Edgeworth expansion of Wilks’ lambda statistic
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Abstract

An asymptotic expansion of the null distribution of the Wilks’ lambda statistic is derived when some of the parameters are large. Cornish–Fisher expansions of the upper percent points are also obtained. A monotone transformation which reduces the third and the fourth order cumulants is also derived. In order to study the accuracy of the approximation formulas, some numerical experiments are done, with comparing to the classical expansions when only the sample size tends to infinity.
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1. Introduction

Consider one-way MANOVA in which the equality of \(q+1\) mean vectors is tested. Let \(V_b\) and \(V_w\) be the between and the within matrices of sum of squares and products, respectively. Assume normal populations with common covariance matrix \(\Sigma\). Then the likelihood ratio test is based on the statistic

\[
\Lambda = \det\left(V_w(V_b + V_w)^{-1}\right),
\]

(1.1)

and

\[
V_w \sim W_p(n, \Sigma), \quad V_b \sim W_p(q, \Sigma)
\]

under the null hypothesis, where \(n\) depends on the sample sizes and is assumed to be larger than \(p\).
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The criterion (1.1) was introduced by Wilks [9] and is called Wilks’ lambda-criterion. We denote the null distribution of \( \Lambda_p(q, n) \) as \( p(q, n) \). It is known that \( p(q, n) \) is distributed as the product of some independent beta random variables under the null hypothesis. So the exact null distribution function is complicated and hard to use. When \( n \) is large relative to \( p \) and \( q \), an asymptotic expansion based on the formula of Box [2] can be used. Let

\[
M = n - \frac{1}{2}(p + q + 1), \quad \gamma = \frac{1}{48}pq(p^2 + q^2 - 5)
\]

and \( f = pq \). Then

\[
\Pr\{-M \log \Lambda \leq x\} = G_f(x) + \frac{\gamma}{M^2} \{G_{f+4}(x) - G_f(x)\} + O(M^{-4}). \tag{1.3}
\]

Distributional results for \( p(q, n) \) and other test statistics can be found in Muirhead [5, Chapter 10], Anderson [1, Chapter 8] and Siotani et al. [6, Chapter 6]. Numerical experiments show that the approximation based on (1.3) is poor when \( p \) is large relative to \( n \).

Tonda and Fujikoshi [7] derived an asymptotic expansion formula when \( q \) is fixed, \( n \to \infty \), \( p \to \infty \) with \( p/n \to c \in (0, 1) \), using the fact that \( p(q, n) = q(p, n - p + q) \). Wakaki et al. [8] derived asymptotic expansion formulas for three test statistics, \( \Lambda \), Lawley–Hotelling’s trace and Bartlett–Nanda–Pillai trace in the same setup with Tonda and Fujikoshi [7]. Since the leading term of the expansion is normal distribution we refer there formula as “normal type”, while the formulas like (1.3) are referred as “chi-square type”. Their numerical experiments show that when \( p \) is large the normal type approximations perform better than the chi-square type. However, when \( q \) is large both normal type and chi-square approximations has poor performance. In this paper we derive an asymptotic expansion of the null distribution of \( p(q, n) \) when \( q \) is also large.

2. Edgeworth expansion for \( \log \Lambda \)

From

\[
\Lambda_q(p, n - p + q) = \prod_{j=1}^{q} \text{beta} \left( \frac{n - p + j}{2}, \frac{p}{2} \right),
\]

the \( h \)th order moment of \( \Lambda \) is given by

\[
E(\Lambda^h) = \frac{\Gamma_q \left[ \frac{n-p+q}{2} + h \right]}{\Gamma_q \left[ \frac{n+q}{2} + h \right]} \frac{\Gamma_q \left[ \frac{n+q}{2} \right]}{\Gamma_q \left[ \frac{n-p+q}{2} \right]}, \tag{2.1}
\]

where

\[
\Gamma_q(a) = \pi^{q(q-1)/4} \prod_{j=1}^{q} \Gamma \left[ \frac{a - j - 1}{2} \right], \quad \mathcal{R}(a) > \frac{q - 1}{2}.
\]

