

Contents lists available at [SciVerse ScienceDirect](http://SciVerse.Sciencedirect.com)

## Discrete Applied Mathematics

journal homepage: [www.elsevier.com/locate/dam](http://www.elsevier.com/locate/dam)On a problem of Erdős, Herzog and Schönheim<sup>☆</sup>Yong-Gao Chen<sup>\*</sup>, Cui-Ying Hu

School of Mathematical Sciences and Institute of Mathematics, Nanjing Normal University, Nanjing 210046, PR China

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 1 August 2011

Received in revised form 31 January 2012

Accepted 9 February 2012

Available online 4 March 2012

## Keywords:

Intersection theorems

Divisors

Extremal sets

## ABSTRACT

Let  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  be distinct primes. In 1970, Erdős, Herzog and Schönheim proved that if  $\mathcal{D}, |\mathcal{D}| = m$ , is a set of divisors of  $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$ ,  $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_n$ , no two members of the set being coprime and if no additional member may be included in  $\mathcal{D}$  without contradicting this requirement then  $m \geq \alpha_n \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\alpha_i + 1)$ . They asked to determine all sets  $\mathcal{D}$  such that the equality holds. In this paper we solve this problem. We also pose several open problems for further research.

© 2012 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

## 1. Introduction

Many theorems on intersections of sets have been established. One of the intersection theorems is the next theorem of Erdős, Ko and Rado.

**Theorem A** ([2, Erdős–Ko–Rado]). If  $\mathcal{A} = \{A_1, A_2, \dots, A_m\}$  is a family of (different) subsets of a given set  $M$ ,  $|M| = n$ , such that  $A_i \cap A_j \neq \emptyset$  for every  $i, j$ , then

- (a)  $m \leq 2^{n-1}$  and for every  $n$  there are  $m = 2^{n-1}$  such subsets;  
 (b) if  $m < 2^{n-1}$  then additional members may be included in  $\mathcal{A}$ , the enlarged family still satisfying  $A_i \cap A_j \neq \emptyset$  for every  $i, j$ .

Theorem A is equivalent to the following theorem.

**Theorem B.** If  $\mathcal{A} = \{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_m\}$  is a set of (different) divisors of a given positive integer  $N$ ,  $N = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_n$ , where  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  are distinct primes, such that  $(d_i, d_j) > 1$  for every  $i, j$ , then

- (a)  $m \leq 2^{n-1}$  and for every  $n$  there are  $m = 2^{n-1}$  such divisors;  
 (b) if  $m < 2^{n-1}$  then additional members may be included in  $\mathcal{A}$ , the enlarged set still satisfying  $(d_i, d_j) > 1$  for every  $i, j$ .

This means that if  $\mathcal{A}$  is a maximal set with the property  $(d_i, d_j) > 1$  for every  $i, j$ , then  $|\mathcal{A}| = 2^{n-1}$ . If we allow repetitions in  $M$  (resp.  $N$  is not squarefree), it is more convenient to state results with the language of divisors (see [1,3,4]).

In this paper,  $p_1, p_2, \dots, p_n$  are always distinct primes. Erdős et al. [1] proved the following theorem.

**Theorem C** ([1, Erdős–Herzog–Schönheim]). If  $\mathcal{D}, |\mathcal{D}| = m$ , is a set of divisors of  $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$ ,  $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_n$ , no two members of the set being coprime and if no additional member may be included in  $\mathcal{D}$  without contradicting this requirement then

$$m \geq \alpha_n \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\alpha_i + 1).$$

<sup>☆</sup> This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant No. 11071121.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +86 2583598129.

E-mail address: [ygchen@njnu.edu.cn](mailto:ygchen@njnu.edu.cn) (Y.-G. Chen).

If  $\mathcal{D}$  is the set of all positive divisors of  $N$  which are divisible by  $p_n$ , then  $\mathcal{D}$  satisfies the assumptions of [Theorem C](#) and has the minimum size, that is,

$$|\mathcal{D}| = \alpha_n \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\alpha_i + 1). \tag{1}$$

In [1, Final remark], Erdős et al. remarked that it would be of interest to determine all sets  $\mathcal{D}$  satisfying the assumptions of [Theorem C](#) with (1).

In this paper we solve this problem. For convenience, we introduce the following definitions.

