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#### Abstract

If $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are independent and identically distributed (i. i. d.) with finite variance, then $\left(X_{1}+X_{2}\right) / \sqrt{2}$ has the same distribution as $X_{1}$ if and only if $X_{1}$ is normal with mean zero (Pólya [9]). The idea of using linear combinations of i. i. d. random variables to characterize the normal has since been extended to the case where $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i} X_{i}$ has the same distribution as $X_{1}$. In particular if at least two of the $a_{i}$ 's are non-zero and $X_{1}$ has finitc variance, then Laha and Lukacs [8] showed that $X_{1}$ is normal. They also [7] established the same result without the assumption of finite variance. The purpose of this note is to present a different and easier proof of the characterization under the assumption of finite variance. Th، idea of the proof follows closely the approach used by Pólya in [9]. The same technique is also used to give a characterization of the exponential distribution.


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Linear forms } & \begin{array}{l}
\text { characteristic functions } \\
\text { symmetric random variables }
\end{array}
\end{array}
$$

## 1. Introduction

Suppose $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables (r. v.) with a common normal distribution with mean 0 . It is evident that under these circumstances $\left(X_{1}+X_{2}\right) / \sqrt{2}$ and $X_{1}$ have identical distributions, written $\left(X_{1}+X_{2}\right) / \sqrt{2}={ }^{d} X_{1}$. In 1923 Polya noted that under the assumption of finite variance, this property, i.e. $\left(X_{1}+X_{2}\right) / \sqrt{2}={ }^{\mathrm{d}} X_{1}$, characterizes the normal distribution. Subsequent work centered on generalizing this result and if possible eliminating the restriction of finite variances. In the more general setting one considered a sequence $\left\{X_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of i.i.d. r. v. and infinite linear combinations $a^{\prime} X=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i} X_{i}, b^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} b_{i} X_{i}$. The problem of characterizations of the normal distribution based on $\boldsymbol{a}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}={ }^{\mathrm{d}} \boldsymbol{b}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}$ for distinct vectors $\boldsymbol{a}, \boldsymbol{b}$ is well summarized in Kagan, Linnik, and Rao [6]. The problem is considerably simplified if it is required that the vector $b^{\prime}$ be of the form $(1,0,0,0, \ldots)$. The standard work on characterizing the normal based on $a^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}={ }^{d} X_{1}$ is that of Laha and Lukacs. They (Laha and

Lukacs [7]) show without moment conditions that if $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i}^{2}=1$ and $a^{\prime} X={ }^{\mathrm{d}} \boldsymbol{X}_{1}$, non-degenerate, then $X_{1}$ is normally distributed. They first prove that the distribution of $X$ must be infinitely divisible and then, using the Lévy-Khintchine representation of such distributions, carefully elininate the possibility of a nonnormal distribution. Eaton [3] has done related work on the multivariate normal and non-normal symmetric stable distributions using a similar technique (for the inon-normal stable distributions it is found that identical distribution of $X_{1}$ and two other linear forms is required).

Laha and Lukac [8] considered the possibility of simplifying the argument used in their earlier paper [7] by assuming the existence of a variance. An alternative representation of such infinitely divisible laws is then available but the proof remains somewhat parallel to that used when the variance was not assumed to exist. It is not as technically difficult but still it has not been reduced to a "classroom exercise." A convenient reference for proofs of the above results is Ghurye and Olkin's recent survey paper [4]. They also include an extension of Eaton's multivariate result.

It is the purpose of this note to present a proof, in the finite variance case, which is at a quite elementary level. The proof presented is really quite similar to that proposed originally by Pólya [9] in the simple case where $\left(X_{1}+X_{2}\right) / \sqrt{2}={ }^{d} X_{1}$ and it is p'ausible that he was aware of its possible extension to the more general case.

## 2. The characterization

Throughout this section we will consider an i.i.d. sequence $\left\{X_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$ of nondegenerate random variables and will denote their common characteristic function by $\varphi$.

Theorem. If $\mathbf{E}\left(X_{i}^{2}\right)$ exists and, for some vector a with at least two non-zero coordinates, $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i} X_{i}={ }^{d} X_{1}$, then $X_{1}$ has a normal distribution.

