An upper bound for the \( k \)-barycentric Davenport constant of groups of prime order

Tran Dinh Luong

Department of Mathematics, University of Idaho, Moscow, ID 83844, USA

A R T I C L E   I N F O

Article history:
Received 27 April 2009
Received in revised form 6 June 2010
Accepted 9 June 2010
Available online 10 July 2010

Keywords:
k-barycentric sequences
k-barycentric Davenport constant

A B S T R A C T

Let \( G \) be a finite abelian group and let \( k \geq 2 \) be an integer. A sequence of \( k \) elements \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \) in \( G \) is called a \( k \)-barycentric sequence if there exists \( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\} \) such that \( \sum_{i=1}^{k} a_i = k a_j \). The \( k \)-barycentric Davenport constant \( BD(k, G) \) is defined to be the smallest number \( s \) such that every sequence in \( G \) of length \( s \) contains a \( k \)-barycentric subsequence.

The notion of a barycentric sequence was introduced by Delorme et al. in [5] and was investigated in [4,10,11]; a survey on this topic can be found in [16]. Notice that \( a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k \) is a \( k \)-barycentric sequence if and only if there exists \( j \in \{1, 2, \ldots, k\} \) such that \( a_1 + \cdots + a_{j-1} + (1-k)a_j + a_{j+1} + \cdots + a_k = 0 \). Therefore a barycentric sequence is a particular case of zero-sum weighted sequences which were investigated by Hamidoune [13,14], Gao [9], and Grynkiewicz [12]. A comprehensive list of references on zero-sum problems can be found in the surveys [1,8].

The Erdős–Ginzburg–Ziv theorem, which is a starting point of zero-sum problems, now can be restated as follows.

Theorem 1.1 ([7]). Let \( \mathbb{Z}_n \) be the additive group of residue classes modulo \( n \). Then \( BD(n, \mathbb{Z}_n) = 2n - 1 \).

We recall that the Davenport constant \( D(G) \) of a finite abelian group \( G \) is the smallest number \( s \) such that every sequence in \( G \) of length \( s \) contains a subsequence with zero-sum. The following result of Hamidoune is a generalization of Theorem 1.1.

Theorem 1.2 ([14]). If \( G \) is a finite abelian group, then \( BD(k, G) \leq |G| + k - 1 \) for every \( k \geq 2 \). Moreover, if \( k \geq |G| \), then \( BD(k, G) \leq D(G) + k - 1 \).

It is trivial to see that \( BD(2, G) = |G| + 1 \) for every finite abelian group \( G \). In the case of the cyclic group \( G = \mathbb{Z}_p \) of prime order \( p \), the following result of Delorme et al. is an improvement of Theorem 1.2 for \( 3 \leq k \leq p - 1 \).

Theorem 1.3 ([4]). If \( p \geq 5 \) is a prime, then

(i) \( BD(3, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq 2 \left\lceil \frac{p}{3} \right\rceil + 1 \),
(ii) \( BD(k, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq p + k - 2 \) for \( 4 \leq k \leq p - 1 \),
(iii) \( BD(p - 1, \mathbb{Z}_p) = 2p - 3 \).
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The main result of this paper is to prove that if \( p \geq 5 \) is a prime, then

\[
\text{BD}(k, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq p + k - \left\lfloor \frac{p - 2}{k} \right\rfloor - 2
\]

for \( 3 \leq k \leq p - 1 \), which gives an improvement of Theorem 1.3. The paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents some preliminaries. Section 3 contains our main result and some remarks.

From now on, let \( p \) denote a prime. We consider two sequences in \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) to be identical if they only differ by the order of their elements and, for convenience, we will use the notation \([a_1]^{p-1}[a_2]^2 \cdots [a_t]^n\) to denote a sequence in \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) where each element \( a_i \) appears \( a_i \) times.

Throughout this paper, we will denote by \( |S| \) the length, by \( d(S) \) the number of distinct elements, and by \( h(S) \) the maximum multiplicity of an element from a sequence \( S \).

2. Preliminaries

In this section we introduce the tools used to prove the main result of the paper. We first recall the Cauchy–Davenport Theorem and Vosper’s Theorem on sumsets.