**Definition 1.** A set  $\mathcal{D}$  of positive divisors of  $N$  is an  $N$ -set if no two elements of the set are coprime. An  $N$ -set  $\mathcal{D}$  is maximal if no additional divisor of  $N$  may be included.

**Definition 2.** For a set  $\mathcal{D}$  of positive divisors of  $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$ , an element  $d$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  is a divisible minimal element if  $d$  is not divisible by any other element of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Denote by  $d(\mathcal{D})$  the set of all divisible minimal elements of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

It is clear that if  $\mathcal{D}$  is a maximal  $N$ -set and  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ , then  $l \in \mathcal{D}$  for all  $l \mid N$  with  $d \mid l$ . Now the Erdős–Herzog–Schönheim problem above can be restated as follows.

**Problem 1.** Let  $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$ , where  $\alpha_1 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_n > 0$ . Determine all the maximal  $N$ -sets  $\mathcal{D}$  with the minimum size.

First we find some maximal  $N$ -sets  $\mathcal{D}$  with the minimum size. Let

$$\alpha_1 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_u > \alpha_{u+1} = \cdots = \alpha_n.$$

If  $\alpha_1 = \cdots = \alpha_n$ , let  $u = 0$ . For any  $v$  with  $1 \leq v \leq n$ , let

$$\mathcal{D}(p_v) = \{d : d \mid N, p_v \mid d\}.$$

Then all  $\mathcal{D}(p_v)$  ( $1 \leq v \leq n$ ) are maximal  $N$ -sets. For  $u + 1 \leq v \leq n$  we have

$$|\mathcal{D}(p_v)| = \alpha_v \prod_{i=1, i \neq v}^n (\alpha_i + 1) = \alpha_n \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\alpha_i + 1).$$

For  $v \leq u$  we have

$$|\mathcal{D}(p_v)| = \alpha_v \prod_{i=1, i \neq v}^n (\alpha_i + 1) > \alpha_n \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\alpha_i + 1).$$

Now we consider the special case  $\alpha_n = 1$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}'$  be a maximal  $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n$ -set. By [Theorem B](#) we have  $|\mathcal{D}'| = 2^{n-u-1}$ . Let

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ dd' : d \mid \frac{N}{p_{u+1} \cdots p_n}, d' \in \mathcal{D}' \right\}.$$

Since  $\mathcal{D}'$  is a  $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n$ -set, we have  $\mathcal{D}$  is an  $N$ -set. For  $l \mid N$  and  $l \notin \mathcal{D}$ , let  $l = l_1 l'_1$ , where

$$l_1 \mid \frac{N}{p_{u+1} \cdots p_n}, \quad l'_1 \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n.$$

By  $l \notin \mathcal{D}$  we have  $l'_1 \notin \mathcal{D}'$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}'$  is a maximal  $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n$ -set, there exists  $d' \in \mathcal{D}'$  such that  $(l'_1, d') = 1$ . Thus  $(l, d') = 1$  and  $d' \in \mathcal{D}$ . Thus we have proved that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a maximal  $N$ -set. We have

$$|\mathcal{D}| = |\mathcal{D}'| \prod_{i=1}^u (\alpha_i + 1) = 2^{n-u-1} \prod_{i=1}^u (\alpha_i + 1) = \alpha_n \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\alpha_i + 1).$$

In this paper we show that these are all the maximal  $N$ -sets  $\mathcal{D}$  with the minimum size.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$  with  $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_u > \alpha_{u+1} = \cdots = \alpha_n \geq 2$ . Then the following statements are equivalent to each other.

- (a)  $\mathcal{D}$  is a maximal  $N$ -set with the minimum size.
- (b)  $\mathcal{D}$  is a maximal  $N$ -set with  $d(\mathcal{D}) = \{p_v\}$  for some  $u + 1 \leq v \leq n$ .
- (c)  $\mathcal{D} = \{d : d \mid N, p_v \mid d\}$  for some  $u + 1 \leq v \leq n$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$  with  $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_u > \alpha_{u+1} = \cdots = \alpha_n = 1$ . Then the following statements are equivalent to each other.

