Persistence of histone H2AX phosphorylation after meiotic chromosome synopsis and abnormal centromere cohesion in poly (ADP-ribose) polymerase (Parp-1) null oocytes
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Abstract

In spite of the impact of aneuploidy on human health little is known concerning the molecular mechanisms involved in the formation of structural or numerical chromosome abnormalities during meiosis. Here, we provide novel evidence indicating that lack of PARP-1 function during oogenesis predisposes the female gamete to genome instability. During prophase I of meiosis, a high proportion of Parp-1−/− mouse oocytes exhibit a spectrum of meiotic defects including incomplete homologous chromosome synopsis or persistent histone H2AX phosphorylation in fully synapsed chromosomes at the late pachytene stage. Moreover, the X chromosome bivalent is also prone to exhibit persistent double strand DNA breaks (DSBs). In striking contrast, such defects were not detected in mutant pachytene spermatocytes. In fully-grown wild type oocytes at the germinal vesicle stage, PARP-1 protein associates with nuclear speckles and upon meiotic resumption, undergoes a striking re-localization towards spindle poles as well as pericentric heterochromatin domains at the metaphase II stage. Notably, a high proportion of in vivo matured Parp-1−/− oocytes show lack of recruitment of the kinetochore-associated protein BUB3 to centromeric domains and fail to maintain metaphase II arrest. Defects in chromatin modifications in the form of persistent histone H2AX phosphorylation during prophase I of meiosis and deficient sister chromatid cohesion during metaphase II predispose mutant oocytes to premature anaphase II onset upon removal from the oviductal environment. Our results indicate that PARP-1 plays a critical role in the maintenance of chromosome stability at key stages of meiosis in the female germ line. Moreover, in the metaphase II stage oocyte PARP-1 is required for the regulation of centromere structure and function through a mechanism that involves the recruitment of BUB3 protein to centromeric domains.

© 2009 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Aneuploidy is a leading cause of pregnancy loss in women and the single most common cause of congenital birth defects in the human species (Hassold et al., 2007; Hassold and Hunt, 2001). However, in spite of the impact of aneuploidy on human health, little is known concerning the molecular mechanisms and/or environmental factors that predispose the female gamete to abnormal chromosome segregation (Hassold et al., 2007). Epigenetic modifications regulate many aspects of chromosome biology and as such, play an important role in the maintenance of genomic stability during meiosis (Bourchis and Bestor, 2004; Celeste et al., 2002; De La Fuente et al., 2006; Peters et al., 2001; Webster et al., 2005). For example, in several organisms including mammals centromere structure and function is epigenetically regulated and therefore chromatin modifications are critical for the maintenance of a euploid chromosome complement (Choo, 2001; Cleveland et al., 2003; Dillon and Festenstein, 2002; Henikoff et al., 2001; Karpen and Allshire, 1997; Murphy and Karpen, 1998).

Mammalian species exhibit highly specialized centromeres comprising of a centric heterochromatin region, that is the site of kinetochore formation, as well as a pericentric heterochromatin domain that provides the assembly site for large multiprotein complexes to induce a higher order chromatin structure (Bernard and Allshire, 2002; Choo, 2001; Cleveland et al., 2003; Karpen and Allshire, 1997; Peters et al., 2001; Pluta et al., 1995). Mammalian centromeres reveal a highly dynamic structure that might be regulated through several histone post-translational modifications as well as large scale chromatin remodeling (Cleveland et al., 2003; Henikoff et al., 2001; Karpen and Allshire, 1997; Murphy and Karpen, 1998; Pluta et al., 1995; Wiens and Sorger, 1998).

Centromere structure and function can be regulated by histone post-translational modifications such as acetylation (Grunstein, 1997; Taddei et al., 2001), phosphorylation (Gieni et al., 2008; Peterson and Laniel, 2004), methylation (Bannister et al., 2002; Ekwall, 2007; Gieni...
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Parp-1 obtained from the Jackson Laboratory (Bar Harbor, ME). Genotyping of wild type strain of the same genetic background (129S1/SvImJ) were

Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). The fertility of wild type females was compared with that observed in Parp-1 mutant females following mating 2 month-old males with similarly aged females for a period of up to 13 months.

Analysis of meiotic configuration in Parp-1 (−/−) oocytes

Fetal ovaries were dissected from wild type and Parp-1 (−/−) females on day 18 of embryonic development (E18) and immediately processed for the analysis of chromosome synopsis and meiotic recombination proteins on surface spread oocytes as described (Libby et al., 2002). Unless otherwise indicated, all primary antibodies were used following an overnight incubation at 4 °C. The type of meiotic configuration found in wild type and mutant oocytes at the pachytene stage of meiosis was initially determined by immunohistochemical detection of the lateral elements of the synaptonemal complex protein SYCP3 using a 1:1000 dilution of a rabbit anti-SYCP3 antibody (Lammers et al., 1994). The sub-cellular localization of the PARP-1 protein in wild type oocytes at prophase I of meiosis was determined using a 1:400 dilution of a goat anti-PARP-1 antibody (R&D Systems, Minneapolis, MN). The anti-phospho histone H2AX (Ser-139) antibody (Upstate, Charlottesville, VA) was used at a 1:500 dilution. The extent of homologous chromosome synopsis present in wild type and mutant oocytes at the pachytene stage was analyzed by the simultaneous staining of the central element of the synaptonemal complex with a rabbit anti-SYCP1 antibody (1:500) and a guinea pig anti-SYCP3 at a 1:250 dilution (Yuan et al., 2002). The mouse monoclonal anti-MLH1 (BD Pharmingen) and mouse polyclonal anti-RAD51 (Oncogene) were both used following an overnight incubation at 37 °C at a 1:50 dilution in combination with rabbit anti-SYCP1 antibody (1:500) and rabbit anti-SYCP3 (1:250) respectively.