**Theorem 2.1** ([2,3]). Let \( A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k \), where \( k \geq 1 \), be non-empty subsets of \( \mathbb{Z}_p \). Set

\[
A_1 + A_2 + \cdots + A_k = \{a_1 + a_2 + \cdots + a_k \mid a_i \in A_i \text{ for } i = 1, 2, \ldots, k\}.
\]

Then \(|A_1 + A_2 + \cdots + A_k| \geq \min(p, |A_1| + |A_2| + \cdots + |A_k| - (k - 1))\).

**Theorem 2.2** ([17]). Let \( A, B \) be two subsets of \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) with \( \min(|A|, |B|) \geq 2 \). If \( A \) and \( B \) are not arithmetic progressions with the same common difference, then

\[
|A + B| \geq \min(p - 1, |A| + |B|).
\]

Next we recall the Dias da Silva–Hamidoune Theorem on restricted sumsets, conjectured by Erdős–Heilbronn.

**Theorem 2.3** ([6]). Let \( A \) be a subset of \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) with \(|A| \geq 2\). Set

\[
2^\alpha A = \{a + b \mid a \in A, b \in A, a \neq b\}.
\]

Then \(|2^\alpha A| \geq \min(p, 2|A| - 3)\).

Let \( S \) be a sequence of elements from a set \( X \). A \( k \)-setpartition of \( S \) is a factorization \( S = A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k \) with \( h(A_i) = 1 \) for all \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, k \). We consider each subsequence \( A_i \) to be a non-empty subset, and denote by \( A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k \) the \( k \)-setpartition of \( S \). The following simple fact will be frequently used; see for instance [4].

**Lemma 2.4.** Let \( S \) be a sequence of elements from a set \( X \). If \( k \) is an integer with \( h(S) \leq k \leq |S| \), then there exists a \( k \)-setpartition \( A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_k \) of \( S \) such that \(|A_i| = |S|/k| \) or \(|A_i| = |S|/k| \) for \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, k \).

We also need the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let \( B \) be a subset of \( \mathbb{Z}_p \), where \( p \geq 5 \), with \( 2 \leq |B| \leq p - 2 \). If there are two ways to arrange the elements of \( B \) into arithmetic progressions with common differences \( d_1 \) and \( d_2 \), where \( 1 \leq d_1 \leq p - 1 \) and \( 1 \leq d_2 \leq p - 1 \), then either \( d_1 = d_2 \) or \( d_1 + d_2 = p \).

**Proof.** Without loss of generality, we may assume \( B = \{0, 1, \ldots, t - 1\} \), where \( t = |B| \). Suppose, to the contrary, that there is an arrangement of the elements of \( B \) into an arithmetic progression with common difference \( d \), where \( 2 \leq d \leq p - 2 \). Notice that \(|(B + d) \setminus B| \leq 1 \). We consider two cases for \( d \).

Case 1: \( 2 \leq d \leq t \). It is clear that \( t \notin B \) and \( t + 1 \notin B \) since \( t < t + 1 \leq p - 1 \), and that \( t \in B + d \) and \( t + 1 \in B + d \) since \( 0 \leq t - d < t - d + 1 \leq t - 1 \). It follows that \(|(B + d) \setminus B| \geq 2 \), a contradiction.

Case 2: \( t \leq d \leq p - 2 \). It is clear that \( d \notin B \) and \( d + 1 \notin B \) since \( t \leq d + 1 \leq d + 1 \leq p - 1 \), and that \( d \in B + d \) and \( d + 1 \in B + d \) since \( 0 \leq 1 \leq t - 1 \). It follows that \(|(B + d) \setminus B| \geq 2 \), a contradiction.

Thus either \( d = 1 \) or \( d = p - 1 \), and the lemma follows. \( \Box \)

3. An upper bound for \( \text{BD}(k, \mathbb{Z}_p) \)

The main result of the paper is the following theorem whose proof will be given at the end of this section.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let \( p \geq 5 \) be a prime and let \( 3 \leq k \leq p - 1 \) be an integer. Then

\[
\text{BD}(k, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq p + k - \left\lfloor \frac{p - 2}{k} \right\rfloor - 2.
\]
As an easy consequence of Theorem 3.1, we have the following result, where (ii) holds since the sequence $[0]^{p-3}[1]^{p-3}$ does not contain any $(p - 2)$-barycentric subsequence.

