

JOURNAL OF MATHEMATICAL ANALYSIS AND APPLICATIONS 155, 293–311 (1991)

# Asymptotic Stability of the Solutions of a Linear Singularly Perturbed System with Unbounded Delay

H. D. VOULOV

*Higher Institute of Machine and Electrical Engineering, Sofia 1156, Bulgaria*

AND

D. D. BAINOV

*Plovdiv University, "Paissii Hilendarski," Bulgaria**Submitted by V. Lakshmikantham*

Received July 23, 1987

Sufficient conditions for asymptotic stability of the solutions of a linear singularly perturbed system of differential equations with unbounded delay have been found. Under the same conditions it is proved that for a locally Lipschitz initial function the initial value problem for the system degenerates regularly. © 1991 Academic Press, Inc.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Singularly perturbed systems of differential equations are often used in the applications. In the last few decades the theory of singularly perturbed ordinary differential equations develops intensively. One of the principal problems of this theory is the finding of sufficient conditions for regular degeneration of the system, i.e., conditions under which the solutions of the initial value problem tend as  $\mu \rightarrow 0$  to the solutions of the initial value problem for the corresponding degenerate system. (Here  $\mu$  is the perturbing parameter.)

In some mathematical models the history of the process described is taken account of. Thus the problem of finding sufficient conditions for regular degeneration of a singularly perturbed system of differential equations with retarding argument arises quite naturally. For systems with constant delay this problem was considered by A. Halanay [4], A. I. Klimushev [7, 8], K. L. Cooke [1], and K. L. Cooke and K. R. Meyer [2]. Linear singularly perturbed systems with variable bounded delay were

considered by N. V. Stojanov and H. D. Voullov [16] and L. T. Magalhaes [11–13].

In the present paper sufficient conditions for asymptotic stability of the solutions of a linear singularly perturbed nonautonomous system with unbounded delay are found. Under the same conditions it is proved that for locally Lipschitz initial function the initial value problem for the system degenerates regularly.

## II. NOTATIONS: PHASE SPACE AND DEFINITIONS

We shall denote the Euclidean norm by  $|\cdot|$ . If  $v$  is a scalar function of a scalar argument  $t$ , denote by  $(d/dt)v$  its derivative, by  $\dot{v}$  its right derivative and by  $D^+v$ ,  $D_+v$ ,  $D^-v$ ,  $D_-v$  its Dini derivatives. Set  $v'(t) = D^+v(t)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}^+v(t) = \max\{|D^+v(t)|, |D_+v(t)|\}$ ,  $\mathcal{D}^-v(t) = \max\{|D^-v(t)|, |D_-v(t)|\}$  and  $\mathcal{D}v(t) = \max\{\mathcal{D}^+v(t), \mathcal{D}^-v(t)\}$ . If  $x$  is a vector-valued function of a scalar argument, set  $D^+x = \text{col}(D^+x_1, \dots, D^+x_n)$ ,  $\mathcal{D}^+x = \text{col}(\mathcal{D}^+x_1, \mathcal{D}^+x_2, \dots, \mathcal{D}^+x_n)$ .  $D_+x$ ,  $D^-x$ ,  $D_-x$ ,  $\mathcal{D}^-x$ , and  $\mathcal{D}x$  are defined in the same way. Denote by  $\mathcal{J}[z_0, +\infty)$  the set of all functions  $g \in C[z_0, \infty)$  such that  $g(t) \leq t$  for  $t \geq z_0$  and  $g(t) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ . Set  $x_t(s) = x(t+s)$  and  $I = [t_0, +\infty)$ , where  $t_0$  is fixed. Let  $B$  be the linear space of the functions  $\varphi: (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow R^p$  provided with the seminorm  $\|\cdot\|$  and let  $B_\tau$  be the space of the functions  $\psi: (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow R^p$  such that  $\psi$  is continuous in  $[-\tau, 0]$  and  $\psi_{-\tau} \in B$ ,  $\tau \geq 0$ , where  $\psi_t(s) = \psi(t+s)$  for  $s \leq 0$ . The space  $B$  is called admissible if for  $\tau \geq 0$  and  $\psi \in B_\tau$  we have

$$(\beta_1) \quad \psi_t \in B \text{ for } t \in [-\tau, 0]$$

$$(\beta_2) \quad \psi_t \text{ is continuous in } t \text{ with respect to } \|\cdot\| \text{ for } t \in [-\tau, 0]$$

$$(\beta_3) \quad M_0 |\psi(0)| \leq \|\psi\| \leq K(\tau) \sup_{-\tau \leq s \leq 0} |\psi(s)| + M(\tau) \|\psi_{-\tau}\|,$$

where  $M_0 > 0$  is a constant and  $K(s)$ ,  $M(s)$  are continuous functions.

An admissible space  $B$  is said to have a fading memory if the functions  $K(s)$  and  $M(s)$  in  $(\beta_3)$  satisfy the condition:

$$(\beta_4) \quad K(s) = K = \text{const}, \quad M(s) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } s \rightarrow +\infty.$$

Assume, moreover, that

$$(\beta_5) \quad \text{for each } s \leq 0 \text{ there exists a number } M^*(s) \text{ such that}$$

$$|\varphi(s)| \leq M^*(s) \|\varphi\| \quad \text{for } \varphi \in B.$$

From [5, Lemma 2.4] it follows that under the conditions  $(\beta_1)$ – $(\beta_3)$  the function  $M^*(s)$  in  $(\beta_5)$  can be chosen continuous, positive, and monotone decreasing.

An important example of a phase space satisfying conditions  $(\beta_1)$ – $(\beta_5)$  is the Banach space  $C_\gamma$  of the continuous functions  $\varphi: (-\infty, 0] \rightarrow R^p$  for which there exists the limit  $\lim_{s \rightarrow -\infty} e^{\gamma s} |\varphi(s)|$ , provided with the norm  $\|\varphi\| = \sup_{s \leq 0} e^{\gamma s} |\varphi(s)|$ .

Consider the initial value problem

$$\dot{x}(t) = L(t, x_t), \quad x_\sigma = \varphi \in B, \quad (1)$$

where  $t \geq \sigma \in I$ ,  $L(t, \varphi)$  is a functional which is defined and continuous for  $(t, \varphi) \in I \times B$  and linear on  $\varphi$ . From [5, Theorem 2.1, Lemma 3.1; 15, Theorems 2.1, 2.2] it is known that for any  $\sigma \geq t_0$ ,  $\varphi \in B$  there exists a unique solution  $x(t) = x(\sigma, \varphi)(t)$  of the initial value problem (1) defined for all  $t \geq \sigma$ .

DEFINITION. The trivial solution of (1) is called

$(\alpha_1)$  stable in  $R^p$  if for any  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\sigma \in I$  there exists  $\delta = \delta(\sigma, \varepsilon) > 0$  such that  $|x(\sigma, \varphi)(t)| < \varepsilon$  for  $t \geq \sigma$ ,  $\|\varphi\| < \delta$ ;

$(\alpha_2)$  uniformly stable in  $R^p$  if in  $(\alpha_1)$   $\delta$  does not depend on  $\sigma$ ;

$(\alpha_3)$  equiasymptotically stable in  $R^p$  if it is stable and there exist functions  $\delta_0 = \delta_0(\sigma)$  and  $T = T(\sigma, \varepsilon)$  such that  $|x(\sigma, \varphi)(t)| < \varepsilon$  for  $t \geq T(\sigma, \varepsilon)$ ,  $\|\varphi\| < \delta_0(\sigma)$ ;

$(\alpha_4)$  uniformly asymptotically stable in  $R^p$  if  $(\alpha_3)$  is valid and  $T(\sigma, \varepsilon) - \sigma$  does not depend on  $\sigma$ ;

$(\alpha_5)$  exponentially stable in  $R^p$  if there exist positive constants  $\alpha, M_1$  such that

$$|x(\sigma, \varphi)(t)| \leq M_1 \|\varphi\| e^{-\alpha(t-\sigma)} \quad (2)$$

for  $t \geq \sigma \in I$ .

If in the above definition we replace  $|x(\sigma, \varphi)(t)|$  and  $R^p$  respectively by  $\|x_t(\sigma, \varphi)\|$  and  $B$ , we obtain the respective definitions of stability in  $B$ . Since  $B$  is an admissible space with a fading memory, the notions of uniform asymptotic stability in  $B$  and  $R^p$  are equivalent (see [5, Theorem 6.1]).

