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#### Abstract

Let $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ denote the class of all analytic functions $f$ in the unit disk $\Delta$ of the form $f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ satisfying the condition $$
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{2}-1\right| \leqslant \lambda, \quad z \in \Delta
$$

In this paper we find conditions on $\lambda$ and on $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} c \geqslant 0 \neq c$ such that for each $f \in \mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ satisfying $(z / f(z)) * F(1, c ; c+1 ; z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \Delta$ the transform $$
G(z)=G_{f}^{c}(z)=\frac{z}{(z / f(z)) * F(1, c ; c+1 ; z)}, \quad z \in \Delta,
$$ is univalent or starlike. Here $F(a, b ; c ; z)$ denotes the Gauss hypergeometric function and $*$ denotes the convolution (or Hadamard product) of analytic functions on $\Delta$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $\Delta:=\{z \in \mathbb{C}:|z|<1\}$ be the open unit disk in the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathcal{A}$ be the set of all functions analytic in $\Delta$ with the usual normalization $f(0)=0=f^{\prime}(0)-1$. Also, we let $\mathcal{S}=\{f \in \mathcal{A}: f$ is univalent in $\Delta\}$. If $f \in \mathcal{S}$ maps $\Delta$ onto a starlike domain (with respect to the origin), i.e. if $t w \in f(\Delta)$ whenever $t \in[0,1]$ and $w \in f(\Delta)$, then we say that $f$ is a starlike function. The class of all starlike functions is denoted by $\mathcal{S}^{*}$. A necessary and sufficient condition for $f \in \mathcal{A}$ to be starlike is the inequality $[3,5]$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)>0, \quad z \in \Delta . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ denote the class of all functions $f \in \mathcal{A}$ satisfying the condition

$$
\left|f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{2}-1\right| \leqslant \lambda, \quad z \in \Delta
$$

We set $\mathcal{U}=\mathcal{U}(1)$. We remark that from $f \in \mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ it follows that $f(z) / z \neq 0$ for $z \in \Delta$. It is well known that $\mathcal{U} \subsetneq \mathcal{S}$ (see [1,10]) and so, for $0 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant 1$, one has $\mathcal{U}(\lambda) \subsetneq \mathcal{S}$. In a recent paper [9, Corollary 1.1] the authors have obtained the largest $r \in(0,1]$ such that for each $f \in \mathcal{S}$ the function $z \mapsto r^{-1} f(r z)$ is included in $\mathcal{U}$. More precisely, the authors have proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left\{r \in(0,1]: r^{-1} f(r z) \in \mathcal{U} \text { for every } f \in \mathcal{S}\right\}=1 / \sqrt{2} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the proof of our results, we need the following lemmas.
Lemma 1. (See [8].) If $f \in \mathcal{U}(\lambda), a:=\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right| / 2 \leqslant 1$ and $0 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{2-a^{2}}-a}{2}$, then $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$.
Recently, Fournier and Ponnusamy [4] have indicated a proof for the sharpness part of Lemma 1 by stating that there exists a nonstarlike function $f \in \mathcal{U}$ such that with $a=\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0)\right| / 2$ it holds that

$$
0<\frac{\sqrt{2-a^{2}}-a}{2}<\sup _{z \in \Delta}\left|f^{\prime}(z)\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{2}-1\right| \leqslant 1-a
$$

A careful analysis of results in [4] implies that Lemma 1 is actually sharp (see also [15] for a detailed proof). For a general result, we refer to [13,14].

Lemma 2. (See [12, Corollary 3.2].) If $f(z)=z+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\cdots(n \geqslant 2)$ belongs to $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ and

$$
0 \leqslant \lambda \leqslant \frac{n-1}{\sqrt{(n-1)^{2}+1}}
$$

then $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$.
We observe that for $n=2$ (i.e. $f \in \mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ with $f^{\prime \prime}(0)=0$ ), Lemma 2 gives Lemma 1 .
Lemma 3. Let $\phi(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}$ be a nonvanishing analytic function on $\Delta$ and let $f$ be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\frac{z}{\phi(z)}=\frac{z}{1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, we have the following:
(1) If $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\left|b_{n}\right| \leqslant \lambda$, then $f \in \mathcal{U}(\lambda)$.
(2) If $\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\left|b_{n}\right| \leqslant 1-\left|b_{1}\right|$, then $f \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$.

