Sustainable Environment Research 26 (2016) 103-109

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Sustainable Environment Research

journal homepage: www.journals.elsevier.com/sustainableenvironment-research/



CrossMark

# Mini review

# A review on microwave pyrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass

# Yu-Fong Huang, Pei-Te Chiueh, Shang-Lien Lo\*

Graduate Institute of Environmental Engineering, National Taiwan University, Taipei 106, Taiwan

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 29 January 2016 Received in revised form 29 March 2016 Accepted 14 April 2016 Available online 26 April 2016

Keywords: Microwave pyrolysis Lignocellulosic biomass Bioenergy Catalysis Reaction kinetics

#### ABSTRACT

This article briefly reviews the technique of lignocellulosic biomass pyrolysis by using microwave heating. Lignocellulosic biomass, such as crops, wood, agricultural and forestry residues, is a major biomass resource and has been recognized as a sustainable feedstock for the production of bioenergy and green materials. Microwave heating can be better than conventional heating because of various advantages. Hot spots, which form under microwave irradiation, would have significant influence on the vield and characteristics of microwave processing products. The solid products of microwave pyrolysis at proper microwave power levels can have high heating values and specific surface areas with higher gas and solid yields but lower liquid yield than conventional pyrolysis. By using microwave pyrolysis, almost half of lignocellulosic biomass can be converted into gas product, which is mainly composed of H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, CO, and CO<sub>2</sub>, with more bioenergy because of its high H<sub>2</sub> and CO yields. The addition of proper catalysts provides substantial influence on the product selectivity of microwave pyrolysis. The gas and liquid yields as well as the heating performance of microwave pyrolysis can be dramatically promoted by adding catalysts. The activation energy and pre-exponential factor of microwave pyrolysis are much lower than those of conventional pyrolysis, revealing that the reaction kinetics for the two methods could be different. According to various advantages, microwave pyrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass can be a promising bioenergy technique.

© 2016 Chinese Institute of Environmental Engineering, Taiwan. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/bync-nd/4.0/).

# 1. Introduction

Renewable energy has attracted increasing interest because of the concerns about the high demand on fossil fuels and the global climate change. One of the renewable energy sources is biomass. Biomass represents an abundant carbon-neutral resource for the production of bioenergy and biomaterials, and its enhanced use would address several societal issues [1]. Bioenergy and biofuels derived from biomass feedstocks exhibit lower life-cycle greenhouse-gas emissions than fossil fuels and with little or no competition with food production. The satisfactory feedstocks include agricultural residues, sustainably harvested wood, and municipal and industrial wastes [2]. Bioenergy industry will grow in the next few decades to address societal demands to reduce net carbon emissions, and it will require major changes in supply chain infrastructure [3]. Lignocellulosic biomass, a major biomass

E-mail address: sllo@ntu.edu.tw (S.-L. Lo).

resource, has been recognized as a potentially sustainable feedstock for the production of biofuels and other biomaterials [4]. However, because of the nature of biomass recalcitrance which means the complex structural and chemical mechanisms of plant biomass for resisting assault on its structural sugars from the microbial and animal kingdoms, it would be difficult to convert lignocellulosic biomass by biological processes and the cost could be high [4]. In this case, thermochemical processes could be a better choice to convert lignocellulosic feedstocks more quickly with lesser cost.

Thermochemical conversion processes include combustion, gasification, liquefaction, and pyrolysis [5,6]. Combustion is the burning of biomass in air to convert the chemical energy stored in biomass into heat, mechanical power, or electricity [6]. Gasification is the conversion of biomass to a fuel gas by heating in a medium such as air, oxygen, or steam [7]. Liquefaction is generally carried out at relatively low temperatures to produce liquid products [8]. Pyrolysis is a thermal decomposition process occurring in the absence of oxygen, and it is always also the first step to produce primary products in combustion and gasification processes where it is followed by total or partial oxidation of the intermediate products [9]. The products of biomass pyrolysis include solid (char), liquid (bio-oil), and gaseous fuel [10]. The yields of the three-phase

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.serj.2016.04.012

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author.

Peer review under responsibility of Chinese Institute of Environmental Engineering.

<sup>2468-2039/© 2016</sup> Chinese Institute of Environmental Engineering, Taiwan. Production and hosting by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).

products would vary with changing operational parameters. Lower process temperature and longer vapor residence time favor the production of char, higher processing temperature and longer vapor residence time increase the biomass conversion to gas, and moderate temperature and shorter vapor residence time are optimum for liquids production [9]. Pyrolysis consists of a complicated set of reactions involving the formation of radicals [11]. Most of the research on pyrolysis processes has been conducted by using conventional heating sources such as electric and gas heater [12]. However, biomass pyrolysis heated by microwave irradiation could be a more promising technique because of a number of advantages over conventional pyrolysis.

This review focuses on microwave heating mechanism, microwave pyrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass, characterization and applicability assessment of products, reaction kinetics, and the comparison between microwave and conventional pyrolysis methods. The aim of this review is to help to understand the principle, product distribution, reaction kinetics, and challenges of state-of-the-art microwave pyrolysis technique.

# 2. Microwave heating mechanism

# 2.1. Microwaves

In the electromagnetic spectrum, microwaves lie between infrared and radio frequencies. The wavelengths of microwaves are between 1 mm and 1 m with corresponding frequencies between 300 GHz and 300 MHz, respectively [13–15]. The two most commonly used microwave frequencies are 915 MHz and 2.45 GHz [14]. Microwave energy is derived from electrical energy with a conversion efficiency of approximately 85% for 915 MHz and 50% for 2.45 GHz [13]. Most of the domestic microwave ovens use the frequency of 2.45 GHz. Compared with 2.45 GHz, the use of 915 MHz can provide substantially larger penetration depth which is an important parameter in the design of microwave absorption capacity of materials [16].

