



Subharmonic solutions for nonautonomous sublinear second order Hamiltonian systems [☆]

Chun-Lei Tang, Xing-Ping Wu ^{*}

Department of Mathematics, Southwest Normal University, Chongqing 400715, People's Republic of China

Received 6 October 2003

Available online 27 January 2005

Submitted by J. Mawhin

Abstract

Some existence theorems are obtained for subharmonic solutions of nonautonomous second order Hamiltonian systems by the minimax methods in critical point theory.

© 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Subharmonic solution; Second order Hamiltonian system; Saddle point theorem; (PS) condition; Sobolev's inequality; Wirtinger's inequality

1. Introduction and main results

Consider the second order Hamiltonian systems

$$\ddot{u}(t) + \nabla F(t, u(t)) = 0 \quad \text{a.e. } t \in R, \quad (1)$$

where $F : R \times R^N \rightarrow R$ is T -periodic ($T > 0$) in t for all $x \in R^N$, that is,

$$F(t + T, x) = F(t, x) \quad (2)$$

[☆] Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 10471113), by Major Project of Science and Technology of MOE, PR China, and by the Teaching and Research Award Program for Outstanding Young Teachers in Higher Education Institutions of MOE, PR China.

^{*} Corresponding author.

E-mail address: tangcl@swnu.edu.cn (X.-P. Wu).

for all $x \in R^N$ and a.e. $t \in R$, and satisfies the following assumption:

- (A) $F(t, x)$ is measurable in t for each $x \in R^N$ and continuously differentiable in x for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, and there exist $a \in C(R^+, R^+)$, $b \in L^1(0, T; R^+)$ such that

$$|F(t, x)| + |\nabla F(t, x)| \leq a(|x|)b(t)$$

for all $x \in R^N$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$.

Under the conditions that there exists $h \in L^1(0, T; R^+)$ such that

$$|\nabla F(t, x)| \leq h(t) \tag{3}$$

for all $x \in R^N$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, and that

$$\int_0^T F(t, x) dt \rightarrow +\infty \tag{4}$$

as $|x| \rightarrow +\infty$, the existence of T -periodic solutions is proved in [11]. Meanwhile, [7] proves that problem (1) has infinitely distinct subharmonic solutions (kT -periodic solution for some positive integer k is called to be subharmonic) under (3) and the condition that

$$F(t, x) \rightarrow +\infty \tag{5}$$

as $|x| \rightarrow +\infty$ uniformly for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$. Motivated by the results of [7,11], a natural question is whether problem (1) has infinitely distinct subharmonic solutions under (3) and (4). In [6] a positive answer was given if in addition $F(t, x)$ is convex in x for every $t \in [0, T]$. In this paper we shall consider the nonconvex case and prove that problem (1) has infinitely distinct subharmonic solutions under (3) and a condition weaker than (5) but stronger than (4) (see Theorem 1 below).

It has been proved that problem (1) has infinitely distinct subharmonic solutions under suitable conditions (see [1–13,16–18]). After [12] consider the superquadratic second order Hamiltonian systems, [1,4] consider the superquadratic second order Hamiltonian systems with a changing sign potential. The convex potentials (see [3,6,18]), the even potentials (see [16,17]), the periodic potential (see [13]), the subquadratic potential (see [8–10,12]) and bounded nonlinearity (see [2,5,7]) were also considered, where [2,5,8,9] only consider the special systems

$$\ddot{u}(t) + \nabla G(u(t)) = e(t) \quad \text{a.e. } t \in R.$$

Recently Chun-Lei Tang [14] generalizes the existence result of T -periodic solutions in [11] mentioned above to the sublinear case. The existence of T -periodic solutions is proved in [14] under the conditions that there exist $g, h \in L^1(0, T; R^+)$ and $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ such that

$$|\nabla F(t, x)| \leq g(t)|x|^\alpha + h(t) \tag{6}$$

for all $x \in R^N$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, and that

$$|x|^{-2\alpha} \int_0^T F(t, x) dt \rightarrow +\infty$$

as $|x| \rightarrow +\infty$. In this paper, we also consider the existence of infinitely distinct subharmonic solutions for problem (1) in the case that $\nabla F(t, x)$ is sublinear in x (see Theorem 2 below). Some existence theorems are obtained for infinitely distinct subharmonic solutions of problem (1), which generalizes the corresponding result in [7] even if $\nabla F(t, x)$ is bounded in x . The following main results are obtained by the minimax methods.

