BRIEF REPORT

Meta-lodobenzylguanidine lodine-123 and Cardiac Adrenergic Activity in Familial Dilated Cardiomyopathy

Elisabete Martins, MD,* Ana Oliveira, MD,† José Silva-Cardoso, MD, PHD,*§ Teresa Faria, MD,† Teresa Pinho, MD,* Manuel Campelo, MD,* António Madureira, MD,‡ Orquídea Ribeiro, BSC,|| Isabel Ramos, MD, PHD,‡ Jorge G. Pereira, MD,† Francisco Rocha-Gonçalves, MD, PHD§

Porto, Portugal

amilial dilated cardiomyopathy (FDCM) is characterized by genetic heterogeneity, incomplete age-dependent penetrance, and a multifactorial pathogenesis (1). Diagnosis is still dependent on clinical criteria and familial investigation (2). On the other hand, several abnormalities have been described among asymptomatic relatives, such as isolated left ventricle (LV) enlargement (3). These abnormalities could assist in identifying affected relatives, who are potential candidates for early therapeutic interventions. However, currently not even the presence of such abnormalities allows inferring carrier state or future progression of the disease. We hypothesized that

See page 964

meta-iodobenzylguanidine iodine-123 (¹²³I-*m*IBG) imaging, a tool for monitoring sympathetic activity, could be useful in the evaluation of family members, as it reflects pathophysiologic mechanisms involved in disease progression (4). This evaluation could provide prognostic data useful in this clinical setting.

We evaluated 45 FDCM members from 23 families defined by the European Society of Cardiology criteria (2); 25 patients (56%) were male, with a median age of 43 years (interquartile range, 36 to

Manuscript received April 30, 2010; revised manuscript received June 29, 2010, accepted July 7, 2010.

51 years). One of the families has the disease locus located in chromosome 7q22.3-31.1 (5). All participants underwent 12-lead electrocardiogram and 2-dimensional echocardiogram. Measurements were done according to the American Society of Echocardiography. Forty members also underwent cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) on a 3-Tesla scanner (Magneton trio, Siemens AG, Erlangen, Germany). The protocol included contrastenhanced images, acquired 10 to 15 min after intravenous administration of gadobutrol (Gadovist [Schering AG, Berlin, Germany]; 0.2 mmol/kg). The ¹²³I-mIBG imaging was performed after intravenous administration of 370 MBq (10 mCi) of ¹²³I-*m*IBG (4). We used a dual-headed gamma camera (GE Millennium MG, Haifa, Israel), equipped with low-energy, high-resolution, parallel-hole collimators, using a 20% window centered at the 159-keV photo peak. Images were processed with dedicated software (QGS/QPS [Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Los Angeles, California] on a Xeleris [Paris, France] workstation). No attenuation correction was performed. Anterior projection planar thoracic images were obtained 20 min and 4 h after tracer injection, and heart/ mediastinal (H/M) ratio and myocardial washout rate (WR) calculated twice by 2 independent blinded observers. The final results were obtained by the mean of the average of each operator. A blood sample was obtained for B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP) quantification (Architect system, Abbott, Abbott Park, Illinois) just before ¹²³ImIBG administration. Heart rate variability parameters were calculated, using a DigiTrack-Plus Recorder (Philips Medical Systems, Andover,

From the *Cardiology Department, São João Hospital, Porto, Portugal; †Nuclear Medicine Department, São João Hospital, Porto, Portugal; ‡Radiology Department, São João Hospital, Porto, Portugal; \$Porto University Medical School, Porto, Portugal; and the ||Department of Biostatistics and Medical Informatics, CINTESIS, Porto University Medical School, Porto, Portugal. This study was supported by a grant from Comissão de Fomento da Investigação em Cuidados de Saúde, Ministério da Saúde P.I. n° 64/2007. The authors have reported that they have no relationships to disclose.

ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

BNP = B-type natriuretic peptide

CMR = cardiac magnetic resonance

EF = ejection fraction

FDCM = familial dilated cardiomyopathy

H/M = heart/mediastinal

LV = left ventricular

WR = washout rate

Massachusetts) and a Philips Zymed Holter, Model 1810 Plus Software (Philips Medical Systems). All statistical analyses were performed with SPSS for Windows (version 16.0; SPSS Inc, Chicago, Illinois). Categorical data were compared using Fisher exact test. Student t and Mann-Whitney U tests were used to compare continuous variables. The Spearman correlation was used to evaluate associations in continuous variables with asymmetric distribution, such as LV mass index and LV ejection fraction (EF).