The first part of Lemma 3 is from $[7,8]$ whereas the second part is obtained from [16, Theorem 1]. At this place it is important to present the following example: Consider the function

$$
f(z)=\frac{z}{1+i b z+\left(e^{2 i \beta} / 2\right) z^{3}} .
$$

Then, for $|b| \leqslant 1 / 2$ and $\beta$ a real number, we have (with $b_{1}=i b, b_{2}=0, b_{3}=e^{2 i \beta} / 2$ and $b_{n}=0$ for $n \geqslant 4$ )

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)>1-|b|-\frac{1}{2} \geqslant 0 \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\left|b_{n}\right|=1
$$

and so, by Lemma $3(1), f \in \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$. On the other hand $f$ is not in $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ when $0<b \leqslant 1 / 2$ and $0<\beta<\arctan (2 b)$, because

$$
\left.\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{z f^{\prime}(z)}{f(z)}\right)\right|_{z=1}=\frac{[\sin \beta-2 b \cos \beta] \sin \beta}{\left|1+i b+\left(e^{2 i \beta} / 2\right)\right|^{2}}<0
$$

This example shows the sharpness of the condition in part (2) of Lemma 3.

## 2. Results

If $f$ and $g$ are analytic functions on $\Delta$ with $f(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ and $g(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}$, then the convolution (Hadamard product) of $f$ and $g$, denoted by $f * g$, is an analytic function on $\Delta$ given by

$$
(f * g)(z)=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n} b_{n} z^{n}, \quad z \in \Delta
$$

For $f(z)=z+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} a_{n} z^{n}$ in $\mathcal{A}$, we have a natural convolution operator defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
z F(a, b ; c ; z) * f(z):=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_{n-1}(b)_{n-1}}{(c)_{n-1}(1)_{n-1}} a_{n} z^{n}, \quad c \notin-\mathbb{N}, z \in \Delta, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(a)_{n}$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol $(a)_{0}=1,(a)_{n}:=a(a+1) \cdots(a+n-1)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Here $F(a, b ; c ; z)$ denotes the Gauss hypergeometric function which is analytic in $\Delta$. As a special case of the Euler integral representation for the hypergeometric function, one has

$$
F(1, b ; c ; z)=\frac{\Gamma(c)}{\Gamma(b) \Gamma(c-b)} \int_{0}^{1} \frac{1}{1-t z} t^{b-1}(1-t)^{c-b-1} d t, \quad z \in \Delta, \operatorname{Re} c>\operatorname{Re} b>0 .
$$

Using this representation we have, for $f \in \mathcal{A}$,

$$
z F(1, c ; c+1 ; z) * f(z)=z\left(F(1, c ; c+1 ; z) * \frac{f(z)}{z}\right)
$$

and therefore, we obtain the following form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
z F(1, c ; c+1 ; z) * f(z)=z c \int_{0}^{1} \frac{f(t z)}{t z} t^{c-1} d t, \quad z \in \Delta, \operatorname{Re} c>0 . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we state and prove our results.
Theorem 1. Let $f \in \mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ and $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} c \geqslant 0 \neq c$ such that

$$
(z / f(z)) * F(1, c ; c+1 ; z) \neq 0 \quad \text { in } \Delta,
$$

and $G=G_{f}^{c}$ be the transform defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(z)=\frac{z}{(z / f(z)) * F(1, c ; c+1 ; z)}, \quad z \in \Delta . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, let $A$ be a nonnegative real number such that $A=\left|\frac{c}{c+1} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(0)}{2}\right| \leqslant 1$. Then we have the following:
(1) $G \in \mathcal{U}(\lambda|c| /|c+2|)$. The result is sharp especially when $\left|f^{\prime \prime}(0) / 2\right| \leqslant 1-\lambda$. In particular, $G \in \mathcal{U}$ whenever $0<\lambda \leqslant|(c+2) / c|$.
(2) $G \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$ whenever $0<\lambda \leqslant \frac{|c+2|}{2|c|}\left(\sqrt{2-A^{2}}-A\right)$.

