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#### Abstract

This paper develops a model theory for a pair of noncommuting operators. Using backward shift operators on a Fock space Rota's Theorem is generalized, i.e., it is shown that any two bounded operators on a Hilbert space are simultaneously similar to part of a pair of backward shift operators on a Fock space. These shift operators and the Fock space framework are also used to develop a dilation theory for two noncommuting operators.


## 1. Introduction

Rota [4] proved that any bounded operator $A$, on a Hilbert space $\mathscr{E}$, with spectral radius less than one, is similar to part of a backward shift operator. Another result along this line is given in $[1,3,5]$. It states that any contraction is unitarily equivalent to part of a co-isometry, i.e., if $A$ on $\mathscr{C}$ is a contraction then $H A=(V \mid \mathscr{W}) H$, where $H$ is a unitary operator, from $\mathscr{C}$ onto $\mathscr{W}, V$ is a co-isometry, and $\mathscr{W}$ is an invariant subspace for $V$. (An operator $V$ on $\mathscr{C}$ is an isometry if $V^{*} V=I$, the identity on $\mathscr{C}$. A coisometry is the adjoint of an isometry.) In this paper we generalize the above results to a pair of bounded operators, $A, N$ on $\mathscr{C}$. First it is shown that $A$, $N$ are simultaneously similar to part of two shift operators. Then this result is refined; if $A^{*} A+N^{*} N \leqslant I$, then it is shown that $A, N$ are simultaneously unitarily equivalent to part of two co-isometries. We say that $A, N$ are simultaneously similar to [unitarily equivalent to] part of $R, T$, if (1) $R, T$ are operators on a Hilbert space $\mathscr{F}$, (2) there exists an invariant subspace $\mathscr{W}$, for both $R$ and $T$, (3) there exists a similarity [unitary] transformation $H$ mapping $\mathscr{B}$ onto $\mathscr{W}$ such that $H A=(R \mid \mathscr{W}) H$ and $H N=(T \mid \mathscr{W}) H$, respectively. It is emphasized that the same operator $H$ is used to intertwine both $A$ with $R \mid \mathscr{W}$ and $N$ with $T \mid \mathscr{W}$. (Note: $A, N$ is simultaneously similar to [unitarily equivalent to] $R, T$ if (1) holds, and there exists a similarity [unitary] transformation $H$ mapping $\mathscr{C}$ onto $\mathscr{V}$ such that $H A=R H$ and $H N=T H$, respectively.)

Our model theory for noncommuting operators is motivated by problems arising in nonlinear systems [2]. It can also be viewed as a representation theory for an operator $A$ perturbed by $N$. The models obtained are shift operators defined on a Fock space. The result is a generalization of the existing dilation theory for one operator $[1,3-5]$, a deeper understanding of how noncommuting operators interact, and a solution to certain problems in mathematical systems theory [2].

## 2. The Shift Operators $S$ and $E$

In this section we introduce several different shift operators on a Fock space. These operators will be used to develop a model theory.

First some notation is established. Throughout, all spaces are Hilbert spaces, and $A, N$ are bounded linear operators on $\mathscr{C}$. The adjoint of an operator $A$, is denoted by $A^{*}$, the open unit disc by $D$, and the ( $n$-fold) unit polydisc by $D^{n}=D \times D \times \cdots \times D$. The Hardy space, $\mathscr{Z}_{n}(\mathscr{O})$ is the space of all analytic functions, $f$ in $D^{n}$ with values in the Hilbert space $\mathscr{C}$, such that the Taylor coefficients are square summable. Each $f$ in $\mathscr{H}_{n}(\mathscr{E})$ has a power series expansion given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)=\sum_{i_{1} \geqslant 0, \ldots, i_{n} \geqslant 0} f_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}} \lambda_{1}^{i_{1}} \lambda_{2}^{i_{2}} \cdots \lambda_{n}^{i_{n}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the series converges uniformly in $D^{n}$, all $f_{i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{n}}$ are elements in $\mathscr{K}$, and the norm is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f\|_{\mathscr{F}_{n}}^{2} \doteq \sum_{i_{n}>0 \ldots, i_{n} \geqslant 0}\left\|f_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{n}}\right\|^{2} \not{ }_{x} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $\mathscr{Z}_{n}(\mathscr{C})$ is a Hilbert space. The Fock space $\mathscr{F}_{1}(\mathscr{X})$ is the Hilbert space defined as the orthogonal direct sum of the $\mathscr{H}_{n}$ 's:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{F}_{1}(\mathscr{X}) \doteq \oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathscr{H}_{n}(\mathscr{F}) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For convenience elements in $\mathscr{F}_{1}(\mathscr{C})$ are represented by two different notations: both $\oplus_{1}^{\infty} f_{n}$ and $\left\{f_{1}, f_{2}, \ldots\right\}$ represent the same element in $\mathscr{F}_{1}(\mathscr{K})$.