### III. MAIN RESULTS

Let  $\sigma \in I$ . Consider for  $t \geq \sigma$  the system

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{X}(t) &= L^{(1)}(t, X_t, \mu) + A(t, \mu) Y(t) \\ \mu \dot{Y}(t) &= L^{(2)}(t, X_t, \mu) + C(t, \mu) Y(t) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

with initial conditions  $X_\sigma = \varphi \in B$ ,  $Y(\sigma) = y_0 \in R^n$ , where  $\mu \in (c, \mu_0]$ ,  $X(t) \in R^p$ ,  $Y(t) \in R^n$ ,  $A$  and  $C$  are real matrices whose entries are functions of  $(t, \mu) \in I \times [0, \mu_0]$ ,  $L^{(v)}(t, \varphi, \mu)$ ,  $v = 1, 2$ , are real vectors whose components are continuous functionals defined for  $(t, \varphi, \mu) \in I \times B \times [0, \mu_0]$  and linear on  $\varphi$ . In case that  $\det C(t, 0) \neq 0$  for  $t \in I$ , the degenerate system corresponding to (3) (for  $\mu = 0$ ) can be written in the more convenient form

$$\dot{x}(t) = [L^{(1)} - AC^{-1}L^{(2)}](t, x_t, 0) \quad (4)$$

$$y(t) = [-C^{-1}L^{(2)}](t, x_t, 0) \quad (5)$$

with initial condition  $x_\sigma = \varphi$ .

We shall say that conditions (H) are satisfied if the following conditions hold:

(H1) The components of  $L^{(v)}(t, \varphi, \mu)$ ,  $v = 1, 2$ ,  $A(t, \mu)$ ,  $C(t, \mu)$  are continuous for  $(t, \varphi, \mu) \in I \times B \times [0, \mu_0]$ ,  $C(t, 0) \in C^1(I)$ , and there exists a function  $g \in \mathcal{J}[t_0, +\infty)$  and a constant  $M_2$  such that for  $(t, \varphi, \mu) \in I \times B \times [0, \mu_0]$  the following inequalities hold

$$\begin{aligned} |A(t, \mu)| &\leq M_2, & |C(t, \mu)| &\leq M_2, & |D^+ C(t, \mu)| &\leq M_2 \\ |L^{(v)}(t, \varphi, \mu)| &\leq M_2 \sup_{g(t) - t \leq s \leq 0} |\varphi(s)|, & v &= 1, 2. \end{aligned}$$

(H2) There exist functions  $g \in \mathcal{J}[t_0, +\infty)$  and  $\rho = \rho(\mu)$ , the latter defined for  $\mu \in [0, \mu_0]$ , such that  $\rho(\mu) \rightarrow 0$  as  $\mu \rightarrow 0$  and the inequalities

$$\begin{aligned} |A(t, \mu) - A(t, 0)| &\leq \rho(\mu), & |C(t, \mu) - C(t, 0)| &\leq \rho(\mu) \\ |L^{(v)}(t, \varphi, \mu) - L^{(v)}(t, \varphi, 0)| &\leq \rho(\mu) \sup_{g(t) - t \leq s \leq 0} |\varphi(s)|, & v &= 1, 2 \end{aligned}$$

hold for any  $(t, \varphi, \mu) \in I \times B \times [0, \mu_0]$ .

(H3) There exists a function  $g \in \mathcal{J}[t_0, +\infty)$  and a positive constant  $M_3$  such that for any function  $x: R^1 \rightarrow R^p$  such that  $x_t \in B$  for  $t \geq t_0$  the estimate

$$|D^+ L^{(2)}(t, x_t, \mu)| \leq M_3 \sup_{g(t) \leq s \leq t} (|x(s)| + |\mathcal{D}x(s)|)$$

holds for  $(t, \mu) \in I \times [0, \mu_0]$ .

(H4) There exists a positive constant  $\beta$  such that all eigenvalues  $\lambda_i(t)$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$  of the matrix  $C(t, 0)$  satisfy the condition

$$\operatorname{Re} \lambda_i(t) \leq -\beta \quad \text{for } t \in I.$$

(H5) The zero solution of the degenerate system (4) is uniformly asymptotically stable in  $B$ .

Since for  $g_1, g_2 \in \mathcal{J}[t_0, +\infty)$  and  $g(t) = \min\{g_1(t), g_2(t)\}$  we have  $g \in \mathcal{J}[t_0, +\infty)$ , we may assume that the function  $g$  in (H1), (H2), and (H3) is the same. From condition (H4) it follows that  $|\det C(t, 0)| \geq \beta^n > 0$  and setting in (3)  $\mu = 0$  we obtain the degenerate system (4), (5). Under the conditions (H4) and (H1) the right-hand sides of the linear systems (3) and (4) are continuous for  $(t, \varphi) \in I \times B$ . Then the initial value problems (3),  $X_\sigma = \varphi$ ,  $Y(\sigma) = y_0$  and (4),  $x_\sigma = \varphi$  have unique solutions respectively  $(X, Y)(t) = (X, Y)(\sigma, \varphi, y_0, \mu)(t)$  and  $x(t) = x(\sigma, \varphi)(t)$ , defined and continuous for  $t \geq \sigma$ .

The main result in the work is the following theorem.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let conditions (H) hold.*

*Then the following assertions are valid:*

(i) *There exists a positive number  $\mu_1 \leq \mu_0$  such that for  $\mu \in (0, \mu_1)$  the zero solution of (3) is equiasymptotically stable in  $B \times R^n$ . In the case of bounded delay; i.e., when the function  $t - g(t)$  is bounded, the zero solution of (3) is uniformly asymptotically stable.*

(ii) *For any locally Lipschitz initial function  $\varphi \in B$  and as  $\mu \rightarrow 0$  the solution  $(X, Y)(t_0, \varphi, y_0, \mu)(t)$  of (3) tends to the solution  $(x, y)(t_0, \varphi)(t)$  of (4), (5) uniformly with respect to  $(t, y_0) \in [t_0 + \delta, +\infty) \times \{z \in R^n : |z| \leq Q\}$ , where  $\delta$  and  $Q$  are arbitrary positive constants.*

The proof of Theorem 1 is given in Section IV.

In order to illustrate the role of condition (H) in Theorem 1, we shall consider several examples. If the estimate in condition (H4) is valid for each  $t \in I$  but it is not uniform with respect to  $t \in I$ , i.e.,  $\beta$  depends on  $t$ , then assertions (i) and (ii) are not valid which is seen from [6, Example E4]. The importance of condition (H2) is illustrated by [6, Examples E1, E2].

**EXAMPLE 1.** The condition  $g(t) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$  in (H1) cannot be omitted. Consider the system

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{X}(t) &= -X(t) + X(g(t)) - Y(t), & X_\sigma &= \varphi \in C_\gamma \\ \mu \dot{Y}(t) &= X(g(t)) - Y(t), & Y(\sigma) &= y_0 \in R^1, \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

where  $g(t) \leq A = \text{const}$  for  $t \geq t_0$ . Choose a number  $\sigma > \max\{A, t_0\}$  and set  $\varphi(s) \equiv y_0$  for  $s \leq 0$ . Then  $Y(t) \equiv y_0$  for  $t \geq \sigma$ , hence the trivial solution of (6) is not asymptotically stable.

**EXAMPLE 2.** In the case of bounded delay, i.e., when the function  $g(t)$  in conditions (H) satisfies the inequality  $g(t) \geq t - h$ ,  $h = \text{const} > 0$ ,

Theorem 1 shows that the zero solution of (3) is uniformly asymptotically stable for  $t \in I$  and sufficiently small values of  $\mu$  (see also [16]).

