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#### Abstract

Let $\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}(G)$ and $\gamma_{\mathrm{ss}}^{\prime}(G)$ be the signed edge domination number and signed star domination number of $G$, respectively. We prove that $2 n-4 \geqslant \gamma_{\mathrm{sS}}^{\prime}(G) \geqslant \gamma_{\mathrm{S}}^{\prime}(G) \geqslant n-m$ holds for all graphs $G$ without isolated vertices, where $n=|V(G)| \geqslant 4$ and $m=|E(G)|$, and pose some problems and conjectures. © 2005 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

We use Bondy and Murty [1] for terminology and notation not defined here and consider simple graphs only.
Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph. For $u \in V$, then $N_{G}(u)$ and $N_{G}[u]$ denote the open and closed neighborhoods of $u$ in $G$, resp. $d_{G}(u)=\left|N_{G}(u)\right|$ is the degree of $u$ in $G$, and $\delta(G)$ denotes the minimum degree of $G$. For $S \subseteq V(G)$, then $G[S]$ denotes the subgraph of $G$ induced by $S$. For $v \in V$, the symbol $G-v=G[V(G) \backslash\{v\}]$. If $H$ is an induced subgraph of $G$, we write $H \leqslant G$. For $e=u v \in E(G), N_{G}(e)=\left\{e^{\prime} \in E(G) \mid e^{\prime}\right.$ is adjacent to $\left.e\right\}$ is called the open edge-neighborhood of $e$ in $G$, and $N_{G}[e]=N_{G}(e) \cup\{e\}$ is called the closed one. If $v \in V$, then $E_{G}(v)=\{u v \in E \mid u \in V\}$ is called the edge-neighborhood of $v$ in $G$. For simplicity, $N_{G}[e]$ and $E_{G}(v)$ are denoted by $N[e]$ and $E(v)$, respectively.

[^0]In recent years, several kinds of domination problems in graphs have been investigated [2-4,6-8], most of these belonging to the vertex domination. In [5] we introduced the signed edge domination in graphs.

Definition $1.1(X u[5])$. Let $G=(V(G), E(G))$ be a graph. A function $f: E(G) \rightarrow$ $\{+1,-1\}$ is called the signed edge domination function (SEDF) of $G$ if $\sum_{e^{\prime} \in N[e]} f\left(e^{\prime}\right) \geqslant 1$ for every $e \in E(G)$. The signed edge domination number $\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}(G)$ of $G$ is defined as $\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}(G)=\min \left\{\sum_{e \in E(G)} f(e) \mid f\right.$ is an SEDF of $\left.G\right\}$.

For any totally disconnected graph $G=\bar{K}_{n}$, then define $\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}(G)=0$.
Definition 1.2. Let $G=(V, E)$ be a graph without isolated vertices. A function $f: E \rightarrow$ $\{+1-1\}$ is called the signed star domination function (SSDF) of $G$ if $\sum_{e \in E(v)} f(e) \geqslant 1$ for every $v \in V(G)$. The signed star domination number of $G$ is defined as $\gamma_{\mathrm{ss}}^{\prime}(G)=$ $\min \left\{\sum_{e \in E} f(e) \mid f\right.$ is an SSDF of $\left.G\right\}$.

We define $\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}(G)=0$ for all totally disconnected graphs $G=\bar{K}_{n}$.
By Definitions 1.1 and 1.2 we have
Lemma 1.3. For any two vertex-disjoint graphs $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$, we have

$$
\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}\left(G_{1} \cup G_{2}\right)=\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)+\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}\left(G_{2}\right) \quad \text { and } \quad \gamma_{\mathrm{ss}}^{\prime}\left(G_{1} \cup G_{2}\right)=\gamma_{\mathrm{ss}}^{\prime}\left(G_{1}\right)+\gamma_{\mathrm{ss}}^{\prime}\left(G_{2}\right)
$$

Obviously, an SSDF is an SEDF of $G$; thus we have the following.
Lemma 1.4. For any graph $G$ without isolated vertices, $\gamma_{\mathrm{ss}}^{\prime} G \geqslant \gamma_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}(G)$.
By Definition 3, it is easy to see the following.
Lemma 1.5. For all graphs $G$, if $v \in V(G)$, then $\gamma_{\mathrm{ss}}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant \gamma_{\mathrm{ss}}^{\prime}(G-v)+d_{G}(v)$.
A graph $G$ is said to be a $\theta$-graph if $G$ is a connected graph with degree sequence $d=(2,2, \ldots, 2,3,3)$. That is, a $\theta$-graph consists of a cycle and a path whose two endvertices are on the cycle.