Hence the cumulants generating function of \( -\log \Lambda \) can be expanded as

\[
\log E[\exp(-it \log \Lambda)] = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \log \left\{ \frac{\Gamma \left[ \frac{n-p+j}{2} - it \right]}{\Gamma \left[ \frac{n+j}{2} - it \right]} \frac{\Gamma \left[ \frac{n+j}{2} \right]}{\Gamma \left[ \frac{n-p+j}{2} \right]} \right\}
\]

\[
= \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} (-1)^s \frac{1}{s!} \left\{ \psi_q(s-1) \left( \frac{n - p + q}{2} \right) - \psi_q(s-1) \left( \frac{n + q}{2} \right) \right\}. \tag{2.2}
\]
where
\[ \psi_q^{(s)}(a) = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \psi^{(s)} \left( a - \frac{j - 1}{2} \right) \quad (s = 0, 1, \ldots; a > 0), \]
and \( \psi^{(s)}(a) \) is the polygamma function defined as
\[ \psi^{(s)}(a) = \left( \frac{d}{da} \right)^s \log \Gamma(a) = \begin{cases} -C + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{1}{1+k} - \frac{1}{k+a} \right) & (s = 0) \\ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{s+1}s!}{(k+a)^{s+1}} & (s = 1, 2, \ldots) \end{cases}. \] (2.3)

Here \( C \) is the Euler’s constant.

The expansion (2.2) and the series representation in (2.3) give the \( s \)th order cumulant \( \kappa^{(s)} \) of \( -\log \Lambda \) as
\[ \kappa^{(s)} = (-1)^s \left\{ \psi_q^{(s-1)} \left( \frac{n-p+q}{2} \right) - \psi_q^{(s-1)} \left( \frac{n+q}{2} \right) \right\} \]
\[ = \sum_{j=1}^{q} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f_s(j + 2k), \] (2.4)
where
\[ f_s(x) = (s - 1)! \left\{ \left( \frac{2}{n-p+x} \right)^s - \left( \frac{2}{n+x} \right)^s \right\}. \]

Since \( f_s \) is a decreasing and convex function,
\[
\int_{j}^{j+1} \left\{ \int_{k}^{k+1} f_s(x + 2y) \, dy \right\} \, dx \\
< f_s(j + 2k) < \int_{j-1/2}^{j+1/2} \left\{ \int_{k-1/2}^{k+1/2} f_s(x + 2y) \, dy \right\} \, dx.
\]
Therefore, we obtain upper and lower bounds for \( \kappa^{(s)} \) as
\[ b^{(s)}(n - p + 1, p, q) < \kappa^{(s)} < b^{(s)} \left( n - p - \frac{1}{2}, p, q \right), \]
\[ b^{(2)}(l, p, q) = 2 \log \left\{ 1 + \frac{pq}{(l + p + q)l} \right\}, \]
\[ b^{(s)}(l, p, q) = \frac{2^{s-1}(s-3)!}{l^{s-2}} \]
\[ \times \left\{ 1 - \left( \frac{l}{l + q} \right)^{s-2} - \left( \frac{l}{l + p} \right)^{s-2} + \left( \frac{l}{l + p + q} \right)^{s-2} \right\} \] (2.5)
for \( s \geq 3 \). We note that \( b^{(s)}(l, p, q) > 0 \) if \( l, p \) and \( q \) are positive.
Theorem 1. In each case of (i)–(vi) in Table 1, the null distribution of $T = \frac{-\log \Lambda - \kappa^{(1)}}{\kappa^{(2)}}$ can be expanded as

$$
\Pr \{ T \leq z \} = \Phi(z) - \phi(z) \left\{ \frac{1}{6} \tilde{\kappa}^{(3)} h_2(z) + \frac{1}{24} \tilde{\kappa}^{(4)} h_3(z) + \frac{1}{72} (\tilde{\kappa}^{(3)})^2 h_5(z) \right\} + O(\tilde{\kappa}^{(5)}),
$$

where $\kappa^{(1)}, \kappa^{(2)}$ and $\tilde{\kappa}^{(s)}$ ($s \geq 3$) are given by (2.4) and (2.6), and $h_s(z)$’s are the Hermite polynomials given by

$$
h_1(z) = z, \quad h_2(z) = z^2 - 1, \quad h_3(z) = z^3 - 3z,
$$

$$
h_4(z) = z^4 - 6z + 3, \quad h_5(z) = z^5 - 10z^3 + 15z.
$$

Assume at least one of $l = n - p$, $p$, $q$ tends to infinity. We use the following notations:

$$
a \sim b \iff \frac{a}{b} \text{ and } \frac{b}{a} \text{ are bounded},
$$

$$
a \asymp b \iff \frac{b}{a} \to 0.
$$

Let the standardized cumulant $\tilde{\kappa}^{(s)}$ be defined as

$$
\tilde{\kappa}^{(s)} = \kappa^{(s)}(\kappa^{(2)})^{-s/2} \quad (s \geq 3).
$$

Then using (2.5) the order of the standardized cumulants can be calculated in several cases. The results are summarized in Table 1.