Proof. First observe that if $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i} X_{i}={ }^{d} V_{i}$ then the same is true of the symmetrized i. i. d. sequence $\left\{Y_{i}\right\}_{1=1}^{\infty}$ where $Y_{i}={ }^{d} X_{i}-X_{i}^{\prime}: X_{i}={ }^{d} X_{i}^{\prime}: X_{i}, X_{i}$ independent. These $Y_{i}$ 's have common mean 0 and variance $\tau^{2}$. The characteristic function $\psi(t)$ of the $Y_{i}^{\prime}$ 's satisfies $\psi(t)=\varphi(t) \varphi(-t)$. Since $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i} Y_{i}={ }^{d} Y_{1}$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(t)=\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \psi\left(t a_{i}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The characteristic function of the symmetric random variable $Y_{i}$ is real and so taking logarithms we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \psi(t)=-\frac{1}{2} r^{2} t^{2}+o\left(|t|^{2}\right) \quad(t \rightarrow 0) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\mathbf{E}(Y)=0$ and $\mathbf{E}\left(Y^{2}\right)=\tau^{2}$. Iteration of (1) leads to, for any $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \psi(t)=\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{i_{n}=1}^{\infty} \log \psi\left(t a_{i_{1}} a_{12} \cdots a_{i_{n}}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now since $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i} Y_{i}={ }^{d} Y_{1}$, their second moments must be equal so we have $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i}^{2}=1$. Let $m^{*}=\max _{i} a_{i}^{2}$. Clearly $m^{*}<1$ (since $a$ has at least two non-zero coordinates). Now fix $t \neq 0$ and $\varepsilon>0$ and choose $n$ sufficiently large so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<|s|^{2} \leqslant m^{* n} t^{2} \Rightarrow\left|\log \psi(s)+\frac{1}{2} \tau^{2} s^{2}\right|<\varepsilon s^{2} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is possible by (2). Using (3), (4) and the fact that $\sum_{i=1}^{x} a_{i}^{2}=1$, we have,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\log \psi(t)+\frac{1}{2} \tau^{2} t^{2}\right|= & \left|\log \psi(t)+\sum_{i_{1}=1}^{x} \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{i_{n}=1}^{x} \frac{1}{2} a_{i_{1}}^{2} a_{i_{2}}^{2} \cdots a_{i_{n}}^{2} t^{2} \tau^{2}\right| \\
\leqslant & \sum_{i_{1}=1}^{x} \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{x} \cdots \sum_{i_{n}=1}^{\infty} \mid \log \psi\left(t a_{i_{1}} a_{i_{2}} \cdots a_{i_{n}}\right) \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} \tau^{2}\left(t a_{i_{1}} a_{i_{2}} \cdots a_{i_{n}}\right)^{2} \right\rvert\, \\
& <\varepsilon \sum_{i_{i}=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i_{2}=1}^{\infty} \cdots \sum_{i_{n}=1}^{\infty} t a_{i_{1}}^{2} a_{i_{2}}^{2} \cdots a_{i_{n}}^{2}=\varepsilon t^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last inequality follows since $\left(a_{i_{1}} a_{i_{2}} \cdots a_{i_{n}}\right)^{2} \leqslant m^{* n} t^{2}$. Since $\varepsilon$ was arbitrary, it follows that $\psi(t)=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \tau^{2} t^{2}\right)$ and so $Y_{1}$ is normal $\left(0, \tau^{2}\right)$. Recall thet $\boldsymbol{Y}_{1}=\boldsymbol{X}_{1}-\boldsymbol{X}_{1}^{\prime}$ where $\boldsymbol{X}_{1}, \boldsymbol{X}_{1}^{\prime}$ are independent, so it follows by Cramer's normal composition theo em that $X_{1}$ is normal.

Note. The variance of $X_{1}$ is necessarily $\tau^{2} / 2$ but its mean is not necessarily determined. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i}=1$ (which requires at least one of the $a_{i}$ 's to be negative since $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i}^{2}=1$ ), then the mean of $X_{1}$ could be any real number. If $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i} \neq 1$, then, in order to have $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_{i} X_{i}={ }^{\mathrm{d}} X_{1}$, we must have $\mathbf{E}\left(X_{1}\right)=0$. This last possibility was not explicitly mentioned by Laha and Lukacs [8].

## 3. Related characterizations

If one considers non-negative random variables whose distribution has a right derivative at 0 one may verity that, for a sequence $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots$ of such i.i. d. r. v. and a vector $\left(a_{1}, a_{2} \ldots\right.$ ) with at least two non-zero entries, $\min _{1} a_{i} X_{i}={ }^{\mathrm{d}} X_{1}$ if and only if $X_{1}$ has an exponential distribution. The proof is a close parallel to that given here. The proof for $a_{1}=a_{2}=2$ was presented by Arnold [1] and independently by Gupta [5]. Arnold and Isaacson [2] treated the case of a finitc sequence $X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}$ and it is that proof which readily generclizes to an infinite sequence and which suggested the above proof for $L$ aha and Lukacs" normal characterization.

Finally we mention a related characterization problem which appears to be more difficult to resolve. Suppose that ( $A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots$ ) is a non-degenerate random sequence independent of the $X_{i}^{\prime}$ " satisfying $\sum_{\infty, 1}^{\infty}, A_{i}=1$ a.s. and such that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} A_{i} X_{i}={ }^{\mathrm{d}} X_{1}$. Can we in this case sonclude that $X_{1}$ is normally distributed? Such a phenomenon would arise if one co dered a sample of non-degenerate random size $N$. If the $X_{i}$ 's are i. i. d. normal ( $0, \sigma^{2}$ ), then $\sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i} / \sqrt{N}={ }^{d} X_{1}$. Initially one might assume variances existed but, in the light of Laha and Lukacs [7], this might be unnecessary. In the random sample size case, we are led to consider the functional equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(t)=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} p_{n}[\varphi(t / \sqrt{ } n)]^{n}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly (5) adinits solutions of the form $\varphi(t)=\exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} t^{2} \sigma^{2}\right)$ but we have been unable to show that other solutions are not possible.
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