The backward shift operator, $S_{n}$ mapping $\mathscr{H}_{n}(\mathscr{K})$ into $\mathscr{H}_{n}(\mathscr{K})$ is the linear operator defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{n} f\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right) \doteq \frac{1}{\lambda_{1}}\left[f\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)-f\left(0, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)\right] \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note the operator $S_{n}$ only acts on the Taylor coefficients of $\lambda_{1}$ in the power
series expansion of $f$. The generalized backward shift operator $S_{\mathscr{Z}}$ mapping $\mathscr{F}_{1}(\mathscr{K})$ into $\mathscr{F}_{1}(\mathscr{E})$ is defined by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathscr{E}} \oplus_{1}^{\infty} f_{n} \doteq \oplus_{1}^{\infty} S_{n} f_{n} \quad\left(\oplus_{1}^{\infty} f_{n} \in \mathscr{F}_{1}(\mathscr{B})\right) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The adjoint $S_{\mathscr{F}}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathscr{B}}^{*} \oplus_{1}^{\infty} f_{n}=\oplus_{1}^{\infty} \lambda_{1} f_{n} \quad\left(\underset{1}{\infty} f_{n} \in \mathscr{F}_{1}(\mathscr{C})\right) . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $S_{\mathscr{E}}^{*}$ is an isometry. Thus, $S_{\mathscr{E}}$ is a co-isometry.
The evaluation operator, $E_{n}$ mapping $\mathscr{H}_{n}(\mathscr{X})$ into $\mathscr{H}_{n-1}(\mathscr{X})$ for $n \geqslant 1$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{n} f\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}\right) \doteq f\left(0, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n-1}\right) \quad\left(f \in \mathscr{P}_{n}(\mathscr{C})\right) . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $E_{n}$ operator evaluates $\lambda_{1}$ at zero and relabels the complex variables $\lambda_{i} \rightarrow \lambda_{i-1}$. By convention $\mathscr{H}_{0}(\mathscr{C}) \doteq \mathscr{C}$. Thus $E_{1} f\left(\lambda_{1}\right)=f(0) \in \mathscr{C}$ if $f \in \mathscr{H}_{1}(\mathscr{C})$. The generalized evaluation operator $E_{\mathscr{F}}$ mapping $\mathscr{F}_{1}(\mathscr{C})$ into $\mathscr{F}_{1}(\mathscr{C})$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
E_{\mathscr{F}}^{\oplus} \oplus_{1}^{\infty} f_{n} & \doteq \oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n+1} f_{n+1}  \tag{2.8}\\
& \doteq\left\{f_{2}\left(0, \lambda_{1}\right), f_{3}\left(0, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right), f_{4}\left(0, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right), f_{5}\left(0, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}\right) \ldots\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\oplus_{1}^{\infty} f_{n}=\left\{f_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}\right), f_{2}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right), \ldots\right\} \in \mathscr{F}_{1}(\mathscr{C})$. The adjoint of $E_{\mathscr{K}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\forall}^{*} \oplus_{1}^{\infty} f_{n} \doteq\left\{0, f_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}\right), f_{2}\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right), f_{4}\left(\lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4}\right), \ldots\right\} . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $E_{\mathscr{E}}^{*}$ is an isometry and $E_{\mathscr{E}}$ is a co-isometry. The subscript $\mathscr{X}$ is dropped from $S$ and $E$ when the underlying space is understood.