#### 2.2. Microwave heating

Microwaves cause molecular motion by migration of ionic species or rotation of dipolar species or both [13] to generate heat because of the friction among molecules. Materials that can absorb microwaves are called absorbers or dielectrics, so microwave heating is also referred to as dielectric heating [15]. The extent of microwave heating a material can be determined by a term called loss tangent which is the ratio of dielectric loss factor to dielectric constant of the material [13]. In general, materials can be divided into three types according to their loss tangents: high (> 0.5), medium (0.1-0.5), and low (< 0.1) microwave absorbing materials [17]. The loss tangents of carbon materials such as charcoal, carbon black, and activated carbon, ranging from 0.1 to 0.8, are either comparable to or higher than the loss tangent of distilled water (0.118 at 2.45 GHz and 298 K), so carbon materials are good microwave absorbers that show high capacity to absorb and convert microwave energy into heat [12,18]. Materials can be classified into three categories according to their interactions with microwaves: conductors, insulators, and absorbers. Microwaves are reflected from the surface and thus do not heat metals, which generally have high conductivity and are classified as conductors [13]. Materials (e.g., glass and ceramics) transparent to microwaves are classified as insulators.

Microwave heating offers a number of advantages over conventional heating such as: (1) non-contact heating; (2) energy transfer rather than heat transfer; (3) higher heating rate; (4) material selective heating; (5) volumetric heating; (6) quick startup and stopping; (7) heating from interior of the material body; (8) higher level of safety and automation [13]. Microwave energy is efficient in the selective heating of materials as no energy is wasted in the bulk heating of feedstocks, which is a clear advantage over conventional methods (bulk heating in furnaces) [15]. Therefore, microwave heating has been widely used in various scientific researches and industrial applications, such as food processing. sterilization and pasteurization, different drying processes, rubber vulcanization, and polymerization or curing of resins and polymers [18]. An important characteristic of microwave heating is the phenomenon of hot spot formation, which arises from the inhomogeneity of microwave field (the formation of standing waves) or dielectric property within a feedstock (a blend or a mixture) so that a local temperature inside the feedstock is much higher than the temperature measured in the bulk [15,17]. Hot spots would have significant influence on the yield and characteristics of microwave processing products. To control or utilize the hot spot phenomenon, cavity design is an important factor [15]. The effects of hot spots can be reduced by several techniques, such as increasing the size of the cavity, operating at a higher frequency, equipping a turntable, installing a mode stirrer, and hybrid heating that combines microwave heating with conventional heat transfer through radiation, convection, or conduction [14].

# 3. Microwave pyrolysis

Lignocellulosic biomass, such as crops, wood, agricultural and forestry residues, is mainly composed of cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin. In general, the cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin contents of plant biomass are approximately 35–50, 25–30, and 15–30 wt%, respectively [1]. Microwave pyrolysis has been applied to various lignocellulosic feedstocks, such as larch [19], pine wood sawdust [20,21], peanut shell [21], maize stalk [21], rice straw [22], corn stover [23,24], aspen [24], wheat straw [25,26], oil palm biomass [27], Douglas fir [28], prairie cordgrass [29], rice husk [30], and waste office paper [31].

#### 3.1. Product yield

The gas, liquid, and solid yields of microwave pyrolysis of these biomass feedstocks are listed in Table 1. The gas product of microwave pyrolysis is primarily composed of permanent gases (i.e.,  $H_2$ ,  $CH_4$ , CO,  $CO_2$ , etc.) which are incondensable at room temperature, condensable hydrocarbons in the product vapor, and the solid residues left.

As can be seen, the product yields of these researches are quite different. This could be attributable to the difference in sample weight, biomass characteristics, particle size, microwave power level, reaction temperature, reaction time, product vapor residence time, reactor design, and microwave heating manner. Among these factors, the effect of reaction temperature is the most critical. When the reaction temperature was only 180-200 °C, the gas, liquid, and solid yields were approximately 15, 50, 36 wt%, respectively [25,31]. However, at the reaction temperature of 600 °C, the gas, liquid, and solid yields were approximately 41, 26, 33 wt%, respectively [23,29,30]. Therefore, the gas yield substantially increased but the liquid yield substantially decreased with increasing reaction temperature, whereas the reduction in the solid yield was not so obvious. This may imply that, when product vapors are released by the devolatilization of biomass pyrolysis, more hydrocarbons in the vapors can be converted into permanent gases at higher reaction temperature. The product distributions of microwave and conventional pyrolysis are shown in Fig. 1. As can be seen, microwave pyrolysis can produce more solid and gas products but less liquid

