and degradation. Finally, RNF5 has been reported to interact with MAVS upon viral infection, regulating MAVS stability through targeting K362 and K461 in MAVS for K48-linked ubiquitination (Zhong et al., 2010). Interestingly, TRIM44 has been shown to counteract the K48-polyubiquitin-induced degradation of MAVS (Yang et al., 2013a). TRIM44 overexpression led to increased MAVS stability and enhanced IFN induction by suppressing the PCBP2/AIP4-induced ubiquitination of MAVS. Of note, TRIM44 is an atypical TRIM protein because it lacks the RING finger domain; instead TRIM44 possesses a ZF-UBP domain, which is typically found in members of the USP family. Further studies will be needed to define the precise mechanism by which TRIM44 stabilizes MAVS, and specifically whether the putative DUB activity of TRIM44 plays a role in MAVS regulation.

Recent studies have identified LUBAC as a negative feedback regulator of the TRIM25–RIG-I signaling complex. LUBAC, composed of the E3 ligases HOIL-1L and HOIP, has been shown to negatively regulate RIG-I signaling utilizing two distinct mechanisms (Inn et al., 2011). First, LUBAC induces ubiquitination of the C-terminal SPRY domain of TRIM25, leading to TRIM25 proteasomal negative regulation of RLR signaling by K48-linked ubiquitination

In addition to positive regulation through K63-linked ubiquitination, RIG-I has been shown to be degraded in a proteasome-dependent manner based on conjugation of K48-linked polyubiquitin. It was first reported that the RING E3 ligase RNF125 binds to and ubiquitinates RIG-I with K48-linked polyubiquitin chains (Fig. 2). This ubiquitin mark led to RIG-I degradation and decreased SeV-induced IFN induction, indicating a negative-feedback loop by regulating RIG-I protein levels (Arimoto et al., 2007). The same study showed that RNF125 does not act specifically on RIG-I, but also interacted with and ubiquitinates MDA5 and MAVS as well – although to a lesser extent (Arimoto et al., 2007). This identified RNF125 as a relatively non-specific regulator of the RLR pathway by targeting both RIG-I and MDA5 as well as MAVS for degradation. The K48-linked ubiquitination of RIG-I is counteracted by USP4, stabilizing the protein levels of RIG-I and prolonging IFN induction (Wang et al., 2013). Interestingly, USP4 protein levels decrease following virus infection, indicating that it is involved in steady-state regulation of RIG-I.

MDA5 was reported to be negatively regulated by another E3 ubiquitin ligase, TRIM13 (Narayan et al., 2014). This study showed that overexpression of TRIM13 inhibited MDA5-mediated signaling. Furthermore, infection with encephalomyocarditis virus (EMCV) induced significantly higher type-I IFN levels in trim13−/− mice than in WT mice (Narayan et al., 2014). In support of this, trim13−/− mice had an increased resistance to EMCV infection than their WT littermates. Of note, this study did not look specifically at MDA5 ubiquitination by TRIM13; however, as TRIM13 has a functional RING E3 ligase domain, it is likely that the mechanism involves degradative K48-linked ubiquitination. More detailed studies are needed to define the mechanistic action of TRIM13 in MDA5 signal transduction.

Both HECT and RING E3 ligases have been shown to function as negative regulators of MAVS signaling by inducing its K48-linked ubiquitination. Besides ubiquitination by RNF125, MAVS undergoes K48-linked ubiquitination at residues K371 and K420 by the HECT E3 ligase AIP4 (Atrophin 1 Interacting Protein 4; also called ITCH) (You et al., 2009). Notably, AIP4/ITCH is not present on the mitochondria during steady state, but is specifically recruited there upon viral infection by the RNA-binding protein PCB2 (poly(rC) binding protein 2), ultimately triggering the proteasomal degradation of MAVS. Experiments in itch−/− MEFS showed that the absence of AIP4 led to sustained production of several cytokines (e.g. type-I IFNs, TNF, and IL6) in response to poly(I:C) transfection or SeV infection (You et al., 2009). Furthermore, the SMAD ubiquitin regulatory factors (Smurf) 1 and 2 have been shown to induce the K48-linked ubiquitination and degradation of MAVS (Wang et al., 2012b; Pan et al., 2014). In the case of Smurf1, Ndpf1, known for its role in the activation of the Nedd4 family of HECT E3 ligases, was critical for MAVS binding and degradation. Finally, RNF5 has been reported to interact with MAVS upon viral infection, regulating MAVS stability through targeting K362 and K461 in MAVS for K48-linked ubiquitination (Zhong et al., 2010). Interestingly, TRIM44 has been shown to counteract the K48-polyubiquitin-induced degradation of MAVS (Yang et al., 2013a). TRIM44 overexpression led to increased MAVS stability and enhanced IFN induction by suppressing the PCBP2/AIP4-induced ubiquitination of MAVS. Of note, TRIM44 is an atypical TRIM protein because it lacks the RING finger domain; instead TRIM44 possesses a ZF-UBP domain, which is typically found in members of the USP family. Further studies will be needed to define the precise mechanism by which TRIM44 stabilizes MAVS, and specifically whether the putative DUB activity of TRIM44 plays a role in MAVS regulation.