Twenty-nine familial members (64%) had EF <50% (DCM patients), and 16 had EF \geq 50%, including 6 with reverse remodeling (previous LV systolic dysfunction) and 10 asymptomatic relatives. An expected difference between both groups was observed in CMR-indexed LV end-diastolic volumes (134 ml/m² [range 100 to 161] vs. 85 ml/m² [range 73 to 95]; p < 0.001), LVEF (30% [range 25% to 45%] vs. 55% [range 55% to 64%]; p < 0.001), right ventricular EF (48 ± 10% vs. 55 ± 7%; p = 0.017), late hyperenhancement (8 patients vs. none; p = 0.035), plasma BNP (104 pg/ml [range 18 to 354 pg/ml] vs. 10 pg/ml [range 10 to

16 pg/ml]; p < 0.001], myocardial WR (43 \pm 11%) vs. $34 \pm 9\%$; p = 0.011), and late H/M ratio (1.73) \pm 0.26 vs. 1.89 \pm 0.14; p = 0.027) (Fig. 1). No heart variability parameter value was distinct between both groups. We did not find significant differences in WR value and late H/M ratio between members with $(47.2 \pm 11.3\%)$ and 1.6 [range 1.4 to 1.8], respectively) and without $(40.2 \pm 11.3\%)$ and 1.7 [range 1.6 to 1.9], respectively) delayed hyperenhancement on CMR (p = 0.16 and p =0.22, respectively). The majority (55%) of DCM patients were in New York Heart Association functional class I, and 90% were treated with beta-blockers. In this group, WR was higher than 30% (\geq 2 SDs) in 24 (83%), and late H/M was inferior to 1.7 in 11 patients (38%). Myocardial WR and late H/M ratio were both correlated with BNP (r = 0.595, p = 0.01; r = -0.622, p = 0.01) (Fig. 2), LVEF (r = -0.668, p = 0.01; r = 0.685, p = 0.01), LV diastolic (r = 0.677, p = 0.01; r = -0.584, p = 0.01), and systolic (r = 0.743, p = 0.01; r = -0.660, p = 0.01) indexed volumes and LV indexed mass (r = 0.638, p = 0.01; r = -0.471, p = 0.05) on CMR imaging. In the group with normal LV systolic function, we found an abnormally high myocardial WR (>30%) in 11





(A) Cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR) left ventricular (LV) end-diastolic volume, (B) CMR right ventricular ejection fraction (RVEF), (C) delayed hyperenhancement, (D) plasma B-type natriuretic peptide (BNP), (E) myocardial *meta*-iodobenzylguanidine iodine-123 (123 I-*m*IBG) washout rate (WR), (F) 123 I-*m*IBG late heart to mediastinum activity ratio (H/M). *Chi-square test, ‡Student *t* test for independent groups; §Mann-Whitney *U* test. FDCM = familial dilated cardiomyopathy; LVEF = left ventricular ejection fraction.



Illustrative examples of (A) 2-dimensional echocardiogram, (B) cardiac magnetic resonance, and (C) ¹²³I-mIBG scan images of: 1) a patient with dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) and late hyperenhancement; 2) a patient with reverse remodeling and left bundle branch block; and 3) an identified carrier with preserved left ventricular ejection fraction. ¹²³I-mIBG parameters: 1) late H/M = 1.6, WR = 41.6%; 2) late H/M = 1.9, WR = 36%; 3) late H/M = 1.8, WR = 40.2%. Abbreviations as in Figure 1.