Note that the orders in the cases that $q \gg p$ are obtained by using the fact that $\Lambda_p(q, n) = \Lambda_q(p, n - p + q)$. Table 1 shows that the standardized cumulants can be expressed as $\tilde{\kappa}^{(s)} = \varepsilon^{s-2} \kappa^{(s)}$ with $\varepsilon \to 0$ and $\kappa^{(s)} = O(1)$ in all cases except (vii). Only chi-square type approximation can be used in the second case of (vii). Neglecting the cumulants $\tilde{\kappa}^{(s)}$ ($s \geq 5$), we obtain the Edgeworth expansion of the Wilks’ distribution as in the following theorem.

### Table 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Expression</th>
<th>Order</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(i)</td>
<td>$l \sim p \sim q \gg 1, p \sim l \gg q \sim 1, p \gg l \sim q \gg 1$</td>
<td>$\sim \varepsilon^{-(s-2)}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(ii)</td>
<td>$l \gg p \gg q \gg 1, l \gg p \sim q \gg 1$</td>
<td>$\sim p^{-1/2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iii)</td>
<td>$l \gg p \gg q \sim 1$</td>
<td>$\sim (pq)^{-1/2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(iv)</td>
<td>$p \sim l \gg q \sim 1, p \gg l \gg q \gg 1$</td>
<td>$\sim (pq)^{-1/2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(v)</td>
<td>$p \gg q \gg l \sim 1, p \sim q \gg l \gg 1$</td>
<td>$\sim (pq)^{-1/2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(vi)</td>
<td>$p \gg q \gg l \sim 1, l \gg p \sim q \gg l \sim 1$</td>
<td>$\sim (l^2 \log q)^{-1/2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(vii)</td>
<td>$p \gg q \sim l \sim 1, l \gg p \sim q \gg l \sim 1$</td>
<td>$\sim 1$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
We note that if \( p \) or \( q \) are even number, \( \kappa^{(s)} \)'s are simplified as
\[
\kappa^{(s)} = \begin{cases} 
(s - 1)! \sum_{j=0}^{q/2-1} \sum_{k=1}^{p} \left( \frac{2}{n - p + 2j + k} \right)^s & (q \text{ : even}), \\
(s - 1)! \sum_{j=1}^{p/2-1} \sum_{k=0}^{q} \left( \frac{2}{n - p + j + 2k} \right)^s & (p \text{ : even}).
\end{cases}
\]

By using the above theorem, we obtain the Cornish–Fisher expansion of the upper 100\( \alpha \)% point of the distribution as in the following theory.

**Corollary 2.** Let \( z_\alpha \) be the upper 100\( (1 - \alpha) \)% point of the standard normal distribution, and let
\[
z_{CF}(z) = z + \left( z^2 - 1 \right) \frac{\tilde{K}^{(3)}}{6} - z(2z^2 - 5) \left( \frac{\tilde{K}^{(3)}}{6} \right)^2 + z(z^2 - 3) \frac{\tilde{K}^{(4)}}{24} \right) .
\]

Then
\[
\Pr \{ T \leq z_{CF}(z_\alpha) \} = 1 - \alpha + O(\tilde{\kappa}^{(5)}).
\]

### 3. Monotone transformation

In this section we focus to the case that the order of the remainder term in (2.7) is \( O(\varepsilon^3) \) for simplicity, although the derived transformation can be also applied to the cases (v) and (vi) in Table 1.

Expanding the inverse transformation of \( z_{CF}(z) \), we obtain a cubic transformation which makes the statistic more close to normal distribution. Let
\[
g(t) = c\{ t + \varepsilon a(t^2 - 1) + \varepsilon^2 bt^3 \},
\]
\[
a = -\frac{1}{6} \tau^{(3)}, \quad b = \frac{1}{9} (\tau^{(3)})^2 - \frac{1}{24} \tau^{(4)}, \quad c = \frac{1 + \varepsilon^2 \frac{1}{8} \tau^{(4)}}{1 + \varepsilon^2 \frac{7}{36} (\tau^{(3)})^2}.
\]

Then
\[
\Pr \{ g(T) \leq z_\alpha \} = 1 - \alpha + O(\varepsilon^3).
\]

However, \( g \) is not monotone and \( g(T) \) leads to the different test with \( \Lambda \). Hall [3] suggested the following monotone transformation. Let \( g_u(t) \) be defined as
\[
g_u(t) = t + \varepsilon u t^2 + \frac{1}{3} \varepsilon^2 u^2 t^3.
\]

Then \( g_u \) is monotone, and since \( g_u(t) - a \varepsilon = g(t) + O(\varepsilon^2) \),
\[
\Pr \{ g_u(T) - a \varepsilon \leq z_\alpha \} = 1 - \alpha + O(\varepsilon^2).
\]