Recent studies have identified LUBAC as a negative feedback regulator of the TRIM25–RIG-I signaling complex. LUBAC, composed of the E3 ligases HOIL-1L and HOIP, has been shown to negatively regulate RIG-I signaling utilizing two distinct mechanisms (Inn et al., 2011). First, LUBAC induces ubiquitination of the C-terminal SPRY domain of TRIM25, leading to TRIM25 proteasomal
are responsible for surveillance of ligands, a transmembrane domain, and a cytoplasmic Toll-interleukin-1 receptor (IL-1R) homology (TIR) domain which coordinates downstream signaling. Upon binding to their ligands, the TLRs initiate signaling cascades by binding to one of two key adaptor proteins: MyD88 or TRIF (Akira and Takeda, 2004; O’Neill and Bowie, 2007). Classically, MyD88 recruits the 1L-associated serine/threonine kinases (IRAKs) 1, 2, and 4. MyD88 and IRAKs then signal to tumor necrosis factor receptor-associated factor 6 (TRAF6), inducing the recruitment and activation of IKKα/β and TGFβ-activated kinase 1 (TAK1), which promote NF-kB activation and proinflammatory cytokine production. On the other hand, TRIF signals downstream through TRAF3, inducing IRF3/7-mediated IFN-α/β induction via TBK1/IKKe. All TLRs, aside from TLR3, activate the MyD88-dependent pathway, while TLR3 signals through TRIF. TLR4 can activate both MyD88- and TRIF-dependent signaling pathways. Over the past few years, ubiquitination has been shown to play an important role in modulating the activities of key molecules in the TLR pathway, both receptor-proximal molecules as well as downstream signaling proteins.

K48-linked ubiquitination of TLRs and their essential adaptor proteins

Multiple E3 ligases have been reported to regulate TLR signaling through K48-linked ubiquitination of the TLRs themselves or their adaptors MyD88 and TRIF (Fig. 3). This ubiquitin mark delicately regulates the protein abundance of these signaling proteins, representing a negative feedback loop to prevent extended activation of the innate immune system. Triad3A has been identified as an E3 ligase that binds to and ubiquitinates several members of the TLR family (Chuang and Ulevitch, 2004). Triad3A was originally identified as an interactor of TLR9 through yeast two-hybrid screening. More detailed studies showed that Triad3A interacts not only with TLR9 but also with TLR 3, 4, and 5, but not TLR2. Overexpression of Triad3A led to K48-linked polyubiquitination and degradation of TLR 4 and 9, and to a lesser extent TLR 3 and 5. In line with this, overexpression of Triad3A inhibited the signaling abilities of these TLRs. Subsequent studies indicated that Triad3A may also play a role downstream of TLRs (and also RLRs) by targeting two key signaling molecules for degradation: receptor-interacting protein 1 (RIP1) and TNF receptor-associated factor 3 (TRAF3) (Nakhaei et al., 2009; Fears et al., 2006).

The protein levels of MyD88 are also tightly regulated via K48-linked ubiquitination, which was first discovered when cells were stimulated with the anti-inflammatory cytokine transforming growth factor-β (TGF-β) (Naiki et al., 2005). Subsequent studies showed that the E3 ubiquitin ligases Smurf1 and 2 bound to MyD88, an interaction which was dependent on SMAD6 (Lee et al., 2011). The interaction of MyD88 with Smurf1/2 led to the ubiquitination and degradation of MyD88, limiting the inflammatory response induced by TLR signaling. Neuregulin receptor degradation protein 1 (Nrdp1) is another E3 ligase which leads to MyD88 degradation, but it has a more complex role in TLR signaling. Nrdp1 acts at two steps of the TLR signaling pathway to shift the response from NF-kB- to IRF3-driven gene expression (Wang et al., 2009). Mechanistically, Nrdp1 attaches K48-linked polyubiquitin to MyD88, leading to its degradation, and on the other hand, conjugates K63-linked polyubiquitin to TBK1, activating the IRF3-dependent response. Thus, the dual activity of Nrdp1 coordinates distinct signaling of one arm of the TLR response, while dampening the other arm of this pathway.