The operators $S$ and $E$ are the models we use. It turns out that "any" pair of operators $A, N$ are simultaneously similar to part of $S$ and $E$; see Proposition 1. Furthermore, these operators have several intercsting properties. The spectrum of $S$ and $E$ is the closed unit disc; the point spectrum of $S$ and $E$ is the open unit disc (Problem 67 of [3]). It is easy to verify that ran $S^{*}$ is orthogonal to ran $E^{*}$ (ran denotes the range). Furthermore, $\mathscr{F}_{1}(\mathscr{K})$ is the orthogonal direct sum of $\operatorname{ran} S^{*}, \operatorname{ran} E^{*}$ and $\mathscr{G}$ (identifying $\mathscr{G}$ with the obvious subspace of $\mathscr{B}_{1}(\mathscr{\mathscr { C }})$ ). Thus $S^{*} S+E^{*} E \leqslant I$. The dimension of $\mathscr{C}$ is called the multiplicity of $S$ and $E$, in accordance
with the usual definition for shift operators, since $\mathscr{E}^{6}$ is cyclic for the algebra generated by $S^{*}$ and $E^{*}$.

Finally, we introduce an operator $\Phi$. Let $A, N$ be two bounded linear operators on $\mathscr{X}$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{i} \doteq\left(I-\lambda_{i} A\right)^{-1}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} A^{n} \lambda_{i}^{n} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define a sequence of mappings $\Phi_{i}: \mathscr{E} \rightarrow \mathscr{H}_{i}(\mathscr{C})$ by $\Phi_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \doteq F_{1} ;$ $\Phi_{2}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \doteq F_{2} N F_{1}$ and generally

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{n}\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right) \doteq F_{n} N F_{n-1} N \cdots N F_{1}=F_{n} N \Phi_{n-1} \quad(n \geqslant 2) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\|\Phi_{i} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant\left(\text { const.) }\|x\|^{2} \quad \text { (for all } x \in \mathscr{X}\right) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

define the bounded linear operator $\Phi$ from $\mathscr{C}$ into $\mathscr{F}_{1}(\mathscr{K})$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi x=\oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \Phi_{n} x=\left\{\Phi_{1} x, \Phi_{2} x, \Phi_{3} x, \ldots\right\} \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout, $A$ and $N$ are fixed, and $\Phi$ always refers to the above transformation. Clearly $\|\Phi x\| \geqslant\|x\|$ for all $x \in \mathscr{E}$. Hence $\dot{\Phi}$ (if defined) always has closed range and is a similarity transformation from $\mathscr{K}$ onto its range.

## 3. Simultaneous Similarity

Proposition 1. Let $A, N$ be operators on $\mathscr{C}$ such that (2.12) holds. Then $A$ and $N$ are simultaneously similar to part of $S$ and $E$ on $F_{1}(\mathscr{C})$.

Proof. First we show that $S \Phi=\Phi A$ and $E \Phi=\Phi N$. The former equality follows from $S_{1} F_{1}=F_{1} A$ (see (2.10)) and

$$
\begin{align*}
S \Phi & =\stackrel{\oplus}{\oplus}{ }_{1}^{\infty} S_{n} \Phi_{n}=\stackrel{\oplus}{1} \oplus_{n} F_{n-1} N F_{n-1} N \cdots S_{1} F_{1} \\
& =\underset{1}{\oplus} F_{n} N F_{n-1} N \cdots N F_{1} A  \tag{3.1}\\
& =\oplus_{1}^{\infty} \Phi_{n} A=\Phi A .
\end{align*}
$$

The other equality follows from $F_{1}(0)=I$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
E \Phi & =\oplus_{1}^{\infty} E_{n+1} \Phi_{n+1} \\
& =\oplus_{n=1}^{\infty} E_{n+1} F_{n+1} N F_{n} N \cdots N F_{1}  \tag{3.2}\\
& =\oplus^{\infty} F_{n} N F_{n-1} N \cdots N F_{1} N F_{1}(0)=\Phi N .
\end{align*}
$$

Since the ran $\Phi$ is invariant for both $S$ and $E$, the proof is complete.
Clearly (2.12) does not hold for all $A$ and $N$. For instance choose $A=I$ and $N=0$. However, there always exists a $\varepsilon>0$ such that the corresponding condition for $\varepsilon A$ and $\varepsilon N$ holds. Therefore our models $S, E$ for $A, N$ are perfectly general.