#### Table 1

| Product  | vields   | of ligno | cellulosic | hiomass | nyrolysis | using | microwave | heating  |
|----------|----------|----------|------------|---------|-----------|-------|-----------|----------|
| i iouuci | yicius i | or ngno  | centuiosie | Diomass | pyrorysis | using | merowave  | neating. |

| Biomass Experimental parameters Yield (wt%) |  |      | Ref.   |       |      |
|---|--|------|--------|-------|------|
|   |  | Gas  | Liquid | Solid |      |
| Larch                                       | Sample weight: 191 g; microwave power: 1.5 kW; reaction time: 18 min.  | 60.8 | 18.1   | 21.1  | [19] |
| Pine wood sawdust                           | Sample weight: 15 g; microwave power: $0.3-1$ kW; reaction temperature: 470 °C; reaction time: 12 min.   | 60.0 | 22.7   | 17.3  | [20] |
| Pine wood sawdust                           | Feeding rate: 2 kg $h^{-1}$ ; reaction temperature: 500 °C; particle size: 0.5–1.0 mm.   | 15.0 | 69.9   | 15.2  | [21] |
| Peanut shell                                | Feeding rate: 2 kg $h^{-1}$ ; reaction temperature: 500 °C; particle size: 0.5–1.0 mm.   | 17.1 | 52.4   | 30.5  | [21] |
| Maize stalk                                 | Feeding rate: 2 kg $h^{-1}$ ; reaction temperature: 500 °C; particle size: 0.5–1.0 mm.   | 19.0 | 51.3   | 29.8  | [21] |
| Rice straw                                  | Sample weight: 3–5 g; microwave power: 300 W; reaction temperature: 400 °C; reaction time: 30 min; particle size: < 0.425 mm.  | 49.4 | 22.6   | 28.1  | [22] |
| Corn stover                                 | Microwave power: 700 W; reaction temperature: 600 °C; reaction time: 13 min; particle size: 2 mm.  | 41.3 | 32.7   | 26.0  | [23] |
| Corn stover                                 | Sample weight: 100 g; microwave power: 875 W; reaction temperature:<br>450–550 °C; reaction time: 20 min; particle size: 6.2 mm in diameter<br>and 10–20 mm in length. | 34.4 | 37.0   | 28.6  | [24] |
| Aspen                                       | Sample weight: 100 g; microwave power: 875 W; reaction temperature:<br>450–550 °C; reaction time: 20 min; particle size: 4.8 mm in diameter<br>and 4–8 mm in length.   | 38.9 | 35.9   | 25.2  | [24] |
| Wheat straw                                 | Sample weight: $100-150$ g; microwave power: 1.2 kW (max); reaction temperature: 180 °C (max); reaction time: 10 min.  | 14   | 57     | 29    | [25] |
| Wheat straw                                 | Sample weight: 5–30 g; reaction temperature: 600 °C; reaction time: 10 min; particle size: < 0.09 mm.  | ~23  | ~31    | ~47   | [26] |
| Oil palm shell                              | Microwave power: 450 W; reaction time: 25 min; particle size: 1–100 mm.  | ~19  | ~13    | ~68   | [27] |
| Oil palm fiber                              | Microwave power: 450 W; reaction time: 25 min; particle size: 0.3–0.6 mm.  | ~12  | ~8     | ~80   | [27] |
| Douglas fir                                 | Sample weight: 120 g; microwave power: 700 W; reaction temperature: 400 °C; reaction time: 15 min; particle size: 7 mm in diameter and 15 mm in length.                | 13.1 | 50.2   | 36.7  | [28] |
| Prairie cordgrass                           | Sample weight: 100 g; microwave power: 700 W; reaction temperature: 600 °C; reaction time: 13 min; particle size: < 4 mm.  | 46.7 | 24.3   | 29.1  | [29] |
| Rice husk                                   | Sample weight: 50 g; microwave power: 700 W; reaction temperature: 600 °C; reaction time: 20 min.  | ~35  | ~22    | ~43   | [30] |
| Waste office paper                          | Sample weight: 150 g; microwave power: 1.2 kW; reaction temperature: < 200 $^\circ C$ ; particle size: < 5 mm.   | 15   | 42     | 43    | [31] |



**Fig. 1.** Product distributions of microwave and conventional pyrolysis (data taken from Refs. [9,19,20,22–24,29,30]).

product than conventional pyrolysis. The average gas, liquid, and solid yields were approximately 46, 27, and 27 wt%, respectively [19,20,22–24,29,30]. Therefore, almost half of the biomass can be converted into permanent gases by using the technique of microwave pyrolysis. The product distribution of microwave pyrolysis is different from that of conventional pyrolysis. The typical gas, liquid, and solid product yields of fast pyrolysis are 10–20, 60–75, and 15–25 wt%, respectively [32]. Therefore, compared with conventional pyrolysis, microwave pyrolysis can produce more gas product and less liquid product.

# 3.2. Solid product

The solid products (i.e., char or biochar) of microwave pyrolysis can be different from those of conventional pyrolysis. One of the differences is surface structure. Guo and Lua reported that pores of various sizes were observed on the surface indicating to some extent the heterogeneous nature of the char produced by conventional pyrolysis, whereas many orderly pores with round shape and uniform sizes were found all over the surface of the char produced by microwave pyrolysis [33]. Miura et al. pointed out that the micropores of the char produced by conventional pyrolysis were filled with large amounts of the carbon-like adhesives, whereas those produced by microwave pyrolysis were quite clean and exhibited a more open structure as a result of the release of volatile matter [19]. In addition, Salema and Ani reported that large and deep cracks were found in the conventional pyrolysis char, whereas pores were observed in the microwave pyrolysis char without any cracks [27]. The surface area of the char produced by microwave heating was larger than that produced by conventional heating [34], and it increased with increasing reaction (microwave irradiation) time [33,34], reaction temperature [26,33], and microwave power level [22,27,33]. Taking microwave pyrolysis of oil palm stone as an example, a microwave power level of 450 W was considered as the minimum to cause any significant changes in the properties of char [33]. After microwave pyrolysis at a sufficient microwave power level and for a sufficient reaction time, the specific surface area of the char produced can be higher than 400 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup> [33,34].

