

included in all studies. Furthermore, the model with prior imputation of the variance appeared to be more stable than two-stage model.

SAMPLE SIZE AND ETHICAL CONSIDERATIONS IN RANDOMIZED CLUSTER SAMPLING VERSUS INDIVIDUAL PATIENT RECRUITMENT FORMULAS IN PROSPECTIVE OBSERVATIONAL STUDIES

Chiappinelli R, Stanley S, Criscola R, Wasser T

HealthCore, Wilmington, DE, USA

OBJECTIVES: Differences in computational sample size formulas indicate that randomized cluster samples require more patients to demonstrate the same effect as studies that use Individual Patient Recruitment (IPR) formulas. We compared the  $differences\ in\ randomized\ cluster\ sampling\ and\ IPR\ formulas\ through\ a\ simulation$ study by varying the cluster size and Intra-Cluster Correlation Coefficient (ICC) to determine the magnitude of sample size differences. METHODS: The sample size formula for cluster sampling included two terms: 1) estimate of cluster size, and 2) estimate of ICC. Four Mean/Standard Deviation ratios were used reflecting the effect size, three ICC values, and three cluster sizes. Sample size was calculated for non-cluster and cluster formulas for 80% and 90% power. Sample size calculation results between cluster and IPR formulas were compared. RESULTS: Differences between cluster and IPR designs found that under sampling in IPR formulas vary from 5-15% and are largest when effect sizes are smallest. The IPR samples were smaller than cluster samples for the same effect size and power. Sample size using the cluster formula was smallest when ICC was small (0.15), at 80 percent power and cluster size of 5 patients per group. Cluster sample size was largest when ICC was large (0.25), at 90 percent power and cluster size of 20. CONCLUSIONS: In the research environment where prospective observational methods are used to gather "real world" data, studies that are conducted using cluster sampling, but powered with IPR formulas, are underpowered by as much as 15%. Ethical implications must be considered in prospective studies that require patient informed consent if the study is underpowered. If the prospective study involves risk the equipoise argument may be violated and place patients at risk (assuming there is a study treatment regimen), as the study may not be conclusive because of low power.

### NONPARAMETRIC REGRESSION ANALYSIS CONTROLS COST ANALYSIS IN DATA WITH OUTLIERS

<u>Eisenberg D</u>, Wasser T HealthCore, Inc., Wilmington, DE, USA

OBJECTIVES: Cost analysis is often complicated to analyze because of skewed data caused by outliers in the upper tail of the distribution. Some of these outlier expenses are a result of extreme expenses before an observation period starts or during an episode of illness. Theil regression is a non-parametric linear regression method that provides accurate estimates of slope and intercept when outliers are present by calculating values based on the median. METHODS: In a study intended to measure the length of time it took for patient costs to return to normal preepisode costs after pneumonia, the Theil method was used and compared to Ordinary Least Squares (OLS) results on the same data. The baseline cost was computed as the mean cost for the six months prior to diagnosis, the study allowed for a three month episode period and the OLS and Theil regression methods were computed on the monthly costs for the six months after the episode. RESULTS: High cost outliers during the three month episode led to elevated costs for the first post episode period. This caused an underestimate of cost using the OLS method. Theil regression correctly estimated the increased time to return to normal in 11 of the 21 variables tracked. These differences ranged from 15 to 370 days. OLS found extended time over Theil for 5 of 21 comparisons. These differences ranged from 2 to 26 days. Agreement between OLS and Theil was found for 5 of 21 comparisons. CONCLUSIONS: Outliers in regression analysis frequently occur when the variable of interest is cost. Theil regression offers considerable advantages over OLS regression when the outlier is in one of the tails of the distribution. The advantages include more accurate results as well being able to use all the data without exclusion of any data elements.