Lemma 1.6. Any $\theta$-graph contains a cycle of even length (even cycle).
Proof. It is obvious.

## 2. Signed edge domination

The following terminology and notation are useful to prove our main results.
A graph $G$ with an SEDF $f$ of $G$, denoted by $(G, f)$, is called a signed graph. For a signed graph $(G, f)$, we know from Definition 1.1 that $\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}(G)=\sum_{e \in E(G)} f(e)$.

For simplicity, given a signed graph $(G, f)$, an edge $e \in E(G)$ is said to be +1 edge of ( $G, f$ ) if $f(e)=+1$, analogously, an edge $e \in E(G)$ is said to be -1 edge of $(G, f)$ if $f(e)=-1$. Write $E^{+}(G, f)=\{e \in E(G) \mid f(e)=+1\}$ and $E^{-}(G, f)=\{e \in E(G) \mid f(e)=$ $-1\}$.

For any signed graph $(H, g)$, two spanning subgraphs $H^{+}(g)$ and $H^{-}(g)$ of $H$ are defined as $V\left(H^{+}(g)\right)=V\left(H^{-}(g)\right)=V(H), E\left(H^{+}(g)\right)=E^{+}(H, g)$ and $E\left(H^{-}(g)\right)=E^{-}(H, g)$. $H^{+}(g)$ and $H^{-}(g)$ are called the positive subgraph and negative subgraph of $(H, g)$, resp. For every vertex $u \in V(H)$, we define the degree difference $d^{*}(H, g, u)$ of $u$ in $(H, g)$ as $d^{*}(H, g, u)=d_{H^{+}(g)}(u)-d_{H^{-}(g)}(u)$. And further, if $e=u v \in E(H)$, since $g$ is an SEDF of $H$, by Definition 1.1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{e^{\prime} \in N[e]} g\left(e^{\prime}\right)=d^{*}(H, g, u)+d^{*}(H, g, v)-g(u v) \geqslant 1 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

For two signed graphs $(G, f)$ and $(H, g)$, then $(G, f)=(H, g)$ if and only if $G=H$ and $f=g$.

In [6], we have shown that $\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}(T) \geqslant 1$ for all trees $T \neq K_{1}$, and the following theorem generalizes this result.

Theorem 2.1. Let $G$ be a graph with $\delta(G) \geqslant 1$, then $\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}(G) \geqslant|V(G)|-|E(G)|$ and this bound is sharp.

Proof. For convenience, we define $T(H)=|V(H)|-|E(H)|$ for all graphs $H$. So, our aim is to prove that $\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}(G) \geqslant T(G)$.

By Lemma 1.3 and noting that $T\left(G_{1} \cup G_{2}\right)=T\left(G_{1}\right)+T\left(G_{2}\right)$, we may suppose that $G$ is the connected graph.

Let $A=\left\{u \in V(G) \mid d_{G}(u) \geqslant 2\right\}$ and $B=\left\{u \in V(G) \mid d_{G}(u)=1\right\}$. Note that $\delta(G) \geqslant 1$. We have $V(G)=A \cup B$ and $A \cap B=\phi$. When $|A| \leqslant 1$, then $G$ is a star, this theorem is obvious. Next, we can suppose $|A| \geqslant 2$. Write $G_{A}=G[A]$.

Let $f$ be such an SEDF that $\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}(G)=\sum_{e \in E(G)} f(e)$, based on the signed graph $(G, f)$, we define a signed graph $\left(G^{*}, f^{*}\right)$ which satisfies the following three properties:
(a) $\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}(G)=\sum_{e \in E\left(G^{*}\right)} f^{*}(e)$,
(b) $T(G)=T\left(G^{*}\right)$,
(c) $G_{A}=G^{*}[A]$ and $G_{A}$ contains no -1 edge of $\left(G^{*}, f^{*}\right)$.

Let $s=\left|E^{-}(G, f) \cap E\left(G_{A}\right)\right|$.
If $s=0$, we define $G^{*}=G$ and $f^{*}=f$. Obviously, the signed graph $\left(G^{*}, f^{*}\right)$ satisfies the above three properties. Thus, we can suppose $s \geqslant 1$.

