International Journal of Surgery 12 (2014) 245-250

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

International Journal of Surgery

journal homepage: www.journal-surgery.net

Original research

Local anesthetic infusion pump for pain management following open inguinal hernia repair: A meta-analysis



CrossMark

Chien-Chih Wu^{a,b}, Chyi-Huey Bai^c, Ming-Te Huang^{b,d}, Chih-Hsiung Wu^{b,d}, Ka-Wai Tam^{b,d,e,f,g,*}

^a Department of Urology, Taipei Medical University Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

^b Department of Surgery, School of Medicine, College of Medicine, Taipei Medical University, Taiwan

^c School of Public Health, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan

^d Department of Surgery, Taipei Medical University – Shuang Ho Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

^e Graduate Institute of Clinical Medicine, College of Medicine, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan

^f Center for Evidence-Based Medicine, Taipei Medical University, Taipei, Taiwan

^g Center for Evidence-Based Health Care, Taipei Medical University – Shuang Ho Hospital, Taipei, Taiwan

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 11 November 2013 Received in revised form 25 December 2013 Accepted 6 January 2014 Available online 27 January 2014

Keywords: Bupivacaine Local anesthesia Infusion pump Inguinal hernia repair Postoperative pain Meta-analysis

ABSTRACT

Objectives: Open inguinal hernia repair is one of the most painful procedures in day surgery. A continuous ambulatory analgesic is thought to reduce postoperative pain when it is applied to the surgical site. The aim of this study is to evaluate the efficacy of local anesthetic infusion pump following open inguinal hernia repair for the reduction of postoperative pain.

Methods: We conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials (RCTs) that have investigated the outcomes of using an infusion pump for delivering a local anesthetic contrasted to a control group for open inguinal hernia repair. Pain was assessed from Day 1 to Day 5 following the surgery. The secondary outcomes included analgesia use and postoperative complications. *Results:* We reviewed 5 trials that totaled 288 patients. The analgesic effects of bupivacaine (4 trials) and ropivacaine (one trial) were compared with a placebo group. The pooled mean difference in the score measuring the degree of pain diminished significantly at Day 1 to Day 4 in the experimental group. Two studies have reported that the number of analgesics required also decreased in the experimental group. No bupivacaine-related complication was reported.

Conclusion: Our results revealed that applying a local anesthetic infusion pump following inguinal hernia repairs was more efficacious for reducing postoperative pain than a placebo. However, the findings were based on a small body of evidence in which methodological quality was not high. The potential benefits of applying a local anesthetic infusion pump to hernia repair must still be adequately investigated using further RCTs.

© 2014 Surgical Associates Ltd. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Acute postoperative groin pain is a frequent complication that occurs after an open inguinal hernia. After a day-case inguinal hernia repair, 10% of patients experience severe postoperative pain requiring a general practitioner to administer intramuscular opiates [1]. In addition, the pain following an inguinal hernia repair is

E-mail address: kelvintam@h.tmu.edu.tw (K.-W. Tam).

more intense when patients mobilize or cough postoperatively; consequently, patients tend to prefer the comfort of their hospital bed, thus increasing the hospital stay [2,3].

Multiple modalities have been used to treat groin pain complications; these methods include administering oral opiates and intramuscular or intravenous analgesia agents, and implementing pre-emptive and postoperative blockades by using locoregional anesthesia, ilioinguinal nerve blockades, ilioinguinal neurectomies, and caudal blockades [4–6]. Systemic analgesics such as opioids might cause nausea, vomiting, itching, respiratory problems, sedation, and increase the duration of postoperative ileus, [7,8] whereas non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs might cause gastrointestinal upset. These side effects can be reduced by lowering the amount of opioid drugs that are administered.



^{*} Corresponding author. Center for Evidence-Based Health Care, Taipei Medical University – Shuang Ho Hospital, No. 291, Zhongzheng Road, Zhonghe District, New Taipei City 23561, Taiwan. Tel.: +886 2 22490088x8123; fax: +886 2 22490088x2507.

^{1743-9191/\$ –} see front matter © 2014 Surgical Associates Ltd. Published by Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijsu.2014.01.006

Reducing postoperative pain and the daily administration of narcotics to patients following inguinal repairs is, therefore, critical to achieving more rapid recovery and shorter hospitalization.

