

Geometric Hyperplanes of Non-embeddable Grassmannians

JONATHAN I. HALL AND ERNEST E. SHULT

1. INTRODUCTION

Many results show that geometric hyperplanes of embeddable Lie incidence geometries arise from a universal embedding as a preimage of the intersection of the set of embedded points with a projective hyperplane. Heretofore, except for the isolated results for the two non-embeddable polar spaces in [1], there has been little or no information concerning geometric hyperplanes of non-embeddable Lie incidence geometries. Surprisingly, the possibilities for geometric hyperplanes are quite restrictive in the non-embeddable case. A corollary of the main result of this paper classifies all geometric hyperplanes of the non-embeddable Grassmann spaces (the points of which are all k -dimensional subspaces of a vector space over a non-commutative division ring) up to isomorphism. Together with the results of [2], this gives a complete classification of geometric hyperplanes of Grassmannians. The main result classifies geometric hyperplanes of arbitrary Grassmannians of k -spaces which satisfy a condition (*) which happens to hold in the non-embeddable case.

2. NOTATION AND STATEMENT OF RESULTS

A *subspace* of a point–line geometry $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ is a subset X of the set of points \mathcal{P} such that every line of \mathcal{L} incident with at least two points of X has all its incident points in X . A proper subspace is called a *geometric hyperplane* of $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ iff every line has at least one of its incident points in X . It is an easy exercise that a geometric hyperplane H of a point line geometry $(\mathcal{P}', \mathcal{L}')$ is a maximal subspace iff $\mathcal{P}' - H$ has a connected collinearity graph. Let V be a left vector space of possibly infinite dimension over a division ring D . A *Grassman space* $\mathcal{G}(V, k)$ is a point–line geometry $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ the points of which are all k -dimensional subspaces of a vector space V (k is a positive integer) and the lines of which are the $(k-1, k+1)$ -dimensional flags (A, B) , the points incident with (A, B) being the set of k -spaces W such that $A \leq W \leq B$. In the case that V has finite dimension $n+1$, so $V \simeq D^{(n+1)}$, it is customary to denote $\mathcal{G}(V, k)$ by its Lie incidence notation, $A_{n,k}(D)$. We shall maintain that convention here.

Any Grassman space $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ possesses a fundamental property:

- (2.1) *If (x, y) is a pair of points at distance 2 from each other in $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$, then the convex closure $\langle x, y \rangle$ of the set $\{x, y\}$ is a non-degenerate polar space of rank 3.*

These subspaces $\langle x, y \rangle$ are called *symplecta*. If S is a symplecton containing the point x , the set $x^\perp \cap S = \{s \in S \mid s \text{ is collinear with } x\}$ is a geometric hyperplane of S . A geometric hyperplane of this type—consisting, as it does, of a point of S together with the point shadow of all lines on it—is called a *star*.

Now let $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ be any connected point–line geometry satisfying property (2.1) in a non-trivial way: that is, at least one distance 2 pair exists. Then we have

- (2.2) *No point is collinear with all remaining points, and every line lies in some symplecton.*

Since a distance 2 pair exists, there must exist a symplecton $S = \langle x, y \rangle$. If p were a point with $p^\perp = \mathcal{P}$, then p would lie in $x^\perp \cap y^\perp$ and hence in S against its non-degeneracy. So no such point can exist.

Let L be any line. If L is not contained in any symplecton, then by (2.1), L^\perp is a singular subspace and x^\perp is contained in L^\perp for all points x incident with L . But as $x^\perp \neq \mathcal{P}$ and $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ is connected, there exists a point z at distance 2 from x , and a symplecton $S = \langle z, x \rangle$. But then $S \cap x^\perp \subseteq L^\perp$, a clique, against the fact that, in a non-degenerate polar space S of rank at least 2, a star $x^\perp \cap S$ is never a clique. Thus all assertions in (2.2) hold.

Again suppose that $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ satisfies property (2.1). We wish to consider a subset H of the set of points satisfying condition:

(*) For each symplecton S , either $S \subseteq H$ or $H \cap S$ is a star.