**Corollary 3.2.** If $p \geq 5$ is a prime, then

(i) $\text{BD}(k, \mathbb{Z}_p) \leq p + k - 3$ for $3 \leq k \leq p - 2$,

(ii) $\text{BD}(p - 2, \mathbb{Z}_p) = 2p - 5$.

**Remark 3.3.** (i) Theorems 1.1 and 1.3(i)(ii) show that $k = p$ is the unique value of $k$, where $3 \leq k \leq p$, for which the equality $\text{BD}(k, \mathbb{Z}_p) = p - 1$ holds.

(ii) Theorem 1.3(iii) and Corollary 3.2(i) show that $k = p - 1$ is the unique value of $k$, where $3 \leq k \leq p - 1$, for which the equality $\text{BD}(k, \mathbb{Z}_p) = p + k - 2$ holds, answering a question raised by Delorme et al. in [4].

(iii) Suggested from Corollary 3.2, we may ask if $k = p - 2$ is the unique value of $k$, where $3 \leq k \leq p - 2$, for which the equality $\text{BD}(k, \mathbb{Z}_p) = p + k - 3$ holds. It can be seen that the answer is affirmative for $p = 5$ since $\text{BD}(3, \mathbb{Z}_5) = 5$ as shown in [4]; the answer is negative for $p = 7$ since $\text{BD}(3, \mathbb{Z}_7) = 7$ and $\text{BD}(4, \mathbb{Z}_7) = 8$ as shown in [4], and $\text{BD}(5, \mathbb{Z}_7) = 9$ by Corollary 3.2(ii). We believe that the answer is affirmative for sufficiently large $p$.

**Remark 3.4.** It is easy to check that the upper bounds for $\text{BD}(3, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ in Theorems 1.3(i) and 3.1 are the same. As shown in [4], equality occurs in Theorem 1.3(i) for $p \in \{5, 7, 11\}$; however, $\text{BD}(3, \mathbb{Z}_{13}) = 9 < 2\lfloor 13/3 \rfloor + 1 = 11$ (see also [4]).

We will show that, for sufficiently large $p$, the upper bound for $\text{BD}(3, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ can be considerably improved. Let $\beta(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ denote the maximal cardinality of a subset $A \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p$ which does not contain a 3-term arithmetic progression. Then by the pigeon hole principle, $\text{BD}(3, \mathbb{Z}_p) = 2\beta(\mathbb{Z}_p) + 1$. Using a result of Heath-Brown [15], we obtain

$$\text{BD}(3, \mathbb{Z}_p) = O(p/(\log p)^\alpha)$$

for some fixed $\alpha > 0$.

**Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Set $t = \lfloor (p - 2)/k \rfloor + 2$. Let $S$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ with $|S| = p + k - t$. We will prove that $S$ contains a $k$-barycentric subsequence. If $h(S) \geq k$, then it is clear that $S$ contains a $k$-barycentric subsequence. So we may assume $h(S) < k - 1$. A simple computation shows that

$$|S| - (k - 1)(t - 1) = (p + k - t) - (k - 1)(t - 1) = (p - 1)(t - 1) - k(t - 2) = (p - 1) - k \left\lfloor \frac{p - 2}{k} \right\rfloor \geq (p - 1) - (p - 2) > 0,$$

which implies $|S| > (k - 1)(t - 1)$. Since $h(S) \leq k - 1$, it follows that $d(S) \geq t$. Suppose that

$$S = [u_1]^{p_1}[u_2]^{p_2} \cdots [u_r]^{p_r},$$

where $r = d(S)$, the elements $u_i$, for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$, are pairwise distinct, and $k - 1 \geq n_1 \geq n_2 \geq \cdots \geq n_t \geq \cdots \geq n_r \geq 1$.