Consider system (6) for  $g(t) = t/2$ ,  $t_0 > 0$ . It satisfies all conditions of Theorem 1, hence its zero solution is equiasymptotically stable for  $t \geq t_0$  and sufficiently small values of  $\mu$ . We shall prove that it is not uniformly asymptotically stable. Fix the number  $\mu > 0$  and suppose that the last assertion is not true, i.e., that there exists a number  $\delta > 0$  such that for any  $\varepsilon > 0$  one can find a number  $N(\varepsilon) > 0$  such that

$$|X(\sigma, \varphi, y_0, \mu)(t)| < \varepsilon, \quad |Y(\sigma, \varphi, y_0, \mu)(t)| < \varepsilon$$

for  $t \geq \sigma + N(\varepsilon)$ ,  $\|\varphi\| + |y_0| < \delta$ ,  $\sigma \geq t_0$ . Set  $\varepsilon = \delta/8$ ,  $\sigma = t_0 + N(\varepsilon)$ ,  $\varphi(s) \equiv y_0 = \delta/4$ . Hence

$$|Y(\sigma, \varphi, y_0, \mu)(2\sigma)| < \delta/8.$$

But on the other hand for  $t \in [\sigma, 2\sigma]$  the system is of the form

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{X}(t) &= -X(t) + \delta/4 - Y(t), & X(\sigma) &= \delta/4 \\ \mu \dot{Y}(t) &= \delta/4 - Y(t), & Y(\sigma) &= \delta/4 \end{aligned}$$

which implies that  $Y(t) = \delta/4$  for  $t \in [\sigma, 2\sigma]$ .

This example shows that the condition of boundedness of the delay in [16, Theorem 1] is essential.

**EXAMPLE 3.** The convergence in assertion (ii) of Theorem 1 depends on the choice of the initial function  $\varphi$ ; i.e., the rate of convergence changes under small changes of the initial function. Consider the system

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{X}(t) &= -X(t), & X_0 &= \varphi \in C[-1, 0] \\ \mu \dot{Y}(t) &= -Y(t) + X(t-1), & Y(0) &= 0. \end{aligned} \tag{7}$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be fixed and let  $n$  be a positive integer. Set

$$\varphi(s) = \varphi_n(s) = \begin{cases} 0, & s \in [-1, -\varepsilon/n] \\ ns + \varepsilon, & s \in [-\varepsilon/n, 0]. \end{cases}$$

Integrate system (7) in the interval  $[0, 1]$ . For its solutions  $X(\varphi_n, \mu)(t)$  and  $Y(\varphi_n, \mu)(t)$  we have

$$X(\varphi_n, \mu)(t) = x(\varphi_n)(t) = \varepsilon e^{-t}$$

and for  $t=1$  the equality  $Y(\varphi_n, \mu)(1) - y(\varphi_n)(1) = \mu n(e^{-\varepsilon/\mu n} - 1)$  holds where  $x(\varphi_n)(t)$  and  $y(\varphi_n)(t)$  are solutions of the respective degenerate

system  $\dot{x}(t) = -x(t)$ ,  $y(t) = x(t-1)$ ,  $x_0 = \varphi_n$ . To complete the proof it suffices to note that  $\|\varphi_n\| \leq \varepsilon$  for every  $n$  and  $\mu n(e^{-\varepsilon/\mu n} - 1) \rightarrow 0$  nonuniformly with respect to  $n$  as  $\mu \rightarrow 0$ .

#### IV. PROOF OF THEOREM 1

##### 1. Proof of Some Auxiliary Assertions

LEMMA 1. Let  $v \in C[z_0, \tau)$ ,  $\tau \leq +\infty$ ,  $z_1 \geq z_0$ , let  $\delta$  and  $q$  be constants. Moreover, let  $v'(t) \leq q$  for these values of  $t \in [z_1, \tau)$  which satisfy the conditions  $v(t) \geq \delta$  and  $v(t) \geq v(s)$  for  $s \in [z_0, t]$ .

Then for  $t \in [z_1, \tau)$  the following inequality holds

$$v(t) \leq \max\{\delta, \sup_{s \in [z_0, z_1]} v(s)\} + (t - z_1) \max\{0, q\}. \quad (8)$$

*Proof.* (a) The case  $\delta = 0$ . Set  $\bar{v}(t) = \max\{0, v(t)\}$  for  $t \in [z_0, \tau)$ . The function  $\bar{v}$  is continuous and nonnegative in the interval  $[z_0, \tau)$ . It is easy to check that  $\bar{v}'(t) \leq \max\{0, q\}$  if  $t \in [z_1, \tau)$  and  $\bar{v}(t) \geq \bar{v}(s)$  for  $s \in [z_0, t]$ . Then from [3, Lemma 1] it follows that for  $t \in [z_1, \tau)$

$$v(t) \leq \max\{0, \sup_{s \in [z_0, z_1]} v(s)\} + (t - z_1) \max\{0, q\}.$$

(b) The general case. Set  $w(t) = v(t) - \delta$  for  $t \in [z_0, \tau)$ . The function  $w$  satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1 in the particular case in which the lemma was proved above. Hence for  $t \in [z_1, \tau)$  the inequality

$$v(t) - \delta \leq \max\{0, \sup_{s \in [z_0, z_1]} v(s) - \delta\} + (t - z_1) \max\{0, q\}$$

holds which is equivalent to (8). Lemma 1 is proved.

LEMMA 2. Assume that  $v \in C[z_2, \tau)$  where  $\tau \leq +\infty$ . Let  $q > 0$  and  $\delta$  be constants such that for all values of  $t \in [z_2, \tau)$  satisfying the condition  $v(t) \geq \delta$  the estimate  $v'(t) \leq -q$  holds. Moreover, let  $z_2 + T < \tau$ , where

$$T = q^{-1} \max\{0, v(z_2) - \delta\}.$$

Then  $v(t) \leq \delta$  for  $t \in [z_2 + T, \tau)$ .

*Proof.* If  $T = 0$ , then the assertion of Lemma 2 follows from the definition of the number  $T$  and Lemma 1. Let  $T > 0$ . If  $v(s) \leq \delta$  for some  $s \in [z_2, z_2 + T]$ , from Lemma 1 (for  $z_0 = z_1 = s$ ) it follows that  $v(t) \leq \delta$  for  $t \in [s, \tau) \supset [z_2 + T, \tau)$ . Suppose that this is not true, i.e., that  $v(t) > \delta$  for all

$t \in [z_2, z_2 + T]$ . Then for the function  $w(t) = v(t) + q(t - z_2)$  we have  $w(z_2) = v(z_2)$  and  $w'(t) \leq 0$  for  $t \in [z_2, z_2 + T]$ . From Lemma 1 it follows that  $w(z_2 + T) \leq w(z_2)$ , hence we obtain

$$\delta + qT < v(z_2 + T) + qT = w(z_2 + T) \leq w(z_2) = v(z_2)$$

which contradicts the definition of  $T$ . Lemma 2 is proved.

**LEMMA 3.** *Let  $r \in \mathcal{J}[z_0, +\infty)$ ,  $f \in C[0, +\infty)$  and  $f(t) > t$  for  $t > 0$ . Let  $c_0 > 0$  be a constant and let  $P$  be some subset of  $C[z_0, +\infty)$  such that for  $v \in P$  and  $t \geq z_0$  the estimate  $v(t) \leq c_0$  holds. Moreover, let for  $\delta > 0$  the functions  $\tau(\delta) = \tau(\delta, c_0, z_0) \geq z_0$  and  $K(\delta) = K(\delta, c_0, z_0) > 0$  be defined so that for any function  $v \in P$  and any value of  $t \geq \tau(\delta)$  satisfying the conditions  $v(t) \geq \delta$ ,  $r(t) \geq z_0$  and  $f(v(t)) \geq v(s)$  for  $s \in [r(t), t]$  the estimate  $v'(t) \leq -K(\delta)$  holds.*

*Then there exists a function  $\Gamma(\delta) = \Gamma(\delta, c_0, z_0)$  defined for  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $v \in P$  and  $t \geq \Gamma(\delta)$  the estimate  $v(t) \leq \delta$  holds.*

*Proof.* Let  $\delta$  be an arbitrary number from the interval  $(0, c_0)$ . By means of the numbers  $K = K(\delta, c_0, z_0)$  and  $\tau = \tau(\delta, c_0, z_0)$  we shall define  $\Gamma(\delta)$ . In virtue of the properties of the function  $f$  there exists a number  $a = a(\delta, c_0)$  such that  $f(s) - s > a$  for  $s \in [\delta, c_0]$ . Let  $N = N(\delta, c_0)$  be a positive integer such that  $\delta + Na \geq c_0$ . From the properties of the function  $r$  it follows that a finite monotone increasing sequence of numbers  $t_n = t_n(\delta, c_0, z_0)$  ( $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$ ) can be chosen so that  $t_0 = \tau$  and  $r(t) \geq t_{n-1}$  for  $t \geq t_n - a/K$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots, N$ .