The secondary antibodies used were Alexa-fluor 555 rabbit anti-goat IgG, Alexa-fluor 488 goat anti-rabbit IgG, Alexa-fluor 555 goat anti-mouse and Alexa-fluor 488 goat anti-guinea pig all used at a 1:500 dilution and purchased from Molecular Probes, Inc (Eugene, OR). Epifluorescence analysis of meiotic chromosomes was conducted on a DMRX/E microscope (Leica Microsystems) using a 100× objective as described (De LaFuente et al., 2004).

Fluorescence in situ hybridization (FISH)

The position of the X chromosome bivalent in control and mutant oocytes at the pachytene stage was determined by FISH analysis following immunohistochemical localization of synaptonemal complex protein (SYCP3) and RAD51 foci on the same slides using an FITC-conjugated X chromosome paint STARFISH (Cambio). Probe denaturation was conducted at 75 °C, followed by a hybridization step conducted at 40 °C. Stringency washes were conducted in 50% formamide and 2× SSC as described (De La Fuente et al., 2004, 2006). Co-localization of a fully synapsed bivalent with the signal provided by the X chromosome-specific probe was considered indicative of complete homologous pairing for the X bivalent at the pachytene stage.

Collection and culture of fully-grown oocytes

Fully-grown oocytes at the germinal vesicle (GV) stage were obtained from adult wild type and mutant females 48 h after gonadotropin stimulation with 5 IU pregnant mare gonadotropin (PMSG; EMD Biosciences, La Jolla, CA). Oocyte–cumulus complexes were collected in 3.0 ml Minimal Essential Medium
(MEM) supplemented with 3 mg/ml crystallized BSA (Sigma, St. Louis MO) and 10μM Milrinone (Sigma) in order to maintain oocytes with an intact GV as described (De La Fuente et al., 2004). Cumulus cells were removed by continuous pipetting and denuded oocytes washed three times with fresh medium supplemented with 10 μM Milrinone (Sigma). In vivo matured metaphase II stage oocytes were obtained from the oviducts of superovulated females 16 h after an intraperitoneal injection of 5 IU of human chorionic gonadotropin (HCG; EMD Biosciences). Denuded oocytes were cultured in fresh MEM medium supplemented with 3 mg/ml BSA (Sigma) before allocation to different experimental groups. All oocytes were cultured at 37 °C under an atmosphere of 5% O2, 5% CO2 and 90% N2. In some experiments, in vivo matured metaphase II eggs were treated with the proteasome inhibitor MG-132 (Biomol, Plymouth Meeting, PA) diluted in cell culture tested DMSO (American Type Culture Collection; Manassas, VA) and used at different concentrations for 3 h at 37 °C.

Western blot analysis

Groups of 150 oocytes at the GV stage, metaphase I and metaphase II stage were washed in PBS supplemented with protease inhibitors (10 μg/ml leupeptin, pepstatin and aprotinin, 1 mM DTT and 1 mM pefabloc; Sigma) and frozen at −80 °C in 10 μl of Laemmli sample buffer (Biorad, Hercules, CA) supplemented with 0.71 M β-Mercaptoethanol (Biorad). Samples were thawed on ice, and subsequently heated to 100 °C for 5 min. Proteins were separated by electrophoresis in a 4% stacking gel and a 12% polyacrylamide separating gel containing 0.1% SDS and transferred onto a hydrophobic polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane (Amersham, Piscataway, NJ) for 90 min at 100 V. The membrane was washed twice in TBS buffer (pH 7.4; Biorad) containing 0.1% Tween 20 (TBST) and subsequently heated to 100 °C for 5 min. Proteins were separated by electrophoresis in a 4% stacking gel and a 12% polyacrylamide separating gel containing 0.1% SDS and transferred onto a hydrophobic polyvinylidene difluoride (PVDF) membrane (Amersham, Piscataway, NJ) for 90 min at 100 V. The membrane was washed twice in TBS buffer (pH 7.4; Biorad) containing 0.1% Tween 20 (TBST) and then blocked in TBST containing 5% non-fat dry milk for 1 h at room temperature. Immunodetection of the PARP-1 protein was conducted using a goat anti-PARP antibody (1:1000; R&D systems) at 4 °C overnight followed by exposure to a horseradish peroxidase rabbit anti-goat secondary antibody (1:4000; Jackson Immunoresearch, West Grove, PA).