The adaptor protein TRIF, which specifically mediates signaling by TLR3, has also been shown to be a target of K48-linked ubiquitination. TRIF senses viral dsRNA and subsequently associates through its TIR domain with TRIF, triggering the activation of TRAF3 and TBK1, ultimately leading to type-I IFN induction. To keep the TLR3–TRIF mediated signal transduction pathway in

Regulation of TLR signaling through polyubiquitination

The TLR family consists of multiple members of membrane-bound receptors (TLR 1–10 in humans) that have evolved to recognize a wide array of PAMPs from viruses, bacteria, parasites and fungi. The TLRs responsible for sensing viral infections include TLR2 and TLR4 which sense viral proteins, as well as TLR3, TLR7/8, and TLR9 which recognize virus-derived dsRNA, ssRNA, and unmethylated CpG DNA, respectively (Medzhitov, 2001; Gay et al., 2014). TLR proteins share common structural components including an ectodomain comprising leucine-rich repeats that...
check, TRIF is targeted for K48-linked ubiquitination and subsequent degradation by the HECT E3 ligase WW domain-containing protein 2 (WWP2) (Yang et al., 2013b). Wwp2-deficient bone marrow-derived macrophages exhibited increased levels of IFN-β, TNFα, and IL-6 in response to the TLR3 agonist poly(I:C). Consistent with this, Wwp2-knockout mice showed an enhanced susceptibility to poly(I:C)-induced death than WT animals.

Regulation of TLR-proximal signaling molecules by K63-linked ubiquitination

The activities of many signaling molecules downstream of TLRs (and also other PRRs), such as TRAFs, NEMO, and TBK1, are regulated through K63-linked ubiquitination (as discussed in detail below). In addition, several receptor-proximal signaling molecules have been shown to be modified with K63-ubiquitin polymers, among them the well-studied kinase IRAK1 (Fig. 3). IRAK1 and also IRAK4 are recruited to MyD88 upon activation of various TLRs, propagating further signal transduction that leads to NF-κB and MAPK activation. Interestingly, several groups have shown that K63-linked ubiquitination of IRAK1 is required for downstream signaling by recruiting TAK1 and NEMO to the TRAF6 complex (Ordureau et al., 2008; Schauvliege et al., 2007; Conze et al., 2008; Jiang et al., 2003). There has been some discrepancy as to which E3 ubiquitin ligase is responsible for IRAK1 ubiquitination. While several studies indicated that members of the Pellino E3 ligase family induce K63-linked ubiquitination of IRAK1 (Ordureau et al., 2008; Schauvliege et al., 2006), others have suggested that TRAF6 is involved in IRAK1 ubiquitination (Conze et al., 2008). Moreover, Pellino 1 has been shown to mediate the K63-linked ubiquitination of RIP1 upon its recruitment to the TLR3–TRIF complex (Chang et al., 2009). Ubiquitinated RIP1 then recruits NEMO and the TAK1 complex, inducing NF-κB activation.

Ubiquitin-mediated regulation of the cGAS-STING pathway

In contrast to the well-characterized cytosolic RNA sensing pathways, the mechanisms of how the cell senses virus-derived DNA, or host DNA from damaged cells, has just begun to be elucidated. A key component of the intracellular DNA sensing pathway is the adaptor protein STING (also called MITA, ERIS, or MPYS) (Ishikawa and Barber, 2008; Zhong et al., 2008; Ishikawa et al., 2009). STING is a membrane-resident protein found on the ER or mitochondrion. STING was shown to be activated by viral or immunostimulatory DNA, facilitating its binding to TBK1 and subsequent IRF3-mediated expression of type-I IFNs and other cytokines. Functional studies in STING-deficient cells demonstrated that STING plays a crucial role in dsDNA sensing and antiviral innate immune responses to HSV-1 and Listeria monocytogenes (Ishikawa and Barber, 2008). Infection studies in STING-knockout mice demonstrated that STING is essential for innate immune signaling upon recognition of foreign intracellular dsDNA (Ishikawa et al., 2009). Moreover, STING knockout or knockdown also abrogated the IFN-mediated innate response to certain RNA viruses that are sensed by RIG-I.