Corollary 1. If $A, N$ are bounded operators on $\mathscr{C}$. and $A^{*} A+$ $N^{*} N \leqslant r I$, where $r<1$ then $A, N$ are simultaneously similar to part of $S, E$ on $\mathscr{F}_{1}(\mathscr{E})$.

Proof. We must verify that $\Phi$ is a bounded operator. Let $P$ be any positive self-adjoint operator, and $L$ be the transformation mapping positive operators into positive operators defined by $L P \doteq A^{*} P A+N^{*} P N$. Clearly $L I \leqslant r I$ and $L P \leqslant L Q$ if $P \leqslant Q$. Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{n} I=L L^{n-1} I \leqslant r L^{n-1} I \leqslant r^{n} I \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

This implies that $\sum_{i=0}^{n} L^{i} I$ is an increasing sequence of positive operators bounded by $(1-r)^{-1}$. By Problem 94 of [3], this sequence has a limit

$$
\begin{align*}
R=\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} L^{i} I= & I+A^{*} A+N^{*} N+A^{*^{2}} A^{2}+A^{*} N^{*} N A \\
& +N^{*} A^{*} A N+N^{* 2} N^{2}+\cdots \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

in the strong operator topology.
The expansion for $\|\Phi x\|^{2}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\Phi x\|^{2}= & \|x\|^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(A^{* i} A^{i} x, x\right) \\
& +\sum_{i \geqslant 0, j \geqslant 0}\left(A^{* j} N^{*} A^{* i} A^{i} N A^{j} x, x\right)+\cdots \tag{3.5}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to show that (3.4) and (3.5) contain exactly the same terms, i.e., $(R x, x)=\|\Phi x\|^{2}$ for all $x \in \mathscr{R}$. Since $R$ is bounded

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\Phi x\|^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\|\Phi_{i} x\right\|^{2}=(R x, x) \leqslant M\|x\|^{2} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the proof is complete.
Equation (3.6) also proves
Corollary 2. If $A^{*} A+N^{*} N \leqslant r$ for some $r<1$, then (2.12) holds.
A converse to Corollary 2 is
Corollary 3. Let $A, N$ be bounded operators on $\mathscr{C}$. If (2.12) holds, then there exists a Hilbert norm $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ on $\mathscr{C}$ equivalent to $\|\cdot\|$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A x\|_{0}^{2}+\|N x\|_{0}^{2} \leqslant r\|x\|_{0}^{2} \quad(x \in \mathscr{C}) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $r<1$.
Proof. The proof is omitted. It is almost identical to problem 122 in [3]; the other norm on $\mathscr{C}$ is defined by $\|x\|_{0}^{2} \doteq\|\Phi x\|_{\mathscr{F}}^{2}$.

Corollaries 2 and 3 show that (2.12) holds if and only if $A, N$ are simultaneously similar to a pair $A_{0}, N_{0}$ such that for some $r<1$ and all $x \in \mathscr{K}$,

$$
\left\|A_{0} x\right\|^{2}+\left\|N_{0} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant r\|x\|^{2}
$$

If $N=0$ the above reduces to the following standard result (Problem 122 in [3]): $A$ on $\mathscr{C}$ is similar to a strict contraction if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{\infty}\left\|A^{i} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant M\|x\|^{2} \quad(x \in \mathscr{C}) \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

( $T$ is a strict contraction if $\|T\|<1$.) In other words, the spectral radius of $A$ is strictly less than one if and only if (3.8) holds.