In particular, if $\lambda=1, f^{\prime \prime}(0)=0$ and $|c-2| \leqslant 2 \sqrt{2}$ with $\operatorname{Re} c \geqslant 0$, then $G \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$.
Proof. We consider the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{z}{G(z)}=\frac{z}{f(z)} * F(1, c ; c+1 ; z), \quad z \in \Delta . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Differentiating $z / G(z)$ shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(c+1) \frac{z}{G(z)}-\left(\frac{z}{G(z)}\right)^{2} G^{\prime}(z)=c \frac{z}{G(z)}+z\left(\frac{z}{G(z)}\right)^{\prime}, \quad z \in \Delta . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, using the series expansion of $F(1, c ; c+1 ; z)$ from (4), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(1, c ; c+1 ; z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(c)_{n}}{(c+1)_{n}} z^{n}=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{c}{c+n} z^{n}, \quad z \in \Delta, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which yields

$$
c F(1, c ; c+1 ; z)+z F^{\prime}(1, c ; c+1 ; z)=\frac{c}{1-z}, \quad z \in \Delta,
$$

from which in combination with (7) and (8), one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
(c+1) \frac{z}{G(z)}-\left(\frac{z}{G(z)}\right)^{2} G^{\prime}(z)=c \frac{z}{f(z)}, \quad z \in \Delta \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, we set

$$
p(z)=\left(\frac{z}{G(z)}\right)^{2} G^{\prime}(z)
$$

Then $p(z)$ is analytic on $\Delta$ (with $p(0)=1$ and $p^{\prime}(0)=0$ ); for one has the relations (7) and, by (10),

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=(c+1) \frac{z}{G(z)}-c \frac{z}{f(z)}, \quad z \in \Delta, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $z \mapsto z / f(z)$ is analytic on $\Delta$, as by assumption $f \in \mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ and so $f(z) / z \neq 0$ on $\Delta$. From (8), (10) and (11) one then obtains that

$$
\begin{align*}
c p(z)+z p^{\prime}(z) & =(c+1) c \frac{z}{G(z)}+(c+1) z\left(\frac{z}{G(z)}\right)^{\prime}-c^{2} \frac{z}{f(z)}-c z\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime} \\
& =c\left[(c+1) \frac{z}{f(z)}-c \frac{z}{f(z)}-z\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime}\right] \\
& =c\left[\frac{z}{f(z)}-z\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{\prime}\right] \\
& =c\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right)^{2} f^{\prime}(z) \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Now, as $f \in \mathcal{U}(\lambda)$, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|p(z)+\frac{1}{c} z p^{\prime}(z)-1\right|<\lambda, \quad z \in \Delta, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so (because $p^{\prime}(0)=0$ ), from the work of Hallenbeck and Ruscheweyh [6] (see also [11]), we deduce that

$$
|p(z)-1| \leqslant \frac{\lambda|c|}{|c+2|}|z|^{2}, \quad z \in \Delta
$$

The conclusion (1) follows and the bound $\lambda|c| /|c+2|$ is sharp. To prove the sharpness, we consider functions $f$ in $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ of the form

$$
f(z)=\frac{z}{1-a_{2} z+\lambda z^{2}}, \quad z \in \Delta
$$

where $a_{2}=f^{\prime \prime}(0) / 2$ and $\left|a_{2}\right| \leqslant 1-\lambda$, so that $1-a_{2} z+\lambda z^{2} \neq 0$ for all $z \in \Delta$. Moreover, since $\operatorname{Re} c \geqslant 0$, it follows that $|c+2|>|c+1|>|c|$ and, therefore,

$$
\left|1-a_{2} \frac{c}{c+1} z+\lambda \frac{c}{c+2} z^{2}\right| \neq 0
$$

for all $z \in \Delta$, provided $\left|a_{2}\right| \leqslant 1-\lambda$. Then, by (6) and (9), a computation gives

$$
G(z)=\frac{z}{1-a_{2}(c /(c+1)) z+(\lambda c /(c+2)) z^{2}}
$$

which is analytic on $\Delta, z / G(z) \neq 0$ on $\Delta$ and

$$
\left(\frac{z}{G(z)}\right)^{2} G^{\prime}(z)-1=-\frac{\lambda c}{c+2} z^{2}
$$