Because STING does not directly sense DNA, it had been proposed that one or multiple hitherto-unknown DNA sensors mediate STING activation. In recent years, a plethora of putative cytosolic DNA sensors have been identified; however, some of these sensors had great cell-type specificity or little in vivo (in mouse) significance, leaving it somewhat unclear whether some of these molecules are indeed bona fide DNA sensors (Takaoka et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2011; Unterholzner et al., 2010; Brunette et al., 2012). More recently, a new cytosolic DNA sensor, cGAS, was identified, along with the detailed mechanism of STING activation upon DNA stimulation (Zhang et al., 2014b; Diner et al., 2013; Sun et al., 2013; Wu et al., 2013b; Abbasser et al., 2013). These studies showed that after cGAS binds to foreign DNA in the cytoplasm, it synthesizes cyclic GMP-AMP (cGAMP) (Diner et al., 2013; Kranzusch et al., 2013; Sauer et al., 2011), which is then detected by STING. These studies confirmed previous findings, which had shown that STING senses cyclic nucleotides produced during bacterial infection (Burdette et al., 2011). Furthermore, functional studies in cGas knockout mice as well as structural analyses strengthened that cGAS-STING is a critical sensing pathway of cytoplasmic DNA (Kranzusch et al., 2013; Li et al., 2013).

**STING activation through K63-linked ubiquitination**

The signaling activity of STING is tightly controlled by K63-linked ubiquitination mediated by two TRIM family members, TRIM56 and TRIM32 (Fig. 4) (Tsuchida et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2012a). A cDNA screen analyzing IFN-β promoter activation after treatment with various stimuli identified TRIM56 as a regulatory molecule in the IFN induction pathway (Tsuchida et al., 2010). TRIM56 promoted type-I IFN induction in response to poly(dA:dT) and also poly(I:C), consistent with STING’s role in both cytoplasmic DNA and RNA detection. However, TRIM56 did not directly bind to poly(dA:dT), ruling out that TRIM56 acts as a dsDNA sensor to induce STING-dependent signaling. Biochemical analysis showed that TRIM56 binds to the C-terminal domain of STING, and that it induced K63-linked polyubiquitination of STING. Residue K150 in STING was shown to be critical for K63-linked ubiquitination by TRIM56; a K150R mutant of STING was no longer ubiquitinated and was unable to induce IFN-β. Mechanistically, the K63-linked ubiquitination facilitated dimerization of STING and its interaction with TBK1, two critical steps in STING-mediated signaling.

A second E3 ligase mediating STING ubiquitination, TRIM32, was identified through a cDNA screen testing the effects of 352
undergoes K48-linked ubiquitination, leading to its degradation by the ubiquitination

Regulation of STING stability through K11- and K48-linked ubiquitination

In addition to its positive regulation by TRIM56 and TRIM32, STING undergoes K48-linked ubiquitination, leading to its degradation by the proteasome (Fig. 4). Zhong et al. conducted a yeast two-hybrid screen using full-length STING as bait, resulting in the identification of the E3 ubiquitin ligase RNF5 as a STING interacting molecule (Zhong et al., 2009). This interaction was mediated by the respective transmembrane domain of STING and RNF5. Overexpression of WT RNF5, but not its catalytically-inactive RING mutant, promoted K48-linked ubiquitination and degradation of STING. Furthermore, the authors identified K150 in STING as the key residue for K48-linked ubiquitination, and suggested that STING ubiquitination and degradation by RNF5 resulted in a negative-regulatory feedback loop to avoid excessive immune signaling in response to viral infection (Zhong et al., 2009). Cell fractionation and confocal microscopy experiments showed that RNF5, similar to STING, is localized both at the ER and mitochondria; however, RNF5 targeted specifically mitochondrion-localized STING for ubiquitination and subsequent degradation upon viral infection.

Recently, it has been shown that STING also serves as substrate for K11-linked polyubiquitination catalyzed by RNF26 (Qin et al., 2014). Interestingly, this modification was shown to be conjugated to K150 in STING also. To date, the precise fate of K11-linked ubiquitinated proteins has not been fully elucidated; however, RNF26-induced ubiquitination prevented STING degradation by displacing RNF5-mediated K48-linked ubiquitination at K150. In contrast, RNF26 did not affect the K63-linked polyubiquitination of STING at K150. Of note, the authors also observed a negative regulatory role of RNF26 on innate immune signaling specifically at later time points during infection, which was due to autophagic degradation of IRF3 by RNF26. This suggests a model in which RNF26 has a dual role in regulating innate immune signaling. Early during infection RNF26 promotes antiviral signaling by protecting STING from degradation, while at the late phase of viral infection RNF26 may act as a negative feedback regulator by inducing IRF3 degradation (Qin et al., 2014).

Ubiquitin-dependent regulation of common downstream signaling molecules of PRRs

RLRs, TLRs and cGAS signal through distinct adaptor proteins, namely MAVS, Myd88/TRIF and STING, respectively. However, downstream of these adaptors, the PRR signaling pathways converge on common signaling molecules, leading to the activation of the aforementioned transcription factors, NF-κB, IRF3/7 and AP-1 (Fig. 5). Among these common downstream signaling molecules are members of the TRAF protein family of ubiquitin E3 ligases – especially TRAF3 and 6 – as well as the kinases TBK1 (or IKKe) and IKKα/β/γ which trigger the activation of the IRF3/7- and NF-κB-induced signaling arm, respectively (Belgnaoui et al., 2011).

