

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

J. Math. Anal. Appl. 299 (2004) 235–241

Journal of
MATHEMATICAL
ANALYSIS AND
APPLICATIONS

www.elsevier.com/locate/jmaa

Coincidence and fixed points for hybrid strict contractions

Tayyab Kamran

Department of Mathematics, University of Manchester, Oxford Road, Manchester M13 9PL, UK

Received 4 December 2003

Available online 3 September 2004

Submitted by D. Waterman

Abstract

We define the property $(E.A)$ for single-valued and multivalued mappings and introduce the notion of T -weak commutativity for a hybrid pair (f, T) of single-valued and multivalued maps. We obtain some coincidence and fixed point theorems for this class of maps and derive, as an application, an approximation theorem.

© 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Fixed points; Compatible maps; Noncompatible maps; Weak commutativity

1. Introduction

Sessa [11] introduced the concept of weakly commuting maps. Jungck [3] defined the notion of compatible maps in order to generalize the concept of weak commutativity and showed that weakly commuting mappings are compatible but the converse is not true [3]. In recent years, a number of fixed point theorems have been obtained by various authors utilizing this notion. Jungck further weakens the notion of compatibility by introducing the notion of weak compatibility and in [4] Jungck and Rhoades further extended weak compatibility to the setting of single-valued and multivalued maps. Pant [6–9] initiated the study of noncompatible maps and introduced pointwise R -weak commutativity of map-

E-mail address: tayyabkamran@hotmail.com.

0022-247X/\$ – see front matter © 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
doi:10.1016/j.jmaa.2004.06.047

pings. He also showed that for single-valued mappings pointwise R -weak commutativity is equivalent to weak compatibility at the coincidence points. In [12,13] Shahzad gave application of R -weakly commuting mappings in best approximation theory. Recently, the author and Shahzad [15] and Singh and Mishra [16] have independently extended the idea of R -weak commutativity to the setting of single- and multivalued mappings. In [16] Singh and Mishra also introduced the notion of (IT) -commutativity for a hybrid pair of single-valued and multivalued maps and showed that a pointwise R -weakly commuting hybrid pair need not be weakly compatible [16, Example 1]. However, at the coincidence points, pointwise R -weak commutativity for hybrid pairs is equivalent to (IT) -commutativity. More recently, Aamri and El Moutawakil [1] defined a property $(E.A)$ for self maps and obtained some fixed point theorems for such mappings under strict contractive conditions. The class of $(E.A)$ maps contains the class of noncompatible maps.

The aim of this paper is to extend the property $(E.A)$ for a hybrid pair of single- and multivalued maps and to generalize the notion of (IT) -commutativity for such pairs. We obtain some coincidence and fixed point theorems for hybrid pairs. Our results extend Theorem 2.6 in [1], to multivalued case. As an application, we derive an approximation result.

2. Preliminaries

Let X be a metric space with metric d . Then, for $x \in X$ and $A \subseteq X$, $d(x, A) = \inf\{d(x, y) : y \in A\}$. We denote by $CB(X)$ the class of all nonempty bounded closed subsets of X . Let H be the Hausdorff metric with respect to d , that is,

$$H(A, B) = \max\left\{\sup_{x \in A} d(x, B), \sup_{y \in B} d(y, A)\right\}$$

for every $A, B \in CB(X)$.

Definition 2.1 [5]. Maps $f : X \rightarrow X$ and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ are said to be compatible if $fTx \in CB(X)$ for all $x \in X$ and $H(fTx_n, Tfx_n) \rightarrow 0$ whenever $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $Tx_n \rightarrow A \in CB(X)$ and $fx_n \rightarrow t \in A$.

Therefore the maps $f : X \rightarrow X$ and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ are noncompatible if $fTx \in CB(X)$ for all $x \in X$ and there exists at least one sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $Tx_n \rightarrow A \in CB(X)$ and $fx_n \rightarrow t \in A$ but $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(fTx_n, Tfx_n) \neq 0$ or nonexistent.

Definition 2.2 [4]. Maps $f : X \rightarrow X$ and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ are weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence points, i.e., if $fTx = Tfx$ whenever $fx \in Tx$.

Definition 2.3 [2,16]. Maps $f : X \rightarrow X$ and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ are said to be (IT) -commuting at $x \in X$ if $fTx \subseteq Tfx$.

Definition 2.4 [15]. Maps $f : X \rightarrow X$ and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ are said to be R -weakly commuting if, for given $x \in X$, $fTx \in CB(X)$ and there exists some positive real number R such that $H(fTx, Tfx) \leq Rd(fx, Tx)$.

Definition 2.5 [1]. Maps $f : X \rightarrow X$ and $g : X \rightarrow X$ are said to satisfy the property (E.A) if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} g x_n = t \in X$.