Microwave pyrolysis also changes the heating value of biomass. Huang et al. reported that the heating values of raw rice straw and its biochar produced at microwave power levels of 200-350 and 400-500 W were approximately 15, 19, and 17 MJ kg<sup>-1</sup>, respectively [22]. The increase in heating value should be attributable to the devolatilization of hemicellulose and cellulose, whose heating values are much lower than that of lignin, the more thermo-resistant biopolymer. At relatively low microwave power levels, the thermal degradation of lignin should be insignificant. However, when the microwave power level is higher, the devolatilization of lignin would be substantial, leading to the production of char with lower heating values. Microwave torrefaction is a technique to produce char with high heating values as its primary goal, provided that the reaction temperature is controlled at 200–300 °C [35-39]. However, the yields of gas and liquid products of microwave torrefaction are very low, and thus they could be only regarded as minor byproducts.

# 3.3. Liquid product

The condensable part of vapor produced from biomass pyrolysis, which is generally named as bio-oil, pyrolysis oil, or pyrolysis liquid, is usually a dark-brown organic liquid [40]. The heating value of biooil is approximately half that of conventional fuel oil [41]. This could be owing to the relatively high oxygen and water contents of bio-oil, and thus further upgrading and refining processes are needed to increase its heating value. As aforementioned, microwave pyrolysis of biomass can also produce bio-oils. The properties of bio-oils produced by microwave and conventional pyrolysis are listed in Table 2. It can be seen that the properties of microwave pyrolysis biooil were slightly different from those of conventional pyrolysis biooil. More specifically, microwave pyrolysis seems to show a potential for the production of bio-oils with higher carbon content and heating value and lower oxygen content [31,42–44]. Furthermore, Yu et al. reported that the properties and stability of bio-oils can be improved by blending methanol or ethanol into the bio-oils [42].

The compositions of liquid products from microwave pyrolysis of biomass are complicated. Huang et al. reported that the components of liquid product produced from microwave pyrolysis of rice straw could be divided into three groups: alkanes from C12 to C32, polars including phenol and its derivatives, and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) with 2-3 rings and their derivatives, with the percentages of approximately 44, 26, and 9 area%, respectively [22]. Zhang et al. pointed out that the chemical compositions in oil fraction of liquid product produced from microwave pyrolysis of corn stover could be classified into several groups, such as aliphatic hydrocarbons, aromatic hydrocarbons, oxygencontaining aliphatic compounds, oxygen-containing aromatic compounds and others (nitrogen-containing compounds and unidentified compounds) with the relative content of oxygencontaining aliphatic compounds and oxygen-containing aromatic compounds approximately 50 and 40%, respectively [45].

# 3.4. Gas product

The composition of gas product from microwave pyrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass, including wheat straw [26], rice husk [30],

Table 2

Properties of bio-oils produced by microwave and conventional pyrolysis.

coffee hulls [43], pine sawdust [46], and rice straw [47], is shown in Fig. 2. As can be seen, the primary components of the gas product were H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, CO, and CO<sub>2</sub>, with the concentrations of approximately 32, 9, 33, and 23 vol%, respectively. The fraction of undetermined components (e.g., light hydrocarbons) would be only 3 vol% or even less. Therefore, microwave pyrolysis could be a promising technique for the production of  $H_2$ -rich fuel gas [43,47]. Fig. 2 also shows that microwave pyrolysis can produce more H<sub>2</sub> and CO but less CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> than conventional pyrolysis, so the gas product of microwave pyrolysis can provide more bioenergy due to its higher heating value. As the reaction temperature increased, both H<sub>2</sub> and CO concentrations substantially increased whereas CO<sub>2</sub> concentration substantially decreased. However, the change in CH<sub>4</sub> concentration was not obvious. The considerable variations in CO and CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations could be attributable to the selfgasification of char [48]:

$$C + CO_2 \leftrightarrow CO \tag{1}$$

This disproportionation equation, called the Boudouard reaction, is one of the most important reactions in biomass gasification. The relatively high amount of potassium present in the raw biomass feedstock would act as a catalyst to favor the selfgasification phenomenon [48]. The high  $H_2$  content of gas product could be attributable to the water gas reaction:

$$C + H_2 O \leftrightarrow CO + H_2 \tag{2}$$



Fig. 2. Compositions of gas products from microwave and conventional pyrolysis (data taken from Refs. [26,30,43,46,47]).

| Property                                      | Microwave pyrolysis bio- | Conventional pyrolysis bio-oil [44] |                                      |                  |                   |         |  |  |
|---|--------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|------------------|-------------------|---------|--|--|
|   | Pine wood sawdust [21]   | Wheat straw [25]                    | Waste office paper <sup>a</sup> [31] | Corn stover [42] | Coffee hulls [43] |         |  |  |
| Elemental composition (wt%, dehydrated basis) |                          |                                     |                                      |                  |                   |         |  |  |
| C   | 48.8                     | 58.9                                | 49.9                                 | 60.7             | 74.8              | 54-58   |  |  |
| Н   | 6.8                      | 6.9                                 | 5.8                                  | 7.7              | 8.3               | 5.5-7.0 |  |  |
| 0   | 43.5                     | 33.2                                | 44.2                                 | _                | 8.5               | 35-40   |  |  |
| Ν   | 0.9                      | 1.2                                 | ND <sup>b</sup>                      | 2.0              | 7.8               | 0-0.2   |  |  |
| S   | 0                        | 0.02                                | 0.04                                 | 0.15             | 0.6               | _       |  |  |
| Ash (wt%)                                     | _                        | -                                   | 1.2                                  | 0.04             | -                 | 0-0.2   |  |  |
| Water (wt%)                                   | 26.2                     | < 1                                 | 2.6                                  | 15.2             | -                 | 15–30   |  |  |
| pН  | 2.5                      | 1.4 <sup>c</sup>                    | _                                    | 2.9              | -                 | 2.5     |  |  |
| Density (g mL <sup>-1</sup> )                 | 1.15                     | 1.2                                 | _                                    | 1.25             | -                 | 1.2     |  |  |
| HHV <sup>d</sup> (MJ kg <sup>-1</sup> )       | 15.0 <sup>e</sup>        | 16–22 <sup>e</sup>                  | 21.8                                 | 17.5             | 34.4              | 16–19   |  |  |