Immunochemistry of chromosomal spreads and whole mount oocytes

For the immunochaemical detection of centromeric heterochromatin-binding proteins, chromosomal spreads were prepared from zonafree oocytes at different stages of meiotic maturation (Hodges and Hunt, 2002) with minor modifications. The zona pellucida was removed with 3 mg/ml pronase (Sigma) in PBS. Zona-free oocytes were rinsed twice in MEM medium and immediately processed for cytogenetic preparations. Chromosomal proteins were cross-linked by cytoskeletal preparations. Chromosomal proteins were cross-linked by tin-binding proteins, chromosomal spreads were prepared from zona-free oocytes at different stages of meiotic maturation (Hodges and Hunt, 2002) with minor modifications. The zona pellucida was removed with 3 mg/ml pronase (Sigma) in PBS. Zona-free oocytes were rinsed twice in MEM medium and immediately processed for cytogenetic preparations. Chromosomal proteins were cross-linked by cytoskeletal proteins SYCP1 and SYCP3 (Fig. 1E, arrows). The patterns of sub-cellular localization observed in wild type pachytene stage oocytes (Fig. 1A). These results indicate that in mammalian oocytes, PARP-1 is constitutively expressed during prophase I of meiosis as a nucleoplasmic protein associated with both euchromatin and heterochromatin domains.

As expected, oocytes obtained from Parp-1 knockout females, lacked detectable levels of the protein (Figs. 1B, C). However, analysis of meiotic configurations in Parp-1 (−/−) oocytes (n = 176) revealed a significant increase (p < 0.05) in the proportion of cells (25%) showing asynapsed chromosomes (Figs. 1C and F) compared with wild type oocytes (5.2%; n = 162). Mutant oocytes showed a range of meiotic abnormalities including incomplete synopsis in one or more bivalents as determined by simultaneous analysis of the synaptonemal complex proteins SYCP1 and SYCP3 (Fig. 1E, arrows). The patterns of sub-cellular localization observed in wild type oocytes as well as the higher incidence of meiotic abnormalities in mutant oocytes indicate that PARP-1 may have an important, albeit previously unidentified role during prophase I of meiosis in the female germ line.

Statistical analysis

Data are presented as the mean percentage of at least three independent experiments; variation among replicates is presented as the standard deviation (S.D.). The percentage of oocytes that exhibited different types of meiotic abnormalities as indicated for individual experiments in control wild type and PARP-1 deficient females was analyzed using arcsine transformed data and compared by one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) as well as following the comparison of all pairs by Tukey–Kramer HSD using JMP Start Statistics (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC). Differences were considered significant when (p<0.05).

Results

Sub-cellular localization of PARP-1 protein during female meiosis

In somatic cells, PARP-1 associates with different nuclear compartments including the nucleolus (Meder et al., 2005) as well as the centromeres of mitotic chromosomes (Saxena et al., 2002a,b). Moreover, it is also localized to the centrosomes of the mitotic spindle (Kanai et al., 2000). However, whether PARP-1 plays a role during female meiosis is not known. Therefore, experiments were conducted to determine the patterns of expression and sub-cellular localization of the PARP-1 protein during oogenesis. Immunochemical analyses of surface spread oocytes obtained during prophase I of meiosis revealed that PARP-1 is localized throughout the nucleus of oocytes at the leptotene and zygotene stage (Supplemental Fig. 1). Similarly, PARP-1 exhibits a diffuse nuclear localization in wild type pachytene stage oocytes (Fig. 1A). These results indicate that in mammalian oocytes, PARP-1 is constitutively expressed during prophase I of meiosis as a nucleoplasmic protein associated with both euchromatin and heterochromatin domains.

As expected, oocytes obtained from Parp-1 knockout females, lacked detectable levels of the protein (Figs. 1B, C). However, analysis of meiotic configurations in Parp-1 (−/−) oocytes (n = 176) revealed a significant increase (p < 0.05) in the proportion of cells (25%) showing asynapsed chromosomes (Figs. 1C and F) compared with wild type oocytes (5.2%; n = 162). Mutant oocytes showed a range of meiotic abnormalities including incomplete synopsis in one or more bivalents as determined by simultaneous analysis of the synaptonemal complex proteins SYCP1 and SYCP3 (Fig. 1E, arrows). The patterns of sub-cellular localization observed in wild type oocytes as well as the higher incidence of meiotic abnormalities in mutant oocytes indicate that PARP-1 may have an important, albeit previously unidentified role during prophase I of meiosis in the female germ line.

Lack of PARP-1 function affects the patterns of H2AX phosphorylation (γH2AX) during meiosis in female but not male germ cells

In somatic cells, PARP-1 plays an important role in DSB repair (Althaus et al., 1994). However, whether PARP-1 contributes to the mechanisms involved in the resolution of programmed DSBs during meiotic prophase I is not known. Formation of double strand breaks during the initial stages of meiosis is associated with phosphorylation of the histone variant H2AX (Mahadevaiah et al., 2001). In turn, the presence of the phosphorylated form of H2AX (γH2AX) at the sites of DNA damage is essential to recruit component molecules of the DNA repair pathway in order to resolve DSBs and ensure proper chromosome synopsis (Burgoyne et al., 2007; Chicheportiche et al., 2007; Mahadevaiah et al., 2001; Moens et al., 2002; Paull et al., 2000; Thiriet and Hayes, 2005). Therefore, experiments were conducted to determine the patterns of γH2AX association with meiotic chromosomes in Parp-1 (−/−) oocytes. Simultaneous analysis of H2AX phosphorylation as well as the extent of chromosome synopsis in mutant oocytes revealed three distinct patterns of γH2AX staining...
Fig. 2. For example, mid to late pachytene stage oocytes exhibiting full synapsis of homologous chromosomes showed the presence of one or two small γH2AX foci associated with each synapsed bivalent (Fig. 2A; small arrows). In contrast, 32% of mutant oocytes with fully synapsed bivalents at the late pachytene stage showed a striking pattern in which, except for the centromeric domains, γH2AX staining was found associated with the entire length of meiotic chromosomes (Fig. 2B; large arrow). Importantly, these patterns of γH2AX nuclear localization were clearly different from those observed in the fraction of mutant oocytes (25%) exhibiting asynapsed bivalents (Fig. 2C; arrowheads) in which γH2AX staining has been shown to result from the activation of the mechanism for meiotic silencing of unpaired chromatin at the pachytene stage (Turner et al., 2004, 2005).