We have that $G \in \mathcal{U}(\lambda|c| /|c+2|)$.
The second part is a consequence of Lemma 1. In fact, it suffices to observe from the definition of $G(z)$ that

$$
A:=\left|\frac{G^{\prime \prime}(0)}{2}\right|=\left|\frac{c}{c+1} \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(0)}{2}\right| .
$$

Then, by Lemma $1, G$ is starlike whenever $A \leqslant 1$ and

$$
0 \leqslant \frac{\lambda|c|}{|c+2|} \leqslant \frac{\sqrt{2-A^{2}}-A}{2}
$$

and the result follows from the last inequality.

Remark. We recall first that if $\left|a_{2}\right| \leqslant 1-\lambda$, then it is known that [8]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z}\right)>\frac{1}{1+\left|a_{2}\right|+\lambda} \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \quad \text { for } z \in \Delta . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Further, from the work of Ruscheweyh [17, Lemma 2], it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Re} F(1, c ; c+1 ; z)>\frac{1}{2}, \quad z \in \Delta, \operatorname{Re} c \geqslant 0 \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (14), it follows that $\operatorname{Re}(f(z) / z)>0, z \in \Delta$. From this observation and (15), we obtain (using either the Herglotz representation formula for functions with positive real part or [18]) that

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left(\frac{f(z)}{z} * F(1, c ; c+1 ; z)\right)>0, \quad z \in \Delta, \operatorname{Re} c \geqslant 0
$$

and so, in particular, that $(z / f(z)) * F(1, c ; c+1 ; z) \neq 0$ for all $z \in \Delta, \operatorname{Re} c \geqslant 0$.
Remark. In case $\operatorname{Re} c>0$, the formula (5) shows that the transform $G(z)=G_{f}^{c}(z)$ defined by (6) has a second representation in the form

$$
G(z)=z\left(c \int_{0}^{1} \frac{t z}{f(t z)} t^{c-1} d t\right)^{-1}, \quad z \in \Delta
$$

Using Lemma 2, Theorem 1 can be generalized as follows:
Theorem 2. For a fixed $n \geqslant 2$, let $f(z)=z+a_{n+1} z^{n+1}+\cdots$ belong to $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ and let $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Re} c \geqslant 0 \neq c$ such that $(z / f(z)) * F(1, c ; c+1 ; z) \neq 0$ in $\Delta$, and $G=G_{f}^{c}$ be the transform defined by (6). Then we have the following:
(1) $G \in \mathcal{U}(\lambda|c| /|c+n|)$. In particular, $G \in \mathcal{U}$ whenever $0<\lambda \leqslant|(c+n) / c|$.
(2) $G \in \mathcal{S}^{*}$ whenever $0<\lambda \leqslant \frac{|c+n|(n-1)}{|c| \sqrt{(n-1)^{2}+1}}$.

Proof. We note that

$$
\frac{z}{f(z)}=\frac{1}{1+a_{n+1} z^{n}+\cdots}=1-a_{n+1} z^{n}+\cdots,
$$

so that

$$
\frac{z}{f(z)} * F(1, c ; c+1 ; z)=1-a_{n+1}\left(\frac{c}{c+n}\right) z^{n}+\cdots
$$

Thus, $G$ can be written in the form

$$
G(z)=z+a_{n+1}\left(\frac{c}{c+n}\right) z^{n+1}+\cdots
$$

and therefore, as in the proof of Theorem 1, the function $p$ defined by

$$
p(z)=\left(\frac{z}{G(z)}\right)^{2} G^{\prime}(z)=1+(n-1) a_{n+1}\left(\frac{c}{c+n}\right) z^{n}+\cdots
$$

is analytic in $\Delta$ such that $p(0)=1, p^{\prime}(0)=\cdots=p^{(n-1)}(0)=0$. As $f \in \mathcal{U}(\lambda), p$ satisfies (13). Consequently (see $[6,11]$ ),

$$
|p(z)-1| \leqslant \frac{\lambda|c||z|^{n}}{|c+n|}, \quad z \in \Delta
$$

and the proof of part (1) is complete. The second part is a consequence of Lemma 2.

