Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Computers and Mathematics with Applications



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/camwa

Uniqueness theorems for entire functions concerning fixed points*

Jilong Zhang*

Shandong University, School of Mathematics & System Sciences, Jinan, Shandong, 250100, PR China Department of Physics and Mathematics, University of Joensuu, P. O. Box 111, 80101 Joensuu, Finland

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 10 January 2008 Received in revised form 23 May 2008 Accepted 10 July 2008

Keywords: Entire function Sharing value Fixed point Uniqueness theorems Transcendental

ABSTRACT

This paper is devoted to studying the uniqueness problem of entire functions sharing one value or fixed points. We improve some results given by Fang and extend some results given by Fang and Qiu and by Lin and Yi.

© 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Let f(z) be a nonconstant meromorphic function in the complex plane \mathbb{C} . We shall use the standard notations in the Nevanlinna value distribution theory of meromorphic functions such as T(r, f), N(r, f) and m(r, f) (see, e.g., [7,14,15]). The notation S(r, f) is defined to be any quantity satisfying S(r, f) = o(T(r, f)) as $r \to \infty$ possibly outside a set r of finite linear measure. A meromorphic function $a(z) \neq \infty$) is called a small function with respect to f(z), provided that T(r, a) = S(r, f).

Let *p* be a positive integer and $a \in \mathbb{C} \bigcup \{\infty\}$. We denote by $N_p\left(r, \frac{1}{f-a}\right)$ the counting function of the zeros of f - a where an *m*-fold zero is counted *m* times if $m \le p$ and *p* times if m > p.

We say that two meromorphic functions f and g share a small function a IM (ignoring multiplicities) when f - a and g - a have the same zeros. If f - a and g - a have the same zeros with the same multiplicities, then we say that f and g share a CM (counting multiplicities).

Hayman [8] and Clunie [3] proved the following result.

Theorem A. Let *f* be a transcendental entire function, $n \ge 1$ be a positive integer, then $f^n f' = 1$ has infinitely many zeros.

Fang and Hua [6] and, Yang and Hua [13] obtained a unicity theorem corresponding to the above result.

Theorem B. Let f and g be two nonconstant entire functions, and let $n \ge 6$ be a positive integer. If $f^n f'$ and $g^n g'$ share 1 CM, then either $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$, $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where c_1 , c_1 and c are three constants satisfying $(c_1 c_2)^{n+1} c^2 = -1$ or f = tg for a constant t such that $t^{n+1} = 1$.

Hennekemper [9], Chen [2], and Wang [12] extended Theorem A by proving the following theorem.

^{*} This research was supported by the NNSF of China, no. 10671109 and the Academy of Finland, no. 210245.

^{*} Corresponding address: Shandong University, School of Mathematics & System Sciences, Jinan, Shandong, 250100, PR China. *E-mail address:* jilongzhang2007@gmail.com.

^{0898-1221/\$ -} see front matter © 2008 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.camwa.2008.07.006

Theorem C. Let f be a transcendental entire function, and n, k be two positive integers with $n \ge k + 1$. Then $(f^n)^{(k)} = 1$ has infinitely many zeros.

Fang [4] proved the following result corresponding to Theorem C.

Theorem D. Let f and g be two nonconstant entire functions, and let n, k be two positive integers with n > 2k + 4. If $(f^n)^{(k)}$ and $(g^n)^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then either $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz}$, $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz}$, where c_1 , c_1 and c are three constants satisfying $(-1)^k (c_1 c_2)^n (nc)^{2k} = 1$ or f = tg for a constant t such that $t^n = 1$.

A similar result for meromorphic functions appears in [1, Theorem 2]. Unfortunately, the proof there contains an incorrect detail. (See the final Section.)

In [4], Fang also obtained the following results.

Theorem E. Let f be a transcendental entire function, n, k be two positive integers with $n \ge k + 2$. Then $(f^n(f - 1))^{(k)} = 1$ has infinitely many zeros.

Theorem F. Let f and g be two nonconstant entire functions, and let n, k be two positive integers with $n \ge 2k+8$. If $(f^n(f-1))^{(k)}$ and $(g^n(g-1))^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then f = g.