For PRR-mediated NF-κB activation, TRAF6 first recruits the TAK1/TAB2/1 complex. This complex of kinases then phosphorylates NEMO/IKKγ, activating it to serve as a scaffold for the recruitment of IKKα and IKKβ. The IKKα/β/γ complex then recruits a signaling complex consisting of the inhibitor of NF-κB (IkBα) as well as distinct NF-κB subunits, such as p65 and p50. IKKβ is then able to induce K48-linked ubiquitination and degradation of IkBα, which leads to the release of p65/50, allowing them to translocate into the nucleus to promote transcription of NF-κB target genes.

For the activation of IRF3/7, the E3 ligase TRAF3 is recruited either to MAVS or TRIF (Oganesyan et al., 2006; Saha et al., 2006). TRAF3 also activates NEMO, which in this case forms a distinct signaling complex with TANK and TBK1 or IKKe. STING also interacts with TBK1, activating the IRF3-mediated response. TBK1/IKKe then phosphorylate IRF3/7, leading to their dimerization and translocation to the nucleus to induce IRF target genes.

The role of K63-linked ubiquitination in the activation of IKKs and TBK1

The role of K63-linked ubiquitin polymers in the regulation of PRR-proximal signaling events and in particular NF-κB activation has been extensively characterized (reviewed in detail in (Hayden and Ghosh, 2008; Chen and Chen, 2013; Bhoj and Chen, 2009)). One of the first identified functions of K63-linked ubiquitination was described for the signal-transducing activity of TRAF6 (Deng et al., 2000). Following its recruitment to Myd88 or MAVS, TRAF6, which belongs to the RING-finger type E3 ligase family, generates K63-linked polyubiquitin chains (Fig. 5). These K63-polyubiquitin chains are essential for the recruitment and activation of the TAK1/TAB and IKK kinase complexes (Deng et al., 2000; Wang et al., 2001); however, the target protein of K63-polyubiquitin had been unknown for quite some time. It has been demonstrated that TRAF6 catalyzes its own ubiquitination, and also produces unanchored K63-linked polyubiquitin (Xia et al., 2009). This ubiquitination serves a dual role in innate signaling by stabilizing TRAF6 protein levels and by serving as a scaffold for TAB2 binding, which then recruits and activates TAK1 (Wang et al., 2001; Xia et al., 2009; Jensen and Whitehead, 2003). The K63-polyubiquitin chains catalyzed by TRAF6 are also responsible for recruiting NEMO, which in turn recruits IKKα and IKKβ to the TAK1 complex (Ea et al., 2006). NEMO has also been shown to bind to diubiquitin molecules, both K63-linked and mixed linkages (Ivins et al., 2009; Lo et al., 2009). The ability of NEMO to bind to diubiquitin moieties is important for its ability to activate the IKK complex, likely through stabilization of NEMO. To inhibit TRAF6-NEMO signal-transducing activities, several molecules harboring DUB activity have been identified that target specifically the K63-linked ubiquitination of TRAF6, including A20, CYLD and the OTU deubiquitinase 2 (OTUB2) (Boone et al., 2004; Wertz et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2004; Shembade et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2006, 2008a; Li et al., 2010).

For IRF3 activation in response to PRR signaling, TRAF3 is recruited to MAVS and TRIF after RLR and TLR activation, respectively (Oganesyan et al., 2006; Saha et al., 2006). Similar to TRAF6, TRAF3 also modifies itself with K63-linked polyubiquitin chains, a process which is dependent on the E2 enzyme Ubc5 (Paz et al., 2011; Zeng et al., 2009). This K63-linked ubiquitin chain again serves as a scaffold for the recruitment of NEMO. NEMO then binds a complex consisting of TANK and TBK1/IKKe. This interaction activates TBK1/IKKe to phosphorylate IRF3 and IRF7, inducing the
transcription of IGSs. The importance of K63-linked ubiquitination for TRAF3-dependent signaling was strengthened by the identification of several DUBs removing the K63-linked ubiquitination from TRAF3: the deubiquitinating enzyme A (DUBA), OTUB1, as well as the ubiquitin carboxyl-terminal hydrolase L1 (UCHL1) that is specifically subverted by high-risk human papillomaviruses to downregulate IRF3 activation and PRR responses (Li et al., 2010; Peng et al., 2014; Kayagaki et al., 2007; Karim et al., 2013). Furthermore, OTUD7B (OTU domain-containing protein 7B) was recently shown to interact with TRAF3, removing its K63-linked ubiquitination, which prevented TRAF3 proteolysis and consequently aberrant non-canonical NF-κB activation (Hu et al., 2013).