- (a)  $\mathcal{D}$  is a maximal  $N$ -set with the minimum size.
- (b)  $\mathcal{D}$  is a maximal  $N$ -set with  $d(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \{d : d \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n\}$ .
- (c)

$$\mathcal{D} = \left\{ dd' : d \mid \frac{N}{p_{u+1} \cdots p_n}, d' \in \mathcal{D}' \right\}$$

for a maximal  $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n$ -set  $\mathcal{D}'$ .

For a set  $\mathcal{T}$  of positive divisors of  $N$ , let  $R(\mathcal{T}, N)$  be the set of all positive divisors of  $N$  which are divisible by at least one of the elements of  $\mathcal{T}$ . It is easy to see that  $R(\mathcal{T}, N)$  is an  $N$ -set if and only if  $\mathcal{T}$  is an  $N$ -set.

With these notations, we have the following theorems.

**Theorem 3.** Let  $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$  with  $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_u > \alpha_{u+1} = \cdots = \alpha_n = 1$ , and let  $\mathcal{T}_1, \dots, \mathcal{T}_k$  be all sets of positive divisors of  $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n$  such that for each  $i$ ,

- (a) no two elements of  $\mathcal{T}_i$  are coprime;
- (b) no element of  $\mathcal{T}_i$  is divisible by another element of  $\mathcal{T}_i$ ;
- (c) any divisor of  $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n$  is either coprime to some element of  $\mathcal{T}_i$  or divisible by one element of  $\mathcal{T}_i$ .

Then  $R(\mathcal{T}_1, N), \dots, R(\mathcal{T}_k, N)$  are all the maximal  $N$ -sets  $\mathcal{D}$  with the minimum size.

**Example.** Let  $N = 420 = 2^2 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$ . Then  $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n = 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7$  and the sets satisfying (a)–(c) are

$$\mathcal{T}_1 = \{3\}, \quad \mathcal{T}_2 = \{5\}, \quad \mathcal{T}_3 = \{7\}, \quad \mathcal{T}_4 = \{3 \cdot 5, 3 \cdot 7, 5 \cdot 7\}.$$

Thus there are exactly four maximal 420-sets  $R(\mathcal{T}_1, N), R(\mathcal{T}_2, N), R(\mathcal{T}_3, N), R(\mathcal{T}_4, N)$  with the minimum size.

**Theorem 4.** Let  $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$  with  $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_u > \alpha_{u+1} = \cdots = \alpha_n \geq 2$ . Then  $R(\{p_{u+1}\}, N), \dots, R(\{p_n\}, N)$  are all the maximal  $N$ -sets  $\mathcal{D}$  with the minimum size.

Theorem 4 follows from Theorem 1 immediately. We pose the following problem.

**Problem 2.** Determine the number  $H(N)$  of maximal  $N$ -sets  $\mathcal{D}$  with the minimum size.

**Remark.** If  $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$  with  $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_u > \alpha_{u+1} = \cdots = \alpha_n > 1$ , then by Theorem 4 we have  $H(N) = n - u$ . For the case  $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$  with  $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_u > \alpha_{u+1} = \cdots = \alpha_n = 1$ , then  $H(N)$  is the number of sets with (a)–(c) in Theorem 3.

## 2. Preliminary lemmas

Let  $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$ , where  $\alpha_1 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_n > 0$ . Let  $N' = p_1 \cdots p_n$ . For  $d \mid N'$ , define

$$\alpha(d) = \prod_{p_i \mid d} \alpha_i, \quad \bar{d} = \frac{N'}{d}.$$

Let

$$\mathcal{A} = \{d : d \mid N', d \in \mathcal{D}\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{A}_n = \{d : d \in \mathcal{A}, p_n \mid d\}, \quad \mathcal{A}'_n = \{d : d \in \mathcal{A}, p_n \nmid d\}.$$

In this section we always assume that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a maximal  $N$ -set. Then  $\mathcal{A}$  is a maximal  $N'$ -set.

**Lemma 1.** Let  $p_v \in \mathcal{D}$  for some  $u + 1 \leq v \leq n$ . Then  $d(\mathcal{D}) = \{p_v\}$  for some  $u + 1 \leq v \leq n$ .