Set  $\Gamma(\delta) = t_N = t_N(\delta, c_0, z_0)$ . We shall show that  $v(t) \leq \delta$  for  $t \geq t_N$  and  $v \in P$ . For this purpose it suffices to prove that for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots, N$ ,  $v \in P$ , and  $t \geq t_n$  the inequality

$$v(t) \leq \delta + (N - n)a$$

holds. We shall prove the above assertion by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 0$  the assertion follows from the definition of  $N$  and the inequality  $v(t) \leq c_0$  for  $v \in P$ .

Assume that the assertion holds for some  $n < N$ , i.e.,  $v(s) \leq \delta + (N - n)a$  for  $s \geq t_n$ ,  $v \in P$ . Let  $v \in P$ ,  $t \geq t_{n+1} - a/K$ , and  $v(t) \geq \delta + (N - n - 1)a$ . Then the inequalities  $t \geq r(t) \geq t_n \geq \tau$ ,  $v(t) \geq \delta$ , and  $f(v(t)) > v(t) + a \geq \delta + (N - n)a \geq v(s)$  hold for  $s \in [r(t), t]$ , hence  $v'(t) \leq -K$ . From Lemma 2 it follows that  $v(t) \leq \delta + a(N - n - 1)$  for  $t \geq t_{n+1} - a/K + T_1$ , where

$$T_1 = K^{-1} \max\{0, v(t_{n+1} - a/K) - \delta - (N - n - 1)a\}.$$

The inequalities  $t_{n+1} - a/K \geq r(t_{n+1} - a/K) \geq t_n$  and  $v(t_{n+1} - a/K) \leq \delta + (N - n)a$  show that  $T_1 \leq a/K$ . Hence  $v(t) \leq \delta + (N - n - 1)a$  for  $t \geq t_{n+1}$ ,  $v \in P$ .

This completes the proof of Lemma 3.



*Remark 1.* If  $r(t) \geq t - h$  and the numbers  $\tau(\delta, c_0, z_0) - z_0$  and  $K(\delta, c_0, z_0)$  in the conditions of Lemma 3 do not depend on  $z_0$ , then the number  $\Gamma(\delta, c_0, z_0) - z_0$  does not depend on  $z_0$  too because in this case  $t_n = t_{n-1} + h + a/K, n = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$  and  $\Gamma(\delta, c_0, z_0) - z_0 = N(h + a/K)$ .

We shall note that the proofs of Lemmas 2 and 3 have been inspired by [3, 9] with regard to certain ideas.

Next lemmas follow from the properties of  $\limsup$  and  $\liminf$ .

LEMMA 4. *For the scalar functions  $f$  and  $g$  we have:*

- (a) 
$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow s^+} (f(t) + g(t)) \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow s^+} f(t) + \limsup_{t \rightarrow s^+} g(t),$$

$$\limsup_{t \rightarrow s^+} |f(t) \cdot g(t)| \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow s^+} |f(t)| \cdot \limsup_{t \rightarrow s^+} |g(t)|.$$
- (b) *If  $\mathcal{D}^+ f(s) < +\infty$ , then  $f(t) \rightarrow f(s)$  as  $t \rightarrow s^+$ .*
- (c) 
$$\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} |f(s+h) - f(s)|/h = \mathcal{D}^+ f(s).$$
- (d) *If  $\mathcal{D}^+ f(s) < +\infty$  and  $\mathcal{D}^+ g(s) < +\infty$ , then*

$$\mathcal{D}^+(fg)(s) \leq |f(s)| \mathcal{D}^+ g(s) + |g(s)| \mathcal{D}^+ f(s).$$

*If  $\mathcal{D}^+ f(s) < +\infty, f(s) = 0$  and  $g(t) \rightarrow g(s)$  as  $t \rightarrow s^+$ , then  $\mathcal{D}^+(fg)(s) \leq |g(s)| \mathcal{D}^+ f(s)$ .*

*Proof.* The assertions (a) and (b) are trivial. Assertion (c) follows from the equality  $\limsup |f(t)| = \max\{|\limsup f(t)|, |\liminf f(t)|\}$  and assertion (d) follows from (a), (b), (c), and the triangle inequality.

LEMMA 5. *Let  $f, g_1$ , and  $g_2$  be continuous scalar functions such that  $g_1(t) \leq D^+ f(t) \leq g_2(t)$  for  $t \in (a, b)$ .*

*Then  $D_+ f(t), D^- f(t), D_- f(t) \in [g_1(t), g_2(t)]$  for  $t \in (a, b)$ .*

*Proof.* There exists a smooth function  $G_1$  defined for  $t \in (a, b)$  such that  $\dot{G}_1(t) = g_1(t)$ . The function  $F_1 = f - G_1$  satisfies the estimate  $D^+ F_1(t) \geq D^+ f(t) - g_1(t) \geq 0$  for  $t \in (a, b)$ . From [14, Appendix 1, Corollary 2.4] it follows that the derivatives  $D_+ F_1(t), D^- F_1(t)$ , and  $D_- F_1(t)$  are also non-negative. Hence  $D_+ f(t) \geq g_1(t)$  since

$$0 \leq D_+ F_1(t) \leq D_+ f(t) + D^+(-G_1)(t) = D_+ f(t) - g_1(t).$$

The inequalities  $D_- f(t) \geq g_1(t), D^- f(t) \geq g_1(t), D_+ f(t) \leq g_2(t), D^- f(t) \leq g_2(t)$ , and  $D_- f(t) \leq g_2(t)$  are proved in the same way.

COROLLARY 1. *Let the functions  $f$  and  $g$  be continuous for  $t \in (a, b)$ ,*

$g(t) \in R, f(t) \in R^n$ . Then the estimate  $|D^+ f(t)| \leq g(t)$  for all  $t \in (a, b)$  implies  $|\mathcal{D}f(t)| \leq g(t) \sqrt{n}$ .

LEMMA 6. Let the numbers  $\mu, c, d, r$  be positive and let  $a, b$  be non-negative numbers such that  $a + b \geq r$ .

Then, if  $\mu$  satisfies the condition

$$\mu \leq \mu_0 = \min \left\{ \frac{2}{3c^2}, \frac{1}{6c}, \frac{(r/d)^2}{8c^2 + 4c(r/d)} \right\}$$

the inequality  $a^2 + b^2\mu^{-1} \geq bc(a + b + d)$  holds.

If  $r \geq 3d$ , then  $\mu_0 = \min\{2/3c^2, 1/6c\}$ .

*Proof.* For  $\mu \leq 2/(3c^2)$  the inequalities  $a^2/2 + b^2/(3\mu) \geq a^2/2 + b^2c^2/2 \geq abc$  and for  $\mu \leq 1/(6c)$  the inequality  $b^2/(6\mu) \geq b^2c$  is fulfilled. In order to complete the proof of Lemma 6, it suffices to show that for  $\mu \leq (r/d)^2/(8c^2 + 4c(r/d))$  the estimate  $a^2/2 + b^2/(2\mu) \geq bcd$  holds. For  $a^2 \geq 2bcd$  the above inequality is obvious. On the other hand, for  $a^2 < 2bcd$  the inequality  $a + b \geq r$  implies the estimates

$$2r^2 \leq 4b^2 + 4a^2 \leq 4b^2 + 8bcd = (2b + 2cd)^2 - 4c^2d^2.$$

In such a case the inequalities

$$\frac{b}{2cd} \geq \frac{-2cd + \sqrt{4c^2d^2 + 2r^2}}{4cd} > \frac{(r/d)^2}{8c^2 + 4c(r/d)}$$

are fulfilled which imply  $b^2 > 2bcd\mu$  for  $\mu \leq (r/d)^2/(8c^2 + 4c(r/d))$ .

This completes the proof of Lemma 6.