TBK1 is another important target of K63-linked ubiquitination, and at least three E3 ubiquitin ligases have been identified for TBK1 ubiquitination. Mind bomb 1 and 2 (MIB 1/2), identified by a global proteomic analysis of a human innate immunity interactome, induced K63-polyubiquitin conjugation on residues K69, K154 and K372 in TBK1. Detailed analysis demonstrated that TBK1 ubiquitination by MIBs was critical for the recruitment of NEMO and the antiviral response triggered by cytosolic viral RNA (Li et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2012a). Mechanistically, MIB2 was shown to bind to the adaptor MAVS involving a highly conserved DLAIS motif at amino acid positions 438–442 of MAVS; this motif was critical for MIB2-mediated TBK1 ubiquitination and subsequent IRF3/7 phosphorylation by TBK1 (Ye et al., 2014). Furthermore, TRAF3 and Nrdp1 have also been shown to mediate TBK1 K63-linked ubiquitination (Wang et al., 2009; Parvatiyar et al., 2010). Recently, structural analysis of the near-full-length TBK1 protein showed that TBK1 forms a dimer and that K63-linked ubiquitination (at K30 and K401) of the dimerized TBK1 is required for TBK1 enzymatic activity (Tu et al., 2013).

Inversely, cleavage of K63-linked polyubiquitin from TBK1 by USP2b or CYLD inhibited the kinase activity of TBK1 (Friedman et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2014a). Furthermore, A20 together with the adaptor protein TAX1BP1 have been shown to disrupt the K63-linked polyubiquitination of TBK1 (and also of IKKε), an activity that was not dependent on the DUB activity of A20 but due to the disruption of the interaction of TBK1/IKKε with TRAF3 (Parvatiyar et al., 2010).

K48-linked ubiquitination to modulate NF-κB- and IRF-mediated antiviral gene transcription

Degradative K48-linked ubiquitination has been shown to be essential for NF-κB activation (Fig. 5). In unstimulated cells, NF-κB subunits, such as the canonical p50 and p65, are kept in an inactive complex by binding to IκBα. After activation by TAK1/IKKs, IκBα phosphorylates IκBα on S32 and S36, leading to the degradation of IκBα by the proteasome, which releases p50 and p65 to translocate into the nucleus to activate transcription of target genes. Alternatively, the canonical NF-κB subunits can also be degraded by the proteasome, indicating that the removal of polyubiquitin from NF-κB subunits may be essential for NF-κB activation. In contrast, K48-linked ubiquitination of NF-κB subunits is essential for NF-κB activation and plays a critical role in regulating the degradation of NF-κB subunits. In unstimulated cells, the NF-κB subunits are kept in an inactive complex by binding to IκBα. After activation by TAK1/IKKs, IκBα phosphorylates IκBα on S32 and S36, leading to the degradation of IκBα by the proteasome, which releases p50 and p65 to translocate into the nucleus to activate transcription of target genes.


Fig. 5. Ubiquitin-dependent regulation of common downstream molecules of PRRs. After recognition of viral nucleic acids, RLRs and TLRs signal through their downstream adaptors MAVS and MyD88/TRIF, respectively. These adaptors then propagate this signal to TRAF6 for NF-κB activation and TRAF3 for IRF3/7 activation. TRAF6 and TRAF3 both induce autoubiquitination, creating a scaffold for downstream signaling partners to interact. K63-linked polyubiquitin on TRAF6 leads to the recruitment of the TAK1/ TAB2/3 complex, which in turn recruits NEMO and the IKK complex to phosphorylate IκBα. Phosphorylation of IκBα then leads to its K48-polyubiquitin-dependent degradation. Degradation of IκBα releases the NF-κB subunits p50 and p65, allowing for their translocation into the nucleus to activate transcription of target genes. On the other hand, K63-linked ubiquitination of TRAF3 recruits NEMO specifically complexed TBK1/IKKε. STING also directly binds to and activates TBK1. TBK1/IKKε then phosphorylate IRF3 and IRF7, leading to their dimerization and translocation to the nucleus to induce transcription of type-I IFN and antiviral genes. Many proteins in these signaling cascades are targets for degradative K48-linked ubiquitination and non-degradative types of polyubiquitination. The E3 ligases involved in these ubiquitination events, as well as the DUBs responsible for removal of polyubiquitin, are indicated. The details of how specific ubiquitin marks regulate the activities of the illustrated signaling molecules are described in the text.
recruitment of the SCF^{βTrCP} E3 ligase (Yaron et al., 1998; Heissmeyer et al., 2001; Maniatis 1999). Upon recruitment, SCF^{βTrCP} conjugates K48-linked ubiquitination on IkBα, thereby targeting it for degradation by the proteasome; this releases the NF-κB subunits and allows them to translocate into the nucleus to activate the transcription of target genes.