For the case k = 1 in Theorem F, Lin and Yi [10] obtained the following result.

Theorem G. Let f and g be two nonconstant entire functions, and let $n \ge 7$ be a integer. If $f^n(f-1)f'$ and $g^n(g-1)g'$ share 1 CM, then f = g.

Theorem G means that $n \ge 2k + 6$ in Theorem F when k = 1, so a natural question is that can $n \ge 2k + 8$ in Theorem F be replaced by $n \ge 2k + 6$? In this paper, we give an affirmative answer to the above question and get the following results improving Theorems E and F.

Theorem 1. Let f be a transcendental meromorphic function, and n, k be two integers with $n \ge 4$ and $k \ge 1$. Then $(f^n(f-1))^{(k)} = 1$ has infinitely many zeros.

Remark 1. From the proof of Theorem 1, we get that if *f* is an entire function and k = 1, we only need $n \ge 3$.

Theorem 2. Suppose that f is a transcendental meromorphic function with finite number of poles, g is a transcendental entire function, and let n, k be two positive integers with $n \ge 2k + 6$. If $(f^n(f-1))^{(k)}$ and $(g^n(g-1))^{(k)}$ share 1 CM, then f = g.

Obviously, Theorem 2 is a generalization of Theorem G.

We say that a finite value z_0 is a fixed point of f if $f(z_0) = z_0$. Define

 $E_f = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : f(z) = z, \text{ counting multiplicities}\}.$

(1)

It is easy to see that a polynomial *P* with degree $n \ge 2$ has *n* fixed points (counting multiplicities). A transcendental function may not have a fixed point. For example, $f = e^z + z$.

Fang and Qiu [5] obtained the following result.

Theorem H. Let f and g be two nonconstant entire functions, and let $n \ge 6$ be a positive integer. If $f^n f'$ and $g^n g'$ share z CM, then either $f(z) = c_1 e^{cz^2}$, $g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz^2}$, where c_1 , c_2 and c are three constants satisfying $4(c_1c_2)^{n+1}c^2 = -1$ or f = tg for a constant t such that $t^{n+1} = 1$.

Lin and Yi [11] obtained:

Theorem I. Let f and g be two nonconstant entire functions, and let $n \ge 7$ is an integer. If $f^n(f-1)f'$ and $g^n(g-1)g'$ share $z \in CM$, then f = g.

In this paper, we prove the following results concerning fixed points.

Theorem 3. Let *f* be a transcendental entire function, and *n*, *k* be two positive integers with $n \ge k + 2$, then $(f^n)^{(k)}$ has infinitely many fixed points.

Theorem 4. Let f and g be two nonconstant entire functions, and let n, k be two positive integers with n > 2k + 4. If $E_{(g^n)^{(k)}} = E_{(g^n)^{(k)}}$, then either

(1) $k = 1, f(z) = c_1 e^{cz^2}, g(z) = c_2 e^{-cz^2}$, where c_1, c_1 and c are three constants satisfying $4(c_1c_2)^n(nc)^2 = -1$ or (2) f = tg for a constant t such that $t^n = 1$.

Theorem 5. Let f be a transcendental entire function, and n, k be two positive integers with $n \ge k + 2$. Then $(f^n(f-1))^{(k)}$ has infinitely many fixed points.

Theorem 6. Let f and g be two nonconstant entire functions, and let n, k be two positive integers with $n \ge 2k + 6$. If $E_{(f^n(f-1))^{(k)}} = E_{(g^n(g-1))^{(k)}}$, then f = g.

Remark 2. Noting that $f^n f' = \frac{1}{n+1} (f^{n+1})'$, we can get Theorem H from Theorem 4 when k = 1. Thus Theorem 4 extends Theorem H. Similarly, Theorem 6 extends Theorem I.

2. Some lemmas

For the proof of our results, we need the following lemmas.