## SOCIOECONOMIC PATTERNS AMONG INTERNATIONAL IMMIGRANTS IN CHILE: THE USE OF CLUSTERS

Cabieses B, Tunstall H, Pickett K,  $\underline{\text{Espinoza M}}$ 

University of York, York, Yorkshire, England

 $\textbf{OBJECTIVES:} \ International \ immigration \ to \ Chile \ has \ increased \ in \ the \ past \ decade.$ Preliminary analysis found the immigrants were a very heterogeneous and polarized group in their SES which makes it difficult to identify particular needs of vulnerable subgroups within the total immigrant population. This analysis aims to describe their SES patterns. METHODS: Cross-sectional Chilean survey (CASEN-2006). From 268,873 participants, one percent were immigrants (n=1.877). Cluster analysis identifies subsets of a data set that contain similar points. Replacing these subsets by their aggregate properties, it creates a compact representation of the data set as a group of clusters. Hierarchical clustering is a step-wise process that merges the two closest or furthest data points or groups of data points at each step. Among the different types of hierarchical cluster analyses available, completelinkage method was chosen as it creates clusters from the most distant values of the selected attributes (income, education and employment-status). Each SEScluster was analysed in its demographic (age/sex/marital-status), geographical (urban-rural/region), SES variables (income/education/occupation), material-standards (overcrowding/sanitary-conditions/housing-quality). Analysis in STATA 10.0. RESULTS: After conducting complete-linkage hierarchical cluster analysis,

three groups were identified: High-SES (n=398), Medium-SES (n=889), Low-SES (n=587). Key patterns are: High-SES: mean 35 years-old, 90% of working age, most married, technical or university level, only 2.7% with ethnic background. Medium-SES: mean 33 years-old, >60% technical education, mixed cluster. Low-SES: mean 25 years-old, >60% women, 8% ethnic background, up to high-school only, 2 poorest income quintiles. CONCLUSIONS: Immigrants in Chile are a very heterogeneous group, polarized by their SES. Hierarchical cluster analysis provided an appropriate method to group immigrants according to their socio-economic characteristics and, consequently, to provide clear patterns of SES vulnerability within the total immigrant population. Immigrants living in the Low-SES cluster are a vulnerable group that needs further attention in Chile.

COMPARING MULTIPLE PROPENSITY SCORE ADJUSTMENT AND TRADITIONAL REGRESSION ANALYSIS TO ASSESS THE EXPOSURE-OUTCOME ASSOCIATION USING RETROSPECTIVE CLAIMS DATA

Chatterjee S, Aparasu RR, Chen H, Johnson M

University of Houston, Houston, TX, USA

OBJECTIVES: Researchers have suggested that, propensity score (PS) adjustment provides similar results as traditional regression analysis in observational studies. This has been attributed to the inappropriate implementation of PS, like inclusion of both PS and baseline covariates, and absence of covariate balance verification after PS adjustment. The present study employed a multiple PS adjustment model to evaluate the risk of falls/fractures in older adults using atypical antipsychotics, performed a balance check of covariates after PS adjustment and compared the results from multiple PS analysis with traditional regression model. METHODS: The study used IMS LifeLink Health Plan Claims Database and included older adults (aged ≥ 50 years) who initiated risperidone, olanzapine or quetiapine anytime during July 1, 2000 to June 30, 2008. Patients were followed until hospitalization/ emergency room (ER) visit for falls/fractures, or end of the study period, whichever occurred earlier. Cox proportional hazard regression model was used to evaluate the relative risk of falls/fractures. The traditional model included over 80 baseline covariates which were also used to calculate the PS. The PS model included the two PS and their interaction terms. The covariate balance after PS adjustment was checked using logistic regression. RESULTS: After PS adjustment, there was no difference in any of the baseline covariates among the treatment groups. Both traditional regression and PS analyses had similar findings. There was no statistically significant difference with use of risperidone (Traditional: Hazard Ratio, HR, 1.10, 95% CI, 0.86-1.39; PS: HR, 1.09, 0.86-1.38) or quetiapine (Traditional: HR, 1.10, 0.84-1.44; PS: HR, 1.12, 0.86-1.46) compared to olanzapine in the risk of falls/ fractures. CONCLUSIONS: The study findings suggest that, a PS adjustment model with well-balanced covariates across treatment groups gives similar results as traditional regression model.