Remark. In Proposition 1 and Corollaries 2, 3 the condition (2.12) plays an important role. One can express this condition through a Lyapunov equation. We claim that (2.12) holds if and only if there exists a positive operator $P$ such that $0<P<\infty$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
P-A^{*} P A-N^{*} P N=I \quad(0<P<\infty) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume (2.12). Then $P \doteq \Phi^{*} \Phi$ satisfies (3.9). This follows from the expansion of $\Phi^{*} \Phi$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi * \Phi \doteq & \sum_{i \geqslant 0} A^{* i} A+\sum_{j \geqslant 0, i \geqslant 0} A^{* j} N^{*} A^{* i} A^{i} N A^{j} \\
& +\sum_{k \geqslant 0, j \geqslant 0, i \geqslant 0} A^{* k} N^{*} A^{* j} N^{*} A^{* i} A^{i} N A^{j} N A^{k}+\cdots \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Assume $P$ satisfies (3.9). Let $\mathscr{C}_{0}$ be the Hilbert space $\mathscr{C}$ equipped with the following inner product $(x, x)_{0} \doteq(P x, x)$. Clearly $\|\cdot\|$ and $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ are equivalent norms. Set $Q=A^{*} P A+N^{*} P N$. Using (3.9) and $P=I+Q$ a simple calculation gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\|A x\|_{0}^{2}+\|N x\|_{0}^{2}}{\|x\|_{0}^{2}} & =\frac{\left(A^{*} P A+N^{*} P N x, x\right)}{(P x, x)} \\
& =\frac{(Q x, x)}{(x, x)+(Q x, x)}  \tag{3.11}\\
& =\frac{\frac{(Q x, x)}{(x, x)}}{1+\frac{(Q x, x)}{(x, x)}} \leqslant \frac{\|Q\|}{1+\|Q\|}<1
\end{align*}
$$

Hence (3.7) holds. Corollary 2 gives (2.12). In many applications, obtaining a solution $P$ to (3.9) is easier than proving that (2.12) holds. Finally, it is noted that the solution to (3.9) (if it exists) is unique.

## 4. Unitary Equivalence

If $N=0$ then Proposition 1 reduces to Rota's Theorem [4]. Problem 121 of [3] is a refinement of Rota's Theorem. In our more general setting, this refinement becomes

Proposition 2. Let $A, N$ be bounded operators on $\mathscr{K}$, such that $A^{*} A+N^{*} N \leqslant I$, and let $\Phi_{n}$ for $n \geqslant 1$ be defined by (2.11). If $A^{n} \rightarrow 0$
 simultaneously unitarily equivalent to part of the shifts $S_{\mathscr{O}}, E_{\mathscr{D}}$ on $\mathscr{F}_{1}(\mathscr{D})$, for some closed linear subspace $\mathscr{D}$ of $\mathscr{C}$.

The proof depends on the following
Lemma 1. Let $A$ and $N$ be bounded operators on $\mathscr{C}$ such that
$A^{*} A+N^{*} N \leqslant I$, and $D$ be the positive square root of $I-A^{*} A-N^{*} N$. Let $\mathscr{D}$ be the closure of the range of $D$. Then
(i) $A^{* n} A^{n}$ strongly converges to the positive operator $A_{\infty}^{2}$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
(ii) For each $x \in \mathscr{C}$ the sequence $\left\|N \Phi_{n} x\right\|_{*_{n}}$ is decreasing.
(iii)

$$
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left\|D \Phi_{n} x\right\|^{2} \leqslant\|x\|^{2} \quad(\text { for all } x \in \mathscr{C})
$$

so that the operator $D \Phi$ mapping $\mathscr{O}$ into $\mathscr{F}_{1}(\mathscr{D})$ defined by $D \Phi x=$ $\oplus_{1}^{\infty} D \Phi_{n} x$, is well defined. In fact
$\|D \Phi x\|_{F_{1}}^{2}+\left\|A_{\infty} x\right\|_{\mathscr{C}}^{2}+\sum_{1}^{\infty}\left\|A_{\infty} N \Phi_{n} x\right\|_{\mathscr{E}_{n}}^{2}+\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|N \Phi_{n} x\right\|_{\mathscr{F}_{n}}^{2}=\|x\|^{2}$
for all $x \in \mathscr{K}$.
Proof. Part (i) follows because $A$ is a contraction, i.e., $A^{* n} A^{n}$ is a sequence of decreasing positive operators.