Notably, a direct comparison of male and female mutant meiocytes at the pachytene stage revealed normal patterns of chromosome synapsis as well as H2AX phosphorylation in mutant spermatocytes as indicated by a prominent γH2AX signal exclusively associated with the sex bivalent (Fig. 2E; arrow). These results indicate that PARP-1 function exhibits a sexual dimorphism during mammalian meiosis and that in contrast with the timely removal of γH2AX from the autosomes of mutant spermatocytes, large γH2AX foci persist in the fully synapsed chromosomes of Parp-1 null oocytes at the late pachytene and early diplotene stage, potentially reflecting abnormal chromatin modifications during late prophase I or alternatively, a failure to resolve DSBs, and therefore a defect in DNA repair.

Parp-1 (−/−) oocytes exhibit persistent γH2AX phosphorylation and fail to resolve DSBs at the X chromosome bivalent

In order to establish whether persistent γH2AX staining in Parp-1 (−/−) oocytes is due to a failure to repair DSBs at the pachytene stage we set out to determine the pattern of expression and chromosomal localization of the RAD51 protein, a DNA recombination intermediate, known to mark the sites of DSB formation in meiotic chromosomes (Burgoyne et al., 2007; Moens et al., 2002). During prophase I of meiosis at the leptotene and zygotene stage, the RAD51 protein labels...
the sites of early DNA recombination nodules. However, RAD51 foci progressively disappear, as DSBs are resolved following homologous chromosome synapsis at the pachytene stage (Bannister and Schimenti, 2004; Moens et al., 2002). Accordingly, no RAD51 foci were detected in the majority of control wild type oocytes (n = 205) at the pachytene stage indicating a timely repair of DSBs in fully

Fig. 2. Persistence of γH2AX phosphorylation in late pachytene stage Parp-1 (−/−) oocytes (A) Late pachytene stage oocyte obtained from a Parp-1 (−/−) female showing complete homologous chromosome synapsis (n = 20 bivalents). At this stage, γH2AX phosphorylation is only detectable by the presence of a few small foci associated with synapsed bivalents. (B) A subpopulation of Parp-1 (−/−) oocytes at the late pachytene–early diplotene stage exhibits persistence of γH2AX phosphorylation throughout the chromatids of fully synapsed bivalents (arrows). (C) Abnormal meiosis in Parp-1 (−/−) oocytes showing intense γH2AX phosphorylation associated with each asynapsed chromosome and partial interruptions in SYCP3 staining suggesting the presence of chromosome breaks (arrowheads). (D) Proportion of mutant oocytes that exhibit persistent γH2AX phosphorylation in fully synapsed bivalents at the late pachytene–early diplotene stage. In contrast to the meiotic phenotype observed in the female germ line, pachytene stage spermatocytes (E) showed normal chromosome synapsis and γH2AX staining was found exclusively associated with the sex chromosome bivalent (arrow). Simultaneous staining of a mutant oocyte (F) reveals the extent of persistent γH2AX phosphorylation in the majority of chromosomes except for a single synapsed bivalent (arrow). Scale bars = 10 μm.
Double strand DNA breaks (DSBs) persist at a single synapsed bivalent in Parp-1<sup><s>−/−</s></sup> oocytes at the pachytene stage. (A) Wild type oocyte showing full synopsis of homologous chromosomes (20 bivalents) as determined by SYCP3 staining. (B) RAD51 foci (DSBs) are progressively resolved and hence become undetectable as meiotic chromosomes reach full synopsis in wild type oocytes. (C) Merge. (D–F) In contrast, an average of 13.5% of Parp-1 deficient oocytes (<i>n</i> = 211) showed a striking accumulation of RAD51 foci associated with a single synapsed bivalent as determined by SYCP3 staining (Figs. 3D–G). Simultaneous analysis of γH2AX staining and RAD51 localization confirmed that unresolved DSBs labeled by RAD51 are co-localized with a prominent γH2AX signal in a single chromosome bivalent (Fig. 4A; arrow). Importantly, γH2AX staining also remained associated with most bivalents in which RAD51 foci were no longer detectable (Fig. 4A; arrowheads). Furthermore, immuno-FISH analyses indicated that the synapsed chromosome bivalent that failed to resolve RAD51 foci in mutant oocytes (Fig. 4C; arrow) corresponded to the X chromosome bivalent on every meiotic spread analyzed (Fig. 4D; arrow). These results suggest that γH2AX phosphorylation is due to persistent DSBs only at the X chromosome bivalent as indicated by the presence of RAD51 foci, at the late pachytene stage. However, Parp-1 null oocytes also exhibit persistent H2AX phosphorylation associated with the majority of synapsed meiotic chromosome cores that is independent of unresolved DSBs.