<sup>a</sup> Organic phase bio-oil.

<sup>b</sup> ND: Not detected.

<sup>c</sup> Acid number.

<sup>d</sup> HHV: Higher heating value.

<sup>e</sup> Lower heating value.

The  $H_2$  formation may also be attributable to the cracking and reforming reactions of hydrocarbons [49].

Huang et al. reported four empirical equations presenting the relationships between gas yields and lignocellulosic contents [50]:

H<sub>2</sub> yield 
$$(mg g^{-1}) = 0.1331 \cdot H + 0.0486 \cdot C + 0.0647 \cdot L$$
 (3)

$$CH_4 \text{ yield } \left( \text{mg g}^{-1} \right) = 0.1965 \cdot \text{H} + 0.2937 \cdot \text{C} + 0.0854 \cdot \text{L}$$
 (4)

CO yield 
$$(mgg^{-1}) = 2.3494 \cdot H + 3.3577 \cdot C + 2.0532 \cdot L$$
 (5)

$$CO_2 \text{ yield } \left( \text{mg g}^{-1} \right) = 1.4510 \cdot \text{H} + 0.8023 \cdot \text{C} + 0.6097 \cdot \text{L}$$
 (6)

where H, C, and L are hemicellulose, cellulose, and lignin contents in weight percent (wt%), respectively. It can be seen that lignin would play an important role for the production of the gases except CH<sub>4</sub>, and its effect on H<sub>2</sub> production would be stronger than that of cellulose [50]. Huang et al. also claimed that most of the relative errors between calculated and measured gas yields can be less than 10% [50].

#### 4. Catalytic microwave pyrolysis

In general, the addition of proper catalysts can have great effect on the product selectivity of microwave pyrolysis. In most cases, the heating performance and gas/liquid yield of microwave pyrolysis can be promoted by adding catalysts. However, it is not yet clear that these changes are owing to catalytic effect or microwave absorption.

In recent years, microwave pyrolysis along with the addition of catalysts has attracted considerable interest. Chen et al. reported that the addition of NaOH, Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, Na<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>3</sub>, NaCl, TiO<sub>2</sub>, HZSM-5, H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, and Fe<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> all increased the solid yield of microwave pyrolysis of pine wood sawdust, decreased gas yield more or less, and had no substantial effect on liquid yield [20]. Also all the eight additives decreased both CH<sub>4</sub> and CO<sub>2</sub> yields, whereas all of them except NaCl, TiO<sub>2</sub>, and Fe<sub>2</sub>(SO<sub>4</sub>)<sub>3</sub> increased H<sub>2</sub> yield and all of them except Na<sub>2</sub>SiO<sub>3</sub> and HZSM-5 decreased CO yield [20]. Wan et al. reported that the addition of KAc, Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, MgCl<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub>, and Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>, which may function as microwave absorbents to speed up heating or participate in the "in situ upgrading" of pyrolytic vapors during the microwave pyrolysis of corn stover or aspen wood, increased bio-oil yield by either suppressing charcoal yield or gas yield or both [24]. Zhang et al. reported that the heating rate, final temperature, and gas yield of microwave pyrolysis of rice husk all increased with the addition of rice husk char and rice husk charsupported metallic (Ni, Fe, and Cu) catalysts [30]. Huang et al. reported that, during the microwave pyrolysis of corn stover, the addition of catalysts (NiO, CuO, CaO, and MgO) decreased the formation of PAHs and therefore made liquid products less toxic [51]. Kuan et al. pointed out that adding either CaO or MgO increased the gas yield of microwave pyrolysis of sugarcane bagasse, whereas adding either NiO or CuO increased the liquid yield [52]. The work of Zhang et al. shows that adding HZSM-5 aluminosilicate zeolite to the microwave pyrolysis of corn stover increases the production of aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons but decreases the production of oxygen-containing aliphatic and aromatic hydrocarbons [45].

# 5. Reaction kinetics

The reaction kinetics for microwave pyrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass has been evaluated. In general, the thermal decomposition of solid biomass at a constant heating rate can be expressed as follows by applying the Arrhenius equation:

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\alpha}{\mathrm{d}T} = \frac{A}{\beta} \exp\left(-\frac{E}{RT}\right) (1-\alpha)^n \tag{7}$$

where  $\alpha$  is the conversion fraction of biomass sample, *T* is the temperature,  $\beta$  is the heating rate, *A* is the pre-exponential or frequency factor, *E* is the activation energy, and *R* is the universal gas constant. By using the Coats–Redfern method [53], Eq. (7) can be integrated to:

$$\ln\left[\frac{-\ln(1-\alpha)}{T^2}\right] = \ln\left[\frac{AR}{\beta E}\left(1-\frac{2RT}{E}\right)\right] - \frac{E}{RT} \quad (\text{for } n=1)$$
(8)

$$\ln\left[\frac{1-(1-\alpha)^{1-n}}{T^2(1-n)}\right] = \ln\left[\frac{AR}{\beta E}\left(1-\frac{2RT}{E}\right)\right] - \frac{E}{RT} \quad (\text{for } n \neq 1)$$
(9)