Consulting (2.11) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|D \Phi_{1} x\right\|_{\mathscr{R}_{1}}^{2} & =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{k}\left\|D A^{i} x\right\|_{\mathscr{X}}^{2} \\
& =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=0}^{k}\left(A^{* i}\left(I-A^{*} A-N^{*} N\right) A^{i} x, x\right)  \tag{4.2}\\
& =\|x\|^{2}-\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left\|A^{k} x\right\|^{2}-\left\|N \Phi_{1} x\right\|_{Z_{1}}^{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D \Phi_{1} x\right\|^{2}=\|x\|^{2}-\left\|A_{\infty} x\right\|^{2}-\left\|N \Phi_{1} x\right\|^{2} \tag{4.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following the same procedure on the general term $n>1$ gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|D \Phi_{n} x\right\|^{2}=\left\|N \Phi_{n-1} x\right\|^{2}-\left\|A_{\infty} N \Phi_{n-1} x\right\|^{2}-\left\|N \Phi_{n} x\right\|^{2} \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

summing to $n$ on (4.3), (4.4) and rearranging terms:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left\|D \Phi_{i} x\right\|^{2}+\left\|A_{\infty} x\right\|^{2}+\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left\|A_{\infty} N \Phi_{i} x\right\|^{2} \\
& =\|x\|-\left\|N \Phi_{n} x\right\|^{2} . \tag{4.5}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the left-hand side is positive and increasing in $n$, the $\left\|N \Phi_{n} x\right\|^{2}$ are decreasing. Part (iii) follows by taking limits in (4.5).

Proof of Proposition 2. Let $D \Phi$ be the operator given in the lemma. Following (3.1), (3.2), it is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathscr{P}} D \Phi=D \Phi A \quad \text { and } \quad E_{\mathscr{Q}} D \Phi=D \Phi N . \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The hypothesis of the Proposition and (4.1) guarantees that $D \Phi$ is an isometry. Since the ran $D \Phi$ is invariant under $S_{\mathscr{O}}$ and $E_{\mathscr{D}}$, the proof is complete.

Corollary 4. If $A, N$ are bounded operators on $\mathscr{X}$ and $A^{*} A+$ $N^{*} N \leqslant r I$ where $r<1$ then $A$ nd $N$ are simultaneously unitarily equivalent to part of $S$ and $E$ on $\mathscr{F}_{1}(\mathscr{G})$.

Proof. We verify that the hypothesis of the proposition are satisfied. Clearly $A^{n} \rightarrow 0$. Equation (3.6) and Corollary 2 guarantees that $\left\|N \Phi_{n} x\right\|_{\mathscr{P}_{n}}^{2} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in \mathscr{C}$. Since $r<1$ we have $\mathscr{D}=\mathscr{C}$ and the proof is complete.

By employing a trick found in [1,5] the hypothesis $A^{n} \rightarrow 0$ and $N \Phi_{n} \rightarrow 0$ strongly as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in Proposition 2 are removed. This begins with

Proposition 3. Let $A, N$ be operators on $\mathscr{C}$, and $A^{*} A+N^{*} N \leqslant I$. If $\left\|N \Phi_{n} x\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in \mathscr{C}$, then $A, N$ are simultaneously unitarily equivalent to part of a pair of co-isometries.

Proof. Throughout the notation of Lemma 1 is used. Let $\mathscr{A}$ be the closure of the ran $A_{\infty}$ and $W$ the operator mapping $\mathscr{A}$ into $\mathscr{A}$ defined by $W A_{\infty} x \doteq A_{\infty} \Lambda x$. It is easy to show that $W$ is an isometry (see p .51 of [1] or p. 39 of [5]). By Proposition (2.3), p. 6 of [5], $W$ can be extended to a unitary operator $S_{0}$ on some larger Hilbert space $\mathscr{Y}$, i.e., $\mathscr{A}$ is a subspace of $\mathscr{F}$ and $W=S_{0} \mid \mathscr{A}$. Further, $S_{0} A_{\infty}=A_{\infty} A$.

