

Note

Lipschitz Constants for the Bernstein Polynomials of a Lipschitz Continuous Function

B. M. BROWN, D. ELLIOTT, AND D. F. PAGET

*Department of Mathematics, University of Tasmania,
Box 252C, G.P.O., Hobart, Tasmania, Australia 7001*

Communicated by Frank Deutsch

Received April 1, 1985

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRINCIPAL RESULT

Suppose a function f is continuous on $[0, 1]$. The n th ($n \geq 1$) Bernstein polynomial of f is denoted and defined by

$$B_n(f; x) = \sum_{k=0}^n f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \binom{n}{k} x^k (1-x)^{n-k}. \quad (1.1)$$

Obviously, $B_n(f)$ is a polynomial of degree $\leq n$ and its importance in approximation theory arises from the fact that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n(f; x) = f(x)$ uniformly on $[0, 1]$. For elementary properties of these polynomials see Davis [2, Chap. 6] and, for a more extensive treatment, Lorentz [3]. One of the outstanding properties of these polynomials is that they mimic the behaviour of the given function f to a remarkable degree. Thus if, in addition to being continuous on $[0, 1]$, f is convex, then $B_n(f)$ is also convex. Furthermore (see [2, Sect. 6.3]), for $n = 2, 3, 4, \dots$ and for all $x \in [0, 1]$,

$$B_{n-1}(f; x) \geq B_n(f; x) \geq f(x). \quad (1.2)$$

As a consequence of this result we have, since the function $-x^\mu$, $0 < \mu \leq 1$, is convex on $[0, 1]$, that for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$,

$$B_n(x^\mu; h) \leq h^\mu, \quad 0 \leq h \leq 1. \quad (1.3)$$

In this note we shall assume only that the given function f is Lipschitz

continuous of order μ , $0 < \mu \leq 1$, on $[0, 1]$. That is, there exists a constant $A \geq 0$ such that for every pair of points $x_1, x_2 \in [0, 1]$, we have

$$|f(x_1) - f(x_2)| \leq A |x_1 - x_2|^\mu. \quad (1.4)$$

The constant A , which depends upon f and μ , is known as the *Lipschitz constant* of f , and for f satisfying (1.4), we write $f \in \text{Lip}_A \mu$. Obviously, if f is differentiable on $[0, 1]$, then f satisfies inequality (1.4) for all $\mu \in (0, 1]$. The principal result is the following theorem.

THEOREM 1. *If $f \in \text{Lip}_A \mu$, then for all $n \geq 1$, $B_n(f) \in \text{Lip}_A \mu$ also.*

An elementary proof of this theorem is given in the next section. The interesting thing about this result is that each of the Bernstein polynomials $B_n(f)$, for $n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$, has the same Lipschitz constant as the given function f when considered as being in the class of functions $\text{Lip } \mu$. This is another example of the mimicing behaviour of the Bernstein polynomials. Two further small points should be noted. First, since $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} B_n(f; x) = f(x)$, for all $x \in [0, 1]$, the converse of Theorem 1 is true. Second, by choosing $f(x)$ to be Ax^μ and the points x_1, x_2 to be 0, 1, respectively, we see that the constant A cannot be diminished for any value of n .

There is a brief history to this theorem. Bloom and Elliott [1] showed that it was true when $\mu = 1$ and for $\mu \neq 1$ showed that $B_n(f) \in \text{Lip}_A(\mu/4)$. Theorem 1 was conjectured and, in a private communication to the authors of [1], Dr. Dickmeis stated that the result was true as a consequence of the Peetre K -theory of interpolation between Banach spaces. We shall now give an elementary proof of Theorem 1.

2. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

Let $x_1 \leq x_2$ be any two points of $[0, 1]$. We need to show that

$$|B_n(f; x_2) - B_n(f; x_1)| \leq A(x_2 - x_1)^\mu,$$

given that f satisfies (1.4). From (1.1),

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(f; x_2) &= \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (1-x_2)^{n-j} f\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) (x_1 + (x_2 - x_1))^j \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n \binom{n}{j} (1-x_2)^{n-j} f\left(\frac{j}{n}\right) \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^j \binom{j}{k} x_1^k (x_2 - x_1)^{j-k} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{j=0}^n \sum_{k=0}^j \frac{n! x_1^k (x_2 - x_1)^{j-k} (1-x_2)^{n-j}}{k! (j-k)! (n-j)!} f\left(\frac{j}{n}\right). \end{aligned}$$

On inverting the order of summation and writing $k + l = j$, then

$$B_n(f; x_2) = \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{l=0}^{n-k} \frac{n!}{k! l!(n-k-l)!} x_1^k (x_2 - x_1)^l \times (1 - x_2)^{n-k-l} f\left(\frac{k+l}{n}\right). \quad (2.1)$$

We now construct a similar double sum for $B_n(f; x_1)$. Again, from (1.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} B_n(f; x_1) &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x_1^k f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) ((x_2 - x_1) + (1 - x_2))^{n-k} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n}{k} x_1^k f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \left\{ \sum_{l=0}^{n-k} \binom{n-k}{l} (x_2 - x_1)^l (1 - x_2)^{n-k-l} \right\} \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{l=0}^{n-k} \frac{n!}{k! l!(n-k-l)!} x_1^k (x_2 - x_1)^l \times (1 - x_2)^{n-k-l} f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right). \end{aligned} \quad (2.2)$$

On subtracting (2.2) from (2.1), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &|B_n(f; x_2) - B_n(f; x_1)| \\ &= \left| \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{l=0}^{n-k} \frac{n!}{k! l!(n-k-l)!} x_1^k (x_2 - x_1)^l (1 - x_2)^{n-k-l} \right. \\ &\quad \left. \times \left\{ f\left(\frac{k+l}{n}\right) - f\left(\frac{k}{n}\right) \right\} \right| \\ &\leq A \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{l=0}^{n-k} \frac{n!}{k! l!(n-k-l)!} x_1^k (x_2 - x_1)^l (1 - x_2)^{n-k-l} \left(\frac{l}{n}\right)^\mu, \end{aligned}$$

on using (1.4),

$$\begin{aligned} &= A \sum_{l=0}^n \frac{(x_2 - x_1)^l n!}{l! (n-l)!} \left(\frac{l}{n}\right)^\mu \left\{ \sum_{k=0}^{n-l} \binom{n-l}{k} x_1^k (1 - x_2)^{n-l-k} \right\} \\ &= A \sum_{l=0}^n \binom{n}{l} (x_2 - x_1)^l \left(\frac{l}{n}\right)^\mu (x_1 + 1 - x_2)^{n-l} \\ &= AB_n(x^\mu; x_2 - x_1), \quad \text{by (1.1),} \\ &\leq A(x_2 - x_1)^\mu, \quad \text{by (1.3).} \end{aligned}$$

Thus we see that $B_n(f) \in \text{Lip}_A \mu$, where A is the Lipschitz constant of f so that the theorem is proved.

REFERENCES

1. W. R. BLOOM AND DAVID ELLIOTT, The modulus of continuity of the remainder in the approximation of Lipschitz functions, *J. Approx. Theory* **31** (1981), 59–66.
2. P. J. DAVIS, "Interpolation and Approximation," Blaisdell, Waltham, Mass., 1963.
3. G. G. LORENTZ, "Bernstein Polynomials," Math. Expo. No. 8, Univ. of Toronto Press, Toronto, 1953.