An alternative approach to pain relief is to continuously infiltrate a wound via an indwelling irrigation apparatus by using a local anesthetic solution. Because this method uses a fine catheter inserted into the wound before surgical closure, it can reduce postoperative opiate requirements [9]. Several randomized controlled trials (RCTs) have investigated the efficacy of applying a local anesthetic infusion pump in patients undergoing open inguinal hernia repair; however, the results have been inconclusive [10,11]. Therefore, we conducted a systematic review and metaanalysis of the evidence that is available to date on the outcomes of the use of an infusion pump for delivering local anesthetics to open inguinal hernia repair.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Inclusion criteria

Our analysis included only previous RCTs that evaluated the outcome of applying a local anesthetic infusion pump in open inguinal hernia repair. The studies were required to clearly define the criteria used to include and exclude the patients for the study, to report the anesthetic and the surgical hernia repair techniques, and to define and evaluate the postoperative pain and the use of the appropriate study controls. Previous RCTs were excluded from our meta-analysis based on the following criteria: (1) they included patients who underwent other surgical procedures concomitantly, such as laparoscopic hernioplasty; (2) they included appropriate data that could not be extracted or calculated from the published results; or (3) they duplicated the reporting of patient cohorts.

2.2. Search strategy and study selection

Studies were identified using computerized searches of the PubMed, EMBASE, SCOPUS, and Cochrane central registers of controlled trial databases, as well as the ClinicalTrials.gov registry (http://clinicaltrials.gov/). The following terms were used for MeSH and free-text searching: *inguinal hernia, hernia repair, hernioplasty, herniorrhaphy, local anesthesia, local anesthetic, continuous infusion, pump,* and *pain control.* The "related articles" function in PubMed was used to broaden each search; we reviewed all the abstracts, the study reports, and the related citations that were retrieved. No language restrictions were applied. The last search was performed in November 2013. We also identified additional studies by reviewing the reference sections of the relevant publications and by consulting with experts in the field of abdominal surgery.

2.3. Data extraction

Baseline and outcome data were independently extracted by 2 reviewers. The study design, the participant characteristics, the inclusion and exclusion criteria, the matching criteria, the anesthetic techniques used, the complications, and the operative and postoperative parameters were extracted. The inconsistencies between the findings of the 2 reviewers were resolved by a third reviewer.

2.4. Methodological quality appraisal

We assessed the methodological quality of each study based on the adequacy of the randomization, the allocation concealment, the blinding of the patients and the outcome assessors, the reporting of the study withdrawals, the performance of an intention-to-treat analysis, and other possible biases.

2.5. Outcomes and statistical analysis

The primary outcome was the severity of postoperative pain from Day 1 to Day 5. The secondary outcomes included complications and analgesia consumption.

All the data were entered and analyzed using Review Manager, version 5 (Cochrane Collaboration, Oxford, England). A metaanalysis was performed following the PRISMA guidelines [12]. When necessary, standard deviations were estimated using the confidence interval limits, the standard error, or the range values provided in previous studies. The effect sizes of the dichotomous outcomes were reported as risks ratios (RR), and the mean difference was reported for continuous outcomes. The precision of the effect sizes was based on a 95% confidence interval (CI). A pooled estimate of the RR and the mean difference was computed using the DerSimonian and Laird random-effect model [13]. This model appropriately estimates the average treatment effect when trials are statistically heterogeneous, and it usually yields relatively wide CIs, thereby producing more conservative statistical claims.

To evaluate the statistical heterogeneity and the inconsistency of the treatment effects across the studies, Cochrane's Q test and I^2 statistics were respectively used. The statistical significance was set at 0.10 for Cochrane's Q test. The proportion of the total outcome variability that was attributable to the variability across the studies was quantified as I^2 .

3. Results

3.1. Characteristics of the trials

The flowchart in Fig. 1 shows the process that was used to screen and select the RCTs. Our initial search yielded 451 citations. Based on the mentioned screening criteria, 277 titles and abstracts were excluded. We reviewed the full text of the remaining 174 reports; 168 studies were excluded for the following reasons: one trial was

Flowchart for the Selection of Studies



Fig. 1. Flowchart describing the selection of the randomized controlled trials for our meta-analysis.