Let $\mathscr{F}_{0}(\mathscr{Y})$ be the following Fock space

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathscr{F}_{0}(\mathscr{Y}) \doteq \oplus_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathscr{H}_{n}(\mathscr{Y}) . \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

(Recall $\mathscr{H}_{0}(\mathscr{Y}) \doteq \mathscr{F}$.) Define the co-isometry $S_{\mathscr{F}}$ on $\mathscr{F}_{0}(\mathscr{Y})$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathscr{y}}{\underset{0}{\oplus} f_{n}}_{\infty}^{\oplus} \oplus_{0}^{\infty} S_{n} f_{n}=\left\{S_{0} f_{0}, S_{1} f_{1}, S_{2} f_{2}, \ldots\right\}, \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{0}$ is the above unitary operator and $S_{n}$ is the usual backward shift operator on $\mathscr{Z}_{n}(\mathscr{Y})$ for $n \geqslant 1$, (see (2.4)). The co-isometry $E_{\mathscr{F}}$ on $\mathscr{F}_{0}(\mathscr{Y})$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{\mathscr{Y}} \oplus_{0}^{\infty} f_{n} \doteq \bigoplus_{n=0}^{\infty} E_{n+1} f_{n+1}=\left\{E_{1} f_{1}, E_{2} f_{2}, E_{3} f_{3}, \ldots\right\} \tag{4.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $E_{n}$ for $n \geqslant 1$ is the evaluation operator mapping $\mathscr{P}_{n}(\mathscr{Y})$ into $\mathscr{H}_{n-1}(\mathscr{Y})$, (see (2.7)).

Consider the operator $\Phi_{0}$ mapping $\mathscr{E}$ into $\mathscr{F}_{0}(\mathscr{Y})$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi_{\infty} x & \doteq A_{\infty} x \oplus A_{\infty} N \Phi x  \tag{4.10}\\
& =\left\{A_{\infty} x, A_{\infty} N \Phi \Phi_{1} x, A_{\infty} N \Phi_{2} x, \ldots\right\} \quad(x \in \mathscr{C})
\end{align*}
$$

By following the calculations in (3.1), (3.2) with the definition of $S_{0}$ it is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathscr{y}} \Phi_{\infty}=\Phi_{\infty} A \quad \text { and } \quad E_{\mathscr{H}} \Phi_{\infty}=\Phi_{\infty} N \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

To complete the proof we combine the above with the proof of Proposition 2. Consider the operator $D \Phi \oplus \Phi_{\infty}$ mapping $\mathscr{X}$ into $\mathscr{F}_{1}(\mathscr{D}) \oplus \mathscr{F}_{0}(\mathscr{Y})$ defined by $D \Phi_{x} \oplus \Phi_{\infty} x$ when $x \in \mathscr{X}$. This operator is an isometry, by (4.1) and (4.10). Clearly the operators $S_{\mathscr{O}} \oplus S_{\mathscr{y}}$ and $E_{\mathscr{O}} \oplus E_{\mathscr{y}}$ on $\mathscr{F}_{1}(\mathscr{D}) \oplus \mathscr{F}_{0}(\mathscr{H})$ are co-isometries. Further (4.6), (4.11) give $\left(S_{\mathscr{O}} \oplus S_{y}\right)$ $\left(D \Phi x \oplus \Phi_{\infty} x\right)=D \Phi A x \oplus \Phi_{\infty} A x \quad$ and $\quad\left(E_{\mathscr{D}} \oplus E_{\mathscr{Y}}\right) \quad\left(D \Phi_{x} \oplus \Phi_{\infty} x\right)=$ $D \Phi N x \oplus \Phi_{\infty} N x$, where $x \in \mathscr{K}$. Since the range of $D \Phi \oplus \Phi_{\infty}$ is an invariant subspace for both $S_{\mathscr{Z}} \oplus S_{\mathscr{Z}}$ and $E_{\mathscr{Z}} \oplus E_{\mathscr{Z}}$ the proof is complete.