**Proof.** Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is an  $N$ -set, we have  $p_v \mid d$  for all  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ . Hence  $d(\mathcal{D}) = \{p_v\}$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 1.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.** Let  $d \mid N'$ . Then exactly one of  $d$  and  $\bar{d}$  is in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Proof.** Since  $(d, \bar{d}) = 1$  and  $\mathcal{A}$  is the  $N'$ -set, we know that at most one of  $d$  and  $\bar{d}$  is in  $\mathcal{A}$ .

Suppose that  $d \notin \mathcal{A}$ . By the maximality of  $\mathcal{A}$  there exists  $d' \in \mathcal{A}$  such that  $(d, d') = 1$ . Hence  $d' \mid \bar{d}$ . Again, by the maximality of  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $d' \mid \bar{d}$  we have  $\bar{d} \in \mathcal{A}$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 2.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.** We have

$$\mathcal{A}_n \cup \{\bar{d} : d \in \mathcal{A}'_n\} = \{lp_n : l \mid p_1 \cdots p_{n-1}\}.$$

**Proof.** It is clear that  $\mathcal{A}_n \cup \{\bar{d} : d \in \mathcal{A}'_n\} \subseteq \{lp_n : l \mid p_1 \cdots p_{n-1}\}$ . Now let  $l \mid p_1 \cdots p_{n-1}$ . Suppose that  $lp_n \notin \mathcal{A}_n$ . Then  $lp_n \notin \mathcal{A}$ . By Lemma 2 we have  $\overline{lp_n} \in \mathcal{A}$ . Thus  $\overline{lp_n} \in \mathcal{A}'_n$ . So  $lp_n = \overline{\overline{lp_n}} \in \{\bar{d} : d \in \mathcal{A}'_n\}$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 3.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a maximal  $N$ -set with the minimum size and  $\mathcal{A}'_n = \{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_s\}$ . Then there exists a permutation  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s$  of  $1, 2, \dots, s$  such that

$$\bar{d}_{ij} \mid d_j p_n, \quad \alpha(d_j) = \alpha(\bar{d}_{ij}), \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, s.$$

**Proof.** For  $d = p_{i_1}^{\beta_1} \cdots p_{i_k}^{\beta_k}$  with  $0 < \beta_j \leq \alpha_{ij}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq k$ ), by the maximality of  $\mathcal{D}$ , we have  $d \in \mathcal{D}$  if and only if  $p_{i_1} \cdots p_{i_k} \in \mathcal{A}$ . So

$$|\mathcal{D}| = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{A}} \alpha(d) = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{A}_n} \alpha(d) + \sum_{d \in \mathcal{A}'_n} \alpha(d). \tag{2}$$

By Lemma 3 we have  $\alpha(1) = 1$

$$\alpha_n \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\alpha_i + 1) = \sum_{l \mid p_1 \cdots p_{n-1}} \alpha(lp_n) = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{A}_n} \alpha(d) + \sum_{d \in \mathcal{A}'_n} \alpha(\bar{d}). \tag{3}$$

Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is a maximal  $N$ -set with the minimum size, we have

$$|\mathcal{D}| = \alpha_n \prod_{i=1}^{n-1} (\alpha_i + 1). \tag{4}$$

By (2)–(4) we have

$$\sum_{d \in \mathcal{A}'_n} \alpha(d) = \sum_{d \in \mathcal{A}'_n} \alpha(\bar{d}). \tag{5}$$

In order to prove Theorem C, Erdős, Herzog and Schönheim proved a combinatorial theorem [1, Theorem 3]. We will employ its following equivalent form to prove Lemma 4.

**Theorem D.** Let  $M$  be a squarefree integer. Denote by  $\bar{d}' = M/d$  for  $d \mid M$ . If  $F = \{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_s\}$  is a set of divisors of  $M$  such that  $d_i \mid d \mid M \Rightarrow d \in F$ , then there exists a permutation  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s$  of  $1, 2, \dots, s$  such that  $\bar{d}'_{ij} \mid d_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq s$ ).