MODEL AND COVARIATE VISUALIZATION AIDS FOR ENHANCING THE INTERPRETATION OF STEPS IN THE HIGH DIMENSIONAL PROPENSITY SCORING ADJUSTMENT PROCEDURE

Juneau P1, Huse DM2

Thomson Reuters Healthcare, Boyds, MD, USA, <sup>2</sup>Thomson Reuters, Cambridge, MA, USA OBJECTIVES: Currently, the work of Schneeweiss, et al. (2009) for propensity score adjustment is considered the standard approach for accounting for confounding in large claims data sets and is endorsed by such bodies as the Observational Medical Outcomes Partnership (OMOP) in the United States. The procedure appears to perform well and has many attractive features for the practitioner; however, examination of the selection of a set of potential effects for adjustment typically involves the perusal of large tables of summary statistics. For large data sets with potentially hundreds of covariates, this display does not afford the practitioner an easy, intuitive view of the relationships amongst the cofounders and with the desired outcome under study. METHODS: Modification of simple categorical data visualizations suggested by Cleveland (1993), Keller and Keller (1993), Harris (1999), Friendly (2001) and others were developed in common statistical software packages (e.g. SAS). RESULTS: The individual and joint behavior of the contribution of various confounders could be identified quickly and enhanced the user's understanding of their role in the procedure. CONCLUSIONS: In a setting with a large number of confounders, the procedure suggested by Schneeweiss, et al. reduces the number of confounders to a more manageable and practical level. Graphical techniques help the practitioner achieve a better understanding of the role of these confounders and the rationale for their inclusion in the adjustment procedure.

Research On Methods - Conceptual Papers

A NEW APPROACH TO MODELING CANCER RECURRENCE AND FOLLOW-UP

Rose J<sup>1</sup>, <u>Augestad KM</u><sup>2</sup>, Cooper G<sup>1</sup>, Meropol NJ<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>University Hospitals Case Medical Center, Cleveland, OH, USA, <sup>2</sup>University Hospital North Norway, Tromsoe, Norway

OBJECTIVES: The ability to model cancer recurrence could assist in the optimization of surveillance strategies. However, capturing the dynamics of cancer recurrence in order to simulate follow-up surveillance after initial extirpative surgery presents a significant methodological challenge. The difficulty of modeling recurrence patterns is that relevant experimental and observational data is collected in the context of heterogeneous protocols for follow-up. Using the example of colorectal cancer, we propose a method of controlling for choice of follow-up regimen in order to infer the value of key natural history parameters. Once these values are  $\,$ inferred, any hypothetical follow-up regimen can be superimposed upon the natural history model to project clinical and/or economic outcomes. METHODS: The subset of stage I-III colon cancer patients who will experience recurrence face a constant rate rd of transition from undetectable to theoretically detectable recurrence during a given interval. These same patients face a constant rate ru of transition from resectable (i.e. potentially curable) to unresectable metastatic disease with a minimum interval xdu between the point of detectability and the point of unresectability. A third constant rate parameter rs will determine when, on average, individuals develop recurrence-related symptoms prompting them to seek medical advice before the next scheduled evaluation. The mean point of symptom development will follow the point at which a recurrence becomes detectable by a span of at least xds. However, a normally distributed error term Eds will mean that, for a given simulated patient, symptoms may initiate before or after the patient reaches unresectability. RESULTS: A best-fitting set of these natural history parameters can be selected by calibrating to targets of time-to-detection of recurrence, time-to-death, and proportion of patients who present with recurrence-related symptoms prior to scheduled assessments. CONCLUSIONS: The data sources for these targets can be existing experimental, observational, or registry data where follow-up schedule and compliance levels are known.