Finally we are ready to prove
Proposition 4. If $A, N$ are operators on $\mathscr{E}$ such that $A^{*} A+N^{*} N \leqslant I$, then $A, N$ are simultaneously unitarily equivalent to part of a pair of coisometries.

Proof. Since $\left\|N \Phi_{n} x\right\|^{2}$ is a decreasing sequence (see Lemma 1), there exists a positive operator $P$ on $\mathscr{C}$ such that

$$
\left(P^{2} x, x\right)=\|P x\|^{2}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\Phi_{n}^{*} N^{*} N \Phi_{n} x, x\right)=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|N \Phi_{n} x\right\|_{\mathscr{P}_{n}}^{2}
$$

By the definitions (2.2) and (2.11) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|P x\|^{2}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i_{1} \geqslant 0, \ldots, i_{n} \geqslant 0}\left\|N A^{i_{n}} N A^{i_{n-1}} N \cdots N A^{i_{1}} x\right\|_{\geqslant}^{2} \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|P x\|_{\mathscr{C}}^{2}-\left\|P N \Phi_{1} x\right\|_{x_{1}}^{2} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x \in \mathscr{C}$. Let $\mathscr{Z}$ be the closure of the range of $P$, and let $Z$ be any unitary operator on the Fock space $\mathscr{F}_{0}(\mathscr{F})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z\{P x, 0,0,0, \ldots\}=\left\{0, P N \Phi_{1} x, 0,0, \ldots\right\} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Such an operator exists by (4.13).

Let $S_{z}$ be the co-isometry on $\mathscr{F}_{0}(\mathscr{F})$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathcal{Z}} \oplus_{0}^{\infty} f_{n} \doteq \oplus_{0}^{\infty} S_{n} f_{n} \quad\left(\oplus_{0}^{\infty} f_{n} \in \mathscr{F}_{0}(\mathscr{Z})\right) \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S_{n}$ is the backward shift operator in $\mathscr{E}_{n}(\mathscr{E})$, for $n>0$, and $S_{0} \doteq I$. Define $\widetilde{E}_{\mathcal{E}}$ to be the co-isometry on $\mathscr{F}_{0}(Z)$ given by $\tilde{E}_{\mathcal{F}} \doteq Z E_{\mathcal{E}}$, where $E_{\mathcal{E}}$ is the generalized evaluation operator, (replace $\mathscr{Y}$ by $\mathscr{F}$ in (4.9)). Consider the operator $\Phi_{\neq}$mapping $\mathscr{E}^{-}$into $\mathscr{F}_{0}(\mathscr{F})$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{z} x \doteq\left\{0, P N \Phi_{1} x, 0,0, \ldots\right\} \quad(x \in \mathscr{K}) \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (4.13)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\Phi_{\mathcal{F}} x\right\|^{2}=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left\|N \Phi_{n} x\right\|^{2} \quad(x \in \mathscr{C}) \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Using (4.14), (2.4), (2.7) a simple calculation verifies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{z} \Phi_{z}=\Phi_{z} A \quad \text { and } \quad \tilde{E}_{z} \Phi_{z}=\Phi_{z} N \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

At this point the proof is exactly the same as Proposition 3 except one uses the co-isometries $S_{\mathscr{G}} \oplus S_{\mathscr{Z}} \oplus S_{z} \quad$ and $\quad E_{\mathscr{G}} \oplus E_{\mathscr{y}} \oplus \tilde{E}_{z} \quad$ on $\mathscr{F}_{1}(\mathscr{D}) \oplus \mathscr{F}_{0}(\mathscr{F}) \oplus \mathscr{F}_{0}(\mathscr{Z})$, along with the isometry mapping $\mathscr{C}$ into $\mathscr{F}_{1}(\mathscr{T}) \oplus \mathscr{F}_{0}(\mathscr{Y}) \oplus \mathscr{F}_{0}(\mathscr{F})$ defined by $D \Phi x \oplus \Phi_{\infty} x \oplus \Phi_{\mathcal{Z}} x$ where $x \in \mathscr{K}$. Note (4.1), (4.10) and (4.17) guarantee that the last operator preserves the norm. The intertwining property follows from (4.6), (4.11), and (4.18).
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