Assuming  $2RT/E \ll 1$ , Eqs. (8) and (9) can be simplified to:

$$\ln\left[\frac{-\ln(1-\alpha)}{T^2}\right] = \ln\left(\frac{AR}{\beta E}\right) - \frac{E}{RT} \quad (\text{for } n = 1)$$
(10)

$$\ln\left[\frac{1-(1-\alpha)^{1-n}}{T^2(1-n)}\right] = \ln\left(\frac{AR}{\beta E}\right) - \frac{E}{RT} \quad (\text{for } n \neq 1)$$
(11)

A plot of  $\ln[-\ln(1-\alpha)/T^2]$  versus 1/T for n = 1 or  $\ln[(1-(1-\alpha)(T^2(1-n))]$  versus 1/T for  $n \neq 1$  could result in a straight line with a slop of -E/R and an intercept of  $\ln(AR/\beta E)$ , and thus *E* and *A* can be determined.

The kinetic parameters for microwave pyrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass reported in the literature [29,52,54–56] are listed in Table 3. As can be seen, all the results had high coefficients of determination ( $R^2$ ). However, the activation energy and preexponential factor of microwave pyrolysis were much lower than those of conventional pyrolysis, revealing the difference in kinetic processes for both heating methods [56]. Besides, due to the low activation energy values, the assumption of  $2RT/E \ll 1$  as aforementioned may be inappropriate.

# 6. Challenges

Although microwave pyrolysis can provide various advantages over conventional pyrolysis as aforementioned, it still faces several challenges. The bio-oil yield (up to approximately 40 wt%) of microwave pyrolysis is much lower than that from fluidized bed pyrolysis, which indicates that the high bio-oil yield production remains a large challenge for microwave pyrolysis [54]. Besides, the electromagnetic field in microwave ovens is not uniform and could lead to non-homogenous heating, and the characteristic changes in

| Table 3  |  |
|--|--|
| Kinetic parameters for microwave pyrolysis of lignocellulosic biomass. |  |

| Biomass           | n   | E (kJ mol <sup>-1</sup> ) | A (1/s) | <i>R</i> <sup>2</sup> | Ref. |
|-------------------|-----|---------------------------|---------|-----------------------|------|
| Prairie cordgrass | 1   | 3.1                       | 2.26    | 0.42                  | [29] |
|                   | 2   | 13.3                      | 1.82    | 0.91                  |      |
|                   | 3   | 12.1                      | 1.53    | 0.89                  |      |
| Sugarcane bagasse | 1   | 18.9                      | 0.18    | 0.99                  | [52] |
| Douglas fir       | 1   | 16.5                      | 15.3    | 0.81                  | [54] |
|                   | 2   | 21                        | 1.42    | 0.79                  |      |
|                   | 3   | 33.5                      | 3.03    | 0.85                  |      |
| Rice straw        | 1   | 27.1                      | 1.06    | 0.97                  | [55] |
| Bamboo            | 1.5 | 24.5                      | 198     | 0.97                  | [56] |

materials during microwave heating may affect their dielectric properties and consequently cause difficulties in process controlling and modeling [57]. Moreover, the crude bio-oil produced by microwave pyrolysis needs to be further upgraded to promote its industrial favorability [58]. The other challenges include the high energy usage and products utilization of microwave pyrolysis. Therefore, microwave pyrolysis still needs to be further researched and developed to improve its production performance and product value.

#### 7. Conclusions

Microwave heating offers a number of advantages over conventional heating. The hot spot phenomenon of microwave heating would have significant influence on the yield and characteristics of microwave processing products. Microwave pyrolysis is different from conventional pyrolysis. Microwave pyrolysis has higher gas and solid yields but lower liquid yield than conventional pyrolysis. The solid product of microwave pyrolysis can have high heating value and specific surface area, depending on the magnitude of input microwave power level. By using microwave pyrolysis, almost half of lignocellulosic biomass can be converted into gas product, which is mainly composed of H<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, CO, and CO<sub>2</sub>. Due to high H<sub>2</sub> and CO yields, the gas product of microwave pyrolysis has higher heating value than that of conventional pyrolysis. The addition of proper catalysts can provide substantial influence on the product selectivity of microwave pyrolysis. The gas and liquid yields as well as the heating performance of microwave pyrolysis can be dramatically promoted by adding catalysts. The activation energy and pre-exponential factor of microwave pyrolysis are much lower than those of conventional pyrolysis, revealing that the reaction kinetics for the two methods are different. According to the aforementioned literature results, microwave pyrolysis should have a high potential for the production bioenergy and green materials from lignocellulosic biomass.

# Acknowledgement

The authors gratefully acknowledge the financial support from the Ministry of Science and Technology, Taiwan, ROC (104-2221-E-002-029-MY3).