We now state the following theorem due to [1] for convenience.

Theorem 2.6 [1]. Let g and f be two weakly compatible mappings of a metric space (X, d) such that

- (i) g and f satisfy the property (E.A),
- (ii) for all $x \neq y \in X$

$$d(gx, gy) < \max\{d(fx, fy), [d(gx, fx) + d(gy, fy)]/2, [d(gy, fx) + d(gx, fy)]/2\},$$

- (iii) $gX \subset fX$.

If gX or fX is a complete subspace of X , then g and f have a unique common fixed point.

3. Main results

We begin with the following definition.

Definition 3.1. Maps $f : X \rightarrow X$ and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ are said to satisfy the property (E.A) if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X , some $t \in X$ and $A \in CB(X)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_n = t \in A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_n. \tag{1}$$

Example 3.2. Let $X = [1, \infty)$ with the usual metric. Define $f : X \rightarrow X$, $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ by $f x = x + 1$ and $T x = [1, x + 2]$ for all $x \in X$. Consider the sequence $\{x_n\} = \{1/n\}$. Clearly

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_n = 1 \in [1, 2] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_n.$$

Therefore f and T satisfy property (E.A).

Example 3.3. Let $X = [2, \infty)$ with the usual metric. Define $f : X \rightarrow X$, $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ by $f x = x$ and $T x = \{2x\}$ for all $x \in X$. Suppose that the property (E.A) holds; then there exists in X a sequence $\{x_n\}$ such that for some $t \in X$ and $A \in CB(X)$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f x_n = t \in A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T x_n.$$

Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = t$, $A = \{2t\}$ and obviously $t \notin A$. Thus f and T do not satisfy the property (E.A).

Theorem 3.4. Let f be a self map of the metric space (X, d) and T be a map from X into $CB(X)$ such that

- (i) f and T satisfy the property (E.A),
(ii) for all $x \neq y \in X$

$$H(Tx, Ty) < \max\{d(fx, fy), [d(fx, Tx) + d(fy, Ty)]/2, [d(fy, Tx) + d(fx, Ty)]/2\}. \quad (2)$$

If fX be a closed subset of X , then f and T have a coincidence point.

Proof. By virtue of (1), there exist a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X , $t \in X$ and $A \in \text{CB}(X)$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = t \in A = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n.$$

Since fX is closed, we have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = fa$ for some $a \in X$. Thus $t = fa \in A$. We claim $fa \in Ta$. If not, then condition (2) implies

$$H(Tx_n, Ta) < \max\{d(fx_n, fa), [d(fx_n, Tx_n) + d(fa, Ta)]/2, [d(fa, Tx_n) + d(fx_n, Ta)]/2\}.$$

Taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} H(A, Ta) &\leq \max\{d(fa, fa), [d(fa, A) + d(fa, Ta)]/2, \\ &\quad [d(fa, Ta) + d(fa, A)]/2\} \\ &\leq d(fa, Ta)/2. \end{aligned}$$

Since $fa \in A$, it follows from the definition of Hausdorff metric that

$$d(fa, Ta) \leq d(fa, Ta)/2,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $fa \in Ta$. \square

Example 3.5. Let $X = [1, \infty)$ with the usual metric. Define $f : X \rightarrow X$, $T : X \rightarrow \text{CB}(X)$ by $fx = x^2$ and $Tx = [1, x + 1]$ for all $x \in X$. Then f and T satisfy the property (E.A) for the sequence $\{1 + 1/n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and

$$H(Tx, Ty) < d(fx, fy) \leq \max\{d(fx, fy), [d(fx, Tx) + d(fy, Ty)]/2, [d(fy, Tx) + d(fx, Ty)]/2\}.$$

Thus all conditions of Theorem 3.4 are satisfied and $1 = f1 \in T1$.

Since a noncompatible hybrid pair (f, T) satisfy property (E.A), we have the following.

Corollary 3.6. Let f be a self map of the metric space (X, d) and T be a map from X into $\text{CB}(X)$ such that

- (i) f and T are noncompatible,
(ii) for all $x \neq y \in X$

$$H(Tx, Ty) < \max\{d(fx, fy), [d(fx, Tx) + d(fy, Ty)]/2, [d(fy, Tx) + d(fx, Ty)]/2\}.$$

If fX be a closed subset of X , then f and T have a coincidence point.

We now introduce the following notion.

Definition 3.7. Let $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$. The map $f : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be T -weakly commuting at $x \in X$ if $ffx \in Tfx$.

Here we remark that for hybrid pairs (f, T) , (IT) -commuting at the coincidence points implies that f is T -weakly commuting but the following example shows that the converse is not true in general.