Theorem 1. *Suppose that F satisfies assumption (A), (2) and (3). Assume that there exists $\gamma \in L^1(0, T)$ such that*

$$F(t, x) \geq \gamma(t) \tag{7}$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, and that there exists a subset E of $[0, T]$ with $\text{meas}(E) > 0$ such that

$$F(t, x) \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty$$

for a.e. $t \in E$. Then problem (1) has kT -periodic solution $u_k \in H_{kT}^1$ for every positive integer k such that $\|u_k\|_\infty \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, where

$$H_{kT}^1 = \left\{ u : [0, kT] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^N \mid u \text{ is absolutely continuous, } u(0) = u(kT) \text{ and } \dot{u} \in L^2(0, kT; \mathbb{R}^N) \right\}$$

is a Hilbert space with the norm defined by

$$\|u\| = \left(\int_0^{kT} |u(t)|^2 dt + \int_0^{kT} |\dot{u}(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}$$

and

$$\|u\|_\infty = \max_{0 \leq t \leq kT} |u(t)|$$

for $u \in H_{kT}^1$.

Remark 1. Theorem 1 extends Theorem 4.1 in [7]. There are functions F satisfying our Theorem 1 and not satisfying the results in [1–13, 16–18]. For example, let

$$F(t, x) = |\sin \omega t| \ln(1 + |x|^2)$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ and $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then F satisfies our Theorem 1. But F does not satisfy the results in [1–13, 16–18], because that $F(t, x)$ is neither superquadratic in x , nor subquadratic in x , nor convex in x , nor periodic in x , nor uniformly coercive in x for a.e. t , nor belongs to the special case $G(x) + (e(t), x)$.

Theorem 2. *Suppose that $F(t, x)$ satisfies assumption (A), (2) and (6). Assume that*

$$|x|^{-2\alpha} F(t, x) \rightarrow +\infty \tag{8}$$

as $|x| \rightarrow +\infty$ uniformly for a.e. $t \in [0, T]$, where α is the same as in (6). Then problem (1) has kT -periodic solution $u_k \in H_{kT}^1$ for every positive integer k such that $\|u_k\|_\infty \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 2. Theorem 2 also generalizes Theorem 4.1 in [7] which is the special case of our Theorem 2 corresponding to $\alpha = 0$. There are functions F satisfying our Theorem 2 and not satisfying the results in [1–13,16–18]. For example, let

$$F(t, x) = g(t)|x|^{1+\alpha},$$

where $0 < \alpha < 1$ and $g : R \rightarrow R$ is T -periodic, $g \in L^1[0, T]$ and $\inf_{t \in [0, T]} g(t) > 0$. Then F satisfies our Theorem 2. But F does not satisfy the results in [1–13,16–18], because that $F(t, x)$ is neither superquadratic in x , nor subquadratic in x , nor convex in x , nor periodic in x , nor with bounded $\nabla F(t, x)$, nor belong to C^2 -class, nor belong to the special case $G(x) + (e(t), x)$.

We shall prove more general results than Theorems 1 and 2.

Theorem 3. Suppose that F satisfies assumption (A), (2), (6) and (7). Assume that there exists a subset E of $[0, T]$ with $\text{meas}(E) > 0$ such that

$$|x|^{-2\alpha} F(t, x) \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty \tag{9}$$

for a.e. $t \in E$. Then problem (1) has kT -periodic solution $u_k \in H^1_{kT}$ for every positive integer k such that $\|u_k\|_\infty \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

Remark 3. Without loss of generality, we may assume that functions b in assumption (A), g, h in (6) and γ in (7) are T -periodic and assumption (A), (6) and (7) hold for all $t \in R$ by the T -periodicity of $F(t, x)$ in the first variable.