Lemma 1 (Milloux Inequality [7]). Suppose that f is a nonconstant meromorphic function and k is a positive integer. Then

$$T(r,f) \le \overline{N}(r,f) + N(r,1/f) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}-1}\right) - N\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k+1)}}\right) + S(r,f).$$

Lemma 2 ([12], Lemma 1). Suppose that f is a transcendental meromorphic function, $k \ge 3$ is an integer and $\varepsilon > 0$. Then

 $(k-2)\overline{N}(r,f) + N(r,1/f) \le 2\overline{N}(r,1/f) + N(r,1/f^{(k)}) + \varepsilon T(r,f) + S(r,f).$

Lemma 3 ([10], Lemma 2). Let

$$H = \left(\frac{F''}{F'} - \frac{2F'}{F-1}\right) - \left(\frac{G''}{G'} - \frac{2G'}{G-1}\right),$$
(2)

where F and G are two nonconstant meromorphic functions. If F and G share 1 CM and $H \neq 0$, then

$$T(r,F) + T(r,G) \le 2 \left(N_2(r,1/F) + N_2(r,1/G) + N_2(r,F) + N_2(r,G) \right) + S(r,F) + S(r,G).$$
(3)

Lemma 4 ([16], Lemma 2.4). Let f be a nonconstant meromorphic function, p, k be positive integers. Then

$$N_{p}\left(r,\frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \leq T(r,f^{(k)}) - T(r,f) + N_{p+k}\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r,f),$$
(4)

$$N_p\left(r, \frac{1}{f^{(k)}}\right) \le k\overline{N}(r, f) + N_{p+k}\left(r, \frac{1}{f}\right) + S(r, f).$$
(5)

Lemma 5 ([7], Theorem 3.10). Suppose that f is a nonconstant meromorphic function, $k \ge 2$ is an integer. If

$$N(r, f) + N(r, 1/f) + N(r, 1/f^{(k)}) = S(r, f'/f),$$

then $f = e^{az+b}$, where $a \neq 0$, b are constants.

Lemma 6. Suppose that f and g are two nonconstant entire functions, and n, k are two positive integers, and denote $F = (f^n(f-1))^{(k)}$, $G = (g^n(g-1))^{(k)}$. If there exist two non-zero constants c_1 and c_2 such that $\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F-c_1}) = \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{G})$ and $\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{G-c_2}) = \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F})$, then $n \le 2k+3$.

Proof. By the second fundamental theorem (see, e.g., [14], Theorem 1.8), we have

$$T(r,F) \leq \overline{N}(r,1/F) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F-c_1}\right) + S(r,F)$$

$$\leq \overline{N}(r,1/F) + \overline{N}(r,1/G) + S(r,F).$$

By Lemma 4 and the above inequality, and using the standard Valiron–Mohon'ko theorem (see, e.g., [14], Theorem 1.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (n+1)T(r,f) &\leq N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{f^n(f-1)}\right) + N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{g^n(g-1)}\right) + S(r,f) \\ &\leq (k+1)\left(\overline{N}(r,1/f) + \overline{N}(r,1/g)\right) + T(r,f) + T(r,g) + S(r,f). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly,

$$(n+1)T(r,g) \le (k+1)\left(N(r,1/f) + N(r,1/g)\right) + T(r,f) + T(r,g) + S(r,g).$$

The above two inequalities yield

$$(n - 2k - 3) (T(r, f) + T(r, g)) \le S(r, f) + S(r, g),$$

resulting in $n \le 2k + 3$, completing the proof.

Lemma 7. Suppose that F and G are given by Lemma 6. If n > 2k + 1 and F = G, then f = g.

Proof. From F = G, we have

 $(f^n(f-1))^{(k)} = (g^n(g-1))^{(k)}.$

By integration, we get

$$(f^{n}(f-1))^{(k-1)} = (g^{n}(g-1))^{(k-1)} + c_{k-1},$$

where c_{k-1} is a constant. If $c_{k-1} \neq 0$, we get from Lemma 6 that $n \leq 2k + 1$, which is a contradiction. Hence $c_{k-1} = 0$. Repeating the same reasoning, we obtain

$$(f^{n}(f-1))^{(k-2)} = (g^{n}(g-1))^{(k-2)}$$

Continuing inductively, we arrive at

$$f^n(f-1) = g^n(g-1)$$

Let h = f/g. If $h \neq 1$, then by the above equation we have

$$g = \frac{1+h+\dots+h^{n-1}}{1+h+\dots+h^n}$$

Thus, we deduce by Picard's theorem that *h* has two Picard exceptional values at most if *h* is a nonconstant function. Noting that $n \ge 4$, there exists a ω such that $h - \omega$ has zeros, where $\omega^{n+1} = 1$. Thus *g* must have poles from the last equation, which is impossible. Hence *h* is a constant, *g* is a constant too, which is a contradiction. Thus, $f(z) \equiv g(z)$.