Let $E^{-}(G, f) \cap E\left(G_{A}\right)=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, \ldots, e_{s}\right\}$, where $e_{j}=u_{j} v_{j}(j=1,2, \ldots, s)$.
Next we define one by one $s$ signed graphs $\left(G^{(1)}, f^{(1)}\right),\left(G^{(2)}, f^{(2)}\right), \ldots,\left(G^{(s)}, f^{(s)}\right)$.
Let $\left(G^{(0)}, f^{(0)}\right)=(G, f)$, from $i=1$ to $s$. We define one by one $G^{(i)}$ from $G^{(i-1)}$ by adding two pendant edges and define $f^{(i)}$ by $f^{(i-1)}$ as the following Cases $1-2$ such that $e_{i}$ is a +1 edge of $\left(G^{(i)}, f^{(i)}\right)$ (note that $e_{i}$ is a -1 edge of $\left(G^{(i-1)}, f^{(i-1)}\right)$ ), and hence $e_{i}$ is a +1 edge of $\left(G^{*}, f^{*}\right)$.

We may suppose $e_{i}=u_{i} v_{i}$ is a -1 edge of ( $G^{(i-1)}, f^{(i-1)}$ ). By (1), we have $d^{*}\left(G^{(i-1)}, f^{(i-1)}, u_{i}\right)+d^{*}\left(G^{(i-1)}, f^{(i-1)}, v_{i}\right)-f^{(i-1)}\left(u_{i} v_{i}\right) \geqslant 1$, that is,
$d^{*}\left(G^{(i-1)}, f^{(i-1)}, u_{i}\right)+d^{*}\left(G^{(i-1)}, f^{(i-1)}, v_{i}\right) \geqslant 0$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose $d^{*}\left(G^{(i-1)}, f^{(i-1)}, u_{i}\right) \geqslant d^{*}\left(G^{(i-1)}, f^{(i-1)}, v_{i}\right)$.

Case 1: When $d^{*}\left(G^{(i-1)}, f^{(i-1)}, u_{i}\right) \geqslant 1, G^{(i)}$ can be obtained from $G^{(i-1)}$ by adding two pendant edges $u_{i} w_{i}$ and $u_{i} w_{i}^{\prime}$ in $G^{(i-1)}$; this also adds two pendant vertices $w_{i}$ and $w_{i}^{\prime}$. Define an SEDF $f^{(i)}$ of $G^{(i)}$ as follows:

$$
f^{(i)}(e)= \begin{cases}f^{(i-1)}(e) & \text { when } e \in E\left(G^{(i)}\right) \backslash\left\{u_{i} v_{i}, u_{i} w_{i}, u_{i} w_{i}^{\prime}\right\} \\ +1 & \text { when } e=u_{i} v_{i} \\ -1 & \text { when } e \in\left\{u_{i} w_{i}, u_{i} w_{i}^{\prime}\right\} .\end{cases}
$$

Case 2: When $d^{*}\left(G^{(i-1)}, f^{(i-1)}, u_{i}\right)=d^{*}\left(G^{(i-1)}, f^{(i-1)}, v_{i}\right)=0, G^{(i)}$ can be obtained from $G^{(i-1)}$ by adding two pendant edges $u_{i} w_{i}$ and $v_{i} w_{i}^{\prime}$ in vertices $u_{i}$ and $v_{i}$, resp. Analogously, we define an SEDF $f^{(i)}$ of $G^{(i)}$ as follows:

$$
f^{(i)}(e)= \begin{cases}f^{(i-1)}(e) & \text { when } e \in E\left(G^{(i)}\right) \backslash\left\{u_{i} v_{i}, u_{i} w_{i}, v_{i} w_{i}^{\prime}\right\} \\ +1 & \text { when } e=u_{i} v_{i} \\ -1 & \text { when } e \in\left\{u_{i} w_{i}, v_{i} w_{i}^{\prime}\right\}\end{cases}
$$

Combining Cases 1 and 2, we have obtained $\left(G^{(i)}, f^{(i)}\right.$ ) from $\left(G^{(i-1)}, f^{(i-1)}\right)$ $(i=1,2, \ldots, s)$.

Let $\left(G^{*}, f^{*}\right)=\left(G^{(s)}, f^{(s)}\right)$, note that $\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}(G)=\sum_{e \in E(G)} f(e)$, it is easy to see that $G_{A}=G^{*}[A]$ and $G_{A}$ contains no -1 edge of $\left(G^{*}, f^{*}\right)$. And further, $T(G)=T\left(G^{*}\right)$ and $\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}(G)=\sum_{e \in E(G)} f(e)=\sum_{e \in E\left(G^{(i)}\right)} f^{(i)}(e)=\sum_{e \in E\left(G^{*}\right)} f^{*}(e)$ holds for each $i(i=$ $1,2, \ldots, s)$. Next we prove that $\sum_{e \in E\left(G^{*}\right)} f^{*}(e) \geqslant T(G)$.