2. Proof of Theorem 3

Let k be a positive integer. For $u \in H^1_{kT}$, let

$$\bar{u} = (kT)^{-1} \int_0^{kT} u(t) dt \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{u}(t) = u(t) - \bar{u}.$$

Then one has

$$\|\tilde{u}\|_\infty^2 \leq \frac{kT}{12} \int_0^{kT} |\dot{u}(t)|^2 dt \quad (\text{Sobolev's inequality}) \tag{10}$$

and

$$\int_0^{kT} |\tilde{u}(t)|^2 dt \leq \frac{k^2 T^2}{4\pi^2} \int_0^{kT} |\dot{u}(t)|^2 dt \quad (\text{Wirtinger's inequality}). \tag{11}$$

It follows from assumption (A) that the functional φ_k on H^1_{kT} given by

$$\varphi_k(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{kT} |\dot{u}(t)|^2 dt - \int_0^{kT} F(t, u(t)) dt$$

is continuously differentiable on H^1_{kT} (see [11]). Moreover one has

$$\langle \varphi'_k(u), v \rangle = \int_0^{kT} [(\dot{u}(t), \dot{v}(t)) - (\nabla F(t, u(t)), v(t))] dt$$

for all $u, v \in H^1_{kT}$. It is well known that the kT -periodic solutions of problem (1) correspond to the critical points of the functional φ_k .

For convenience to quote we state an analog of Egorov’s theorem (see Lemma 2 in [15]).

Lemma 1 [15]. *Suppose that F satisfies assumption (A) and E is a measurable subset of $[0, T]$. Assume that*

$$F(t, x) \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty$$

for a.e. $t \in E$. Then for every $\delta > 0$ there exists a subset E_δ of E with $\text{meas}(E \setminus E_\delta) < \delta$ such that

$$F(t, x) \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly for all $t \in E_\delta$.

Lemma 2. *Assume that F satisfies assumption (A), (2), (6), (7) and (9). Then φ_k satisfies the (PS) condition, that is, u_n has a convergent subsequence whenever it satisfies $\varphi'_k(u_n) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and $\{\varphi_k(u_n)\}$ is bounded.*

Proof. By Wirtinger’s inequality, we have

$$\left(\int_0^{kT} |\dot{u}_n(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \leq \|\tilde{u}_n\| \leq \left(\frac{k^2 T^2}{4\pi^2} + 1 \right)^{1/2} \left(\int_0^{kT} |\dot{u}_n(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \tag{12}$$

for all n .

It follows from (6) and Sobolev’s inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^{kT} (\nabla F(t, u(t)), \tilde{u}(t)) dt \right| &\leq \int_0^{kT} g(t) |\bar{u} + \tilde{u}(t)|^\alpha |\tilde{u}(t)| dt + \int_0^{kT} h(t) |\tilde{u}(t)| dt \\ &\leq \int_0^{kT} 2g(t) (|\bar{u}|^\alpha + |\tilde{u}(t)|^\alpha) |\tilde{u}(t)| dt + \int_0^{kT} h(t) |\tilde{u}(t)| dt \\ &\leq 2(|\bar{u}|^\alpha + \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty^\alpha) \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty \int_0^{kT} g(t) dt + \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty \int_0^{kT} h(t) dt \\ &\leq \frac{3}{kT} \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty^2 + \frac{kT}{3} |\bar{u}|^{2\alpha} \left(\int_0^{kT} g(t) dt \right)^2 + 2\|\tilde{u}\|_\infty^{\alpha+1} \int_0^{kT} g(t) dt + \|\tilde{u}\|_\infty \int_0^{kT} h(t) dt \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{4} \int_0^{kT} |\dot{u}(t)|^2 dt + C_1 |\bar{u}|^{2\alpha} + C_2 \left(\int_0^{kT} |\dot{u}(t)|^2 dt \right)^{(\alpha+1)/2} + C_3 \left(\int_0^{kT} |\dot{u}(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2}$$

for all $u \in H_{kT}^1$ and some positive constants C_1, C_2 and C_3 .