Then we observe

(2.3) Suppose $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$ satisfies property (2.1) and is not a singular space: that is, the collinearity graph on \mathcal{P} is not a complete graph. If a subset H of \mathcal{P} satisfies condition (*), then either $H = \mathcal{P}$, or H is a geometric hyperplane of $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$.

To see this, consider any line L and let S be a symplecton on L (S exists by (2.2)(ii)). Then as $S \cap H = S$ or is a geometric hyperplane of S , we see that $L \cap (H \cap S)$ is not empty and that if it contains at least two points, then $L \subseteq H \cap S$. Thus $L \cap H$ is non-empty, and if it contains two points, $L \subseteq H$. But this is the assertion that either $H = \mathcal{P}$ or is a geometric hyperplane of $(\mathcal{P}, \mathcal{L})$.

Our main result classifies all subsets H of the Grassmann space $\mathcal{G}(V, k)$ which satisfy (*). Our arguments, as well as the precise statement of the conclusion, are best suited to the affine setting, where all discussion takes place among subspaces of a vector space V . For this reason we restate condition (*) for Grassmann spaces in this affine setting:

(*k) For some positive integer, k \mathcal{H} is a non-empty collection of proper k -subspaces of a vector space V such that one of the following occurs:

- (i) $1 < k < \dim V - 1$, and for every flag (A, B) , where A and B are respectively $(k - 2)$ - and $(k + 2)$ -spaces, the collection $\mathcal{H}(A, B)$ of k -spaces of \mathcal{H} incident with both A and B either comprise all k -spaces incident with A and B , or else there exists a particular k -space R incident with A and B such that $\mathcal{H}(A, B)$ is all k -spaces incident with A and B which intersect R in at least a hyperplane of R ;
- (ii) $k = 1$ and \mathcal{H} satisfies the weaker condition that for any 2-space A , either one or all 1-subspaces of A belong to \mathcal{H} ;
- (iii) $k = \dim V - 1$ is finite, and for each subspace B of codimension 2, either one or all hyperplanes containing B belong to \mathcal{H} .

Clearly, in cases (ii) and (iii), $\mathcal{G}(k, V)$ is an ordinary projective space and \mathcal{H} is simply a projective hyperplane of it. Thus case (i), is the case of interest. We can now state the following:

MAIN THEOREM. Let \mathcal{H} be a collection of k -subspaces of a vector space V satisfying condition (*k). Then either:

- (i) \mathcal{H} consists of all k -spaces of V ; or
- (ii) there exists a subspace R of codimension k in V such that \mathcal{H} is precisely the collection of all k -subspaces of V which meet R non-trivially.

Conversely, it is easy to verify that if R is a subspace of codimension k in V , then the collection \mathcal{H}_R , of all k -subspaces of V which intersect R non-trivially, is a collection of

k -spaces satisfying condition $(*k)$. Since it does not comprise all k -subspaces of V , by (2.3) and (2.2)(i), \mathcal{H}_R is a geometric hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}(V, k)$. Moreover, since the complement of \mathcal{H}_R is easily shown to be connected, \mathcal{H}_R is a maximal subspace of $\mathcal{G}(V, k)$.

This theorem yields the following:

COROLLARY. *Let $\mathcal{G}(V, k)$ be the Grassmann space of k -dimensional subspaces of a (possibly infinite dimensional) vector space V over a non-commutative division ring D . Then any geometric hyperplane of $\mathcal{G}(V, k)$ has the form \mathcal{H}_R for some subspace R of codimension k in V .*

PROOF. Suppose that V is a vector space over a non-commutative division ring D and that \mathcal{H} is a geometric hyperplane of the Grassmann space $\mathcal{G}(V, k)$, where k is a (finite) positive integer. By the Main Theorem, we need only show that \mathcal{H} satisfies $(*k)$. The symplecta $S = \langle x, y \rangle$ which arise from property (2.1) are of the type $A_{3,2}(D)$, and it is well known that when D is non-commutative, the only type of geometric hyperplane of S is a star $s^\perp \cap S$, for some Grassmann point s in S (see Proposition 5.1 of [1]). Thus $(*k)$ holds and the Corollary is immediate from the Main Theorem. \square

3. PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM

3.1. Radicals for Grassmann Subspaces

A collection \mathcal{S} of k -subspaces of a vector space V is called a *Grassmann subspace* if, for any $(k - 1, k + 1)$ -dimensional flag (A, B) , if at least two of the k -spaces incident with both A and B belong to \mathcal{S} , then all of them do. (This, of course, just says that \mathcal{S} is a subspace of $\mathcal{G}(V, k)$.)