Table 1

Characteristics of the selected randomized controlled trials.

| Study [year] | Institutions/ surgeons | Anesthesia/surgical technique/ catheter position | Patient no. (no. of male) | Age, years | Intervention |
|----------------|---------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Lau [2003] | Not reported | General anesthesia/ Linchenstein/under aponeurosis | I: 20 (18) | I: 49 (43–62) ^a | I: 0.5% bupivacaine 100 mL in pump continuously running 2 mL/h |
| | | | N: 24 (23) | N: 60 (51-66) | N: No pump |
| LeBlanc [2005] | Not reported | General anesthesia/ Prolene Hernia System/subcutaneously | I: 29 (29) | Not reported | I: 0.5% bupivacaine 100 mL in pump continuously running 2 mL/h for 48 h |
| | | | C: 23 (21) | | C: Saline instead of bupivacaine |
| Oakley [1998] | Not reported | General anesthesia/Linchenstein/ under aponeurosis | I: 25 (23) | I: 53 (17–83) ^b | I: 0.5% bupivacaine 100 mL in pump continuously running 2 mL/h for 50 h |
| | | - | C: 24 (22) | C: 58 (21-78) | C: Saline instead of bupivacaine |
| | | | N: 23 (23) | N: 56 (23-81) | N: No pump |
| Sanchez [2004] | 1 hospital/ 1 surgeon | General anesthesia/polypropylene mesh and plug/under aponeurosis | I: 23 (22) | $\textbf{I: 39} \pm \textbf{15}$ | I: 0.25% bupivacaine 100 mL in pump continuously running 2 mL/h for 48 h |
| | | | C: 22 (21) | C: 41 ± 13 | C: Saline instead of bupivacaine |
| Schurr [2004] | 1 hospital/ 2 surgeons | General anesthesia/polypropylene mesh (89%), nonmesh repairs (11%)/under aponeurosis | I: 35 | 48 ± 16 | I: 0.5% bupivacaine 120 mL in pump continuously running 2 mL/h for 60 h |
| | | | C: 37 | | C: Saline instead of bupivacaine |
| Stewart [2004] | 1 hospital | General anesthesia/Linchenstein (98%), McVay repair (2%)/subcutaneously | I: 23 (23) | I: 51 | I: 7.5 mg/mL ropivacaine 100 mL in pump continuously running 4 mL/h |
| | | | C: 24 (21) | C: 49 | C: Saline instead of bupivacaine |

C: control group; I: intervention group; N: no pump group.

^a Values are presented as mean \pm standard deviation except: median (range).

^b Values are presented as mean \pm standard deviation except: mean (range).

not human study; 81 studies have evaluated local anesthesia with other drugs that were administered using different methods; one study was a duplicate publication of a single trial that reported the long-term outcomes of using an infusion pump [14]; and 85 studies have addressed other aspects of inguinal hernia treatments. The 6 remaining RCTs were selected for our study [10,11,15–18]; the characteristics of each are listed in Table 1.

These 6 trials were published between 1998 and 2005, and the sample sizes ranged from 44 to 72 patients. All enrolled patients were recruited to undergo an elective unilateral open inguinal hernia repair. The patients with recurrent hernia were excluded in 3 trials [10,15,17]. Two trials recruited patients undergoing a daycase hernia repair, [11,18] and 2 of the eligible trials clearly reported that hernia repairs were performed by a specific number of surgeons in a single unit [15,16]. In all the selected trials, surgery was executed under general anesthesia. Most patients received a Lichtenstein repair, although LeBlanc et al. conducted an inguinal hernia repair in the manner of the Prolene Hernia System, [10] and Sanchez et al. [15] used a polypropylene mesh and a plug to repair the hernia defects that had been previously described by Robbins and Rutkow [19]. The infusion catheter was positioned in the subcutaneous layer in 2 trials, [10,17] and 4 studies had reported that the catheter was placed under the external oblique aponeurosis [10.15.16.18].

The continuous application of an infusion pump varied considerably across the trials. In 5 selected trials, 100–120 mL of bupivacaine was delivered by continuously using the pump at 2 mL/h for 48–60 h [10,11,15,16,18]. The dosages of bupivacaine and the placebo were adjusted according to the various protocols. One study compared the analgesic effect of ropivacaine with saline [17]. The baseline characteristics were balanced between the 2 treatment groups in the 6 RCTs.