Hence one has

$$\begin{aligned} \|\tilde{u}_n\| &\geq |\langle \varphi'_k(u_n), \tilde{u}_n \rangle| = \left| \int_0^{kT} |\dot{u}_n(t)|^2 dt - \int_0^{kT} (\nabla F(t, u_n(t)), \tilde{u}_n(t)) dt \right| \\ &\geq \frac{3}{4} \int_0^{kT} |\dot{u}_n(t)|^2 dt - C_1 |\bar{u}_n|^{2\alpha} \\ &\quad - C_2 \left(\int_0^{kT} |\dot{u}_n(t)|^2 dt \right)^{(\alpha+1)/2} - C_3 \left(\int_0^{kT} |\dot{u}_n(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

for large n . By (12) and the above inequality we have

$$C |\bar{u}_n|^\alpha \geq \left(\int_0^{kT} |\dot{u}_n(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} - C_4 \tag{13}$$

for some constants $C > 0, C_4 > 0$ and all large n , which implies that

$$\|\tilde{u}_n\|_\infty \leq C_5 (|\bar{u}_n|^\alpha + 1)$$

for all large n and some positive constant C_5 by Sobolev’s inequality. Then one has

$$|u_n(t)| \geq |\bar{u}_n| - |\tilde{u}_n(t)| \geq |\bar{u}_n| - \|\tilde{u}_n\|_\infty \geq |\bar{u}_n| - C_5 (|\bar{u}_n|^\alpha + 1)$$

for all large n and every $t \in [0, kT]$, which implies that

$$|u_n(t)| \geq \frac{1}{2} |\bar{u}_n| \tag{14}$$

for all large n and every $t \in [0, kT]$.

If $(|\bar{u}_n|)$ is unbounded, we may assume that, going to a subsequence if necessary,

$$|\bar{u}_n| \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{15}$$

Set $\delta = \text{meas } E/2$. It follows from (9) and Lemma 1 that there exists a subset E_δ of E with $\text{meas}(E \setminus E_\delta) < \delta$ such that

$$|x|^{-2\alpha} F(t, x) \rightarrow +\infty \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty$$

uniformly for all $t \in E_\delta$, which implies that

$$\text{meas } E_\delta = \text{meas } E - \text{meas}(E \setminus E_\delta) > \delta > 0 \tag{16}$$

and for every $\beta > 0$, there exists $M \geq 1$ such that

$$|x|^{-2\alpha} F(t, x) \geq \beta \tag{17}$$

for all $|x| \geq M$ and all $t \in E_\delta$. By (14) and (15), one has

$$|u_n(t)| \geq M \tag{18}$$

for all large n and every $t \in [0, kT]$. It follows from (13), (7), (18), (17), (14) and (16) that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k(u_n) &\leq (C|\bar{u}_n|^\alpha + C_4)^2 - \int_{[0, kT] \setminus E_\delta} \gamma(t) dt - \int_{E_\delta} \beta |u_n(t)|^{2\alpha} dt \\ &\leq (C|\bar{u}_n|^\alpha + C_4)^2 - \int_{[0, kT] \setminus E_\delta} \gamma(t) dt - 2^{-2\alpha} |\bar{u}_n|^{2\alpha} \delta \beta \end{aligned}$$

for all large n . Hence we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\bar{u}_n|^{-2\alpha} \varphi_k(u_n) \leq C^2 - 2^{-2\alpha} \delta \beta.$$

By the arbitrariness of $\beta > 0$, one has

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |\bar{u}_n|^{-2\alpha} \varphi_k(u_n) = -\infty,$$

which contradicts the boundedness of $\varphi_k(u_n)$. Hence $(|\bar{u}_n|)$ is bounded. Furthermore, (u_n) is bounded by (13) and (12). Arguing then as in Proposition 4.1 in [11], we conclude that the (PS) condition is satisfied. \square