Example 3.8. Let $X = [1, \infty)$ with the usual metric. Define $f : X \rightarrow X, T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ by $fx = 2x$ and $Tx = [1, 2x + 1]$ for all $x \in X$. Then for all $x \in X, fx \in Tx, ffx = 4x \in [1, 4x + 1] = Tfx, fTx = [2, 4x + 2] \not\subseteq Tfx$. Therefore f is T -weakly commuting but not IT -commuting also note that f and T are not weakly compatible. Moreover, if $\{x_n\}$ is a sequence in X such that $x_n \rightarrow 1$. Then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} fx_n = 2 \in [1, 3] = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} H(fTx_n, Tfx_n) = 1$. Therefore the mappings f and T are not compatible. Furthermore, f and T satisfy property $(E.A)$.

Remark 3.9. (i) If T is a single-valued mapping, then T -weak commutativity at the coincidence points is equivalent to the weak compatibility.

(ii) It is known [7] that pointwise weak commutativity is a minimal condition for the existence of fixed points.

Theorem 3.10. Let f be a self map of the metric space (X, d) and T be a map from X into $CB(X)$ such that

- (i) f and T satisfy the property $(E.A)$,
- (ii) for all $x \neq y \in X$

$$H(Tx, Ty) < \max\{d(fx, fy), [d(fx, Tx) + d(fy, Ty)]/2, [d(fy, Tx) + d(fx, Ty)]/2\},$$

- (iii) f is T -weakly commuting at v and $ffv = fv$ for $v \in C(f, T) :=$ set of coincidence points of f and T .

If fX be a closed subset of X , then f and T have a common fixed point.

Proof. By Theorem 3.4, there exist $t, a \in X$ such that $t = fa \in Ta$. From this, and $ffv = fv$ for $v \in C(f, T)$, we have $t = ft$ and T commutativity of f at a further implies that $t = ft \in Tt$. \square

Example 3.11 [10, p. 266]. Let $X = [0, \infty)$ with the usual metric. Define $f : X \rightarrow X, T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ by $fx = x$ and $Tx = [0, x^2/(x + 1)]$ for all $x \in X$. Then

- (i) condition (2) is satisfied since $H(Tx, Ty) < d(x, y)$ for all $x \neq y \in X$,
- (ii) f and T satisfy property $(E.A)$ for the sequence $\{x_n\} = \{1/n\}$ in X ,
- (iii) f is T weakly commuting at the coincidence point.

Thus all conditions of Theorem 3.10 are satisfied and 0 is the common fixed point of f and T .

Remark 3.12. The conclusions of Theorem 3.4, Corollary 3.6 and Theorem 3.10 remain valid if we assume that $T(X)$ is closed instead of $f(X)$ provided that $TX \subseteq f(X)$.

The problem of obtaining invariant approximations for non-commuting maps was considered first time by Shahzad [12,13]. Recently, Shahzad [14] introduced the class of R -subweakly commuting multimaps. It is worth mentioning that the concept of R -subweak commutativity is a useful tool for obtaining the existence of invariant approximations for a hybrid pair of maps. Our next result complements the work of Shahzad [12,13]. Let S be a subset of a normed space X . Then S is called p -star-shaped if there exists $p \in S$ such that for each $x \in S$, the segment joining x to p is contained in S , that is $(1-k)p + kx \in S$ for all $x \in S$ and all real k with $0 \leq k \leq 1$. Suppose $\hat{x} \in X$. The set $P_S(\hat{x}) = \{y \in S : \|y - \hat{x}\| = d(\hat{x}, S)\}$ is called the set of best approximants to $\hat{x} \in X$ out of S . The set of fixed points of $f : X \rightarrow X$ (respectively $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$) is denoted by $F(f)$ (respectively $F(T)$). The set of coincidence points of f and T is represented by $C(f, T)$.

Definition 3.13 [14]. Let $f : S \rightarrow S$ and $T : S \rightarrow CB(S)$. Suppose S is p -star-shaped with $p \in F(f)$. Then the pair $\{f, T\}$ is called R -subweakly commuting if for all $x \in S$, $fTx \in CB(S)$ and there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$H(Tfx, fTx) \leq Rd(fx, A_\lambda x)$$

for every $\lambda \in [0, 1]$, where $A_\lambda x = (1 - \lambda)p + \lambda Tx$.

Theorem 3.14. Suppose S be subset of a normed space X and let $f : X \rightarrow X$ and $T : X \rightarrow CB(X)$ be such that $\hat{x} \in F(f) \cap F(T)$. Suppose that

- (i) f and T are R -subweakly commuting on $P_S(\hat{x})$,
- (ii) $H(Tx, Ty) \leq \|fx - fy\|$ for all $x, y \in P_S(\hat{x}) \cup \{\hat{x}\}$,
- (iii) f is affine continuous on $P_S(\hat{x})$ and $\|ffx - fx\| \leq d(fx, Tx)$ for all $x \in P_S(\hat{x})$,
- (iv) f and A_λ satisfy the property (E.A) for each $0 \leq \lambda \leq 1$.