Our assessment of the methodological quality of the 6 selected RCTs is summarized in Table 2. Three studies have used acceptable randomization methods, [10,15,18] and 2 studies have clearly described the allocation concealment method [15,17]. All studies have reported the blinding of the patients and the outcome assessors, except for Lau et al. [18]. All studies have performed an intention-to-treat analysis, except for Schurr et al. [16]. The number of patients lost to follow-up was acceptable (<20%) in all studies. Furthermore, 4 of 79 patients were dropped from the study because

of the infusion pumps' failure, and they inadvertently pulled out [16]. Other biases that were found in the studies included nonuniform surgical procedures between the 2 treatment groups, [16] the involvement in the study of an undefined number of surgeons, [11,18] and the support of 2 studies by a grant from the manufacturer of the infusion pump that the studies used [10,17].

3.2. Pain scores

Pain was assessed postoperatively by 4 studies using a 10-point visual analog scale (0 = no pain, 10 = worst possible pain) from Day 1 to Day 5 [11,15,16,18]. LeBlanc et al. evaluated the pain severity scores on a 5-point scale (1 = no pain, 5 = worst pain), [10] whereas Stewart et al. collected postoperative pain data every 4 h for 1 day at rest, sitting out of bed, and walking [17]. To compare the outcome measures, we converted all studies to a 10-point scale. The data from 2 RCTs were not used in our pain score analysis because they did not report the standard deviation of the mean score, which was needed for pooling the data [10,17]. The pooled mean difference in the score measuring the degree of pain postoperatively was -1.79(95% CI: from -2.51 to -1.08) on Day 1, -1.18 (95% CI: from -2.17 to -0.18) on Day 2, -1.41 (95% CI: from -2.28 to -0.55) on Day 3, and -1.21 (95% CI: from -2.08 to -0. 33) on Day 4. This demonstrates that the use of a local anesthetic infusion pump was favored to reduce the severity of postoperative pain. However, the groups showed no significant difference between in their postoperative pain scores, with a weighted mean difference of -1.07 (95% CI: from -2.57 to 0.44) on Day 5 (Fig. 2). The value of I^2 was 0% from Day 1 to Day 4 postoperatively, indicating no heterogeneity across the studies.

One study, the data of which were not pooled in our metaanalysis, revealed that the postoperative pain score was significantly lower in the ropivacaine group compared with the saline group on Day 1 when sitting and walking [17]. However, the pain scores between the 2 groups were not significant in LeBlanc study [10].

3.3. Analgesia consumption

We were unable to pool the data regarding analgesia consumption because the clinical parameters among the selected trials C.-C. Wu et al. / International Journal of Surgery 12 (2014) 245-250

| | - | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Study [year] | Country | Allocation generation | Allocation concealment | Double blinding | Data analysis | Loss to follow-up | Other bias |
| Lau [2003] LeBlanc [2005] Oakley [1998] Sanchoz [2004] | Hong Kong United States United Kingdom | Random number Computer generated Unclear Pandom | Unclear Unclear Unclear | No Yes Yes | ITT ITT ITT ITT | None None None | Undefined number of surgeons involved Supported by a grant from the manufacturer Undefined number of surgeons involved |
| Schurr [2004] Schwart [2004] | United States Australia | number table Unclear Unclear | Unclear Adequate | Yes Yes Yes | PP ITT | 8.8% None | Surgical procedures was not uniform Supported by a grant from the manufacturer |

 Table 2

 Methodological quality assessment of selected trials.

ITT, Intention-to-treat; PP, Per-protocol.

were not uniformly reported. However, no significant difference was reported in the requirements for postoperative analgesia between the study groups in 3 of the selected trials [11,15,16]. Lau et al. indicated that none of the pump group patients requested analgesics, but 6 patients of the control group required analgesic supplement (P = 0.025) before being discharged [18]. LeBlanc et al. reported that the daily and total narcotic usages for all 5 days in the study were significantly less (P < 0.05) in the local anesthesia infusion pump group, [10] and Stewart et al. reported that the mean dose of morphine required was significantly lower in the ropivacaine group than in the control group (P < 0.05) [17].

3.4. Complications

No experimental drugs-related complications, such as seroma, tinnitus, oral numbness, or circumoral pallor, were reported in 5 included trials [10,11,15,17,18]. A similar incidence of metallic taste and ringing in the ears during the postoperative Day 1 and Day 2 was reported between groups [16]. No difference in the incidence of wound infection (4% in both groups) in one trial was found [11].