Proof of Theorem 3. It follows from Lemma 2 that φ_k satisfies the (PS) condition. We now prove that φ_k satisfies the other conditions of the saddle point theorem. Set

$$e_k(t) = k(\cos k^{-1}\omega t)x_0$$

for all $t \in R$ and some $x_0 \in R^N$ with $|x_0| = 1$, where $\omega = 2\pi/T$. Then we have

$$\dot{e}_k(t) = -\omega(\sin k^{-1}\omega t)x_0$$

for all $t \in R$, which implies that

$$\|\dot{e}_k\|_{L^2(0, kT; R^N)}^2 = \frac{1}{2}kT\omega^2.$$

Hence one has

$$\varphi_k(x + e_k) = \frac{1}{4}kT\omega^2 - \int_0^{kT} F(t, x + k(\cos k^{-1}\omega t)x_0) dt$$

for all $x \in R^N$. It follows from (17) that

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k(x + e_k) &\leq \frac{1}{4}kT\omega^2 - \int_{[0, kT] \setminus E_\delta} \gamma(t) dt - \beta \int_{E_\delta} |x + k(\cos k^{-1}\omega t)x_0|^{2\alpha} dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}kT\omega^2 - \int_{[0, kT] \setminus E_\delta} \gamma(t) dt - \beta M^{2\alpha} \text{meas } E_\delta \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}kT\omega^2 - \int_{[0, kT] \setminus E_\delta} \gamma(t) dt - \beta \text{meas } E_\delta \end{aligned}$$

for all $|x| \geq M + k$, which implies that

$$\varphi_k(x + e_k) \rightarrow -\infty \quad \text{as } |x| \rightarrow \infty \tag{19}$$

by the arbitrariness of β .

Let \tilde{H}_{kT}^1 be the subspace of H_{kT}^1 given by

$$\tilde{H}_{kT}^1 = \{u \in H_{kT}^1 \mid \bar{u} = 0\}.$$

Then one has

$$\varphi_k(u) \rightarrow +\infty \tag{20}$$

as $\|u\| \rightarrow \infty$ in \tilde{H}_{kT}^1 . In fact, it follows from Sobolev’s inequality that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_0^{kT} [F(t, u(t)) - F(t, 0)] dt \right| &= \left| \int_0^{kT} \int_0^1 (\nabla F(t, su(t)), u(t)) ds dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_0^{kT} \int_0^1 g(t) |su(t)|^\alpha |u(t)| ds dt + \int_0^{kT} \int_0^1 h(t) |u(t)| ds dt \\ &\leq \int_0^{kT} g(t) |u(t)|^\alpha |u(t)| dt + \int_0^{kT} h(t) |u(t)| dt \\ &\leq \|u\|_\infty^{\alpha+1} \int_0^{kT} g(t) dt + \|u\|_\infty \int_0^{kT} h(t) dt \\ &\leq C_5 \left(\int_0^{kT} |\dot{u}(t)|^2 dt \right)^{(\alpha+1)/2} + C_6 \left(\int_0^{kT} |\dot{u}(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} \end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in \tilde{H}_{kT}^1$ and some positive constants C_5 and C_6 .

Hence one has

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_k(u) &= \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{kT} |\dot{u}(t)|^2 dt - \int_0^{kT} [F(t, u(t)) - F(t, 0)] dt - \int_0^{kT} F(t, 0) dt \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_0^{kT} |\dot{u}(t)|^2 dt - C_5 \left(\int_0^{kT} |\dot{u}(t)|^2 dt \right)^{(\alpha+1)/2} \\ &\quad - C_6 \left(\int_0^{kT} |\dot{u}(t)|^2 dt \right)^{1/2} - \int_0^{kT} F(t, 0) dt \end{aligned}$$

for all $u \in \tilde{H}_{kT}^1$, which implies (20) by (12).