In order to employ Theorem D, let  $M = p_1 \cdots p_{n-1}$  and  $F = \mathcal{A}'_n$ . If  $d_i \mid d \mid M$ , then by the maximality of  $\mathcal{A}$  we have  $d \in \mathcal{A}_n$ . Noting that

$$\bar{d}'_i = \frac{M}{d_i} = \frac{N'/d_i}{p_n} = \frac{\bar{d}_i}{p_n},$$

by Theorem D there exists a permutation  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s$  of  $1, 2, \dots, s$  such that

$$\frac{\bar{d}_{ij}}{p_n} \mid d_j, \quad 1 \leq j \leq s.$$

That is,  $\bar{d}_{ij} \mid d_j p_n$ . Let  $d_j p_n = \bar{d}_{ij} e_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq s$ ). Since  $d_{ij} \in \mathcal{A}$ , by Lemma 2 we have  $\bar{d}_{ij} \notin \mathcal{A}$ . Thus  $\bar{d}_{ij}/p_n \notin \mathcal{A}$  ( $1 \leq j \leq s$ ) by the maximality of  $\mathcal{A}$ . So  $e_j > 1$  ( $1 \leq j \leq s$ ), otherwise,  $\bar{d}_{ij}/p_n = d_j \in \mathcal{A}$ , a contradiction. Thus, for  $1 \leq j \leq s$ , we have

$$\alpha(d_j)\alpha(p_n) = \alpha(d_j p_n) = \alpha(\bar{d}_{ij} e_j) = \alpha(\bar{d}_{ij})\alpha(e_j) \geq \alpha(\bar{d}_{ij})\alpha(p_n).$$

Hence

$$\alpha(d_j) \geq \alpha(\bar{d}_{ij}), \quad 1 \leq j \leq s. \tag{6}$$

By (5) and (6) we have

$$\alpha(d_j) = \alpha(\bar{d}_{ij}), \quad 1 \leq j \leq s.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 4.  $\square$

**Lemma 5.** We have  $\mathcal{D} = R(d(\mathcal{D}), N)$ .

**Proof.** By the maximality of  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $d(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$  we have  $R(d(\mathcal{D}), N) \subseteq \mathcal{D}$ . By the definition of  $d(\mathcal{D})$  and  $R(d(\mathcal{D}), N)$  we have  $\mathcal{D} \subseteq R(d(\mathcal{D}), N)$ . So  $\mathcal{D} = R(d(\mathcal{D}), N)$ . This completes the proof of Lemma 5.  $\square$

**3. Proof of Theorems**

**Proof of Theorem 1.** (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): By Lemma 1 we may assume that  $\{p_{u+1}, \dots, p_n\} \cap \mathcal{D} = \emptyset$ . Then  $p_n \notin \mathcal{A}$ . By Lemma 2 we have  $\bar{p}_n \in \mathcal{A}$ . That is,  $\bar{p}_n \in \mathcal{A}'_n$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}'_n = \{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_s\}$ . By Lemma 4 there exists a permutation  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s$  of  $1, 2, \dots, s$  such that

$$\bar{d}_{i_j} \mid d_j p_n, \quad \alpha(d_j) = \alpha(\bar{d}_{i_j}).$$

Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $d_{i_1} = \bar{p}_n$ . Then  $\alpha(d_1) = \alpha(\bar{d}_{i_1}) = \alpha(p_n) = \alpha_n$ . Since  $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \dots \geq \alpha_u > \alpha_{u+1} = \dots = \alpha_n \geq 2$ , we have  $d_1 \in \{p_{u+1}, \dots, p_n\}$ , a contradiction with  $\{p_{u+1}, \dots, p_n\} \cap \mathcal{D} = \emptyset$ .

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c): It follows from Lemma 5.

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): It follows from the arguments before Theorem 1.

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 2.** (a)  $\Rightarrow$  (b): By Lemma 1 we may assume that  $\{p_{u+1}, \dots, p_n\} \cap \mathcal{D} = \emptyset$ . Then  $p_n \notin \mathcal{A}$ . By Lemma 2 we have  $\bar{p}_n \in \mathcal{A}$ . That is,  $\bar{p}_n \in \mathcal{A}'_n$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}'_n = \{d_1, d_2, \dots, d_s\}$ . By Lemma 4 there exists a permutation  $i_1, i_2, \dots, i_s$  of  $1, 2, \dots, s$  such that  $\bar{d}_{i_j} \mid d_j p_n$ ,  $\alpha(d_j) = \alpha(\bar{d}_{i_j})$ . As in Lemma 4, let  $d_j p_n = \bar{d}_{i_j} e_j (1 \leq j \leq s)$ . Since  $\alpha_n = 1$  and  $\alpha(d_j) = \alpha(\bar{d}_{i_j})$ , we have  $\alpha(e_j) = 1 (1 \leq j \leq s)$ . Hence, for  $1 \leq v \leq u$  and  $1 \leq j \leq s$  we have  $p_v \nmid e_j$  and

$$p_v \mid d_j \Leftrightarrow p_v \mid \bar{d}_{i_j} \Leftrightarrow p_v \nmid d_{i_j}.$$