We first consider the case $k = 3$. Since $r = d(S) \geq t$ and $h(S) \leq k - 1 = 2$, it follows that

$$0 \leq 2r - |S| = 2r - (p + 3 - t) \leq 3r - (p + 3),$$

which implies $r \geq \lfloor p/3 \rfloor + 1$. Let $A = \{2u_1, 2u_2, \ldots, 2u_r\}$, and let $B = \{u_i + u_j \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq r\}$. By the Dias da Silva–Hamidoune Theorem, $|B| \geq \min(p, 2r - 3)$. Hence

$$|A| + |B| \geq r + \min(p, 2r - 3) > p,$$

where the last inequality holds since $3r - 3 \geq 2\lfloor p/3 \rfloor > p$ by the assumption that $p$ is a prime and $p \geq 5$. It follows that there exist $i, j, l \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$ with $i \neq j$ such that $u_i + u_j = 2u_l$. Since $u_i \neq u_j$, the three elements $u_i, u_j, u_l$ are pairwise distinct. This shows that $S$ contains a 3-barycentric subsequence.

We now suppose $k \geq 4$. Then it can be easily seen that

$$t \leq \frac{p - 2}{k} + 2 \leq \frac{p - 2}{4} + 2 < \frac{p + 1}{2} \quad (1)$$

since $p \geq 5$. We consider two cases for $d(S)$.

Case 1: $d(S) \geq t + 1$. We first claim that $n_{t+2} \leq k - 2$ (if $d(S) = t + 1$, then we mean $n_{t+2} = 0$). Suppose, to the contrary, that $n_1 = n_2 = \cdots = n_{t+2} = k - 1$. Then

$$|S| - (n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_{t+2}) = (p + k - t) - (t + 2)(k - 1) = p + 2 - k(t + 1) \leq p + 2 - k\left(\frac{p - 2}{k} + 2\right) = 4 - 2k < 0,$$

a contradiction, and our claim follows.
For each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t + 1$, take out one element $u_i$ from $S$. Denote the remaining sequence by $S'$. Then $|S'| = |S| - (t + 1) = p + k - 2t - 1$, and $h(S') \leq k - 2$ since $h(S) \leq k - 1$ and $n_{i+2} \leq k - 2$. It is clear that $|S'| \geq k - 2$ since

$$|S'| - (k - 2) = (p + k - 2t - 1) - (k - 2) = p + 1 - 2t \geq 0,$$

where the last inequality holds by (1). Hence, by Lemma 2.4, there exists a $(k - 2)$-setpartition of $S'$, say $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_{k-2}$.

Let $B = \{u_i + u_j \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq t + 1\}$. By the Dias da Silva–Hamidoune Theorem,

$$|B| \geq \min(p, 2(t + 1) - 3) = \min(p, 2t - 1) = 2t - 1,$$

where the last equality holds by (1). Let $B'_1 = \{(1 - k)x \mid x \in B_1\}$. Then $|B'_1| = |B_1|$, and by the Cauchy–Davenport Theorem,

$$|B'_1 + B_2 + \cdots + B_{k-2} + B| \geq \min(p, |S'| + |B| - (k - 2))$$

$$\geq \min(p, (p + k - 2t - 1) + (2t - 1) - (k - 2)) = p.$$

It follows that $B'_1 + B_2 + \cdots + B_{k-2} + B = \mathbb{Z}_p$, which implies that $S$ contains a $k$-barycentric subsequence.

Case 2: $d(S) = t$. Then $S = \{u_1^n [u_2]^{n_2} \cdots [u_t]^{n_t}\}$. We claim that $t \geq 3$. Indeed, since $h(S) \leq k - 1$, it follows that $t(k - 1) \geq |S| = p + k - t$, which implies $t \geq (p + k)/k > 2$ since $k \leq p - 1$. Hence $t \geq 3$, and our claim follows.