By (19), (20) and the saddle point theorem (see Theorem 4.6 in [11]), there exists a critical point $u_k \in H_{kT}^1$ for φ_k such that

$$-\infty < \inf_{H_{kT}^1} \varphi_k \leq \varphi_k(u_k) \leq \sup_{R^{N+e_k}} \varphi_k.$$

For fixed $x \in R^N$, set

$$A_k = \{t \in [0, kT] \mid |x + k(\cos k^{-1}\omega t)x_0| \leq M\}.$$

Then we have

$$\text{meas } A_k \leq k\delta/2 \tag{21}$$

for all large k . In fact, if $\text{meas } A_k > k\delta/2$, there exists $t_1 \in A_k$ such that

$$\frac{1}{8}k\delta \leq t_1 \leq \frac{1}{2}kT - \frac{1}{8}k\delta \tag{22}$$

or

$$\frac{1}{2}kT + \frac{1}{8}k\delta \leq t_1 \leq kT - \frac{1}{8}k\delta. \tag{23}$$

Moreover, there exists $t_2 \in A_k$ such that

$$|t_2 - t_1| \geq \frac{1}{8}k\delta \tag{24}$$

and

$$|t_2 - (kT - t_1)| \geq \frac{1}{8}k\delta. \tag{25}$$

It follows from (25) that

$$\left| \frac{1}{2}(k^{-1}t_1 + k^{-1}t_2) - \frac{1}{2}T \right| \geq \frac{1}{16}\delta. \tag{26}$$

By (22) and (23), one has

$$\frac{1}{16}\delta \leq \frac{1}{2}(k^{-1}t_1 + k^{-1}t_2) \leq T - \frac{1}{16}\delta. \tag{27}$$

From (26) and (27) we obtain

$$\left| \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(k^{-1}t_1 + k^{-1}t_2)\omega\right) \right| \geq \sin\left(\frac{1}{16}\omega\delta\right).$$

Furthermore, by (24) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\cos(k^{-1}\omega t_1) - \cos(k^{-1}\omega t_2)| \\ &= 2 \left| \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(k^{-1}t_1 + k^{-1}t_2)\omega\right) \right| \left| \sin\left(\frac{1}{2}(k^{-1}t_1 - k^{-1}t_2)\omega\right) \right| \geq 2 \sin^2\left(\frac{1}{16}\omega\delta\right). \end{aligned}$$

But due to $t_1, t_2 \in A_k$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} & |\cos(k^{-1}\omega t_1) - \cos(k^{-1}\omega t_2)| \\ &= \frac{1}{k} |x + k(\cos k^{-1}\omega t_1)x_0 - (x + k(\cos k^{-1}\omega t_2)x_0)| \leq \frac{2M}{k} \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction for large k . Hence (21) holds. Let

$$E_k = \bigcup_{j=0}^{k-1} (jT + E_\delta).$$

Then it follows from (21) that

$$\text{meas}(E_k \setminus A_k) \geq \frac{1}{2}k\delta$$

for large k . By (17) we have

$$\begin{aligned} k^{-1}\varphi_k(x + e_k) &= \frac{1}{4}T\omega^2 - k^{-1} \int_0^{kT} F(t, x + k(\cos k^{-1}\omega t)x_0) dt \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}T\omega^2 - k^{-1} \int_{[0, kT] \setminus (E_k \setminus A_k)} \gamma(t) dt - k^{-1}\beta \text{meas}(E_k \setminus A_k) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{4}T\omega^2 + \int_0^T |\gamma(t)| dt - \frac{1}{2}\delta\beta \end{aligned}$$

for every $x \in R^N$ and all large k . Hence one has

$$\sup_{x \in R^N} k^{-1}\varphi_k(x + e_k) \leq \frac{1}{4}T\omega^2 + \int_0^T |\gamma(t)| dt - \frac{1}{2}\delta\beta$$

for all large k , which implies that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in R^N} k^{-1}\varphi_k(x + e_k) \leq \frac{1}{4}T\omega^2 + \int_0^T |\gamma(t)| dt - \frac{1}{2}\delta\beta.$$