One advantage of Hall’s transformation is that the inverse transformation has the simple form:
\[
g_u^{-1}(t) = \frac{3t}{1 + (1 + 3\varepsilon ut)^{1/3} + (1 + 3\varepsilon ut)^{2/3}}.
\]
We need a monotone transformation of which the Taylor expansion agrees with \( g \) in (3.1) up to the order \( O(\varepsilon^3) \) for our purpose. The composite function of \( g_u^{-1} \) and \( g_v \) can be expanded as

\[
g_u^{-1}(g_v(t)) = t + \varepsilon(v - u)t^2 + \frac{1}{3}\varepsilon^2(u - v)(5u - v)t^3 + O(\varepsilon^3).
\]

By equating the coefficients of \( t^2 \) and \( t^3 \) with those of \( g(t)/c \), we obtain

\[
\varepsilon_u = \frac{11K^{(3)}}{24} - \frac{3K^{(4)}}{16k^{(3)}}, \quad \varepsilon_v = \frac{7K^{(3)}}{24} - \frac{3K^{(4)}}{16k^{(3)}}.
\] (3.4)

Then we obtain the following theorem.

**Theorem 3.** Let \( u, v \) be defined by (3.4), \( c \) be defined by (3.1), and \( g_u \) be defined by (3.2). Then

\[
\Pr \left\{ c g_u^{-1}(g_v(T)) - \varepsilon a \leq z_{\alpha} \right\} = 1 - \alpha + O(\varepsilon^3).
\] (3.5)

By inverting the transformation, the monotone version of the Cornish–Fisher expansion of the 100(1 - \( \alpha \))% point is given by

\[
z_{\text{mono}}(z_{\alpha}/c) = g_v^{-1} \left\{ g_u \left( \frac{z_{\alpha} + \varepsilon a}{c} \right) \right\}.
\] (3.6)

4. Numerical experiment

We study a comparison of the accuracy of the asymptotic expansion formula in Wakaki et al. [8] for the likelihood ratio test and chi-square type approximation formula (1.3) with our new formulas. The comparison was done for the approximated upper 5% points given by the chi-square type Cornish–Fisher expansion, the Cornish–Fisher expansion given in Wakaki et al. [8], \( z_{CF} \) in (2.8), and the monotone version (3.6), these formulas are referred in the following table as CHI, WFU, POLY, and MONO.

The values of \( p, n \) and \( q \) were chosen as follows:

\( q; 2, 4, 8, \) \( (p, n); (10, 40), (20, 40), (30, 40), (10, 80), (40, 80), (70, 80). \)

The number of iteration was 10,000,000. We used a pseudo-random number generator named Mersenne Twister which provides a period of \( 2^{19937} - 1 \) and 623-dimensional equidistribution, and is sufficient for our purpose (see Matsumoto and Nishimura [4]).

Table 2 shows the actual error probabilities of the first kind by using the approximated percent points.

Our new method gives considerably correct approximations while the normal type approximation based on perturbation by Wakaki et al. [8] gets worse when \( q \) becomes large. The chi-square type approximation performs good when \( p \) is smaller than or equal to the half of \( n \). But chi-square type approximations are poor when \( p \) is greater than the half. In the selected parameters we could not find any difference between the usual Cornish–Fisher expansion and the monotone version.
Table 2
The actual error probabilities of the first kind

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>n</th>
<th>p</th>
<th>q</th>
<th>POLY</th>
<th>MONO</th>
<th>WFU</th>
<th>CHI</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.992</td>
<td>4.999</td>
<td>5.104</td>
<td>5.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>5.005</td>
<td>5.186</td>
<td>5.164</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.986</td>
<td>4.995</td>
<td>5.428</td>
<td>7.303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.998</td>
<td>5.004</td>
<td>5.034</td>
<td>5.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5.001</td>
<td>5.002</td>
<td>5.070</td>
<td>5.215</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4.983</td>
<td>4.991</td>
<td>5.411</td>
<td>21.717</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.009</td>
<td>5.012</td>
<td>5.340</td>
<td>5.019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.985</td>
<td>4.986</td>
<td>5.608</td>
<td>5.187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>5.003</td>
<td>6.555</td>
<td>7.832</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.998</td>
<td>5.000</td>
<td>5.089</td>
<td>5.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5.006</td>
<td>5.007</td>
<td>5.245</td>
<td>5.278</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4.989</td>
<td>4.992</td>
<td>6.507</td>
<td>28.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.001</td>
<td>5.002</td>
<td>6.240</td>
<td>5.020</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.005</td>
<td>5.006</td>
<td>7.562</td>
<td>5.299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.995</td>
<td>4.996</td>
<td>11.578</td>
<td>8.536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.015</td>
<td>5.016</td>
<td>5.333</td>
<td>5.017</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4.998</td>
<td>4.999</td>
<td>5.996</td>
<td>5.354</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>5.002</td>
<td>5.003</td>
<td>11.464</td>
<td>35.171</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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