Let $C$ be the set of all pendant edges in $G^{*}, p$ and $q$ denote the numbers of all +1 edges and -1 edge in $C$, resp. This implies that $G^{*}$ has $p+q$ pendant vertices.

For any vertex $u \in A$, if $u$ is not adjacent to any pendant vertex in $G^{*}$, then $d^{*}\left(G^{*}, f^{*}, u\right)=$ $d_{G_{A}}(u) \geqslant 2$; if $u$ is adjacent to a pendant vertex $v$ in $G^{*}$, by (1) we have $d^{*}\left(G^{*}, f^{*}, u\right)+$ $d^{*}\left(G^{*}, f^{*}, v\right)-f^{*}(u v) \geqslant 1$ (note that $d^{*}\left(G^{*}, f^{*}, v\right)=f^{*}(u v)$ ), it implies that $d^{*}\left(G^{*}, f^{*}, u\right) \geqslant 1$ holds for every vertex $u \in A$. So, $2\left|E\left(G_{A}\right)\right|+p-q=\sum_{u \in A} d_{G_{A}}(u)+$ $p-q=\sum_{u \in A} d^{*}\left(G^{*}, f^{*}, u\right) \geqslant|A|$. Note that $G_{A}$ contains no -1 edge of $\left(G^{*}, f^{*}\right)$, and thus we have $\sum_{e \in E\left(G^{*}\right)} f^{*}(e)=\left|E\left(G_{A}\right)\right|+p-q \geqslant|A|-\left|E\left(G_{A}\right)\right|=(|A|+p+q)-$ $\left(\left|E\left(G_{A}\right)\right|+p+q\right)=\left|V\left(G^{*}\right)\right|-\left|E\left(G^{*}\right)\right|=T\left(G^{*}\right)=T(G)$.

We have proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}(G) \geqslant|V(G)|-|E(G)| \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds for any graph $G$ with $\delta(G) \geqslant 1$. By the following statement, we have completed the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Statement. Given a graph $H$ with $\delta(H) \geqslant 1$, there exists a graph $G$ such that $H \leqslant G$ and $\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}(G)=|V(G)|-|E(G)|$.

Proof. Let $G$ be the graph obtained from $H$ by adding $d_{H}(u)-1$ pendant edges in vertex $u$ for every $u \in V(H)$. Define an SEDF $f$ of $G$ as follows:
when $e \in E(H), f(e)=+1$; when $e \in E(G) \backslash E(H), f(e)=-1$. We get a signed $\operatorname{graph}(G, f)$, and so $\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant \sum_{e \in E(G)} f(e)=|E(H)|-\sum_{u \in V(H)}[d(u)-1]=|V(H)|-$
$|E(H)|=|V(G)|-|E(G)|$. By (2), we have $\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}(G)=|V(G)|-|E(G)|$ and this proof is complete.

## 3. Signed star domination

Lemma 3.1. For any graph $G$, if $\delta(G) \geqslant 3$, then $G$ contains a $\theta$-graph as subgraph, and hence $G$ contains an even cycle.

Proof. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $G$ is a connected graph. Let $T$ be a spanning tree of $G . v$ is a pendant vertex of $T$. That is, $d_{T}(v)=1$. Since $\delta(G) \geqslant 3$, there exist at least two vertices $u$ and $w$ such that $u v, w v \in E(G) \backslash E(T)$. Define $H=T+\{u v, w v\}$. Obviously, $H$ contains a $\theta$-graph as subgraph, which is the maximum 2-connected subgraph of $H$. Note that $H \subseteq G$ and by Lemma 1.6, $G$ contains an even cycle. We have completed the proof of Lemma 3.1.

Theorem 3.2. For any graph $G$ of order $n(n \geqslant 4)$, then $\gamma_{\mathrm{ss}}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant 2 n-4$, and this bound is sharp.