Now suppose that \mathcal{S} is a Grassmann subspace of $A_{n,k}(D)$. For subspaces $U \leq W$ of V , we say that U is *radical in W* (with respect to \mathcal{S}) if every k -subspace of W which meets U non-trivially is a member of \mathcal{S} .

LEMMA A. *If \mathcal{S} is a Grassmann subspace of $\mathcal{G}(V, k) = A_{n,k}(D)$, then each subspace W has a unique maximal radical subspace.*

PROOF. It is sufficient to prove for U, Z radical in W with $Z \cap U$ a hyperplane of Z , that $\langle U, Z \rangle$ is also radical in W .

Let the k -space X of W meet $\langle U, Z \rangle$ non-trivially. Set $B = X \cap \langle U, Z \rangle$; $C = X \cap U$ a hyperplane of B and D a complement to B in X . Choose a point (that is, a 1-space) a with $B = \langle a, C \rangle$. Then there exist points $u \in U$ and $z \in Z$ with $a \in \langle u, z \rangle$. Now the k -space $\langle u, C, D \rangle$ of W meets U in u and so is in \mathcal{S} . The k -space $\langle z, C, D \rangle$ of W meets Z in z , and so is in \mathcal{S} . These k -spaces meet in the $(k - 1)$ -space $\langle C, D \rangle$; so the complete Grassmann line of $A_{n,k}(D)$ on them lies in \mathcal{S} , since \mathcal{S} is a Grassmann subspace. In particular, $X = \langle a, C, D \rangle$ is in \mathcal{S} . Thus $\langle U, Z \rangle$ is radical, as desired. \square

The maximal radical subspace of W in Lemma A will be called the *radical of W* , and it will be denoted $R(W)$. Thus $R(W)$ is the largest subspace of W such that every k -space X in W with $X \cap R(W)$ non-trivial lies in \mathcal{S} . Clearly, if $\dim W < k$, then $R(W) = W$. In all cases, either $R(W) = W$ or the codimension of $R(W)$ in W is at least k .

3.2. The Finite-dimensional Case

We wish to apply Lemma A when $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{H}$ is a collection of k -subspaces of a finite-dimensional space V satisfying $(*k)$. (Note that by (2.3) \mathcal{H} is a Grassmann subspace in this case.) Specifically, in this section we wish to prove the following:

THEOREM 1. *Let \mathcal{H} be a geometric hyperplane of $A_{n,k}(D)$ which satisfies condition $(*k)$. Then there is an $(n+1-k)$ -space R in V with $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_R$.*

Theorem 1 states that under assumption $(*k)$, the radical $R(V)$ has codimension exactly k ; that is, dimension $n+1-k$. Once it is known that $R(V)$ has codimension k , we have $\mathcal{H} \cong \mathcal{H}_R$, hence $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_R$ as both are geometric hyperplanes of $A_{n,k}(D)$, and \mathcal{H}_R is a maximal subspace.