4. Discussion

Acute postoperative pain is common following an open inguinal hernia repair. The use of a local anesthetic infusion pump during an open inguinal hernia repair might reduce the postoperative pain. Overall, our meta-analysis indicated that the continuous instillation of bupivacaine or ropivacine in the surgical wound during an open inguinal repair resulted in greater pain reduction compared with controls. In addition, 3 of our included studies have indicated that the amount of narcotic requirement was significantly lower in the experimental group than in control group [10,17,18]. Moreover, no experimental drug-related complications were reported. Therefore, attempting to reduce the postoperative pain after a hernia repair by using a continuous infusion of local anesthetic seems reasonable.

The instillation of local anesthetics for reducing postoperative pain after a surgical procedure remains controversial. One RCT with 73 patients evaluated the effects of continuously infusing local anesthetic after the laparoscopic ventral hernia repair. The results indicated that using an elastomeric pain pump device neither measurably reduced the postoperative pain scores, the use of narcotics, the time taken for bowel function, nor the length of the hospital stay after a laparoscopic ventral hernia repair [20]. A recent meta-analysis indicated that an extraperitoneal infusion of bupivacaine following a laparoscopic total extraperitoneal inguinal hernioplasty did not display any benefit over a placebo regarding pain reduction and the requirements for postoperative analgesia [21]. However, one trial, which evaluated the use of infiltrating a wound by employing a local anesthetic in repeated dosages given to the patient by using a catheter, showed a decrease in postoperative pain after an open inguinal hernia repair [22]. Our results supported the analgesic effects of using an infusion pump for delivering a local anesthetic to repair an open inguinal hernia. Because an open inguinal repair is associated with increased postoperative pain, a longer hospital stay, and a slower recovery compared with a laparoscopic inguinal hernioplasty, [23] a local anesthetic instillation might more effectively on an open inguinal hernia than a laparoscopic procedure.

The optimal dose for delivering a local anesthetic for an open inguinal hernia repair is inconclusive. In the studies that were included in this analysis, both the 0.25% dose [15] and 0.5% dose [11,16,18] of bupivacaine can effectively reduce postoperative pain. A more elevated concentration of bupivacaine might more effectively enhance its analgesic effect. However, one potential disadvantage of local anesthesia instillation is the formation of a seroma. Nevertheless, our selected RCTs did not report any bupivacaine-related complications, such as seroma, tinnitus, or circumoral pallor. In particular, no wound infection was reported in 5 of our selected RCTs, [10,15–18] which would be of particular concern because of the use of a synthetic mesh.

One RCT included in our study evaluated the outcome of a local infusion with ropivacaine [17]. Ropivacaine has an inferior motor blockade, cardiotoxicity, and central nervous system toxicity compared to bupivacaine, allowing more elevated doses to be used safely [24]. However, one RCT, which evaluated the outcomes of the self-administration of ropivacaine and bupivacaine by applying a catheter to the surgical wound after an inguinal hernia repair, showed no statistically significant differences between the groups regarding the efficacy and safety of this approach [22].

The development of portable elastomeric infusion pumps can make the infusion of postoperative local anesthetic more easily available. Numerous clinical applications have recently been described, such as thoracic and gynecological surgeries [25,26]. Open hernia repairs have been rated among the top 3 most painful procedures in day surgery [7]. Our study demonstrated lower pain scores and a reduced requirement for rescue analgesia in the experimental groups compared with the placebo groups. Therefore, despite the increased cost of using an infusion pump, a substantial economic benefit still results from employing this technique in a day-surgery protocol.

The studies included in our analysis displayed considerable heterogeneity, which was attributable to various clinical factors. First, the surgical techniques used were not identical across all studies. LeBlanc et al. used the Prolene Hernia System, [10] Sanchez et al. used a polypropylene mesh and a plug [15] for hernioplasty, and most of the patients received a Lichtenstein repair [11,17,18]. Moreover, one trial did not standardize the hernioplasty method [16]. An infusion catheter was positioned in the subcutaneous layer in 2 trials, [10,17] and placed under the external oblique aponeurosis in other trials [11,15,16,18]. The timing and dosage of a continuous local anesthesia instillation were also different across the studies. Differences in the characteristics of the patients and the practice of the surgeons might also have contributed to heterogeneity in the data.