Next we determined whether the persistence of recombination intermediates or the abnormal chromatin modification patterns in mutant pachytene stage oocytes might interfere with the formation of crossovers between homologous chromosomes. Analysis of the chromosomal distribution of the mismatch repair protein MLH1, a marker for crossover formation (Baker et al., 1996; Bannister and Schimenti, 2004; Moens et al., 2002), revealed that wild type pachytene stage oocytes exhibit one or two MLH1 foci associated with each synapsed bivalent and have an average of 26.6 ± 0.6 foci per oocyte (Supplemental Fig. 2). Notably, no significant differences were found in the number of MLH1 foci (27.3 ± 1.3) in Parp-1null oocytes, suggesting that in the fraction of mutant oocytes that exhibit proper synopsis of homologous chromosomes, establishment of crossovers proceeds as in wild type oocytes.

**PARP-1 exhibits a dynamic nuclear localization during mouse oocyte growth and meiotic maturation**

The PARP-1 protein has been shown to localize to the centromeres of human somatic cells during mitosis (Saxena et al., 2002a,b). However, its potential involvement in the functional differentiation of chromatin structure in mammalian oocytes remains to be established. To determine whether PARP-1 has a role during the completion of meiosis in the female gamete, we analyzed the patterns of expression and sub-cellular localization of PARP-1 protein in fully-grown germinal vesicle (GV) stage oocytes and in vivo matured metaphase II stage oocytes obtained from wild type females.

Immunohistochemical analyses conducted in whole mount oocytes at the GV stage indicate that PARP-1 (Fig. 5A; green) is an abundant protein that exhibits a diffuse nuclear localization. Notably, PARP-1 also forms a different number of nuclear aggregates (arrows) according to the type of chromatin configuration present in the GV. For example, in transcriptionally active oocytes with decondensed chromatin that exhibit the non-surrounded nucleolus (NSN) configuration (<i>n</i> = 31) an average of 6.4 ± 3.7 nuclear aggregates were
present (Fig. 5A). In contrast, in transcriptionally inactive oocytes with condensed chromatin showing the surrounded nucleolus (SN) configuration, these multiple aggregates were found to coalesce into one or two (average = 1.7 ± 0.8) large nuclear bodies that lacked any association with DAPI-stained chromatin (arrow in Fig. 5B). Simultaneous analysis with an antibody directed against the Smith (Sm) antigen, a marker for nuclear speckles (Lamond and Spector, 2003), revealed that PARP-1 is co-localized with the Sm-antigen at interchromatin regions as well as the peri-nucleolar region in the GV of transcriptionally active oocytes that exhibit the NSN configuration (Fig. 5D). Similar results were observed in transcriptionally inactive oocytes with the SN configuration (Fig. 5E). These results indicate that in addition to its diffuse nuclear localization, PARP-1 associates with the peri-nucleolar region and accumulates at nuclear speckles in the GV of fully-grown oocytes.

PARP-1 was detected as a single band of approximately 116 kDa at the GV stage and showed no apparent change in electrophoretic mobility in oocytes at the metaphase I or metaphase II stage (Fig. 6A). Upon resumption of meiosis, PARP-1 was found associated with the meiotic spindle poles (Fig. 6C; arrowheads) where it is also co-localized with γ-Tubulin (Fig. 6D; red). Notably, PARP-1 signals were also detectable associated with the chromosomes of metaphase II stage oocytes (Fig. 6C; arrow). Furthermore, analysis of chromosome spreads under high resolution, confirmed that PARP-1 is present in the chromatids of metaphase II stage chromosomes and preferentially enriched at pericentric heterochromatin domains (Fig. 6G; arrow) where it is found co-localized with the more circumscribed signals detected by the CREST antiserum, a bona fide centromere marker in mammalian chromosomes (Figs. 6H, I). These results indicate that PARP-1 shows a dynamic association with distinct nuclear compartments in the GV of pre-ovulatory oocytes and upon meiotic resumption, with critical components of the meiotic spindle as well as pericentric heterochromatin domains in mature metaphase II eggs.

PARP-1 deficiency predisposes the female gamete to chromosome instability

To determine whether PARP-1 has a role in female fertility, we compared the average litter size observed in control wild type females maintained on a similar genetic background with that observed over
the reproductive lifespan of Parp-1 (−/−) females. A total of 6 wild type females produced 41 litters over a period of 13 months and had an average of 5.9 ± 0.7 pups per litter (Fig. 7A). However, in spite of the meiotic abnormalities detected in a high proportion of Parp-1 (−/−) oocytes during prophase I of meiosis, no significant differences were observed in the average litter size (5.4 ± 1.3 pups per litter) in a total of 63 litters obtained from seven Parp-1 (−/−) females evaluated over a period of 14 months (Fig. 7A). These results suggest that oocytes with abnormal synapsis or persistence of DSBs might be selectively eliminated early during post-natal oocyte growth.