Proof. We use the induction on $m=|E(G)|$. The result is clearly true for $m \leqslant 3$ (note that $n \geqslant 4$ );

Suppose that the theorem is true for all graphs $G_{1}$ with $\left|E\left(G_{1}\right)\right| \leqslant m-1$ and $4 \leqslant\left|V\left(G_{1}\right)\right|$ $\leqslant n$. Now we consider a graph $G$ with $|E(G)|=m$. Without loss of generality, we may suppose that $\delta(G) \geqslant 1$.

Case 1: $1 \leqslant \delta(G) \leqslant 2$. There exists a vertex $v \in V(G)$ such that $d_{G}(v)=\delta(G) \leqslant 2$. Note that $|E(G-v)| \leqslant m-1$. When $|V(G-v)|=n-1=3$, that is, $|V(G)|=n=4$, it is easy to check that $\gamma_{\mathrm{ss}}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant 4=2 n-4$. When $|V(G-v)|=n-1 \geqslant 4$; by the induction hypothesis, we have $\gamma_{\mathrm{ss}}^{\prime}(G-v) \leqslant 2(n-1)-4=2 n-6$. By Lemma 1.5, we have $\gamma_{\mathrm{ss}}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant \gamma_{\mathrm{ss}}^{\prime}(G-$ $v)+d_{G}(v) \leqslant 2 n-6+2=2 n-4$.

Case 2: $\delta(G) \geqslant 3$. We see from Lemma 3.1 that $G$ contains an even cycle $C$. Let $H=$ $G-E(C)$. By the induction hypothesis, $H$ has an SSDF $f$ with $\sum_{e \in E(H)} f(e) \leqslant 2 n-4$. Extending $f$ from $H$ by signing +1 and -1 alternatively along $C$, we obtain an SSDF for $G$, and hence $\gamma_{\mathrm{ss}}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant 2 n-4$.

Since $\gamma_{\mathrm{ss}}^{\prime}\left(K_{2, n-2}\right)=2 n-4(n \geqslant 4)$, the upper bound given in Theorem 3.2 is sharp. We have completed the proof of Theorem 3.2.

Corollary 3.3. For all graphs $G$ of order $n$, if $\delta(G) \geqslant 1$, then $\gamma_{\mathrm{ss}}^{\prime}(G) \geqslant\lceil n / 2\rceil$.
Proof. Let $f$ be an SSDF of $G$ such that $\gamma_{\mathrm{ss}}^{\prime}(G)=\sum_{e \in E(G)} f(e)$. For every edge $e=u v \in$ $E(G), e \in E(u)$ and $e \in E(v)$. Thus, we have

$$
\gamma_{\mathrm{ss}}^{\prime}(G)=\sum_{e \in E(G)} f(e)=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} \sum_{e \in E(v)} f(e) \geqslant \frac{1}{2} \sum_{v \in V(G)} 1=\frac{n}{2}
$$

Note that $\gamma_{\mathrm{SS}}^{\prime}(G)$ is an integer. The proof is complete.

## 4. Some open problems and conjectures

We know from Lemma 1.4 that $\gamma_{\mathrm{ss}}^{\prime}(G) \geqslant \gamma_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}(G)$ holds for any graph $G$, and so we have the following.

Problem 4.1. Characterize the graphs $G$ which satisfy the equality $\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}(G)=\gamma_{\mathrm{ss}}^{\prime}(\mathbf{G})$.
We know from Theorem 2.1 that $\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}(G) \geqslant|V(G)|-|E(G)|$ holds for any graph $G$ with $\delta(G) \geqslant 1$. A natural problem is the following.

Problem 4.2. Characterize the graphs $G$ which satisfy the equality $\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}(G)=|V(G)|-$ $|E(G)|$.

Although in [5] we have determine the exact value of $\psi(m)=\min \left\{\gamma_{s}^{\prime}(G) \mid G\right.$ is a graph of size $m\}$ for all positive integers $m$, it seems more difficult to solve the following:

Problem 4.3 (Xu [5]). Determine the exact value of $g(n)=\min \left\{\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}(G) \mid G\right.$ is a graph of order $n$ \} for every positive integer $n$.

Conjecture 4.4. For any graph $G$ of order $n(n \geqslant 1), \gamma_{s}^{\prime}(G) \leqslant n-1$.
If true, the upper bound is the best possible for odd $n$. For example, let $G$ be the subdivision of the star $K_{1,(n-1) / 2}$. Clearly, $\gamma_{\mathrm{s}}^{\prime}(G)=|E(G)|=n-1$ (the subdivision of a graph $G$ is the graph obtained from $G$ by subdividing each edge of $G$ exactly once).
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