Figure 3. Characterization of Familial DCM Members Using Different Imaging Methods



Figure 4. Cardiac ¹²³I-*m*IBG Scintigraphy Parameters in FDCM Members

(A) Distribution of scintigraphic parameters according to left ventricular ejection fraction (EF) of family members. Dilated cardiomyopathy (DCM) patients: relation with occurrence of heart transplantation during follow-up. Relatives with EF \geq 50%: relation with carrier state of asymptomatic members and evidence of reverse remodeling among patients. (B) Distribution of WR and LVEF according to late H/M; relation with the presence of delayed hyperenhancement in cardiac magnetic resonance. Abbreviations as in Figure 1.

participants (69%), including 5 with reverse remodeling, 4 of 5 identified carriers, and 2 relatives with normal echocardiograms and unknown carrier state (Fig. 3). We did not find correlations between myocardial ¹²³I-*m*IBG WR and LVEF or indexed volumes or mass. However, ¹²³I-*m*IBG WR was correlated with normalized low-frequency power (r = 0.691, p = 0.01) and low-frequency/highfrequency ratio (r = 0.702, p = 0.01) heart rate variability parameters. During 558 days (range 432 to 863 days) of follow-up, 6 patients (21%) were submitted to heart transplantation. WR was significantly different between DCM patients who did (51 ± 10%) and did not (41 ± 11%) undergo transplantation (p = 0.05), as was the presence of late hyperenhancement on CMR (p = 0.02) (Fig. 4). None of those with EF >50% developed or had worsening of heart failure symptoms in this time interval.

Our study provides evidence of the heterogeneity of ¹²³I-mIBG findings between FDCM members (with known and unknown disease). We did find rapid WR in a high percentage of individuals with normal EF, and this may reflect the increased adrenergic drive related to the cardiac remodeling process. This hypothesis was somewhat corroborated by the presence of an elevated WR in individuals with reverse remodeling and in 4 locus disease carriers who, theoretically, have higher risk for DCM development. In relatives with EF >50%, a rapid WR could reflect specific histopathologic base or particular gene/protein involvement that causes major interference with cardiac sympathetic nerve metabolism; alternatively, it could reflect the decreased contractility of cardiac myocytes, eventually detected by other diagnostic tools. Late H/M ratio, an index of sympathetic denervation, is usually altered with more severe heart failure, so it is not expected to be significantly changed at early stages of FDCM. In the preserved systolic function group, we did not obtain correlations between WR and LV volumes. This result could be dependent on sample size or just reflecting the great heterogeneity of sympathetic drive between individuals, even with identical LV dimensions and systolic function. We found a positive correlation between WR and 2 heart variability indexes related to sympathetic activity only in the group of patients with EF > 50%; this could reflect changes of the sympathovagal balance throughout different stages of disease, as already pointed out by other investigators.

Because of the small number of patients in this study and the short-term follow-up, we cannot evaluate the prognostic value of the 123 I-*m*IBG scintigraphy parameters. These parameters could add complementary information to other morpho-functional imaging studies, guiding the start of early medical treatment or the clinical follow-up schedule of asymptomatic relatives.

Reprint requests and correspondence: Dr. Elisabete Martins, Serviço de Cardiologia, Hospital de São João, Avenida Prof. Hernani Monteiro, 4200-319 Porto, Portugal. *E-mail: elisabetemartins09@gmail.com*.

REFERENCES

- Burkett EL, Hershberger RE. Clinical and genetic issues in familial dilated cardiomyopathy. J Am Coll Cardiol 2005;45:969–81.
- 2. Mestroni L, Maisch B, McKenna WJ, et al. Guidelines for the study of familial dilated cardiomyopathies. Collaborative Research Group of the European Human and Capital Mobility Project

on Familial Dilated Cardiomyopathy. Eur Heart J 1999;20:93–102.

- Baig MK, Goldman JH, Caforio AL, Coonar AS, Keeling PJ, McKenna WJ. Familial dilated cardiomyopathy: cardiac abnormalities are common in asymptomatic relatives and may represent early disease. J Am Coll Cardiol 1998;31:195–201.
- Camacho V, Carrio I. Targeting neuronal dysfunction and receptor imaging. Curr Opin Biotechnol 2007;18:60–4.

 Schonberger J, Kuhler L, Martins E, Lindner TH, Silva-Cardoso J, Zimmer M. A novel locus for autosomaldominant dilated cardiomyopathy maps to chromosome 7q22.3-31.1. Hum Genet 2005;118:451–7.

Key Words: dilated cardiomyopathy ■ familial ■ genetic ■ *m*IBG.