C.-C. Wu et al. / International Journal of Surgery 12 (2014) 245-250

| | Expe | rimen | tal | С | ontrol | | | Mean Difference | Mean Difference | |
|-----------------------------------|----------|---------------------|----------|----------|--------|-----------------------|--------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|--------|
| Study or Subgroup | Mean | SD | Total | Mean | SD | Total | Weight | IV, Random, 95% | CI IV, Random, 95% CI | |
| 1.1.1 Postoperative p | ain sco | res at | day 1 | | | | | | | |
| Lau 2003 | 1 | 2.04 | 20 | 3.5 | 2.94 | 24 | 23.5% | -2.50 [-3.98, -1.02 | 2] | |
| Oakley 1998 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 25 | 4.3 | 6.4 | 24 | 6.1% | -2.00 [-4.89, 0.89 | 9] | |
| Sanchez 2004 | 4.5 | 2.6 | 23 | 5.7 | 2.5 | 22 | 23.1% | -1.20 [-2.69, 0.29 |) | |
| Schurr 2004 | 5 | 2.3 | 35 | 6.7 | 2.2 | 37 | 47.3% | -1.70 [-2.74, -0.66 | 6] – | |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | | | 103 | | | 107 | 100.0% | -1.79 [-2.51, -1.08 | 1 🕈 | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = | 0.00; Ch | i² = 1. | 54, df = | 3 (P = | 0.67); | l² = 0% | | | | |
| Test for overall effect: | Z = 4.90 | (P < 0 | 0.00001 |) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.1.2 Postoperative p | ain sco | res at | day 2 | | | | | | | |
| Lau 2003 | 1 | 4.08 | 20 | 2 | 1.36 | 24 | 28.4% | -1.00 [-2.87, 0.87 | 7] | |
| Oakley 1998 | 3.4 | 5.1 | 25 | 4.3 | 6.4 | 24 | 9.4% | -0.90 [-4.15, 2.35 | 5] | |
| Sanchez 2004 | 4 | 2 | 23 | 5.3 | 2.3 | 22 | 62.2% | -1.30 [-2.56, -0.04 | 1] | |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | | | 68 | | | 70 | 100.0% | -1.18 [-2.17, -0.18 | | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = | 0.00; Ch | i² = 0. | 10, df = | 2 (P = | 0.95); | l² = 0% | | | | |
| Test for overall effect: | Z = 2.32 | (P = 0 | 0.02) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.1.3 Postoperative p | ain sco | res at | day 3 | | | | | | _ | |
| Lau 2003 | 1 | 2.72 | 20 | 2 | 1.36 | 24 | 43.6% | -1.00 [-2.31, 0.31 |] | |
| Oakley 1998 | 2 | 3 | 25 | 3.5 | 5.2 | 24 | 13.1% | -1.50 [-3.89, 0.89 |] | |
| Sanchez 2004 | 3.1 | 2.4 | 23 | 4.9 | 2.1 | 22 | 43.3% | -1.80 [-3.12, -0.48 | 3] | |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | | | 68 | | | 70 | 100.0% | -1.41 [-2.28, -0.55 | • | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = | 0.00; Ch | ni² = 0. | 72, df = | : 2 (P = | 0.70); | l² = 0% | | | | |
| Test for overall effect: 2 | Z = 3.20 | (P = 0 | 0.001) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.1.4 Postoperative p | ain sco | res at | day 4 | | | | | | _ | |
| Lau 2003 | 1 | 3.4 | 20 | 2 | 1.36 | 24 | 30.6% | -1.00 [-2.59, 0.59 | 9] | |
| Oakley 1998 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 25 | 2.5 | 3.7 | 24 | 23.3% | -0.70 [-2.52, 1.12 | 2] | |
| Sanchez 2004 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 23 | 4.5 | 1.9 | 22 | 46.1% | -1.60 [-2.89, -0.31 | | |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | | | 68 | | | 70 | 100.0% | -1.21 [-2.08, -0.33 | 1 | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = | 0.00; Ch | i ² = 0. | 72, df = | 2 (P = | 0.70); | $I^2 = 0\%$ | | | | |
| Test for overall effect: 2 | Z = 2.69 | (P = 0 | 0.007) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.1.5 Postoperative p | ain sco | res at | day 5 | | | | | | | |
| Lau 2003 | 1 | 2.72 | 20 | 1 | 2.72 | 24 | 32.1% | 0.00 [-1.61, 1.61 | I] | |
| Oakley 1998 | 1.7 | 2.5 | 25 | 2.3 | 3.4 | 24 | 31.2% | -0.60 [-2.28, 1.08 | 3] | |
| Sanchez 2004 | 2 | 2.3 | 23 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 22 | 36.7% | -2.40 [-3.71, -1.09 | | |
| Subtotal (95% CI) | | | 68 | | | 70 | 100.0% | -1.07 [-2.57, 0.44 | | |
| Heterogeneity: Tau ² = | 1.16; Ch | i² = 5. | 81, df = | 2 (P = | 0.05); | l ² = 669 | % | | | |
| Test for overall effect: 2 | Z = 1.39 | (P = 0 |).16) | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | 4 |
| | | | | | | | | | -10 -5 0 5 1 | ,) |
| | | | | | | | | | Favours experimental Favours control | |
| Test for subgroup diffe | rences: | Chi² = | 1.70, d | f = 4 (P | = 0.79 |), ² = (|)% | | • | |