### PRM56

## DIFFERENTIAL DISCOUNTING: QUESTIONING THE ASSUMPTION OF HEALTHCARE RESOURCE FUNGIBILITY OVER TIME

O'Mahony J

Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, AL, The Netherlands

OBJECTIVES: Recent work on differential discounting of cost and health effects has reached a degree of consensus in a previously strongly divided debate. Put simply, it holds that the discount rate applied to health effects should equal the discount rate for costs, less the growth rate of either the cost-effectiveness threshold or the consumption value of health, depending on the objectives of the health system. Assuming positive growth in the threshold or the value of health, this implies the cost-effectiveness of preventative interventions improves relative to the situation under equal discounting. METHODS: We show how recent analyses of differential discounting implicitly assume healthcare funds to be completely fungible over time. This assumption is difficult to justify in the context of publically funded healthcare systems that exhaust budgets annually. Assuming funds are not fungible results in alternative differential discount rates: in this case, the discount rate on costs should be adjusted upwards by either the growth rate of the threshold or the consumption value of health, RESULTS: Under these discount rates, interventions that impose costs in future periods become more cost-effective relative to the situation under equal discounting, rather than those which yield health gains in the future. Indeed, the cost-effectiveness of preventative interventions that reduce future healthcare costs will deteriorate under such alternative differential discounting. Consequently, interventions' cost-effectiveness may differ greatly between the two differential discounting schemes. CONCLUSION: Cost-effectiveness estimates can be highly sensitive to discounting; therefore the theory underpinning discount rates needs to be robust. This analysis shows that the current understanding of differential discounting needs to be re-examined. CEA authorities in countries currently employing differential discounting such as Belgium and The Netherlands and those contemplating it such as England and Wales should consider these issues carefully.

## PRM57

# REVISITING HPV VACCINATION: WHY EXISTING CEAS UNDERESTIMATE THE VACCINE'S COST-EFFECTIVENESS AND INCORRECTLY ESTIMATE ITS THRESHOLD PRICE

O'Mahony J

Erasmus University Medical Center, Rotterdam, The Netherlands

**OBJECTIVES:** Existing cost-effectiveness analyses (CEAs) of Human Papillomavirus (HPV) vaccination assume cervical screening remains unchanged. However, current screening intensities are unlikely to be cost-effective due to the likely reduction in disease incidence in vaccinated women. Therefore, reductions in screening intensity are probable. The cost-effectiveness attributable to vaccination varies with screening intensity. The assumption of unaltered screening leads to an underestimation of vaccine cost-effectiveness relative to when screening intensity is reduced. Furthermore, failure to consider other screening intensities yields an incomplete efficient frontier in the cost-effectiveness plane. This can lead to an incorrect estimate of the price at which vaccination becomes marginally costeffective for a given cost-effectiveness threshold. METHODS: We review cost-effectiveness estimates for a wide range of screening only and vaccination plus screening strategies from a model used to estimate vaccine cost-effectiveness in the The Netherlands. We indicate what comparison was used to estimate vaccine cost-effectiveness in previous studies, show what comparisons would be more appropriate and explain how these differ. RESULTS: We then show why the costeffectiveness of adding vaccination to a given screening strategy is not the appropriate basis to determine if the vaccine is cost-effective or the threshold price. Rather, both should be determined by the ICER between the most costly efficient screening only strategy and the least costly vaccination plus screening strategy, even where this least costly vaccination plus screening strategy is not the optimal strategy for a given threshold. CONCLUSIONS: CEAs of HPV vaccination may no longer be policy or research priorities following widespread reimbursement and precipitous price reductions. However, the methodological issues raised here are pertinent to both any future CEA of an enhanced vaccine with protection against more HPV types and more generally to cases in which the cost-effectiveness of complementary interventions are not independent.

### PRM58

## METHODOLOGICAL REVIEWS OF ECONOMIC EVALUATIONS IN HEALTH CARE: ARE THEY USEFUL?

Hutter MF, Antonanzas F

University of La Rioja, Logrono, La Rioja, Spain

INTRODUCTION AND OBJECTIVES: The increasing amount of economic evaluations in health technologies published during the last decades have generated the concern about their methodological features. The aim of this study is, firstly, to explore methodological reviews and to detect their main research topics and, secondly, to appraise their usefulness for economic evaluation practice. METHODS: We performed systematic searches in electronic databases (Scopus, Medline and Pubmed) of methodological reviews published in English, period 1990- 2010. We selected those articles whose main purpose was to review and assess the applied methodology. Then we classified data according to study objectives, period of the review, number of reviewed studies, methodological items assessed and their main conclusions. Additionally, we checked how generalizability issues were considered in the reviews. RESULTS: A total of 58 methodological reviews were identified, 42 were published during the period 1990 - 2001 and 16 during 2002-10. Items most frequently assessed (by 70% of the reviews) were: perspective, uncertainty and discounting. The type of intervention and disease, funding sources, country in which the evaluation took place, type of journal and author's characteristics were also described in the literature. Generalizability issues were only checked in 14 studies, mainly by those published after 2000. CONCLUSIONS: there is an increasing activity of reviewing economic evaluation studies aiming to analyse the application of methodological principles and to offer summaries of papers classified by either diseases or health technologies. These reviews are useful to detect literature trends, targets of the studies and possible deficiencies in the implementation of the methods to specific health interventions.