If $P_S(\hat{x})$ is nonempty, compact, p -star-shaped with $p \in F(f)$, T -invariant and $f(P_S(\hat{x})) = P_S(\hat{x})$, then $P_S(\hat{x}) \cap F(f) \cap F(T) \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. Choose a sequence of real numbers $\{k_n\}$ ($0 \leq k_n < 1$) converging to 1. For each n , define a sequence of maps A_n by

$$A_n x = (1 - k_n)p + k_n T x = \bigcup_{y \in T x} (1 - k_n)p + k_n y \quad \text{for each } x \in P_S(\hat{x}).$$

Since $P_S(\hat{x})$ is p -star-shaped, for each n , $A_n : P_S(\hat{x}) \rightarrow CB(P_S(\hat{x}))$. Also $A_n(P_S(\hat{x})) \subset P_S(\hat{x}) = f(P_S(\hat{x}))$ for each n . Since f is affine on $P_S(\hat{x})$, it follows from the R -subweak commutativity of f and T that

$$H(A_n f x, f A_n x) \leq k_n H(T f x, f T x) \leq k_n R d(f x, A_n x)$$

for all $x \in P_S(\hat{x})$. This implies that for each n , A_n and f commute at their coincidence points and so f is A_n -weakly commuting at v and $f f v = f v$ for $v \in C(f, T) \subset P_S(\hat{x})$. Also

$$H(A_n x, A_n y) \leq k_n H(T x, T y) \leq k_n \|f x - f y\| < \|f x - f y\|$$

for all $x \neq y \in P_S(\hat{x})$.

In view of (iv), we have f and A_n satisfy (E.A) for each n . By Theorem 3.10, there exists $x_n \in P_S(\hat{x})$ such that

$$x_n = f x_n \in A_n x_n, \quad \text{for each } n.$$

Since $P_S(\hat{x})$ is compact, $\{x_n\}$ has a convergent subsequence $\{x_m\}$ with $x_m \rightarrow z \in P_S(\hat{x})$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$. Since f is continuous, $z = f z$. Since T is continuous, $k_m \rightarrow 1$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$ and $x_m \in A_m x_m = (1 - k_m)p + k_m T x_m$, it follows that $z \in T z$. As a result $P_S(\hat{x}) \cap F(f) \cap F(T) \neq \emptyset$. \square

Acknowledgments

The author thanks the referee for his useful suggestions and Dr. Naseer Shahzad for fruitful discussions.

References

- [1] M. Aamri, D. El Moutawakil, Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 270 (2002) 181–188.
- [2] S. Itoh, W. Takahashi, Single-valued mappings, multivalued mappings and fixed point theorems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 59 (1977) 514–521.
- [3] G. Jungck, Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 9 (1986) 771–779.
- [4] G. Jungck, B.E. Rhoades, Fixed points for set-valued functions without continuity, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.* 29 (1998) 227–238.
- [5] H. Kaneko, S. Sessa, Fixed point theorems for compatible multivalued and single valued mappings, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 12 (1989) 257–262.
- [6] R.P. Pant, Common fixed points of non-commuting mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 188 (1994) 436–440.
- [7] R.P. Pant, Common fixed point theorems for contractive maps, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 226 (1998) 251–258.
- [8] R.P. Pant, Common fixed points of Lipschitz type mapping pairs, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 240 (1999) 280–283.
- [9] R.P. Pant, Discontinuity and fixed points, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 240 (1999) 284–289.
- [10] B.E. Rhoades, A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 226 (1977) 257–290.
- [11] S. Sessa, On a weak commutativity condition of mappings in fixed point consideration, *Publ. Inst. Math.* 32 (1982) 149–153.
- [12] N. Shahzad, A result on best approximation, *Tamkang J. Math.* 29 (1998) 223–226; corrections: *Tamkang J. Math.* 30 (1999) 165.
- [13] N. Shahzad, Invariant approximation and R -subweakly commuting maps, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 257 (2001) 39–45.
- [14] N. Shahzad, Coincidence points and R -subweakly commuting multivalued maps, *Demonstratio Math.* 36 (2003) 427–431.
- [15] N. Shahzad, T. Kamran, Coincidence points and R -weakly commuting maps, *Arch. Math. (Brno)* 37 (2001) 179–183.
- [16] S.L. Singh, S.N. Mishra, Coincidence and fixed points of non-self hybrid contractions, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 256 (2001) 486–497.