Compelling evidence suggests that in human oocytes, factors that disrupt the normal rates of DNA recombination during prophase I of meiosis might induce high levels of aneuploidy in mature ova (Hassold and Hunt, 2001; Lamb et al., 2005). Therefore, we conducted a detailed cytogenetic analysis of in vivo matured oocytes obtained after superovulation of adult wild type and Parp-1 (−/−) females. Analysis of 97 in vivo derived, metaphase II stage control oocytes from three independent experimental replicates indicated that in this group only 5% of oocytes showed evidence of aneuploidy (Fig. 7B). In contrast, analysis of mutant ova (n = 88) revealed a significant increase (p < 0.05) in the proportion of Parp-1 (−/−) oocytes (54.5%) with one or more chromosomes showing precocious centromere separation (Fig. 7C; middle panel) or, in extreme cases, a complete segregation of sister chromatids into a configuration resembling a premature anaphase II onset in which two distinct sets of single chromatids could be identified (Figs. 7B and C; lower panel). These results indicate that lack of PARP-1 function during meiosis might predispose mutant oocytes to chromosome instability.

To gain insight into the potential mechanisms leading to premature anaphase onset in Parp-1 mutant oocytes, we set out to determine whether the cell cycle checkpoint protein (BUB3) is properly recruited to the centromere in the absence of PARP-1 function. Analysis of chromosomal spreads from in vivo matured wild type MII stage oocytes (n = 84) indicated that BUB3 (red) is colocalized with CREST signals (green) at centromeric domains in the majority (94%) of oocytes (Figs. 8A, B). In contrast, a significant increase (p < 0.05) in the proportion of Parp-1 (−/−) oocytes (51%; n = 65) with no centromeric BUB3 staining was observed. In this group, centromeric domains were found exclusively labeled with the CREST antiserum (Figs. 8A; lower panel and B). These results provide critical evidence indicating that the cell cycle checkpoint protein (BUB3) is not properly recruited to the centromere in Parp-1 (−/−) oocytes at the metaphase II stage.

Next, in vivo matured metaphase II stage oocytes were obtained from the oviducts of gonadotropin stimulated females and maintained in culture with surrounding cumulus cells for 3 h in the presence or absence of different concentrations of the proteasome inhibitor MG-132. Consistent with our previous experiments, exposure to the culture environment induced a significant increase (p < 0.05) in the proportion of mutant oocytes (61%) with premature anaphase onset compared with wild type oocytes (5%). Importantly, premature anaphase onset was effectively prevented in mutant oocytes after exposure to 10 and 50 μg/ml of the proteasome inhibitor (Fig. 8C). These results indicate that PARP-1 is required for the recruitment of BUB3 protein to centromeric domains in mammalian oocytes. Notably, the presence of single chromatids at metaphase II indicates that precocious sister chromatid separation in Parp-1 null oocytes takes place through a mechanism involving premature centromere separation and that premature anaphase onset is mediated through abnormal activation of the proteasome pathway.

Discussion

The centromeres of meiotic chromosomes exhibit unique structural and functional properties that are required to ensure the sequential separation of homologous chromosomes and then sister chromatids during metaphase I and metaphase II stage of meiosis, respectively (Page and Hawley, 2003; Petronczki et al., 2003; Watanabe, 2005). Importantly, compelling evidence indicates that pericentric heterochromatin is of critical importance to regulate...
centromere cohesion during mitosis and for the timely separation of sister chromatids during anaphase (Bernard and Allshire, 2002; Dernburg et al., 1996; Guenatri et al., 2004; Peters et al., 2001). However, little is known concerning the epigenetic mechanism(s) involved in the regulation of heterochromatin formation during oogenesis. Here, we provide evidence indicating that PARP-1 exhibits a dynamic sub-cellular localization pattern in the female germ line. PARP-1 shows a diffuse nucleoplasmic staining during prophase I of meiosis and subsequently becomes associated with nuclear speckles during oocyte growth and differentiation. Moreover, following the resumption of meiosis, PARP-1 exhibits a prominent association with pericentric heterochromatin domains as well as meiotic spindle poles in mature metaphase II stage oocytes.

Although Parp-1 null females are fertile, more than 50% of mutant oocytes exhibit a spectrum of chromosomal defects during prophase I of meiosis, including either incomplete homologous chromosome synapsis or the presence of abnormal chromatin modifications in the form of persistent H2AX phosphorylation in fully synapsed bivalents. Notably, in the absence of functional PARP-1 protein at the pachytene stage, the fully synapsed X chromosome bivalent is also prone to exhibiting persistent DSBs. Furthermore, a high proportion of in vivo matured mutant oocytes showed evidence for the presence of single chromatids at the metaphase II stage. Such defects in centromere cohesion lead to a premature anaphase II onset in >50% of oocytes upon minutes after exposure to the culture environment. Importantly, a similar proportion of mutant metaphase II stage oocytes lacked centromeric BUB3 staining. The premature anaphase onset observed in mutant oocytes was efficiently prevented by simultaneous exposure to the proteasome inhibitor MG-132. Collectively, our results indicate that PARP-1 plays a critical role in the maintenance of chromosome stability at key stages of meiosis in the female germ line. These results are consistent with a sexually dimorphic role for PARP-1 in the control of γH2AX phosphorylation patterns during female meiosis. Moreover, PARP-1 is required for the regulation of centromere structure and function through a mechanism that involves the recruitment of BUB3 protein to centromeric domains in the metaphase II stage oocyte.