## 3. Sufficient conditions for functions in $\mathcal{U}$ or in $\mathcal{S}^{*}$

We recall that $\mathcal{U} \subsetneq \mathcal{S}$. Next we consider the following question: Given a univalent function $f$, is it possible to generate functions in $\mathcal{U}$ or in $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ ? Our next result actually provides a method of obtaining functions in $\mathcal{U}$.

Theorem 3. Let $h(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n}$ be an analytic function on $\Delta$ and $a_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|c_{1} a_{2}\right|+\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left|c_{n}\right|^{2}}{n-1}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant 1 \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda:=\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\left|c_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}<+\infty \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then for every function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ with $f^{\prime \prime}(0) / 2=a_{2}$ the function $H_{f}$ defined by

$$
\frac{z}{H_{f}(z)}=\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right) * h(z)
$$

belongs to $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$, and thus to $\mathcal{S}$ if $\lambda \leqslant 1$, and even to $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ if $\lambda \leqslant 1-\left|a_{2} c_{1}\right|$.
Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}$ and be of the form (3). Then $a_{2}=f^{\prime \prime}(0) / 2=-b_{1}$,

$$
\frac{z}{H_{f}(z)}=\left(\frac{z}{f(z)}\right) * h(z)=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} c_{n} z^{n}
$$

and from the well-known Area Theorem [5, Theorem 11, p. 193, Vol. 2] we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\left|b_{n}\right|^{2} \leqslant 1 \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, by the triangle inequality, we see for all $z \in \Delta$ that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|\frac{z}{H_{f}(z)}\right| & \geqslant 1-\left|c_{1} b_{1}\right||z|-\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\sqrt{n-1}\left|b_{n}\right|\right)\left(\frac{\left|c_{n}\right|}{\sqrt{n-1}}\right)|z|^{n} \\
& \geqslant 1-\left|c_{1} a_{2}\right||z|-|z|^{2} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\sqrt{n-1}\left|b_{n}\right|\right)\left(\frac{\left|c_{n}\right|}{\sqrt{n-1}}\right) \\
& \geqslant 1-\left|c_{1} a_{2}\right||z|-|z|^{2}\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\left|b_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left|c_{n}\right|^{2}}{n-1}\right)^{1 / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

(by Cauchy-Schwarz inequality)
$\geqslant 1-\left|c_{1} a_{2}\right|-\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{\left|c_{n}\right|^{2}}{n-1}\right)^{1 / 2}$ by (17)
$\geqslant 0$ by (16).

Using this and the first inequality in (16), we obtain that $z / H_{f}(z) \neq 0$ in $\Delta$. Next we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\left|c_{n} b_{n}\right| & =\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}\left(\sqrt{n-1}\left|b_{n}\right|\right)\left(\sqrt{n-1}\left|c_{n}\right|\right) \\
& \leqslant\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\left|b_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty}(n-1)\left|c_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqslant \lambda \quad \text { by }(17) \text { and }(16)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $H_{f} \in \mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ by Lemma 3(1), and, in particular, $H_{f} \in \mathcal{U} \subseteq \mathcal{S}$ if $\lambda \leqslant 1$. By Lemma 3(2), we obtain the last part of the conclusion.

Example 1. Choose $h(z)=1 /(1-a z)$ with $|a|=r<1$. Then, according to (16), $r$ has to satisfy the condition

$$
\left|a_{2}\right| r+r\left(\log \left(1 /\left(1-r^{2}\right)\right)\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant 1 \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda=r^{2} /\left(1-r^{2}\right)
$$