Lemma 8. Suppose that f is a transcendental meromorphic function with finite number of poles, g is a transcendental entire function, and n, k are two positive integers. Denote $F = (f^n(f-1))^{(k)}$, $G = (g^n(g-1))^{(k)}$. If $F \cdot G = \alpha$, where $\alpha = 1$ or $\alpha = z^2$, then $n \le k+2$.

Proof. Suppose that n > k + 2. From $F \cdot G = \alpha$, we have

$$(f^n(f-1))^{(k)}(g^n(g-1))^{(k)} = \alpha.$$

If z_0 is a zero of f with the order p, then z_0 is a zero of $(f^n(f-1))^{(k)}$ with the order np - k. Noting that g is an entire function and n > k + 2, then z_0 is a zero of α with the order at least 3 from (6), which is impossible. Thus f has no zeros. Let

$$f(z)=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{\beta}}{h},$$

(6)

where β is a nonconstant entire function and *h* is a polynomial. Thus, by induction we get

$$(f^{n+1})^{(k)} = \left(\frac{e^{(n+1)\beta}}{h^{n+1}}\right)^{(k)} = P_1(\beta', \beta'', \dots, \beta^{(k)}, h)e^{(n+1)\beta},$$

$$(f^n)^{(k)} = \left(\frac{e^{(n)\beta}}{h^n}\right)^{(k)} = P_2(\beta', \beta'', \dots, \beta^{(k)}, h)e^{n\beta},$$
(8)

where P_1 and P_2 are differential polynomials in β' , β'' , ..., $\beta^{(k)}$, with coefficients which are rational functions in h or its derivatives. Obviously, $P_1 \neq 0$, $P_2 \neq 0$, $T(r, P_1) = S(r, f)$, $T(r, P_2) = S(r, f)$. From (7), (8) and (6) we have

$$N\left(r,\frac{1}{P_1\mathrm{e}^\beta-P_2}\right)=S(r,f).$$

By the second fundamental theorem for small functions (see, e.g., [14], Theorem 1.36), we have

$$T(r,f) \leq T(r,P_1e^{\beta}) + S(r,f)$$

$$\leq \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{P_1e^{\beta} - P_2}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{P_1e^{\beta}}\right) + S(r,f)$$

$$= S(r,f),$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $n \le k + 2$. The proof of Lemma 8 is completed. \Box

3082

The following lemmas can be proved similarly as Lemmas 6 and 7, respectively.

Lemma 9. Suppose that f and g are two nonconstant entire functions, and n, k are two positive integers, and denote $F = (f^n)^{(k)}$, $G = (g^n)^{(k)}$. If there exist two non-zero constants c_1 and c_2 such that $\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F-c_1}) = \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{G})$ and $\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{G-c_2}) = \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{F})$, then $n \le 2k + 4$.

Lemma 10. Suppose that F and G are given as in Lemma 9. If n > 2k and F = G, then f = tg for a constant t such that $t^n = 1$.

3. Proofs of results

Proof of Theorem 1. Denote $F = f^n(f - 1)$. From Lemmas 1 and 2 and the standard Valiron–Mohon'ko theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n+1)T(r,f) &= T(r,F) + S(r,f) \\ &\leq \overline{N}(r,F) + N(r,1/F) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{F^{(k)}-1}\right) - N\left(r,\frac{1}{F^{(k+1)}}\right) + S(r,f) \\ &= \overline{N}(r,f) + N(r,1/F) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{F^{(k)}-1}\right) - N\left(r,\frac{1}{F^{(k+1)}}\right) + S(r,f), \end{aligned}$$