**Persistent H2AX phosphorylation following resolution of DSBs in Parp-1<sup>−/−</sup> oocytes**

During mammalian meiosis, phosphorylation of the histone variant H2AX (γH2AX) is associated with DSBs formation at the leptotene stage (Mahadevaiah et al., 2001; Turner et al., 2005). However, γH2AX foci are progressively eliminated from meiotic chromosome cores following the resolution of DSBs in fully synapsed bivalents at the late pachytene stage (Burgoyne et al., 2007; Chicheportiche et al., 2007; Mahadevaiah et al., 2004; Paul et al., 2000). In contrast, a significant proportion of Parp-1 null oocytes exhibit persistent H2AX phosphorylation in fully synapsed chromosomes at the late pachytene and early diplotene stage. Persistent γH2AX staining following chromosome synopsis has been associated with the presence of unresolved DSBs, as determined by the co-localization of γH2AX foci with several recombination intermediates including RAD51, in synapsed chromosomes of both male and female germ cells deficient for the synaptonemal complex protein SYCP3 (Wang and Hoog, 2006) as well as the recombination factors MRE11, Trip 13, ERCC1 and MSH2 (Cherry et al., 2007; Li and Schimenti, 2007; Mahadevaiah et al., 2004; Paull et al., 2000). In contrast, a significant proportion of Parp-1 null oocytes exhibit persistent H2AX phosphorylation in fully synapsed chromosomes at the late pachytene and early diplotene stage. Persistent γH2AX staining following chromosome synopsis has been associated with the presence of unresolved DSBs, as determined by the co-localization of γH2AX foci with several recombination intermediates including RAD51, in synapsed chromosomes of both male and female germ cells deficient for the synaptonemal complex protein SYCP3 (Wang and Hoog, 2006) as well as the recombination factors MRE11, Trip 13, ERCC1 and MSH2 (Cherry et al., 2007; Li and Schimenti, 2007; Mahadevaiah et al., 2004; Paull et al., 2000). In contrast, in Parp-1 deficient oocytes, γH2AX staining associated with RAD51 foci persists only in the X chromosome bivalent. The mechanisms involved in the failure to resolve DSBs exclusively at the X chromosome bivalent in Parp-1<sup>−/−</sup> oocytes remain to be determined. However, it is conceivable that functional redundancy with PARP-2 (Ame et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2004; Kim et al., 2005; Schreiber et al., 2006) accounts for the resolution of DSBs in the majority of autosomes whereas the presence of unresolved DSBs at the X bivalent might be a reflection of an increased susceptibility of the X chromosome to the formation of superfluous or illegitimate recombination in the absence of PARP-1 function. Interestingly, the
higher frequency of unresolved DSBs observed on the X chromosome bivalent in this study may be a contributing factor to explain the previously described X chromosome instability that has been detected in female but not male embryonic fibroblasts of PARP-1+/−/Parp-2−/− double mutant mice (Ménissier de Murcia et al., 2003). The presence of large γH2AX foci in the majority of synapsed autosomes that show no RAD51 accumulation at the late pachytene stage suggests that mechanisms other than unresolved DNA breaks might account for the persistence of H2AX phosphorylation in the absence of a functional PARP-1 protein during late meiosis. Accordingly, persistent γH2AX staining might be due to alterations in global chromatin structure and/or aberrant chromatin modifications such as altered histone acetylation or phosphorylation (Fernandez-Capetillo et al., 2004). Consistent with this hypothesis, activation of the ataxia-telangiectasia-mutated (ATM) kinase and subsequent H2AX phosphorylation can also take place in response to changes in chromatin structure that is independent of DSB formation (Bakkenist and Kastan, 2003; Haince et al., 2007). Therefore, the presence of large γH2AX clusters that extend over chromatin regions adjacent to the synaptonemal complex following the resolution of DSBs revealed for the first time a defect in the control of histone H2AX phosphorylation associated with subsequent chromosome instability during meiosis in Parp-1 mutant oocytes. Importantly, our results provide critical evidence to support recent findings indicating that H2AX phosphorylation might have functions other than its traditional role in DNA repair mechanisms (Ichijima et al., 2005; Ismail and Hendzel, 2008; McManus and Hendzel, 2005) and contribute with novel information indicating that PARP-1 function is required to resolve γH2AX foci from meiotic chromosomes and hence the establishment of proper chromatin modifications during late meiosis in the female germ line.

A role for PARP-1 in the epigenetic regulation of centromere function in mammalian oocytes

PARP-1 exhibits a striking accumulation at nuclear speckles in the germinal vesicle of fully-grown oocytes. Notably, this pattern of PARP-1 localization seems to be restricted to the oocyte nucleus as no such association has been reported in somatic cells. Therefore it is conceivable that PARP-1 may interact with additional factors that have been previously shown to accumulate at nuclear speckles in meiotically competent oocytes such as transcription or splicing factors (Truchet et al., 2004), members of the minichromosome maintenance
complex (MCM) (Swiech et al., 2007) or the SUMO-conjugating enzyme (UBE2I) (Ihara et al., 2008) and might thus contribute to the establishment of post-translational modifications in the oocyte genome.