For each $i = 1, 2, \ldots, t$, take out one element $u_i$ from $S$. Denote the remaining sequence by $S'$. Then $|S'| = |S| - t = p + k - 2t$, and $h(S') \leq k - 2$ since $h(S) \leq k - 1$. It is clear that $|S'| \geq k - 2$ since

$$|S'| - (k - 2) = (p + k - 2t) - (k - 2) = p + 2 - 2t \geq 0,$$

where the last inequality holds by (1). Hence, by Lemma 2.4, there exists a $(k - 2)$-setpartition of $S'$, say $B_1, B_2, \ldots, B_{k-2}$, such that $|B_i| - |B_j| \leq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq k - 2$ and $1 \leq j \leq k - 2$. Without loss of generality, we may assume $|B_1| \geq |B_2| \geq \cdots \geq |B_{k-2}| \geq 1$.

We claim that $|B_2| \geq 2$. Indeed, if $|B_2| = 1$, then we must have $|B_1| \leq 2$. Hence $p + k - 2t = |S'| \leq 2 + (k - 3) = k - 1$, which implies $t \geq (p + 1)/2$, a contradiction to (1). Thus $|B_2| \geq 2$, and our claim follows.

Let $B = \{u_i + u_j \mid 1 \leq i \neq j \leq t\}$. By the Dias da Silva–Hamidoune Theorem,

$$|B| \geq \min(p, 2t - 3) = 2t - 3,$$

where the last equality holds by (1). Notice that $|B| \geq 3$ since $t \geq 3$. We consider two cases for $B_1$.

Case 2a: $B_1$ and $B$ are arithmetic progressions with the same common difference. Let $B'_1 = \{(1 - k)x \mid x \in B_1\}$. Since $1 - k \not\equiv \pm 1 (\text{mod } p)$, it follows by Lemma 2.5 that either $B'_1$ and $B$ are not arithmetic progressions with the same common difference, or that $\max|\{B'_1, |B|\} \geq p - 1$. If $|B'_1 + B| \geq p - 1$, then by the Cauchy–Davenport Theorem,

$$|B'_1 + B_2 + \cdots + B_{k-2} + B| \geq |B'_1 + B_2 + B| \geq \min(p, |B'_1 + B| + |B_2| - 1) = p$$

since $|B_2| \geq 2$. If $|B'_1 + B| < p - 1$, then, by Vosper’s Theorem, we have

$$|B'_1 + B| \geq |B_1| + |B| = |B_1| + |B|.$$

Hence by the Cauchy–Davenport Theorem,

$$|B'_1 + B_2 + \cdots + B_{k-2} + B| \geq \min(p, |B'_1 + B| + |B_2| + \cdots + |B_{k-2}| - (k - 3))$$

$$\geq \min(p, |S'| + |B| - (k - 3)) \geq \min(p, (p + k - 2t) + (2t - 3) - (k - 3)) = p.$$

It follows that $B'_1 + B_2 + \cdots + B_{k-2} + B = \mathbb{Z}_p$, which implies that $S$ contains a $k$-barycentric subsequence.

Case 2b: $B_1$ and $B$ are not arithmetic progressions with the same common difference. Let $B'_2 = \{(1 - k)x \mid x \in B_2\}$. If $|B_1 + B| \geq p - 1$, then by the Cauchy–Davenport Theorem,

$$|B_1 + B'_2 + \cdots + B_{k-2} + B| \geq |B_1 + B'_2 + B| \geq \min(p, |B_1 + B| + |B'_2| - 1) = p$$

since $|B'_2| = |B_2| \geq 2$. If $|B_1 + B| < p - 1$, then, by Vosper’s Theorem, we have

$$|B_1 + B| \geq |B_1| + |B|.$$

Hence by the Cauchy–Davenport Theorem,

$$|B_1 + B'_2 + \cdots + B_{k-2} + B| \geq \min(p, |B_1 + B| + |B'_2| + \cdots + |B_{k-2}| - (k - 3))$$

$$\geq \min(p, |S'| + |B| - (k - 3)) \geq \min(p, (p + k - 2t) + (2t - 3) - (k - 3)) = p.$$

It follows that $B_1 + B'_2 + \cdots + B_{k-2} + B = \mathbb{Z}_p$, which implies that $S$ contains a $k$-barycentric subsequence.

The proof of the theorem is complete. □
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