 $(k-1)N(r,f) + N(r,1/F) \le 2N(r,1/F) + N(r,1/F^{(k+1)}) + \varepsilon T(r,f) + S(r,F).$

The two inequalities above yield

$$\begin{split} (n+1-\varepsilon)T(r,f) &\leq 2\overline{N}(r,1/F) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{F^{(k)}-1}\right) + S(r,f) \\ &\leq 2\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) + 2\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{f-1}\right) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{F^{(k)}-1}\right) + S(r,f) \\ &\leq 4T(r,f) + N\left(r,\frac{1}{F^{(k)}-1}\right) + S(r,f). \end{split}$$

Noting that $n \ge 4$, we conclude that $(f^n(f-1))^{(k)} - 1$ has infinitely many zeros.

Next, we suppose that k = 1. From the second fundamental theorem, we have

$$T(r, F') \leq \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F'}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F'-1}\right) + \overline{N}(r, f) + S(r, f)$$

By the above inequality and Lemma 4 applied to F, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (n+1)T(r,f) &\leq N_2\left(r,\frac{1}{f^n(f-1)}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F'-1}\right) + T(r,f) + S(r,f) \\ &\leq 2\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) + N_2\left(r,\frac{1}{f-1}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F'-1}\right) + T(r,f) + S(r,f) \\ &\leq 4T(r,f) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F'-1}\right) + S(r,f), \end{aligned}$$

that is

$$(n-3)T(r,f) \le \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{(f^n(f-1))'-1}\right) + S(r,f).$$

Noting that $n \ge 4$, $(f^n(f-1))' - 1$ has infinitely many zeros from the above inequality. \Box

Proof of Theorem 2. Denote

$$F = (f^{n}(f-1))^{(k)}, \qquad G = (g^{n}(g-1))^{(k)}.$$
(9)

Then F and G share 1 CM. Let H be given by (2). If $H \neq 0$, by Lemma 3 we know that (3) holds. From Lemma 4, we have

$$\begin{split} N_2\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) &\leq T(r,F) - (n+1)T(r,f) + N_{k+2}\left(r,\frac{1}{f^n(f-1)}\right) + S(r,f),\\ N_2\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) &\leq T(r,G) - (n+1)T(r,g) + N_{k+2}\left(r,\frac{1}{g^n(g-1)}\right) + S(r,g),\\ N_2\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) &\leq N_{k+2}\left(r,\frac{1}{f^n(f-1)}\right) + S(r,f), \end{split}$$

J. Zhang / Computers and Mathematics with Applications 56 (2008) 3079-3087

$$N_2\left(r, \frac{1}{G}\right) \leq N_{k+2}\left(r, \frac{1}{g^n(g-1)}\right) + S(r, g).$$

Noting that f has only finite number of poles, from the last four inequalities and (3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} (n+1)(T(r,f)+T(r,g)) &\leq N_2\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + N_2\left(r,\frac{1}{G}\right) + N_{k+2}\left(r,\frac{1}{f^n(f-1)}\right) + N_{k+2}\left(r,\frac{1}{g^n(g-1)}\right) + S(r) \\ &\leq 2N_{k+2}\left(r,\frac{1}{f^n(f-1)}\right) + 2N_{k+2}\left(r,\frac{1}{g^n(g-1)}\right) + S(r) \\ &\leq (2k+4)\left(\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{g}\right)\right) + 2N_{k+2}\left(r,\frac{1}{f-1}\right) + 2N_{k+2}\left(r,\frac{1}{g-1}\right) + S(r), \end{aligned}$$

where S(r) = S(r, f) + S(r, g). That is

$$(n-2k-5)(T(r,f)+T(r,g)) \le S(r),$$

which contradicts the assumption $n \ge 2k + 6$. Hence H = 0. Integrating twice, we get from (2) that

$$\frac{1}{F-1} = \frac{A}{G-1} + B,$$
(10)

where $A \neq 0$ and B are constants. From (10) we have

$$F = \frac{(B+1)G + (A-B-1)}{BG + (A-B)}, \qquad G = \frac{(B-A)F + (A-B-1)}{BF - (B+1)}.$$
(11)

We discuss the following three cases.