#### References

- Ragauskas AJ, Williams CK, Davison BH, Britovsek G, Cairney J, Eckert CA, et al. The path forward for biofuels and biomaterials. Science 2006;311:484–9.
   Tilman D, Socolow R, Foley JA, Hill J, Larson E, Lynd L, et al. Beneficial bio-
- [2] Tilman D, Socolow R, Foley JA, Hill J, Larson E, Lynd L, et al. Beneficial biofuels-the food, energy, and environment trilemma. Science 2009;325:270-1.
- [3] Richard TL. Challenges in scaling up biofuels infrastructure. Science 2010;329: 793–6.
- [4] Himmel ME, Ding SY, Johnson DK, Adney WS, Nimlos MR, Brady JW, et al. Biomass recalcitrance: engineering plants and enzymes for biofuels production. Science 2007;315:804–7.
- [5] Bridgwater AV, Peacocke GVC. Fast pyrolysis processes for biomass. Renew Sust Energ Rev 2000;4:1–73.
- [6] McKendry P. Energy production from biomass (part 2): conversion technologies. Bioresour Technol 2002;83:47–54.
- [7] McKendry P. Energy production from biomass (part 3): gasification technologies. Bioresour Technol 2002;83:55–63.
- [8] Peterson AA, Vogel F, Lachance RP, Froling M, Antal Jr MJ, Tester JW. Thermochemical biofuel production in hydrothermal media: a review of sub- and supercritical water technologies. Energy Environ Sci 2008;1:32–65.
- [9] Bridgwater AV. Biomass fast pyrolysis. Therm Sci 2004;8:21–49.
- [10] Demirbas A, Arin G. An overview of biomass pyrolysis. Energy Sources 2002;24:471–82.
- [11] Yaman S. Pyrolysis of biomass to produce fuels and chemical feedstocks. Energy Conv Manag 2004;45:651–71.
- [12] Lam SS, Chase HA. A review on waste to energy processes using microwave pyrolysis. Energies 2012;5:4209–32.
- [13] Haque KE. Microwave energy for mineral treatment processes a brief review. Int J Min Process 1999;57:1–24.

- [14] Thostenson ET, Chou TW. Microwave processing: fundamentals and applications. Compos Pt A-Appl Sci Manuf 1999;30:1055–71.
- [15] Jones DA, Lelyveld TP, Mavrofidis SD, Kingman SW, Miles NJ. Microwave heating applications in environmental engineering – a review. Resour Conserv Recycl 2002;34:75–90.
- [16] Mushtaq F, Mat R, Ani FN. A review on microwave assisted pyrolysis of coal and biomass for fuel production. Renew Sust Energ Rev 2014;39:555–74.
- [17] Yin C. Microwave-assisted pyrolysis of biomass for liquid biofuels production. Bioresour Technol 2012;120:273–84.
- [18] Menendez JA, Arenillas A, Fidalgo B, Fernandez Y, Zubizarreta L, Calvo EG, et al. Microwave heating processes involving carbon materials. Fuel Process Technol 2010;91:1–8.
- [19] Miura M, Kaga H, Sakurai A, Kakuchi T, Takahashi K. Rapid pyrolysis of wood block by microwave heating. J Anal Appl Pyrolysis 2004;71:187–99.
- [20] Chen MQ, Wang J, Zhang MX, Chen MG, Zhu XF, Min FF, et al. Catalytic effects of eight inorganic additives on pyrolysis of pine wood sawdust by microwave heating. J Anal Appl Pyrolysis 2008;82:145–50.
- [21] Wang XH, Chen HP, Luo K, Shao JG, Yang HP. The influence of microwave drying on biomass pyrolysis. Energy Fuels 2008;22:67-74.
  [22] Huang YF, Kuan WH, Lo SL, Lin CF. Total recovery of resources and energy
- [22] Huang YF, Kuan WH, Lo SL, Lin CF. Total recovery of resources and energy from rice straw using microwave-induced pyrolysis. Bioresour Technol 2008;99:8252–8.
- [23] Lei HW, Ren SJ, Julson J. The effects of reaction temperature and time and particle size of corn stover on microwave pyrolysis. Energy Fuels 2009;23: 3254–61.
- [24] Wan YQ, Chen P, Zhang B, Yang CY, Liu YH, Lin XY, et al. Microwave-assisted pyrolysis of biomass: catalysts to improve product selectivity. J Anal Appl Pyrolysis 2009;86:161–7.
- [25] Budarin VL, Clark JH, Lanigan BA, Shuttleworth P, Breeden SW, Wilson AJ, et al. The preparation of high-grade bio-oils through the controlled, low temperature microwave activation of wheat straw. Bioresour Technol 2009;100:6064–8.
- [26] Zhao XQ, Wang M, Liu HZ, Li LZ, Ma CY, Song ZL. A microwave reactor for characterization of pyrolyzed biomass. Bioresour Technol 2012;104:673–8.
- [27] Salema AA, Ani FN. Microwave induced pyrolysis of oil palm biomass. Bioresour Technol 2011;102:3388–95.
- [28] Bu Q, Lei HW, Ren SJ, Wang L, Holladay J, Zhang Q, et al. Phenol and phenolics from lignocellulosic biomass by catalytic microwave pyrolysis. Bioresour Technol 2011;102:7004–7.
- [29] Zhou R, Lei HW, Julson J. The effects of pyrolytic conditions on microwave pyrolysis of prairie cordgrass and kinetics. J Anal Appl Pyrolysis 2013;101:172–6.
- [30] Zhang SP, Dong Q, Zhang L, Xiong YQ. High quality syngas production from microwave pyrolysis of rice husk with char-supported metallic catalysts. Bioresour Technol 2015;191:17–23.
- [31] Zhang ZR, Macquarrie DJ, De bruyn M, Budarin VL, Hunt AJ, Gronnow MJ, et al. Low-temperature microwave-assisted pyrolysis of waste office paper and the application of bio-oil as an Al adhesive. Green Chem 2015;17:260–70.
- [32] Mohan D, Pittman Jr CU, Steele PH. Pyrolysis of wood/biomass for bio-oil: a critical review. Energy Fuels 2006;20:848–89.
- [33] Guo J, Lua AC. Preparation of activated carbons from oil-palm-stone chars by microwave-induced carbon dioxide activation. Carbon 2000;38:1985–93.
- [34] Miura M, Kaga H, Tanaka S, Takahashi K, Ando K. Rapid microwave pyrolysis of wood. J Chem Eng Jpn 2000;33:299–302.
- [35] Prins MJ, Ptasinski KJ, Janssen FJJG. More efficient biomass gasification via torrefaction. Energy 2006;31:3458–70.
- [36] Almeida G, Brito JO, Perre P. Alterations in energy properties of eucalyptus wood and bark subjected to torrefaction: the potential of mass loss as a synthetic indicator. Bioresour Technol 2010;101:9778–84.
- [37] Tumuluru JS, Sokhansanj S, Hess JR, Wright CT, Boardman RD. A review on biomass torrefaction process and product properties for energy applications. Ind Biotechnol 2011;7:384–401.
- [38] Arias B, Pevida C, Fermoso J, Plaza MG, Rubiera F, Pis JJ. Influence of torrefaction on the grindability and reactivity of woody biomass. Fuel Process Technol 2008;89:169–75.
- [39] Chen WH, Kuo PC. A study on torrefaction of various biomass materials and its impact on lignocellulosic structure simulated by a thermogravimetry. Energy 2010;35:2580–6.
- [40] Oasmaa A, Czernik S. Fuel oil quality of biomass pyrolysis oils state of the art for the end users. Energy Fuels 1999;13:914–21.
- [41] Bridgwater AV, Meier D, Radlein D. An overview of fast pyrolysis of biomass. Org Geochem 1999;30:1479–93.
- [42] Yu F, Deng SB, Chen P, Liu YH, Wan YQ, Olson A, et al. Physical and chemical properties of bio-oils from microwave pyrolysis of corn stover. Appl Biochem Biotechnol 2007;137:957–70.
- [43] Dominguez A, Menendez JA, Fernandez Y, Pis JJ, Valente Nabais JM, Carrott PJM, et al. Conventional and microwave induced pyrolysis of coffee hulls for the production of a hydrogen rich fuel gas. J Anal Appl Pyrolysis 2007;79:128–35.
- [44] Czernik S, Bridgwater AV. Overview of applications of biomass fast pyrolysis oil. Energy Fuels 2004;18:590–8.
- [45] Zhang B, Zhong ZP, Chen P, Ruan R. Microwave-assisted catalytic fast pyrolysis of biomass for bio-oil production using chemical vapor deposition modified HZSM-5 catalyst. Bioresour Technol 2015;197:79–84.
- [46] Wang XH, Chen HP, Ding XJ, Yang HP, Zhang SH, Shen YQ. Properties of gas and char from microwave pyrolysis of pine sawdust. BioResources 2009;4: 946–59.