Then for each function $f \in \mathcal{S}$ with $f^{\prime \prime}(0) / 2=a_{2}$ the function $a^{-1} f(a z)$ belongs to $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ and thus to $\mathcal{S}$ if $\lambda \leqslant 1$, and even to $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ if $\lambda \leqslant 1-\left|a_{2}\right| r$. In particular, it is a simple exercise to see that

$$
f \in \mathcal{S} \quad \text { with } f^{\prime \prime}(0)=0 \quad \Rightarrow \quad a^{-1} f(a z) \in \mathcal{U} \cap \mathcal{S}^{*}
$$

whenever $0<|a|=r \leqslant 1 / \sqrt{2}$. At this place it is interesting to compare with (2).
Example 2. Choose $h(z)=1 /\left(1-a z^{2}\right)$ with $|a|=r<1$. Then, by (16), $r$ has to satisfy the condition

$$
\frac{r}{2} \log \left(\frac{1+r}{1-r}\right) \leqslant 1 \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda=\frac{r \sqrt{1+r^{2}}}{1-r^{2}}
$$

Therefore, if $f \in \mathcal{S}$ then the function $z /((z / f(z)) * h(z))$ belongs to $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$ and thus to $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ if $\lambda \leqslant 1$ (since $h^{\prime}(0)=0$ ). In fact, it is a simple exercise to see that the second condition $\lambda \leqslant 1$ is equivalent to $r \leqslant 1 / \sqrt{3}$, while the first condition is equivalent to the inequality

$$
g(r)=(1-r) e^{2 / r}-1-r \geqslant 0
$$

which holds if $r \leqslant 1 / \sqrt{3}$. Thus, if $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ denote the two square roots of $a$ and if $f \in \mathcal{S}$, then the function $H_{f}$ defined by

$$
\frac{z}{H_{f}(z)}=\frac{z}{f(z)} * h(z)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\omega z}{f(\omega z)}+\frac{\omega^{\prime} z}{f\left(\omega^{\prime} z\right)}\right)
$$

belongs to $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ for $r \leqslant 1 / \sqrt{3}$.
Corollary 1. Let $f \in \mathcal{S}$ be of the form (3) with $a_{2}=f^{\prime \prime}(0) / 2$, and

$$
h(z)=1+c_{1} z+a \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1) \sqrt{n-1}} z^{n}
$$

for some complex constant $a$, such that

$$
\left|c_{1} a_{2}\right|+|a| \sqrt{\frac{\pi^{2}}{12}-\frac{11}{16}} \leqslant 1 \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda=|a| \sqrt{\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}-\frac{5}{4}} .
$$

Then the function $H_{f}$ defined by $z / H_{f}(z)=(z / f(z)) * h(z)$ belongs to $\mathcal{U}(\lambda)$, and thus to $\mathcal{S}$ if $\lambda \leqslant 1$, and even to $\mathcal{S}^{*}$ if $\lambda \leqslant 1-\left|c_{1} a_{2}\right|$.

Proof. Set $c_{n}=a /((n+1) \sqrt{n-1})$ for all $n \geqslant 2$. The condition (16) takes the form

$$
\left|c_{1} a_{2}\right|+|a|\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(n^{2}-1\right)^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqslant 1 \quad \text { and } \quad \lambda=|a|\left(\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Recall that

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}}=\frac{\pi^{2}}{6}-\frac{5}{4}
$$

Now, if we write

$$
\frac{1}{\left(n^{2}-1\right)^{2}}=\frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{1}{(n-1)^{2}}+\frac{1}{(n+1)^{2}}-\left(\frac{1}{n-1}-\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right]
$$

then it is a simple exercise to see that

$$
\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\left(n^{2}-1\right)^{2}}=\frac{1}{4}\left[2 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2}}-1-\frac{1}{4}-\frac{3}{2}\right]=\frac{\pi^{2}}{12}-\frac{11}{16}
$$

The conclusion follows from Theorem 3.
Finally, it would be appropriate to recall the recent result of the authors in [2] in which a number of interesting applications are also derived.

Theorem 4. (See [2, Theorem 3.9].) Let $f, g \in \mathcal{S}$ with the representations

$$
\frac{z}{f(z)}=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} z^{n}, \quad \frac{z}{g(z)}=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_{n} z^{n}
$$

If

$$
\Phi(z)=\frac{z}{f(z)} * \frac{z}{g(z)}=1+\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_{n} c_{n} z^{n} \neq 0
$$

for every $z \in \Delta$, then $F(z)=\frac{z}{\Phi(z)} \in \mathcal{U}$, and, in particular, $F$ is univalent in $\Delta$.
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