Case 1. Suppose that $B \neq 0, -1$. From (11) we have $\overline{N}\left(r, 1/\left(F - \frac{B+1}{B}\right)\right) = \overline{N}(r, G)$. From the second fundamental theorem, we have

$$T(r, F) \leq \overline{N}(r, 1/F) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{\left(F - \frac{B+1}{B}\right)}\right) + S(r, F)$$

= $\overline{N}(r, 1/F) + \overline{N}(r, G) + S(r, F)$
= $\overline{N}(r, 1/F) + S(r, F).$

From the above inequality and (4) applied to F, we have

$$T(r,F) \le N_1(r,1/F) + S(r,f)$$

$$\le T(r,F) - T(r,f^n(f-1)) + N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{f^n(f-1)}\right) + S(r,f),$$

namely,

$$(n+1)T(r,f) \leq (k+1)\overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{f}\right) + N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{f-1}\right) + S(r,f)$$

$$\leq (k+2)T(r,f) + S(r,f),$$

which contradicts the assumption $n \ge 2k + 6$. **Case 2**. Suppose that B = 0. From (11) we have

$$F = \frac{G + (A - 1)}{A}, \qquad G = AF - (A - 1).$$
(12)

If $A \neq 1$, from (12) we obtain $\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F - \frac{A-1}{A}}\right) = \overline{N}(r, 1/G)$ and $\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{G + (A-1)}\right) = \overline{N}(r, 1/F)$. By Lemma 6, $n \leq 2k + 3$ contradicting the assumption $n \geq 2k + 6$. Thus A = 1 and consequently F = G, so that f does not have any pole and $f(z) \equiv g(z)$ by Lemma 7.

Case 3. Suppose that B = -1. From (11) we have

$$F = \frac{A}{-G + (A+1)}, \qquad G = \frac{(A+1)F - A}{F}.$$
(13)

If $A \neq -1$, we obtain from (13) that $\overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F} - \frac{A}{A+1}\right) = \overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{G})$, $\overline{N}(r, \frac{1}{G} - A - 1) = \overline{N}(r, F)$. By the same reasoning in Case 1 and Case 2, we get a contradiction. Hence A = -1. From (13), we have $F \cdot G = 1$, which is not possible by Lemma 8. This completes the proof of Theorem 2. \Box

3084

Since the proof of Theorem 3 is similar to the proof of Theorem 5, we only prove Theorem 5 here.

Proof of Theorem 5. Denote $F = f^n(f-1)$. From the second fundamental theorem for small functions, we have

$$T(r, F^{(k)}) \leq \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{(k)}}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r, \frac{1}{F^{(k)} - z}\right) + S(r, F).$$

By the above inequality and Lemma 4 with p = 1 applied to F, we have

$$(n+1)T(r,f) \leq N_{k+1}\left(r,\frac{1}{F}\right) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{F^{(k)}-z}\right) + S(r,f)$$
$$\leq (k+2)T(r,f) + \overline{N}\left(r,\frac{1}{(f^n(f-1))^{(k)}-z}\right) + S(r,f).$$

Noting that n > k + 2, $(f^n(f-1))^{(k)}$ has infinitely many fixed points from the above inequality. \Box

Proof of Theorem 6. By the assumption and Theorem 5 we know that either both f and g are transcendental entire functions or both *f* and g are polynomials.

First, we consider the case when f and g are transcendental entire functions. Let

$$F = \frac{(f^n(f-1))^{(k)}}{z}, \qquad G = \frac{(g^n(g-1))^{(k)}}{z}.$$

Then *F* and *G* share 1 CM. By the same argument in the proof of Theorem 2, we get F = G or $F \cdot G = 1$.

If F = G, then $(f^n(f - 1))^{(k)} = (g^n(g - 1))^{(k)}$. From Lemma 7, we obtain f = g. If $F \cdot G = 1$, then $(f^n(f - 1))^{(k)}(g^n(g - 1))^{(k)} = z^2$. From Lemma 8, we get a contradiction.