By the arbitrariness of β , we obtain

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in R^N} k^{-1}\varphi_k(x + e_k) = -\infty,$$

which follows that

$$\limsup_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-1}\varphi_k(u_k) = -\infty. \tag{28}$$

Now we prove that $\|u_k\|_\infty \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$. If not, going to a subsequence if necessary, we may assume that

$$\|u_k\|_\infty \leq C_7$$

for all $k \in N$ and some positive constant C_7 . Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
k^{-1}\varphi_k(u_k) &\geq -k^{-1} \int_0^{kT} F(t, u_k(t)) dt \geq -k^{-1} \max_{0 \leq s \leq C_7} a(s) \int_0^{kT} b(t) dt \\
&= - \max_{0 \leq s \leq C_7} a(s) \int_0^T b(t) dt.
\end{aligned}$$

It follows that

$$\liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{-1}\varphi_k(u_k) > -\infty,$$

which contradicts (28). Therefore we complete our proof. \square

References

- [1] A.I. Avila, P.L. Felmer, Periodic and subharmonic solutions for a class of second order Hamiltonian systems, *Dynam. Systems Appl.* 3 (1994) 519–536.
- [2] N.P. Cac, A.C. Lazer, On second order, periodic, symmetric, differential systems having subharmonics of all sufficiently large orders, *J. Differential Equations* 127 (1996) 426–438.
- [3] F.H. Clarke, I. Ekeland, Nonlinear oscillations and boundary value problems for Hamiltonian systems, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* 78 (1982) 315–333.
- [4] P.L. Felmer, E.A. de B. e Silva, Subharmonics near an equilibrium for some second-order Hamiltonian systems, *Proc. Roy. Soc. Edinburgh Sect. A* 123 (1993) 819–834.
- [5] A. Fonda, A.C. Lazer, Subharmonic solutions of conservative systems with nonconvex potentials, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 115 (1992) 183–190.
- [6] A. Fonda, M. Ramos, M. Willem, Subharmonic solutions for second order differential equations, *Topol. Methods Nonlinear Anal.* 1 (1993) 49–66.
- [7] F. Giannoni, Periodic solutions of dynamical systems by a saddle point theorem of Rabinowitz, *Nonlinear Anal.* 13 (1989) 707–719.
- [8] N. Hirano, Subharmonic solutions for second order differential systems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 196 (1995) 266–281.
- [9] N. Hirano, Z.Q. Wang, Subharmonic solutions for second order Hamiltonian systems, *Discrete Contin. Dynam. Systems* 4 (1998) 467–474.
- [10] M.Y. Jiang, Subharmonic solutions of second order subquadratic Hamiltonian systems with potential changing sign, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 244 (2000) 291–303.
- [11] J. Mawhin, M. Willem, *Critical Point Theory and Hamiltonian Systems*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1989.
- [12] P.H. Rabinowitz, On subharmonic solutions of Hamiltonian systems, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 33 (1980) 609–633.
- [13] E. Serra, M. Tarallo, S. Terracini, Subharmonic solutions to second-order differential equations with periodic nonlinearities, *Nonlinear Anal.* 41 (2000) 649–667.
- [14] C.L. Tang, Periodic solutions for nonautonomous second order systems with sublinear nonlinearity, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 126 (1998) 3263–3270.
- [15] C.L. Tang, X.P. Wu, Periodic solutions for second order systems with not uniformly coercive potential, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 259 (2001) 386–397.
- [16] Q. Wang, Z.Q. Wang, J.Y. Shi, Subharmonic oscillations with prescribed minimal period for a class of Hamiltonian systems, *Nonlinear Anal.* 28 (1997) 1273–1282.
- [17] M. Willem, Periodic oscillations of odd second order Hamiltonian systems, *Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. B* (6) 3 (1984) 293–304.
- [18] M. Willem, Subharmonic oscillations of convex Hamiltonian systems, *Nonlinear Anal.* 9 (1985) 1303–1311.