Fig. 2. Forest plot of the comparison of the local anesthetic infusion pump versus the control. The outcome was the incidence of postoperative pain at days 1-5.

Our study has limitations. First, the studies that it included used small samples, ranging from 20 to 29 patients per group, which might detract from the statistical power of the results. Second, several studies did not report the details of the generation and concealment of the allocation, and displayed other bias risks, such as the undetermined number of surgeons involved in the study. Finally, several of our primary and secondary outcomes were variably reported, thus potentially limiting the inferences based on our analysis.

In conclusion, the results of our meta-analysis revealed that applying a local anesthetic infusion pump following an inguinal hernia repair reduced postoperative pain compared to the placebo treatments during postoperative Day 1 to Day 4. However, the findings were based on a small body of evidence in which the methodological quality was not high. Based on the evidence that was reviewed, further research involving large RCTs might be warranted. Ethical approval None to declare.

Funding

The authors Chien-Chih Wu, Chyi-Huey Bai, Ming-Te Huang, Chih-Hsiung Wu, and Ka-Wai Tam have no financial ties to disclose.

Author contribution

Chien-Chih Wu – Study design, data collections, data analysis, writing.

Ka-Wai Tam – Study design, data collections, data analysis, writing.

Chyi-Huey Bai – Data analysis.

Ming-Te Huang – Review of article.

Chih-Hsiung Wu – Review of article.

C.-C. Wu et al. / International Journal of Surgery 12 (2014) 245-250

Conflicts of interest

The authors Chien-Chih Wu, Chyi-Huey Bai, Ming-Te Huang, Chih-Hsiung Wu, and Ka-Wai Tam have no conflicts of interest.

References

- P.A. Baskerville, P.E. Jarrett, Day case inguinal hernia repair under local anaesthetic, Ann. R. Coll. Surg. Engl. 65 (1983) 224–225.
- [2] T. Callesen, K. Bech, R. Nielsen, et al., Pain after groin hernia repair, Br. J. Surg. 85 (1998) 1412–1414.
- [3] A. Junger, J. Klasen, M. Benson, et al., Factors determining length of stay of surgical day-case patients, Eur. J. Anaesthesiol. 18 (2001) 314–321.
- [4] C.A. Harrison, S. Morris, J.S. Harvey, Effect of ilioinguinal and iliohypogastric nerve block and wound infiltration with 0.5% bupivacaine on postoperative pain after hernia repair, Br. J. Anaesth. 72 (1994) 691–693.
- [5] W. Hsu, C.S. Chen, H.C. Lee, et al., Preservation versus division of ilioinguinal nerve on open mesh repair of inguinal hernia: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials, World J. Surg. 36 (2012) 2311–2319.
- [6] G. Ivani, P. De Negri, P.A. Lonnqvist, et al., A comparison of three different concentrations of levobupivacaine for caudal block in children, Anesth. Analg. 97 (2003) 368–371.
- [7] D.J. Pavlin, C. Chen, D.A. Penaloza, N.L. Polissar, F.P. Buckley, Pain as a factor complicating recovery and discharge after ambulatory surgery, Anesth. Analg. 95 (2002) 627–634.
- [8] W.K. Cheong, F. Seow-Choen, K.W. Eu, C.L. Tang, S.M. Heah, Randomized clinical trial of local bupivacaine perfusion versus parenteral morphine infusion for pain relief after laparotomy, Br. J. Surg. 88 (2001) 357–379.
- [9] J.F. Chester, K. Ravindranath, B.D. White, R.S. Taylor, K. Lloyd-Williams, Wound perfusion with bupivacaine: objective evidence for efficacy in postoperative pain relief, Ann. R. Coll. Surg. Engl. 71 (1989) 394–396.
 [10] K.A. LeBlanc, D. Bellanger, V.K. Rhynes, M. Hausmann, Evaluation of continuous
- [10] K.A. LeBlanc, D. Bellanger, V.K. Rhynes, M. Hausmann, Evaluation of continuous infusion of 0.5% bupivacaine by elastomeric pump for postoperative pain management after open inguinal hernia repair, J. Am. Coll. Surg. 200 (2005) 198–202.
- [11] M.J. Oakley, J.S. Smith, J.R. Anderson, D. Fenton-Lee, Randomized placebocontrolled trial of local anaesthetic infusion in day-case inguinal hernia repair, Br. J. Surg. 85 (1998) 797–799.
- [12] A. Liberati, D.G. Altman, J. Tetzlaff, et al., The PRISMA statement for reporting systematic reviews and meta-analyses of studies that evaluate health care interventions: explanation and elaboration, J. Clin. Epidemiol. 62 (2009) e1–34.