## 2. Construction of a Functional of Lyapunov–Krasovskii Type

From conditions (H1) and (H4) it follows that the functional  $[L^{(1)} - AC^{-1}L^{(2)}](t, \varphi, 0)$  is continuous for  $(t, \varphi) \in I \times B$  and linear on  $\varphi$ . Hence, from [15, condition E5, Theorem 3.2] it follows that the solution  $x(\sigma, \varphi)(t)$  of the initial value problem (4),  $x_\sigma = \varphi$  satisfies estimate (2) for some  $M_1 > 0$  and  $\alpha > 0$ . By [15, Theorem 3.3] there exists a continuous functional

$$\bar{V}(t, \varphi) = \sup_{s \geq 0} \|x_{t+s}(t, \varphi)\| e^{\alpha s/2}$$

defined for  $(t, \varphi) \in I \times B$  such that for  $V(t, \varphi) = [\bar{V}(t, \varphi)]^2$  the following estimates are valid

$$\begin{aligned} \|\varphi\|^2 &\leq V(t, \varphi) \leq b_1 \|\varphi\|^2 \\ |V(t, \varphi_1) - V(t, \varphi_2)| &\leq b_1(\|\varphi_1\| + \|\varphi_2\|) \|\varphi_1 - \varphi_2\| \\ V'_{(4)}(t, \varphi) &\leq -a_1 \|\varphi\|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

where  $a_1$  and  $b_1$  are positive constants.

From conditions (H1), (H5), and [10, Lemma 2.4] it follows that

$$|e^{sC(t,0)}| \leq R_0 e^{-\beta s/2}, \quad |e^{s{}^t C(t,0)}| \leq R_0 e^{-\beta s/2}, \quad (10)$$

where  $R_0$  is a positive constant depending only on  $\beta$  and  $M_2$  and the matrix  ${}^t C$  is transposed to  $C$ .

Consider the linear autonomous system  $\dot{Z}(s) = C(t, 0) Z(s)$  and denote its solution through  $(0, \eta)$  by  $Z(s, t, \eta)$ . It is known that  $Z(s, t, \eta) = e^{C(t,0)s} \eta$  and

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} Z(s, t, \eta) = e^{C(t,0)s} \cdot \int_0^s e^{-C(t,0)\tau} \frac{d}{dt} C(t, 0) e^{C(t,0)\tau} d\tau \cdot \eta.$$

Set  $W(t, \eta) = \int_0^\infty |Z(s, t, \eta)|^2 ds$ . By means of (10) in a standard way it is proved that  $W(t, \eta): I \times R^n \rightarrow R$  is continuous, has partial derivatives with respect to all its arguments, and satisfies the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} a_2 |\eta|^2 &\leq W(t, \eta) \leq b_2 |\eta|^2 \\ |W_t(t, \eta)| &\leq b_2 |\eta|^2, \quad |W_\eta(t, \eta)| \leq b_2 |\eta| \\ (W_\eta(t, \eta), C(t, 0)\eta) &= -|\eta|^2, \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

where  $a_2$  and  $b_2$  are positive constants depending only on  $\beta$  and  $M_2$ . For  $(t, \varphi, \psi) \in I \times B \times R^n$  we set  $U(t, \varphi, \psi) = V(t, \varphi) + W(t, \psi)$ . The functional  $U$  is continuous and satisfies the estimates

$$a_3^2(\|\varphi\| + |\psi|)^2 \leq U(t, \varphi, \psi) \leq b_3^2(\|\varphi\| + |\psi|)^2. \quad (12)$$

### 3. Proof of Assertion (i) of Theorem 1

From conditions (H1), (H2), (H4), and Lemma 5 it follows that there exists a constant  $b_4$  such that for  $(t, \mu) \in I \times [0, \mu_0]$  the following inequalities hold

$$|C^{-1}(t, \mu)| \leq b_4, \quad |\mathcal{D}^+ C^{-1}(t, \mu)| \leq b_4. \quad (13)$$

Set  $L = \max\{1, M_1, M_2, M_3, M_0^{-1}, b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4\}$ ,  $m = \min\{1, M_0, a_1, a_2, a_3\}$ . There exists a number  $\sigma_0 \geq t_0$  such that  $g(t) \geq t_0$  for  $t \geq \sigma_0$ . For  $t \geq \sigma_0$  set  $G(t) = \inf_{g(s) \leq t} g(s)$ . Since  $G \in \mathcal{J}[\sigma_0, +\infty)$ , then for each  $\sigma \geq t_0$  there exists a number  $\Sigma = \Sigma(\sigma)$  such that  $G(t) > \sigma$  for  $t \geq \Sigma$ .

Let  $\mu \in (0, \mu_0)$  and  $\sigma \geq t_0$ . The solution  $(X, Y)(\sigma, \varphi, y_0, \mu)$  of the initial value problem (3),  $X_\sigma = \varphi$ ,  $Y(\sigma) = y_0$  we shall estimate in two steps.

For  $t \in [\sigma, \Sigma]$ , integrating system (3) over the interval  $[\sigma, t]$ , in view of

conditions  $(B_1)$ – $(B_3)$  and  $(H1)$  we obtain the following estimates of  $X$  and  $Y$

$$\begin{aligned} |X(t)| &\leq M_0^{-1} \|\varphi\| + L(C_0 + 1) \int_{\sigma}^t (\|X_{\lambda}\| + |Y(\lambda)|) d\lambda \\ |Y(t)| &\leq |y_0| + L\mu^{-1}(C_0 + 1) \int_{\sigma}^t (\|X_{\lambda}\| + |Y(\lambda)|) d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where  $C_0 \geq \sup_{s \in [\sigma, \Sigma]} M^*(g(s) - s)$ .

Then from condition  $(B_2)$  it follows that for  $t \in [\sigma, \Sigma]$

$$\begin{aligned} \|X_t\| &\leq [M_0^{-1}K(t - \sigma) + M(t - \sigma)] \|\varphi\| \\ &\quad + K(t - \sigma)L(C_0 + 1) \int_{\sigma}^t (\|X_{\lambda}\| + |Y(\lambda)|) d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Since the functions  $\|X_t\|$ ,  $|Y(t)|$ ,  $K(t - \sigma)$ , and  $M(t - \sigma)$  are continuous for  $t \in [\sigma, \Sigma]$ , using Gronwall's inequality we obtain

$$\|X_t\| + |Y(t)| \leq C_1(\|\varphi\| + |y_0|) \quad (14)$$

for  $t \in [\sigma, \Sigma]$ , where  $C_1 = C_1(\mu, \sigma) = (M_0^{-1}\hat{K} + \hat{M} + 1) \exp[L(C_0 + 1)(\mu^{-1} + \hat{K})(\Sigma - \sigma)]$ ,  $\hat{K} = \max_{s \in [0, \Sigma - \sigma]} K(s)$ ,  $\hat{M} = \max_{s \in [0, \Sigma - \sigma]} M(s)$ .

*Remark 2.* Note that in the case of bounded delay, i.e.,  $g(t) \geq t - h$ , where  $h > 0$  is a constant, the numbers  $C_0$  and  $C_1$  do not depend on  $\sigma$  since  $\Sigma = \sigma + 2h$  and  $C_0 = M^*(-h)$  because the function  $M^*$  is monotone decreasing.

In order to estimate  $\|X_t\|$  and  $|Y(t)|$  for  $t > \Sigma$ , we shall use the functional  $U(t, \varphi, \psi)$ .

Set

$$\begin{aligned} \xi(t, \mu) &= X(t, \mu) - x(t) \\ \eta(t, \mu) &= Y(t, \mu) + C^{-1}(t, \mu)L^{(2)}(t, X_t, \mu), \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

where  $x(t) = x(\sigma, \varphi)(t)$  is the solution of the initial value problem (4),  $x_{\sigma} = \varphi$ . From equalities (15) and inequalities  $(B_3)$ , (2) and (14) we deduce that

$$\|\xi_t\| + |\eta(t)| \leq C_2(\|\varphi\| + |y_0|) \quad (16)$$

for  $t \in [\sigma, \Sigma]$ , where  $C_2$  depends on  $L$ ,  $C_0$ , and  $C_1$ .