Now we consider the case where both f and g are polynomials. Then there exists a non-zero constant c such that

$$(f^{n}(f-1))^{(k)} - z = c\left((g^{n}(g-1))^{(k)} - z\right).$$
(14)

Taking the derivative of (14) gives

$$(f^{n}(f-1))^{(k+1)} = c(g^{n}(g-1))^{(k+1)} + 1 - c.$$
(15)

If $c \neq 1$, we deduce by Lemma 6 that n < 2k + 5 from (15), which is a contradiction. Thus c = 1. From (14), we have

$$(f^{n}(f-1))^{(k)} = (g^{n}(g-1))^{(k)}.$$
(16)

By Lemma 7, we obtain f = g. This completes the proof of Theorem 6.

Proof of Theorem 4. From Theorem H, we need to consider the case k > 2 only. By the assumption and Theorem 3 we know that either both f and g are transcendental entire functions or both f and g are polynomials.

First, we consider the case when f and g are transcendental entire functions. Let

$$F = \frac{(f^n)^{(k)}}{z}, \qquad G = \frac{(g^n)^{(k)}}{z}.$$

Then F and G share 1 CM. By the same argument as in the proof of Theorem 2, we can get F = G or $F \cdot G = 1$.

If F = G, then $(f^n)^{(k)} = (g^n)^{(k)}$. From Lemma 10, we obtain f = tg, where t is a constant satisfying $t^n = 1$. Therefore, we may now assume that $F \cdot G = 1$, hence

$$(f^n)^{(k)}(g^n)^{(k)} = z^2.$$
(17)

Since f and g are entire functions and n > 2k + 4, we can deduce from (17) that f and g have no zeros.

In fact, suppose that z_0 is a zero of f with the multiplicity p > 1. Then z_0 is a zero of $(f^n)^{(k)}$ with the multiplicity np - k. Since g is an entire function, z_0 is a zero of z^2 with the multiplicity np - k, which is a contradiction. Thus, we may now write

$$f = e^{\alpha}, \qquad g = e^{\beta}, \tag{18}$$

where α , β are two nonconstant entire functions. Then $T(r, \frac{(f^n)'}{f^n}) = T(r, n\alpha')$. From (17), we know that either both α and β are transcendental functions or both α and β are polynomials. From (17), we conclude that

$$N(r, 1/(f^n)^{(k)}) = N(r, 1/z^2) = O(\log r).$$

From this and (18), we have

$$N(r, f^{n}) + N(r, 1/f^{n}) + N(r, 1/(f^{n})^{(k)}) = O(\log r).$$

Suppose that α is a transcendental entire function. We deduce from Lemma 5 that α is a polynomial, which is a contradiction.

We may assume that α is a polynomial of degree p and β is a polynomial of degree q. If p = q = 1, we write

$$f = e^{Az+B}, \qquad g = e^{Cz+D},$$

where A, B, C and D are constants such that $AC \neq 0$. Substituting above f and g into (17), we get

$$A^k C^k n^{2k} \mathrm{e}^{n(A+C)z+n(B+D)} = z^2,$$

which is impossible. Then max{p, q} > 1. Without loss of generality, we suppose that p > 1. Then $(f^n)^{(k)} = Pe^{n\alpha}$, where P is a polynomial of degree $kp - k \ge k \ge 2$. From (17), we have p = k = 2, and then q = 1 again from (17). Suppose that

 $f^n = e^{n(A_1z^2 + B_1z + C_1)}, \qquad g^n = e^{n(D_1z + E_1)}$

where $A_1 \neq 0$, B_1 , C_1 , $D_1 \neq 0$) and E_1 are constants. Then

$$(f^n)'' = n(4nA_1^2z^2 + 4nA_1B_1z + nB_1^2 + 2A_1)e^{n(A_1z^2 + B_1z + C_1)},$$

$$(g^n)'' = n^2D_1^2e^{n(D_1z + E_1)}.$$

Substituting the last two equations into (17), we have

$$Q(z)e^{n(A_1z^2+(B_1+D_1)z+C_1+E_1)} = z^2,$$
(19)

where Q(z) is a polynomial of degree 2. Since $A_1 \neq 0$, we get a contradiction from (19).