- [13] R. DerSimonian, N. Laird, Meta-analysis in clinical trials, Controlled Clin. Trials 7 (1986) 177.
- [14] A.J. Russ, L.D. Faucher, D.B. Gordon, T.A. Pellino, M.J. Schurr, Functional implications of long-term pain following outpatient inguinal herniorrhaphy—a prospective evaluation, J. Surg. Res. 169 (2011) 25–30.
- [15] B. Sanchez, K. Waxman, R. Tatevossian, M. Gamberdella, B. Read, Local anesthetic infusion pumps improve postoperative pain after inguinal hernia repair: a randomized trial, Am. Surg. 70 (2004) 1002–1006.
- [16] M.J. Schurr, D.B. Gordon, T.A. Pellino, T.A. Scanlon, Continuous local anesthetic infusion for pain management after outpatient inguinal herniorrhaphy, Surgery 136 (2004) 761–769.
- [17] A. Stewart, M.M. Fan, M.J. Fong, A. Louie, J.P. Lynch, M. O'Shea, Randomized trial of a pain control infusion pump following inguinal hernia repair, ANZ. J. Surg. 74 (2004) 873–876.
- [18] H. Lau, N.G. Patil, F. Lee, Randomized clinical trial of postoperative subfascial infusion with bupivacaine following ambulatory open mesh repair of inguinal hernia, Dig. Surg. 20 (2003) 285–289.
- [19] A.W. Robbins, I.M. Rutkow, Mesh plug repair and groin hernia surgery, Surg. Clin. North. Am. 78 (1998) 1007–1023.
- [20] M.J. Rosen, T. Duperier, J. Marks, et al., Prospective randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial of postoperative elastomeric pain pump devices used after laparoscopic ventral hernia repair, Surg. Endosc. 23 (2009) 2637–2643.
- [21] Y.S. Tong, C.C. Wu, C.H. Bai, et al., Effect of extraperitoneal bupivacaine analgesia in laparoscopic inguinal hernia repair: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials, Hernia (2013 May 5), http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10029-013-1100-0 [Epub ahead of print].
- [22] N. Vintar, G. Pozlep, N. Rawal, M. Godec, S. Rakovec, Incisional selfadministration of bupivacaine or ropivacaine provides effective analgesia after inguinal hernia repair, Can. J. Anaesth. 49 (2002) 481–486.
- [23] K. McCormack, N.W. Scott, P.M. Go, S. Ross, A.M. Grant, EU Hernia TRIALISTS Collaboration. Laparoscopic techniques versus open techniques for inguinal hernia repair, Cochrane Database Syst. Rev. 1 (2003) CD001785.
- [24] C.J. Erichsen, H. Vibits, J.B. Dahl, H. Kehlet, Wound infiltration with ropivacaine and bupivacaine for pain after inguinal herniotomy, Acta Anaesthesiol. Scand. 39 (1995) 67–70.
- [25] S.M. Klein, S.A. Grant, R.A. Greengrass, et al., Interscalene brachial plexus block with a continuous catheter insertion system and a disposable infusion pump, Anesth. Analg. 91 (2000) 1473–1478.
- [26] N.H. Stringer, K.L. Rodino, M. Edwards, N.V. Kumari, On-Q system for managing trocar site pain after operative laparoscopy, J. Am. Assoc. Gynecol. Laparosc. 7 (2000) 552–555.

250