On the other hand, equalities (3), (4), and (15) show that the functions  $\xi$  and  $\eta$  satisfy the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} \dot{\xi}(t, \mu) &= [L^{(1)} - AC^{-1}L^{(2)}](t, \xi_t, \mu) + A(t, \mu)\eta(t, \mu) \\ &\quad + [L^{(1)} - AC^{-1}L^{(2)}](t, x_t, \mu) - [L^{(1)} - AC^{-1}L^{(2)}](t, x_t, 0), \\ D^+ \eta(t, \mu) &= C(t, \mu)\eta(t, \mu)\mu^{-1} + D^+[C^{-1}(t, \mu)L^{(2)}(t, X_t, \mu)], \\ D_+ \eta(t, \mu) &= C(t, \mu)\eta(t, \mu)\mu^{-1} + D_+[C^{-1}(t, \mu)L^{(2)}(t, X_t, \mu)] \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

for  $t \geq \sigma$ . Consider the functions

$$\begin{aligned} v_1(t) &= v_1(\sigma, \varphi, y_0, \mu)(t) = V(t, \xi_t), \\ v_2(t) &= v_2(\sigma, \varphi, y_0, \mu)(t) = W(t, \eta(t)), \\ v(t) &= v_1(t) + v_2(t). \end{aligned} \tag{18}$$

We shall estimate their right derivatives. Let  $t > \sigma$ . Denote by  $Z(s)$  the solution of the initial value problem (4),  $Z_t = \xi_t$ . By (9) and  $(\beta_2)$  and Lemma 4 we obtain that for  $t > \sigma$

$$\begin{aligned} v'_1(t) &\leq \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} [V(t+h, \xi_{t+h}) - V(t+h, Z_{t+h})]/h \\ &\quad + \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} [V(t+h, Z_{t+h}) - V(t, Z_t)]/h \\ &\leq 2L \|\xi_t\| \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \|\xi_{t+h} - Z_{t+h}\|/h + V'_{(4)}(t, Z_t) \\ &\leq 2LK(0) \|\xi_t\| \|\dot{\xi}(t, \mu) - \dot{Z}(t)\| - m \|\xi_t\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

since  $\limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} \sup_{s \in [t, t+h]} |f(s)| = \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} |f(h)|$  for any vector-valued function  $f$  defined for  $h > 0$ . Then from (4), (17), (13), and conditions (H1), (H2) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} v'_1(t) &\leq -m \|\xi_t\|^2 + 2LK(0) \|\xi_t\| \{L |\eta(t)| \\ &\quad + (1 + 3L^2) \rho(\mu) (\sup_{s \in [g(t), t]} |\xi(s)| + \sup_{s \in [g(t), t]} |x(s)|)\}. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

Let  $t \geq \sigma$  be a number such that  $\sup_{s \in [g(t), t]} |\mathcal{D}X(\sigma, \varphi, y_0, \mu)(s)| < \infty$ . Then from inequalities (13), condition (H3), and Lemmas 4 and 5 it follows that

$$|\mathcal{D}^+ [C^{-1}(t, \mu) L^{(2)}(t, X_t, \mu)]| \leq 2 \sqrt{n} L^2 \sup_{s \in [g(t), t]} (|X(s)| + |\mathcal{D}X(s)|) \tag{20}$$

and, by (17),  $|\mathcal{D}^+ \eta(t)| < +\infty$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} v'_2(t) &\leq W_t(t, \eta(t)) + \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} [W(t, \eta(t+h)) - W(t, \eta(t))]/h \\ &\leq W_t(t, \eta(t)) + \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} [\eta(t+h) - \eta(t)]/h, \\ &\quad W_\eta(t, \eta(t) + \theta(h)[\eta(t+h) - \eta(t)]) \\ &= W_t(t, \eta(t)) + \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} ([\eta(t+h) - \eta(t)]/h, W_\eta(t, \eta(t))) \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

and for  $\lambda \geq t$  set  $\zeta(\lambda) = \eta(\sigma, \varphi, y_0, \mu)(\lambda) - \lambda C(t, \mu) \eta(\sigma, \varphi, y_0, \mu)(t) \mu^{-1}$ .

Then from (17) we obtain that

$$\mathcal{L}^+ \zeta(t) = \mathcal{L}^+ [C^{-1}(t, \mu) L^{(2)}(t, X_t, \mu)].$$

By Lemma 4 and inequality (20) we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} ([\eta(t+h) - \eta(t)]/h - C(t, \mu) \eta(t) \mu^{-1}, W_\eta(t, \eta(t))) \\ &= \limsup_{h \rightarrow 0^+} ([\zeta(t+h) - \zeta(t)]/h, W_\eta(t, \eta(t))) \\ &\leq |\mathcal{L}^+ \zeta(t)| \cdot |W_\eta(t, \eta(t))| \\ &\leq 2 \sqrt{n} L^3 |\eta(t)| \sup_{s \in [g(t), t]} (|X(s)| + |\mathcal{D}X(s)|). \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

From relations (11), in view of condition (H2), we deduce the inequality

$$(C(t, \mu) \eta(t) \mu^{-1}, W_\eta(t, \eta(t))) \leq -(m - L\rho(\mu)) |\eta(t)|^2 \mu^{-1}. \tag{23}$$

Combining estimates (21), (22), and (23) we see that for all values of  $t \geq \sigma$  such that  $\sup_{s \in [g(t), t]} |\mathcal{D}X(s)| < \infty$  the following inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned} v'_2(t) &\leq -(m - L\rho(\mu)) \mu^{-1} |\eta(t)|^2 + L |\eta(t)|^2 \\ &\quad + 2 \sqrt{n} L^3 |\eta(t)| \sup_{s \in [g(t), t]} (|X(s)| + |\mathcal{D}X(s)|). \end{aligned} \tag{24}$$

Now let  $t \geq \Sigma$ . Then  $\sigma < G(t) \leq g(t)$  and from equalities (3), (15), condition (H1), and Lemma 5 it follows that

$$\sup_{s \in [g(t), t]} (|X(s)| + |\mathcal{D}X(s)|) \leq M_4 \sup_{s \in [G(t), t]} (|\xi(s)| + |x(s)| + |\eta(s)|),$$

where the number  $M_4$  depends only on  $L$ .

Hence from estimates (19), (24), and (2) we obtain that for  $t \geq \Sigma$  the following inequality holds

$$\begin{aligned} v'(t) &\leq -m \|\xi_t\|^2 - (m - L\rho(\mu)) \mu^{-1} |\eta(t)|^2 \\ &\quad + M_5 \|\xi_t\| \rho(\mu) \sup_{s \in [G(t), t]} \|\xi_s\| + M_5 |\eta(t)| \{ \|\xi_t\| \\ &\quad + \sup_{s \in [G(t), t]} (\|\xi_s\| + |\eta(s)|) + \|\varphi\| \exp(-\alpha(G(t) - \sigma)) \}, \end{aligned} \tag{25}$$

where the constant  $M_5$  depends only on  $L, K(0), p,$  and  $n$ . We shall note that there exists a positive number  $\mu_1 \in (0, \mu_0)$  such that  $L\rho(\mu) \leq m/2$  and  $m^{-1}LM_5 \sqrt{2} \rho(\mu) \leq m/2$  for  $\mu \in (0, \mu_1)$ . With every positive number  $\delta$  we associate the set  $P(\delta)$  consisting of all functions  $v$  defined by (18) such that  $\|\varphi\| + |y_0| < \delta$ . Let  $\delta$  and  $a$  be positive numbers and  $\mu \in (0, \mu_1)$ . For any

$t \geq \Sigma$  and  $v \in P(\delta)$  satisfying the condition  $2v(t) \geq v(s)$  for  $s \in [G(t), t]$ , from (25) and (12) there follows the estimate

$$v'(t) \leq -m \|\xi_t\|^2/2 - m\mu^{-1} |\eta(t)|^2/2 + M_6 |\eta(t)| \{ \|\xi_t\| + |\eta(t)| + \|\varphi\| \exp[-\alpha(G(t) - \sigma)] \}, \quad (26)$$

where the number  $M_6$  depends only on  $M_5$ ,  $L$ , and  $m$ .