In addition, many other downstream signaling proteins in the PRR signaling pathways are regulated through K48-linked ubiquitination and degradation; in these cases, degradation of the proteins dampens the NF-κB- and IRF3-mediated immune response. TRAF6 has been shown to be targeted by TRIM38 for ubiquitination to prevent excessive NF-κB activity in macrophages (Zhao et al., 2012). Triad3A, known for its role in the degradation of TLRs, has been reported to induce K48-linked ubiquitination of specifically TRAF3 (Nakahaei et al., 2009), indicating that this E3 ubiquitin ligase targets multiple proteins in innate immunity to downregulate IRF3-mediated antiviral gene expression. Another mechanism to avoid excessive NF-κB-mediated gene transcription is the K48-polyubiquitin-dependent degradation of NF-κB itself by COMMD1 together with an ubiquitin ligase complex comprised of Cullin2 (Cul2), Elongins B and C, and SOCS1 (also known as ECSO3C1). Activation of p65 involves phosphorylation of Ser627. Interestingly, this phosphorylation mark also allows the recruitment of COMMD1 and Cul2 to chromatin-bound p65, ultimately inducing p65 degradation to terminate NF-κB transactivation (Geng et al., 2009; Saccani et al., 2004; Maine et al., 2007; Thoms et al., 2010). A second nuclear E3 ligase, PDLIM2 (also known as Mistyure or SLIM), has been shown to target p65. PDLIM2 binds to p65 and induces its specific relocation to PML-containing intranuclear compartments where p65 undergoes K48-linked ubiquitination and degradation by the 26S proteasome (Tanaka et al., 2007). USP7 has been reported to counteract p65 degradation through removal of K48-linked ubiquitin chains (Colleran et al., 2013).

Furthermore, TBK1 undergoes K48-linked polyubiquitination, which is mediated by the E3 ubiquitin ligase DTX4. Specifically, DTX4 is recruited to TBK1 by NLRP4 (NACHT, LRR and PYD domains-containing protein 4), inducing ubiquitination of TBK1 at K670, ultimately leading to TBK1 destabilization (Cui et al., 2012). The TRAF-interacting protein (TRIP) has also been shown to negatively regulate the protein stability of TBK1 by binding to and inducing K48-linked polyubiquitination (Zhang et al., 2012b).

IRF3 is also targeted for K48-polyubiquitin-dependent degradation (Lin et al., 1998). A negative feedback mechanism for IRF3 activation was first shown for the peptidyl-prolyl isomerase Pin1, which specifically binds to the phosphorylated active form of IRF3 (Saitoh et al., 2006). This interaction was observed specifically upon stimulation of cells with dsRNA, indicating that Pin1 acts as a feedback negative-regulatory molecule to dampen the IRF3 response. Further studies have identified that the E3 ligases RBCK1 (RBCC protein interacting with PKC1; better known as HOIL-1) and TRIM21/Ro52 also modify IRF3 with K48-linked ubiquitin chains, triggering IRF3 degradation and cessation of target gene expression (Higgs et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2008b). The gene expression of both E3 ligases is induced upon viral infection, suggesting that these two E3 ligases likely also act as negative feedback regulators of IRF3-dependent transcription. In addition, IRF7 is also ubiquitinated and degraded by TRIM21 after its activation (Young et al., 2011). Finally, RAUL, a HECT-domain ubiquitin ligase, was demonstrated to target both IRF3 and IRF7, comprehensively limiting type-I IFN gene expression (Yu and Hayward, 2010). Interestingly, KSHV-encoded RTA (replication and transcription activator), which is the master regulator of KSHV lytic replication, was shown to recruit RAUL to IRFs, decreasing antiviral signaling and ultimately allowing for efficient virus replication (Yu and Hayward, 2010). Moreover, several herpesviruses actively induce K48-linked ubiquitination and proteolysis of IRF7 using their immediate-early protein ICP0, which exhibits E3 ligase activity (Lin et al., 2004; Henderson et al., 2005; Sairà et al., 2007).