- [47] Huang YF, Kuan WH, Lo SL, Lin CF. Hydrogen-rich fuel gas from rice straw via microwave-induced pyrolysis. Bioresour Technol 2010;101:1968–73.
- [48] Menendez JA, Dominguez A, Fernandez Y, Pis JJ. Evidence of self-gasification during the microwave-induced pyrolysis of coffee hulls. Energy Fuels 2007;21:373–8.
- [49] Franco C, Pinto F, Gulyurtlu I, Cabrita I. The study of reactions influencing the biomass steam gasification process. Fuel 2003;82:835–42.
- [50] Huang YF, Chiueh PT, Kuan WH, Lo SL. Effects of lignocellulosic composition and microwave power level on the gaseous product of microwave pyrolysis. Energy 2015;89:974–81.
- [51] Huang YF, Kuan WH, Chang CC, Tzou YM. Catalytic and atmospheric effects on microwave pyrolysis of corn stover. Bioresour Technol 2013;131: 274–80.
- [52] Kuan WH, Huang YF, Chang CC, Lo SL. Catalytic pyrolysis of sugarcane bagasse by using microwave heating. Bioresour Technol 2013;146:324–9.

- [53] Coats AW, Redfern JP. Kinetic parameters from thermogravimetric data. Nature 1964;201:68–9.
- [54] Ren SJ, Lei HW, Wang L, Bu Q, Chen SL, Wu J, et al. Biofuel production and kinetics analysis for microwave pyrolysis of Douglas fir sawdust pellet. J Anal Appl Pyrolysis 2012;94:163–9.
- [55] Huang YF, Chiueh PT, Kuan WH, Lo SL. Microwave pyrolysis of rice straw: products, mechanism, and kinetics. Bioresour Technol 2013;142:620–4.
- [56] Dong Q, Xiong YQ. Kinetics study on conventional and microwave pyrolysis of moso bamboo. Bioresour Technol 2014;171:127–31.
- [57] Namazi AB, Allen DG, Jia CQ. Microwave-assisted pyrolysis and activation of pulp mill sludge. Biomass Bioenerg 2015;73:217–24.
  [58] Zhang ZR, Macquarrie DJ, Aguiar PM, Clark JH, Matharu AS. Simultaneous
- [58] Zhang ZR, Macquarrie DJ, Aguiar PM, Clark JH, Matharu AS. Simultaneous recovery of organic and inorganic content of paper deinking residue through low-temperature microwave-assisted pyrolysis. Environ Sci Technol 2015;49: 2398–404.