Let, moreover, the condition  $v(t) \geq a$  be fulfilled. Then from inequalities (12) and (26) it follows, by Lemma 6, that for

$$0 < \mu \leq \mu_2 = \min \left\{ 1, \mu_1, \frac{m^2}{24M_6^2}, \frac{m}{24M_6} \right\}$$

and  $\delta \exp[-\alpha(G(t) - \sigma)] \leq \sqrt{a}/(3L)$  the following inequalities hold

$$v'(t) \leq -\frac{m}{4} \|\xi_t\|^2 - \frac{m}{4} |\eta(t)|^2 \leq \frac{-ma}{8L^2}. \quad (27)$$

Now fix the number  $\mu \in (0, \mu_2)$ . We shall prove that the zero solution of (3) is equiasymptotically stable. First we shall show that it is stable. Let  $\sigma \in I$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Set  $\varepsilon_1 = \varepsilon_1(\varepsilon) = 3m\varepsilon/(4L^2 + 7)$  and  $\delta = \delta(\varepsilon, \sigma) = \min\{\varepsilon_1/(3L), \varepsilon_1/(LC_2), \varepsilon/C_1\}$ , where the numbers  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are the same as in (14) and (16). Let  $\|\varphi\| + |y_0| \leq \delta$ . We shall verify that for any  $t \geq \sigma$  the inequality  $\|X_t\| + |Y(t)| \leq \varepsilon$  holds. For  $t \in [\sigma, \Sigma]$  from (12) and (16) it follows that  $v(t) \leq L^2 C_2^2 \delta^2 \leq \varepsilon_1^2$ . Combining this estimate and inequalities (27) for  $a = \varepsilon_1^2$ ,  $\mu \in (0, \mu_2)$ ,  $\delta \leq \varepsilon_1/(3L)$ , we obtain, by Lemma 1, the inequality

$$m^2(\|\xi_t\| + |\eta(t)|)^2 \leq v(t) \leq \varepsilon_1^2 \quad \text{for } t \geq \sigma$$

which, together with (15) and (2), yields

$$\|X_t\| \leq \|\xi_t\| + \|x_t\| \leq \varepsilon_1/m + L\delta \leq 4\varepsilon_1/(3m) \quad \text{for } t \geq \sigma$$

and

$$|Y(t)| \leq |\eta(t)| + L^2 \sup_{s \in [g(t), t]} \|X_s\| \leq \varepsilon_1/m + 4\varepsilon_1 L^2/(3m)$$

for  $t \geq \Sigma$ . Hence  $\|X_t\| + |Y(t)| \leq (4L^2 + 7)\varepsilon_1/(3m) = \varepsilon$  for  $t \geq \Sigma$ , which, together with (14), yields  $\|X_t\| + |Y(t)| \leq \varepsilon$  for  $t \geq \sigma$ , hence the zero solution of (3) is stable. In the case of bounded delay, i.e., when  $g(t) \geq t - h$ ,  $h = \text{const}$ , the stability is uniform on  $\sigma \in I$ , because in this case, by Remark 2, the numbers  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  do not depend on  $\sigma$ .

To complete the proof of assertion (i) we shall apply Lemma 3. Let

$\sigma \geq t_0$ . Set  $\delta_0 = \min\{1/(3L), 1/(LC_2)\}$ . For  $v \in P(\delta_0)$  and  $t \geq \sigma$  we have  $v(t) \leq 1$ . Since  $G(t) \rightarrow +\infty$  as  $t \rightarrow +\infty$ , for any  $a > 0$  there exists a number  $\lambda(a) = \lambda(a, \sigma) \geq \Sigma$  such that  $G(t) \geq \sigma + \alpha^{-1} \ln(3L\delta_0/\sqrt{a})$  for  $t \geq \lambda(a)$ . Then from estimate (27) it follows that Lemma 3 can be applied to the set  $P = P(\delta_0)$  for  $z_0 = \sigma$ ,  $r(t) = G(t)$ ,  $f(t) = 2t$ ,  $c_0 = 1$ ,  $\tau(a) = \lambda(a)$  and  $K(a) = ma/(8L^2)$ . By Lemma 3 there exists a function  $\Gamma(a) = \Gamma(a, 1, \sigma)$  defined for  $a > 0$  such that for  $v \in P(\delta_0)$  and  $t \geq \Gamma(a)$  the inequality  $v(t) \leq a$  holds.

Let  $\varepsilon$  be an arbitrary positive number. Set  $\varepsilon_2 = \varepsilon/(2L^3 + 2)$ ,  $Q_1 = Q_1(\varepsilon, \sigma) = \Gamma(m^2\varepsilon_2^2, 1, \sigma)$  and  $Q_2 = \sigma + \alpha^{-1} \ln(L\delta_0/\varepsilon_2)$ . For  $t \geq Q_1$  and  $\|\varphi\| + |y_0| \leq \delta_0$  from (12) and (18) it follows that  $\|\xi_t\| + |\eta(t)| \leq \varepsilon_2$ . On the other hand, inequality (2) shows that for  $t \geq Q_2$  and  $\|\varphi\| \leq \delta_0$  the estimate  $\|x_t\| \leq L \|\varphi\| e^{-\alpha(t-\sigma)} \leq \varepsilon_2$  holds. Moreover, there exists a number  $Q_3 = Q_3(\varepsilon, \sigma)$  such that  $t \geq g(t) \geq \max\{Q_1, Q_2\}$  for  $t \geq Q_3$ . Hence for  $\|\varphi\| + |y_0| < \delta_0$  and  $t \geq Q_3(\varepsilon, \sigma)$  the following inequalities are satisfied

$$\begin{aligned} L^{-1} |X(t)| &\leq \|X_t\| \leq \|\xi_t\| + \|x_t\| \leq 2\varepsilon_2 \\ |Y(t)| &\leq |\eta(t)| + L^2 \sup_{s \in [g(t), t]} |X(s)| \leq (2L^3 + 1) \varepsilon_2 \end{aligned}$$

which imply the inequality  $\|X_t\| + |Y(t)| \leq \varepsilon$ ; i.e., the zero solution of (3) is equiasymptotically stable. In the case of bounded delay when  $g(t) \geq t - h$ ,  $h = \text{const}$ , we have  $\Sigma - \sigma = 2h$ ,

$$\lambda(a) - \sigma = 2h + \alpha^{-1} \ln(3L\delta_0/\sqrt{a}),$$

where  $\delta_0$  does not depend on  $\sigma$ . By Remark 1 the numbers  $\Gamma(a, 1, \sigma) - \sigma$  and  $Q_1(\varepsilon, \sigma) - \sigma$  do not depend on  $\sigma$ . In such a case the zero solution of (3) is uniformly asymptotically stable since  $Q_3(\varepsilon, \sigma) - \sigma = h + \max\{Q_1 - \sigma, Q_2 - \sigma\}$  does not depend on  $\sigma$ .

This completes the proof of assertion (i).

4. Proof of Assertion (ii) of Theorem 1

There exists a number  $\tau_0$  such that  $g(t) \geq t_0$  for  $t \geq \tau_0$ . Choose the number  $b$  in such a way that  $t_0 - b \leq g(t)$  for  $t \in [t_0, \tau_0]$ . Then for  $g(t) \leq t_0 \leq t$  we obtain  $g(t) - t_0 \in [-b, 0]$ . Since the function  $\varphi$  satisfies the Lipschitz condition in the interval  $[-b, 0]$ , there exists a number  $L_1 = L_1(\varphi)$  such that

$$L^2 \|\varphi\| \leq L_1 \quad \text{and} \quad |\varphi(s)| \leq L_1, \quad |\mathcal{D}\varphi(s)| \leq L_1 \quad (28)$$

for  $s \in [-b, 0]$ . By means of equalities (15) we again introduce the functions  $\xi(t) = \xi(y_0, \mu)(t)$  and  $\eta(t) = \eta(y_0, \mu)(t)$ , where the number  $\mu \in (0, \mu_0)$  and the vector  $y_0 \in R^n$  are parameters. Note that in (15) the dependence on  $y_0$  and  $\varphi$  is omitted. Let  $Q, h_0$  and  $\varepsilon$  be arbitrary positive numbers. We



shall prove that there exists a number  $\mu_3 = \mu_3(\varphi, Q, h_0, \varepsilon) \in (0, \mu_0)$  such that for  $|y_0| \leq Q$ ,  $\mu \in (0, \mu_3)$  the following inequalities are valid

$$\begin{aligned} |\xi(y_0, \mu)(t)| &\leq \varepsilon & \text{for } t \geq t_0 \\ |\eta(y_0, \mu)(t)| &\leq \varepsilon & \text{for } t \geq t_0 + h_0. \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

Then assertion (ii) of Theorem 1 follows immediately from (29) in view of equalities (15), (5), condition (H2) and estimates (2) and (13).