Regulation of NEMO by K27-linked, K29-linked and linear polyubiquitination

In addition to its K63-polyubiquitin binding properties (as described above), NEMO has been shown to serve as a substrate for K27-linked polyubiquitination (Arimoto et al., 2010). The RING E3 ligase TRIM23 binds to NEMO and conjugates K27-linked ubiquitin chains to multiple lysine residues (K165, K309, K325, K326 and K344) in NEMO (Fig. 5, inset). Ubiquitination of NEMO led to an increase in IFN-β induction, indicating that TRIM23 promotes the signaling activity of NEMO. In support of this, a catalytically-inactive RING mutant of TRIM23 had a dominant-negative effect on ISRE-, IFN-β- and NF-κB-dependent gene transcription. Knockdown studies showed that the signal-transducing activity of NEMO was limited in trim23-depleted cells, resulting in an increase in virus growth (Arimoto et al., 2010). The fate of K27-linked ubiquitinated NEMO and other cellular substrates has not been fully characterized, and in fact, another study has shown that K27-linked ubiquitination of different lysine residues in NEMO by a Shigella effector protein possessing E3 ligase activity (IpaH9.8) leads to the proteasomal degradation of NEMO, a strategy utilized by this bacterium to perturb the host inflammatory response (Ashida et al., 2010). Further studies will be needed to characterize the effects of K27-linked ubiquitin conjugation in general and on NEMO specifically.

NEMO is also modified by K29-linked ubiquitin chains (Zotti et al., 2011). Using NEMO as bait in a yeast two-hybrid assay, TRAF7 was identified as a NEMO binding partner. Further characterization showed that expression of TRAF7 decreased NF-κB promoter activation following various stimuli. Using ubiquitin mutants in which all except one of the 7 internal lysines are mutated, the authors further showed that TRAF7 catalyzes specifically K29-linked ubiquitination to NEMO as well as to the NF-κB subunit p65. This ubiquitin mark led to reduced protein levels of NEMO and p65, which the authors determined to be due to lysosomal degradation (Zotti et al., 2011). Of note, this finding is in accordance with other reports that have suggested that K29-linked polyubiquitins represents a signal for protein degradation by the lysosome (Kulathu and Komander, 2012).

NEMO has also been shown to be a target of linear ubiquitin chains (Tokunaga et al., 2009; Rahighi et al., 2009). Initial reports showed that NEMO is ubiquitinated by LUBAC at the residues K285 and K309. Conjugated linear ubiquitin led to the stabilization of the TAK1/TAB and IKK complexes due to recruitment of TAB2 using its NZF domains (Tokunaga et al., 2009). While linear ubiquitination activates TAK1 and NF-κB activation, it has also been reported to dampen the type-I IFN response mediated by RIG-I and MAVS (Belgnaoui et al., 2012). NEMO modified with two or more linear ubiquitin moieties, but not unmodified NEMO, interacted with TRAF3, disrupting the MAVS–TRAF3 complex, which is critical for antiviral IFN induction. This study also showed that in cells deficient in SHARPIN, which is critical for LUBAC function, VSV replicated less efficiently due to a prolonged and increased type-I IFN response. In contrast, NF-κB activation was impaired in SHARPIN-knockout cells (Belgnaoui et al., 2012). Additional studies have demonstrated that NEMO, using its UBAN (ubiquitin binding in ABIN and NEMO) motif, binds to linear ubiquitin chains, which is independent of its direct ubiquitin conjugation. The crystal structure of the UBAN motif of NEMO bound to linear diubiquitin provided detailed evidence for the specificity of linear ubiquitin binding versus interaction with K63- or K48-linked ubiquitin chains. The specific residues which were involved in linear ubiquitin binding were essential for NF-κB activation, indicating that ubiquitin binding also leads to stabilization of NEMO and its activation (Rahighi et al., 2009). Together, these studies indicate that NEMO-dependent signaling is...
delicately regulated by at least four different linkage types of polyubiquitin. More detailed studies, however, will be required to fully understand the dynamic interplay of these ubiquitin-dependent regulatory mechanisms for modulating NEMO-mediated antiviral and proinflammatory host responses.

Concluding Remarks

Given the pivotal role of ubiquitination in modulating innate sensing pathways, we eagerly await the identification of disease-relevant mutations in the key enzymes of the ubiquitin conjugation system. Furthermore, while much study has been done looking at the linear, K63- and K48- linked ubiquitination of proteins in PRR signaling cascades, future studies should be focused on dissecting the role of other atypical polyubiquitin chains as well as branched ubiquitin chains in innate immunity. Moreover, it remains to be elucidated how various ubiquitin modifications work together (or against each other) to dynamically modulate the signal-transducing activity of individual proteins, and the pathways as a whole. It also remains to be seen how different E3 ligases and DUBs, which often differ in their expression patterns, ubiquitin-linkage specificities, and interaction modes, regulate one particular signaling protein (such as STING or NEMO) to induce an effective antiviral response. Detailed insights into the ubiquitin-dependent regulatory networks in PRR-mediated innate immunity will allow us to exploit this knowledge for the development of new clinical therapies, both for infectious diseases as well as disorders caused by a hyperactive inflammatory response.
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