The reason for first proving the Main Theorem in the finite-dimensional case as Theorem 1 is that this allows us to exploit an obvious duality. Thus we fix a division ring D and set $V = D^{(n+1)}$ (as a left vector space) and set $V^* = (D^{op})^{(n+1)}$, where D^{op} is the opposite ring, and V^* is viewed as a set of right operators on V . Then there is a pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $V \times V^*$ given by $\langle v, \lambda \rangle = v\lambda$. For any subspace $W \leq V$, set

$$W^\perp = \{\lambda \in V^* \mid w\lambda = 0 \text{ for all } w \in W\}.$$

For $\Delta \leq V^*$, set

$${}^\perp\Delta = \{v \in V \mid v\delta = 0 \text{ for all } \delta \in \Delta\}.$$

Then there is an inclusion-reversing isomorphism of the lattice of projective subspaces of $\mathbb{P}(V)$ with its opposite lattice $\mathbb{P}(V^*)$; namely, the mapping

$$(\perp_R; \mathbb{P}(V) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V^*))$$

given by $W \rightarrow W^\perp$. Similarly, the inverse mapping

$$(\perp_L; \mathbb{P}(V^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(V))$$

is given by $\Delta \rightarrow {}^\perp\Delta$.

If W has dimension k , then W^\perp has dimension $k' = n+1-k$, so \perp induces an isomorphism of Grassmann spaces $A_{n,k}(D) = A_{n,k'}(D^{op})$. If \mathcal{H} satisfies the following:

$(*)$ *For each symplecton S , $\mathcal{H} \cap S$ is either all of S or is a star of S .*

then $\mathcal{H}^\perp = \{\mathcal{H}^\perp \mid \mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{H}\}$ satisfies $(*)$ relative to the Grassmann space $A_{n,k'}(D^{op})$ as well. Specifically, if \mathcal{H} is a collection of k -subspaces of V satisfying condition $(*k)$, then \mathcal{H}^\perp satisfies condition $(*k')$ relative to V^* .

We now begin a proof of Theorem 1 by induction on n . (Note that we do not employ any induction on k as we want to be free to use duality.) Notice that for $k=1$ or $k=n$, condition $(*k)$ is rather degenerate, and the theorem is well known. Similarly, by considering $A_{n,1}(D^{op}) = A_{n,n}(D)$, the theorem is immediate for $k=n$ as well. So, from now on we assume $1 < k < n$. In particular, the initial cases $n=1, 2$ of the induction are immediate.

By induction we have the following:

(3.1) *For each hyperplane W of V , either:*

(i) $R(W) = W$; or

(ii) $R(W)$ has codimension k in W and dimension $n-k$, and any k -space X of W lies in \mathcal{H} iff $X \cap R(W) \neq 0$.

LEMMA B. Let W_1 and W_2 be hyperplanes of V with $R(W_1) \neq W_1$. Then either:
 (i) $R(W_1) \leq W_2$ and either $R(W_2) \leq W_1$ or $R(W_2) = W_2$; or
 (ii) $R(W_1) \cap W_2 = W_1 \cap R(W_2) = R(W_1) \cap R(W_2)$ and $R(W_2) \neq W_2$.

PROOF. Suppose that $R(W_1)$ is not a subspace of W_2 , so that $R(W_1) \cap W_2$ is an $(n - k - 1)$ -space. Assume by way of contradiction that $R(W_1) \cap W_2 \neq R(W_2) \cap W_1$. Then in the $(n - 1)$ -space, $W_1 \cap W_2$, there is a k -space X meeting $R(W_1) \cap W_2$ trivially and $W_1 \cap R(W_2)$ non-trivially. Then as $X \leq W_1$ and $X \cap R(W_1) = 0$, X is not a member of \mathcal{H} . But on the other hand, as $X \leq W_2$ and meets $R(W_2)$ non-trivially, X is in \mathcal{H} , a contradiction. Thus if $R(W_1)$ is not contained in W_2 we have

$$R(W_1) \cap W_2 = W_1 \cap R(W_2) = R(W_1) \cap R(W_2),$$

which is an $(n - k - 1)$ -space. Since this subspace contains a hyperplane of $R(W_2)$ of dimension at least $n - k$, we see that $W_2 \neq R(W_2)$, and $R(W_2)$ is not contained in W_1 .

Reversing the roles of W_1 and W_2 , we see that if $R(W_1) \leq W_2$, then either $R(W_2) = W_2$ or else $R(W_2) \leq W_1$, as stated in (i).

LEMMA C. Theorem 1 holds for \mathcal{H} if $k < n - 1$.