Next, we consider the case where both f and g are polynomials. Then there exists a non-zero constant K such that

$$(f^n)^{(k)} - z = K((g^n)^{(k)} - z).$$
⁽²⁰⁾

If $K \neq 1$, taking the derivative of (20) gives

$$(f^n)^{(k+1)} = K(g^n)^{(k+1)} + 1 - K.$$
(21)

By Lemma 9 and (21), we obtain $n \le 2k + 4$, which is a contradiction. Hence, K = 1 and (20) yields

$$(f^n)^{(k)} = (g^n)^{(k)}.$$
(22)

From Lemma 10 and (22), we obtain f = tg, where t is a constant satisfying $t^n = 1$. This completes the proof of Theorem 4.

4. Annex remarks

In this section, we point out an incorrect detail in the proof of Theorem 2 in [1] as follows.

In [1, p. 1200], on the third line above formula (4.10), the authors say:

"Similarly,

 ∞ is a Picard exceptional value of f and g".

In fact, by the reasoning suggested, one can conclude that the poles of f can occur at zeros of $(g^n)^{(k)}$, and not at the zeros of g itself. (In fact, g has no zeros.)

For example. Suppose that k = 2. From (4.8), we obtain

$$n^{2}f^{n-2}g^{n-2}\left((n-1)f^{\prime 2}+ff^{\prime\prime}\right)\left((n-1)g^{\prime 2}+gg^{\prime\prime}\right)=1.$$

If z_0 is a pole of f with multiplicity p, then z_0 is a pole of $f^{n-2}\left((n-1)f'^2 + ff''\right)$ with multiplicity np + 2. If z_0 is a zero of $\left((n-1)g'^2 + gg''\right)$ with multiplicity np + 2 but not a zero of g, we cannot get the contradiction stated in [1].

Acknowledgement

The author would like to thank the referee for his/her valuable suggestions.

References

[1] S.S. Bhoosnurmath, R.S. Dyavanal, Uniqueness and value-sharing of meromorphic functions, Comput. Math. Appl. 53 (2007) 1191–1205.

^[2] H.H. Chen, Yoshida functions and Picard values of integral functions and their derivatives, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 54 (1996) 373–381.

^[3] J. Clunie, On a result of Hayman, J. London Math. Soc. 42 (1967) 389-392.

- [4] M.L. Fang, Uniqueness and value-sharing of entire functions, Comput. Math. Appl. 44 (2002) 823-831.
- [5] M.L. Fang, H.L. Qiu, Meromorphic functions that share fixed-points, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 268 (2000) 426–439.
 [6] M.L. Fang, X.H. Hua, Entire functions that share one value, Nanjing Daxue Xuebao Shuxue Bannian Kan 13 (1) (1996) 44–48.
- [7] W.K. Hayman, Meromorphic Functions, Oxford, 1964.
- [8] W.K. Hayman, Picard values of meromorphic functions and their derivatives, Ann. of Math. 70 (1959) 9-42.
- [9] G. Hennekemper, W. Hennekemper, Picard Ausnahmewerte von Ableitungen gewisser meromorpher Funktionen, Complex Variables Theory Appl. 5 (1985) 87–93.
 [10] W.C. Lin, H.X. Yi, Uniqueness theorems for meromorphic functions, Indian J. Pure Appl. Math. 35 (2) (2004) 121–132.
- [11] W.C. Lin, H.X. Yi, Uniqueness theorems for meromorphic function concerning fixed-points, Complex Var. Theory Appl. 49 (11) (2004) 793-806.
- [12] Y.F. Wang, On Mues conjecture and Picard values, Sci. China 36 (1) (1993) 28-35.
- [13] C.C. Yang, X.H. Hua, Uniqueness and value-sharing of memomorphic functions, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Math. 22 (2) (1997) 395–406.
 [14] C.C. Yang, H.X. Yi, Uniqueness Theory of Meromorphic Functions, Kluwer Academic Publishers, The Netherlands, 2003.
- [15] L. Yang, Value Distribution Theory, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1993.
- [16] J.L. Zhang, L.Z. Yang, Some results related to a conjecture of R. Brück, J. Inequal. Pure Appl. Math. 8 (1) (2007) Art. 18.