Interestingly, PARP-1 exhibits a striking redistribution from its original location at nuclear speckles in fully-grown oocytes towards centromeric heterochromatin as well as meiotic spindle poles upon meiotic resumption suggesting that even in the absence of any detectable changes in electrophoretic mobility during meiosis, PARP-1 is co-localized with major components of the metaphase II spindle. Both PARP-1 and PARP-2 proteins have been previously shown to localize to pericentric heterochromatin as well as the centromeres of mammalian somatic cells, respectively (Earle et al., 2000; Saxena et al., 2002a,b). Moreover, PARP-1 and PARP-2 exhibit a physical association with constitutive centromeric proteins such as Cenp-A and Cenp-B as well as the mitotic checkpoint protein BUB3 (Saxena et al., 2002a,b). Our results demonstrate for the first time that PARP-1 function is essential to recruit BUB3 to centromeric heterochromatin in mammalian oocytes and underscores a critical role for PARP-1 in the regulation of centromere structure and function and hence the control of proper chromosome segregation and maintenance of genome stability in the female gamete. Consistent with this notion, a novel poly(ADP-ribose)-binding zinc finger (PBZ) motif has been recently shown to be required for poly(ADP-ribosylation) of the cell cycle checkpoint protein CHFR, independent of DNA damage, in somatic cells suggesting that basal levels of automodified PARP-1 protein may be critical to recruit factors with the PBZ motif including checkpoint regulatory proteins to centromeric domains (Ahel et al., 2008).

PARP-1 is required to maintain sister chromatid cohesion in mature metaphase II stage oocytes

The fertility of PARP-1 null females observed in this study might be due to the presence of a previously reported functional redundancy with PARP-2 (Ménissier de Murcia et al., 2003; Velamos et al., 2008). Moreover, previous studies have reported the presence of a normal litter size in female mice heterozygous for a missense mutation of DMC1 which exhibit a high incidence (60%) of meiotic defects at the pachytene stage, suggesting the existence of an ovarian compensatory mechanism (Bannister et al., 2007). Our results however, demonstrate that lack of PARP-1 function alone, renders the female gamete extremely susceptible to chromosome instability during completion of meiosis in response to environmental conditions. PARP-2 null spermatocytes exhibit normal autosome synapsis at prophase I of meiosis but show synaptic defects of the X and Y chromosome associated with lack of sex chromosome inactivation. In addition, approximately 36% of PARP-2 null spermatocytes at metaphase I exhibit univalent chromosomes (Dantzler et al., 2006). In contrast, our results indicate that synopsis of the sex chromosome bivalent in Parp-1 null spermatocytes is normal. This is consistent with previous suggestions indicating that PARP-1 and PARP-2 might function as heterodimers showing both, functional redundancy as well as critical non-redundant functions in the maintenance of genome stability (Ménissier de Murcia et al., 2003).

Specifically, our studies revealed that lack of PARP-1 function at pericentromeric heterochromatin predisposes the female gamete to premature sister chromatid separation at the metaphase II stage through a mechanism involving the lack of recruitment of BUB3 to centromeric domains. It is well established that BUB3 inhibits the anaphase promoting complex/cyclosome (APC/C) pathway from targeting cell cycle regulatory proteins for proteolytic degradation and hence controls the onset of anaphase (Kalitsis et al., 2000; Sudakin et al., 2001). BUB3 has also been shown to establish a functional interaction with MAD2 during mitosis (Sudakin et al., 2001). Notably, MAD2 is present at the kinetochore regions of in vivo matured metaphase II stage oocytes where it might be required to maintain metaphase II arrest and the timely transition to anaphase (Kallio et al., 2000). Therefore in the absence of BUB3, PARP-1 mutant oocytes might exhibit a premature activation of the anaphase promoting complex following exposure to the culture environment, a notion supported by the efficient prevention of spontaneous anaphase II onset following inhibition of the proteasome pathway with MG-132 in this study. These results suggest the presence of a potential link between PARP-1 function and sister centromere cohesion in the mammalian oocyte.

What is the role of pericentric heterochromatin in protecting centromere cohesion during meiosis? In several organisms including mammals, pericentric heterochromatin has been shown to be essential for centromere cohesion and the timely separation of individual chromatids during mitosis (Bernard and Allshire, 2002; Dernburg et al., 1996; Guenatri et al., 2004). Importantly, targeted deletion of several chromatin remodeling proteins has provided critical evidence indicating that the formation of both centric and pericentric heterochromatin domains is required for the modulation of homologous chromosome synopsis during male (Bourc'his and Bestor, 2004; Peters et al., 2001; Webster et al., 2005) and female meiosis (De La Fuente et al., 2006) as well as for proper chromosome segregation during spermatogenesis (Dantzler et al., 2006; Peters et al., 2001). Importantly, our studies revealed a role for PARP-1 in the recruitment of BUB3 to meiotic centromeres in the female germ line. Further studies will be aimed at establishing whether PARP-1 is involved in the recruitment of component molecules of the cohesin complex required to protect meiotic centromeres from premature separation (Bernard and Allshire, 2002; Lee et al., 2008; llano et al., 2008).
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