PROOF. Let Y be a k -space not in \mathcal{H} and let U be a hyperplane of V containing Y . Then $R(U) \neq U$, so $\dim R(U) = n - k > 0$. We can then choose a second hyperplane W with $R(U)$ not contained in W . By Lemma B, $R(W)$ has dimension $n - k$, and $R := \langle R(U), R(W) \rangle$ has dimension $n - k + 1$. We claim that $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_R = \{X \mid \dim X = k, X \cap R \neq 0\}$.

Let X be a k -space intersecting R trivially. Since the dimension $n - k - 1$ of $R(U) \cap R(W)$ is not 0 (as $k < n - 1$, by hypothesis), it is possible to choose a hyperplane Z containing X which does not contain $R(U) \cap R(W)$. Now two applications of Lemma B show that $R(Z) \neq Z$ and that both $R(Z) \cap U = R(Z) \cap R(U)$ and $R(Z) \cap W = R(Z) \cap R(W)$ are hyperplanes of $R(Z)$. We now note that these two $(n - k - 1)$ -spaces $R(Z) \cap R(U)$ and $R(Z) \cap R(W)$ cannot be equal, for otherwise they would equal the $(n - k - 1)$ -space $R(U) \cap R(W)$ which is not contained in Z . Therefore, we have the following:

$$R(Z) = \langle R(Z) \cap R(U), R(Z) \cap R(W) \rangle \leq \langle R(U), R(W) \rangle = R.$$

Since the k -space X meets R trivially, it certainly meets its subspace $R(Z)$ trivially. But now, as $X \leq Z$, this implies $X \notin \mathcal{H}$. We have shown, then, that if X meets R trivially, $X \notin \mathcal{H}$. The contrapositive of this is the desired assertion that $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_R$.

But now $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_R$ implies $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_R$. For if false, then $\mathcal{H} \subset \mathcal{H}_R$ and since \mathcal{H} and \mathcal{H}_R are both hyperplanes, this means that

$$\mathcal{H}_R - \mathcal{H} \text{ is a union of connected components of } \mathcal{P} - \mathcal{H}.$$

Now let C be any hyperplane of V containing R such that $R(C) \neq C$. Then $R(C)$ has codimension k in C and R has codimension $k - 1$ in C . It follows that there exists a k -space X in C meeting R at a 1-space X_0 and meeting $R(C)$ trivially. Then $X \in \mathcal{H}_R - \mathcal{H}$. Let X_1 be a complementary subspace to X_0 in X . Then X_1 is a $(k - 1)$ -subspace of C meeting R and $R(C)$ trivially. Then there exists a k -space Z of V containing X_1 and meeting R trivially. Then Z is a point of $\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{H}_R$ collinear with the point X of $\mathcal{H}_R - \mathcal{H}$. This contradicts the assertion presented above.

This completes the proof of Lemma C. □

We can now complete the proof of Theorem 1. By Lemma C, the theorem holds if $k < n - 1$. Similarly, the theorem holds for \mathcal{H}^\perp in $A_{n,k}(D^{op}) \cong A_{n,k}(D)$ if $k' < n - 1$. But as $k' = n + 1 - k$, this means that the theorem holds for \mathcal{H} in $A_{n,k}(D)$ if $k' = n + 1 - k < n - 1$; i.e. if $k > 2$. But for the only case left open $k = 2$ and $n = 3$, so the conclusion of the theorem is precisely the assumption $(*k)$.

REMARK. The condition $(*k)$ or something close to it is necessary. Even if \mathcal{H} is a subspace of $\mathcal{G}(V, k)$ for which one is allowed unlimited use of Lemmas A and B, one sees that the case $(n, k) = (3, 2)$ is a genuine exception. For here, \mathcal{H} can be the collection of all 2-subspaces of $V = D^{(4)}$, D a field, which are isotropic with respect to a non-degenerate symplectic form; and in that case \mathcal{H} does not have the form \mathcal{H}_R .