### PRM59

## ESTIMATING THE CONFIDENCE INTERVAL FOR THE COST-EFFECTIVENESS RATIO FROM A FAMILY OF REGRESSIONS ON NET MONETARY BENEFIT

Gagnon DD

Thomson Reuters, Santa Barbara, CA, USA

OBJECTIVES: To demonstrate a novel way of deriving the incremental cost-effectiveness ratio (ICER) and associated 95% confidence interval (CI) from the costeffectiveness acceptability curve (CEAC) generated from a family of regressions on net monetary benefit (NMB). METHODS: Definitions and mathematical properties of the ICER, NMB, and CEAC are explored to construct a technique for deriving 95% CIs around the ICER estimated from the CEAC. RESULTS: CEA uses the ICER, a measure with statistical issues that preclude easy derivation of confidence intervals. NMB is defined for any willingness-to-pay (WTP) value as: NMB = (effectiveness X WTP) - cost. Because NMB is statistically well-behaved, regression analysis can estimate incremental net monetary benefit (INMB) as the parameter estimate associated with treatment. INMB = (delta effectiveness X WTP) - delta cost. The CEAC is generated from a family of these regressions where the unique members of the family are identified by unique levels of WTP used to calculate NMB. The ICER is the point on the CEAC where the probability of being cost-effective is 50%, because at that point INMB is zero and WTP equals delta cost/delta effectiveness; i.e., the ICER. That point on the CEAC can be identified numerically by simultaneously solving the two equations for INMB from the two regressions that flank estimated INMB of zero. Knowing estimated INMB and the WTP we have two equations and two unknowns, and we solve for delta effectiveness and delta cost. We use a similar procedure on the 95% confidence intervals for two estimated INMBs to find the 95% CI for the ICER. CONCLUSIONS: In the case where we estimate the ICER from a family of regressions on NMB to construct the CEAC we can also find the 95% CI of the ICER.

## PRM60

## ASSESSING RELATIVE CLINICAL VALUE ACROSS TUMOR TYPES IN METASTATIC DISEASE

Karweit J<sup>1</sup>, Nanavati S<sup>1</sup>, Van <u>Baardewijk</u> M<sup>2</sup>, Wagner S<sup>3</sup>, Kotapati S<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>IMS Consulting Group, New York, NY, USA, <sup>2</sup>Bristol-Myers Squibb, Braine L'Alleud, Belgium,

<sup>3</sup>Bristol-Myers Squibb, Princeton, NJ, USA, <sup>4</sup>Bristol-Myers Squibb, Wallingford, CT, USA

OBJECTIVES: In the absence of increasing budgets, new therapies and resource constraints have necessitated value trade-offs across tumor types and products. Traditional metrics such as median overall survival (OS) may not fully demonstrate the value of individual products in these comparisons. To highlight this, we assessed the value of different innovative cancer drugs relative to their clinical trial comparator using a variety of OS metrics. METHODS: We selected novel oncology products used in the treatment of metastatic disease with documented overall survival benefit over comparator at the time of launch. The selected products were: bevacizumab (colorectal cancer, non squamous non-small cell lung cancer), sunitinib (renal cell carcinoma), sorafenib (hepatocellular carcinoma), lenalidomide (multiple myeloma), ipilimumab (melanoma), trastuzumab (breast cancer). Key survival metrics including median OS, mean OS, and landmark survival rates from each analogue's pivotal trials were used to assess the relative value of each analogue. RESULTS: The relative value for each analogue differs depending on the survival metric used, suggesting that median OS does not fully capture the value of oncology agents. For example, lenalidomide's relative value is the highest in terms of median OS improvement; however its relative value is diminished when looking at mean OS. Ipilimumab, conversely, shows the highest value in terms of mean OS (attributing benefit to a proportion of patients achieving prolonged survival benefit). Furthermore, sorafenib (HCC) and ipilimumab (melanoma) demonstrate the highest relative value when evaluating 1 year survival improvement.