Data in Brief 10 (2017) 44-46



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Data in Brief

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/dib

Data Article

Data on fossil fuel availability for Shared Socioeconomic Pathways



Nico Bauer^{a,*}, Jérôme Hilaire^b, Robert J. Brecha^c, Jae Edmonds^d, Kejun Jiang^e, Elmar Kriegler^a, Hans-Holger Rogner^f, Fabio Sferra^{g,h}

^a Potsdam Institute for Climate Impact Research (PIK), Germany

^b Mercator Research Institute on Global Commons and Climate Change (MCC), Germany

^c University of Dayton, Department of Physics, Ohio, USA

^d Pacific National Northwestern Laboratory (PNNL), Maryland, USA

^e Energy Research Institute (ERI), Beijing

^f International Institute for Applied System Analysis (IIASA), Austria

^g Centro Mediterraneo dei Cambiamenti Climatici (CMCC), Italy

^h Climate Analytics, Germany

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 31 May 2016 Received in revised form 12 November 2016 Accepted 14 November 2016 Available online 18 November 2016

Keywords: Energy economics Shared Socio-economic Pathways (SSPs) Fossil fuel sector Coal Oil Gas Integrated Assessment Models Extraction cost

ABSTRACT

The data files contain the assumptions and results for the construction of cumulative availability curves for coal, oil and gas for the five Shared Socioeconomic Pathways. The files include the maximum availability (also known as cumulative extraction cost curves) and the assumptions that are applied to construct the SSPs. The data is differentiated into twenty regions. The resulting cumulative availability curves are plotted and the aggregate data as well as cumulative availability curves are compared across SSPs. The methodology, the data sources and the assumptions are documented in a related article (N. Bauer, J. Hilaire, R.J. Brecha, J. Edmonds, K. Jiang, E. Kriegler, H.-H. Rogner, F. Sferra, 2016) [1] under DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2016.05.088.

© 2017 Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

DOI of original article: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2016.05.088

* Corresponding author.

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dib.2016.11.043

2352-3409/© 2017 Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

E-mail address: nico.bauer@pik-potsdam.de (N. Bauer).

Specifications Table

More specific subject areaEnergy economics, Shared socio-economic pathways (SSPs), fossil fuel sector, coal, oil, gas, Integrated Assessment Models, extraction costType of dataData files in EXCEL format.How data wasData bases, literature review acquiredData formatRaw data, additional assumptions and resulting dataExperimentalNot applicable. factorsExperimentalNot applicable. locationData sourceNot applicable. plicable.Data sourceNot applicable. plicable.IocationData is within this article	Subject area	Economics
How data was acquiredData bases, literature review acquiredData formatRaw data, additional assumptions and resulting dataExperimental factorsNot applicable. featuresData source locationNot applicable. source	subject area	coal, oil, gas, Integrated Assessment Models, extraction cost
acquiredData formatRaw data, additional assumptions and resulting dataExperimentalNot applicable.factorsExperimentalExperimentalNot applicable.featuresData sourceData sourceNot applicable.locationNot applicable.	Type of data	Data files in EXCEL format.
ExperimentalNot applicable.factorsFeaturesData sourceNot applicable.locationNot applicable.	mon aata mab	Data bases, literature review
factors Experimental Not applicable. features Data source Not applicable. location	Data format	Raw data, additional assumptions and resulting data
features Data source Not applicable. location		Not applicable.
location	I I	Not applicable.
Data accessibility Data is within this article		Not applicable.
	Data accessibility	Data is within this article

Value of the data

- Cumulative extraction cost curves can be used in models.
- Alternative assumptions can be applied to the data to formulate alternative interpretations of SSPs.
- Data files can be updated as improved data becomes available.
- Data can be used for comparing assumptions across models.

1. Data

Files contain original data, assumptions for formulating the alternative scenarios and the resulting cumulative availability curves for coal, oil and gas. The spreadsheet names are clearly identifying the different pieces of information. At the beginning there is also guidance on how to read the data.

2. Experimental design, materials and methods

The data is a collection and harmonization of fossil fuel and resources data as well as costs reported in the literature. The basis is the Global Energy Assessment data set that is up-dated according to changes that are fully documented in the article. The assumptions that are applied on the data to derive SSP specific cumulative availability curves are fully included and the article also reports the reasons for the various assumptions. Finally, all resulting cumulative availability curves are fully included giving the data and providing figures.

Acknowledgements

Funding from German Federal Ministry of Education and Research (BMBF) in the Call "Economics of Climate Change" (funding code 01LA11020B, Green Paradox) is gratefully acknowledged by Nico Bauer and J_erôme Hilaire. This work was supported by Stiftung Mercator (http://www.stiftung-mercator.de) in the context of the RoSE project (http://www.rose-project.org).

Transparency document. Supporting information

Transparency data associated with this article can be found in the online version at http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.dib.2016.11.043.

Appendix A. Supporting information

Supplementary data associated with this article can be found in the online version at http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.dib.2016.11.043.

Reference

 N. Bauer, J. Hilaire, R.J. Brecha, J. Edmonds, K. Jiang, E. Kriegler, H.-H. Rogner, F. Sferra, Assessing global fossil fuel availability in a scenario framework, Energy 111 (2016) 580–592. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.energy.2016.05.088.