First note that  $\xi(s) = 0$  for  $s \leq t_0$  and  $L\rho(\mu) \leq m/2$  for  $\mu \in (0, \mu_1)$ . Then from inequalities (19) and (24), in view of equalities (3) and (15), condition (H1), Lemma 5, and estimates (2) and (28), we obtain that for  $\mu \in (0, \mu_1)$  and  $t \geq t_0$  the following inequalities are valid

$$v'_2(t) \leq -\frac{m}{2\mu} |\eta(t)|^2 + M_7 |\eta(t)| \left\{ 1 + \sup_{s \in [t_0, t]} (|\xi(s)| + |\eta(s)|) \right\} \quad (30)$$

$$v'(t) \leq -\frac{m}{2} \|\xi_t\|^2 - \frac{m}{2\mu} |\eta(t)|^2 + M_8 |\eta(t)| \left\{ 1 + \sup_{s \in [t_0, t]} (|\xi(s)| + |\eta(s)|) \right\}, \quad (31)$$

where  $M_7$  and  $M_8$  are positive constants depending only on  $L$  and  $L_1$ .

Since  $\xi_{t_0} = 0$ , from estimates (9), (12), and (28) it follows that

$$v(y_0, \mu)(t_0) = v_2(y_0, \mu)(t_0) \leq L^2 |\eta(t_0)|^2 \leq L^2 (|y_0| + L^2 L_1)^2 \leq q^2 \quad (32)$$

for  $|y_0| \leq Q$ , where  $q = L(Q + L^2 L_1)$ .

On the other hand, for any  $t \geq t_0$  satisfying the conditions  $v(y_0, \mu)(t) \geq q^2$  and  $v(y_0, \mu)(t) \geq v(y_0, \mu)(s)$  for  $s \in [t_0, t]$ , from inequalities (31) and (12) it follows that

$$v'(y_0, \mu)(t) \leq -\frac{m}{2} \|\xi_t\|^2 - \frac{m}{2\mu} |\eta(t)|^2 + \frac{L^2 M_8}{m} |\eta(t)| \{1 + \|\xi_t\| + |\eta(t)|\}$$

and by Lemma 6 there exists  $\gamma_1 = \gamma_1(q) \leq \mu_1$  such that for  $\mu \leq \gamma_1$  we have  $v'(y_0, \mu)(t) \leq 0$ . Then from Lemma 1 it follows that for  $t \geq t_0$ ,  $\mu \leq \gamma_1$ ,  $|y_0| \leq Q$  we have  $v(y_0, \mu)(t) \leq q^2$  and

$$|\xi(y_0, \mu)(t)| + |\eta(y_0, \mu)(t)| \leq Lq/m. \quad (33)$$

Since  $\xi(y_0, \mu)(s) = 0$  for  $s \leq t_0$ , from equality (17), estimates (2), (13), (33), and condition (H1), by Lemma 5 we obtain that  $|(d/dt)(|\xi(y_0, \mu)(t)|^2)| \leq F_1$  for  $t > t_0$ , where the constant  $F_1$  depends only on  $L$ ,  $L_1$ ,  $m$ , and  $Q$ .

Set  $h_1 = \min\{h_0, m^2\varepsilon^2/(4F_1L^2)\}$ . From the finite increment theorem it follows that for  $t \in [t_0, t_0 + h_1]$ ,  $\mu \leq \gamma_1$ ,  $|y_0| \leq Q$  we have

$$|\xi(y_0, \mu)(t)| \leq m\varepsilon/(2L). \quad (34)$$

On the other hand, inequalities (30) and (33) show that

$$v_2'(t) \leq -m|\eta(t)|^2/(2\mu) + F_2 \quad (35)$$

for  $t \geq t_0$ ,  $\mu \leq \gamma_1$ ,  $|y_0| \leq Q$ , where the numbers  $\gamma_1$  and  $F_2$  depend only on  $L$ ,  $m$ , and  $Q$ .

Set  $N_1 = \max\{0, 4L^2q^2 - m^4\varepsilon^2\}/(4L^2h_1)$  and  $\gamma_2 = m^5\varepsilon^2/[8L^4(N_1 + F_2)]$ . For  $\mu \leq \min\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$  and  $|y_0| \leq Q$  from inequalities (35) and the definition of  $\gamma_2$  it follows that for each  $t \geq t_0$  which satisfies the condition  $v_2(t) \geq m^4\varepsilon^2/(4L^2)$  the estimate  $v_2'(t) \leq -N_1$  holds. Then, by Lemma 2 and the definition of the number  $N_1$ , we obtain  $v_2(t_0 + h_1) \leq m^4\varepsilon^2/(4L^2)$ , whence it follows that

$$|\eta(y_0, \mu)(t_0 + h_1)| \leq m\varepsilon/(2L) \quad (36)$$

for  $\mu \leq \min\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2\}$  and  $|y_0| \leq Q$ . From (34), (36), and (12) we deduce the inequality

$$v(y_0, \mu)(t_0 + h_1) \leq m^2\varepsilon^2. \quad (37)$$

For any  $t \geq t_0 + h_1$  such that  $v(y_0, \mu)(t) \geq m^2\varepsilon^2$  from inequalities (12), (31), and (33) it follows that  $v'(y_0, \mu)(t) < 0$  for  $\mu \leq \gamma_3$ , where by Lemma 6 the number  $\gamma_3 > 0$  depends only on  $m$ ,  $L$ ,  $L_1$ ,  $Q$ , and  $\varepsilon$ . Then from Lemma 1 and inequality (37) for  $t \geq t_0 + h_1$ ,  $|y_0| \leq Q$ ,  $\mu \leq \mu_3 = \min\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3\}$  it follows that  $v(y_0, \mu)(t) \leq m^2\varepsilon^2$  and in view of (12) and (36) we obtain the inequality (29) since  $h_1 \leq h_0$ . Theorem 1 is proved.

## REFERENCES

1. K. L. COOKE, The condition of regular degeneration for singularly perturbed linear differential-difference equations, *J. Differential Equations* **1** (1965), 39–94.
2. K. L. COOKE AND K. R. MEYER, The condition of regular degeneration for singularly perturbed systems of linear differential-difference equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **14** (1966), 107–140.
3. R. D. DRIVER, Existence and stability of solutions of a delay-differential system, *Arch. Rational Mech. Anal.* **10** (1962), 401–426.
4. A. HALANAY, Singular perturbations of systems with retarded argument, *Rev. Math. Pures Appl.* **7** (1962), 301–308.
5. J. K. HALE AND J. KATO, Phase space for retarded equations with infinite delay, *Funkcial. Ekvac.* **21** (1978), 11–41.

6. F. C. HOPPENSTEADT, Singular perturbations on the infinite interval, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **123** (1966), 521–525.
7. A. I. KLIMUSHEV, On asymptotic stability of systems with aftereffect containing a small parameter as coefficient of the derivatives, *J. Appl. Math. Mech.* **26** (1962), 68–81.
8. A. I. KLIMUSHEV, Asymptotic stability of a system of differential equations with aftereffect and with a small parameter, *Sibirsk. Mat. Zh.* **4** (1963), 611–621.
9. N. N. KRASOVSKII, Some problems in the theory of stability of motion, *Gos. Izd. Fiz.-Mat. Lit. (Moscow)*, 1959.
10. J. J. LEVIN AND N. LEVINSON, Singular perturbations of nonlinear systems of differential equations and associated boundary layer equation, *J. Rational Mech. Anal.* **3** (1954), 247–270.
11. L. T. MAGALHAES, Convergence and boundary layers in singularly perturbed linear functional differential equations, *J. Differential Equations* **54** (1984), 295–309.
12. L. T. MAGALHAES, Exponential estimates for singularly perturbed linear functional differential equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **103** (1984), 443–460.
13. L. T. MAGALHAES, The asymptotics of solutions of singularly perturbed functional differential equations: distributed and concentrated delays are different, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **105** (1985), 250–257.
14. N. ROUCHE, P. HABETS, AND M. LALOY, “Stability Theory by Liapunov’s Direct Method,” Springer-Verlag, New York, 1977.
15. K. SAWANO, Exponentially asymptotic stability for functional differential equations with infinite retardations, *Tohoku Math. J.* **31** (1979), 363–382.
16. N. STOJANOV AND H. VOULOV, Uniformly asymptotic stability of a singularly perturbed linear system with variable delay, *Godishnik. Vissih. Uchebn. Zaved. Prilozhna Mat.* **19**, No. 3 (1983), 9–23.