3.3. The Infinite-dimensional Case

Here we prove the Main Theorem in Section 2 as a corollary to Theorem 1. Here we suppose V to be an infinite-dimensional left vector space over a division ring D . Let \mathcal{H} be a collection of k -subspaces of V (k is a positive integer) satisfying condition $(*k)$. If \mathcal{H} is not all of \mathcal{P} , the collection of all k -subspaces of V , we claim that there exists a subspace R of codimension k in V such that $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_R$. By Theorem 1, for each finite-dimensional subspace W in V , $R(W) = W$ or $R(W)$ has codimension k in W , and all k -subspaces of \mathcal{H} in W meet $R(W)$ non-trivially.

Moreover, we have the following:

LEMMA D. *If $R(W_1) < W_1 < W_2$ are proper containments, where $\dim W_2$ is finite, then $R(W_1) < R(W_2) < W_2$.*

PROOF. Since $R(W_1) \neq W_1$, there is a k -subspace of W_1 (hence a k -subspace of W_2) not in \mathcal{H} , so $R(W_2) \neq W_2$. But by the definition of the radical $R(W_2)$, we see that:

$$(3.2) \quad R(W_2) \cap W_1 \leq R(W_1).$$

But, as Theorem 1 shows that $R(W_2)$ has codimension k in W_2 , we see that $R(W_2) \cap W_1$ has codimension at most k in W_1 . On the other hand, (3.2) implies that this codimension is at least k since, by Theorem 1 again, $R(W_1)$ has codimension exactly k in W_1 . Thus $R(W_2) \cap W_1 = R(W_1)$, so the conclusion follows and the proof is complete. \square

Our candidate for $R(V)$ is the set R of all vectors v which lie in $R(W) \neq W$ for some finite-dimensional subspace W (necessarily of dimension at least $k + 1$).

Clearly R is a subspace, for if u and v are vectors in R , there exist finite-dimensional subspaces W_u and W_v such that $u \in R(W_u) < W_u$ and $v \in R(W_v) < W_v$. Then by two applications of Lemma D, the 2-space $\langle u, v \rangle$ is contained in $R(\langle W_u, W_v \rangle)$, and the latter is proper in $\langle W_u, W_v \rangle$ since it contains a k -space (for example, one from W_u) which is not in \mathcal{H} . Thus $\langle u, v \rangle \subseteq R$.

Suppose that $X \in \mathcal{H}$. By the hypothesis of our claim, there is a k -space Y not in \mathcal{H} . Then, as $\dim(\langle X, Y \rangle) < 2k + 1$ and $R(\langle X, Y \rangle) \neq \langle X, Y \rangle$, we have $R(\langle X, Y \rangle) \subseteq R$. But by Theorem 1 applied to $\langle X, Y \rangle$, we have $X \cap R(\langle X, Y \rangle) \neq 0$, so $X \in \mathcal{H}_R$. Thus $\mathcal{H} \subseteq \mathcal{H}_R$. On the other hand, if $X \in \mathcal{H}_R$, then X contains a non-zero vector x in R . By definition of R , there exists a finite-dimensional subspace W_x such that $x \in R(W_x) < W_x$. Then, by Lemma D, x is a vector in $R(\langle X, W_x \rangle)$. Since X is a k -subspace of $\langle X, W_x \rangle$ which meets its radical non-trivially, $X \in \mathcal{H}$. Thus, also, $\mathcal{H}_R \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. Since $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_R$, the proof is complete.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

J. I. H.'s work was partially supported by the National Security Agency. E. E. S.'s work was partially supported by the National Science Foundation.

REFERENCES

1. A. M. Cohen and E. E. Shult, Affine polar spaces, *Geom. Ded.*, **35** (1990), 43–76.
2. E. E. Shult, Geometric hyperplanes of embeddable Grassmannians, *J. Algebra*, **145** (1992), 55–82.

Received 28 May 1991 and accepted in revised form 21 April 1992

JONATHAN I. HALL
*Michigan State University,
East Lansing, MI 48824, U.S.A.*
and

ERNEST E. SHULT
*Kansas State University,
Manhattan, KS 66502, U.S.A.*