Thus, for  $1 \leq v \leq u$  we have

$$|\{j : p_v \mid d_j\}| = |\{j : p_v \nmid d_{i_j}\}| = |\{j : p_v \nmid d_j\}|.$$

So, for  $1 \leq v \leq u$  we have

$$|\{j : p_v \mid d_j\}| = |\{j : p_v \nmid d_j\}| = \frac{1}{2} |\mathcal{A}'_n|. \tag{7}$$

Let  $d(\mathcal{D}) = \{h_1, h_2, \dots, h_t\}$ . Then  $h_i \nmid h_j$  for all  $i \neq j$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $p_n \nmid h_i (1 \leq i \leq r)$  and  $p_n \mid h_j (r + 1 \leq j \leq t)$ . Then each  $d_i \in \mathcal{A}'_n$  is divisible by at least one of  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_r$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is a maximal  $N$ -set, we have  $d(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ . So  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_r \in \mathcal{A}'_n$ . Fix  $1 \leq v \leq u$ . Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_w$  are all  $h_i$  with  $p_v \nmid h_i$  and  $p_n \nmid h_i$ .

Let  $\mathcal{B} = \{d : p_v \nmid d, d \in \mathcal{A}'_n\}$ . By (7) we have

$$|\{p_v d : d \in \mathcal{B}\}| = |\mathcal{B}| = \frac{1}{2} |\mathcal{A}'_n|.$$

Since  $\mathcal{B} \cap \{p_v d : d \in \mathcal{B}\} = \emptyset$ , we have  $\mathcal{A}'_n = \mathcal{B} \cup \{p_v d : d \in \mathcal{B}\}$ . Let  $d \in \mathcal{B}$ . If  $w < i \leq r$ , then by  $p_v \mid h_i$  we have  $h_i \nmid d$ . If  $r < i \leq t$ , then by  $p_n \mid h_i$  and  $d \in \mathcal{A}'_n$  we have  $h_i \nmid d$ . That is,  $d$  is not divisible by any  $h_i$  with  $i > w$ . So  $d$  is divisible by one of  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_w$ . Thus each  $d' \in \mathcal{A}'_n$  is divisible by one of  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_w$ . Since  $w \leq r$  and  $h_1, h_2, \dots, h_r \in \mathcal{A}'_n$  and  $h_i \nmid h_j$  for all  $i \neq j$ , we have  $w = r$ . Thus, we have proved that for all  $1 \leq v \leq u$  we have  $p_v \nmid h_i (1 \leq i \leq r)$ .

Now we have proved that for any given  $i$  with  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , if  $p_n \nmid h_i$ , then  $p_v \nmid h_i$  for any  $1 \leq v \leq u$ . Since  $\alpha_{u+1} = \dots = \alpha_n = 1$ , the primes  $p_{u+1}, \dots, p_n$  are in the same position. Hence, for any given  $i, j$  with  $1 \leq i \leq t$  and  $u + 1 \leq j \leq n$ , if  $p_j \nmid h_i$ , then  $p_v \nmid h_i$  for any  $1 \leq v \leq u$ . This means that for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , if  $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n \nmid h_i$ , then  $(p_1 \cdots p_u, h_i) = 1$ , i.e.,  $h_i \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n$ . So, for each  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , either  $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n \mid h_i$  or  $h_i \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n$ . Since  $h_i \nmid h_j$  for all  $i \neq j$ , we have either  $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n \mid h_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$  or  $h_i \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . If  $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n \mid h_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , then  $p_n \mid h_i$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . Thus  $p_n \mid d$  for all  $d \in \mathcal{A}$ , a contradiction with  $\bar{p}_n \in \mathcal{A}$  and  $p_n \nmid \bar{p}_n$ . Hence  $h_i \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq t$ . That is,

$$d(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \{d : d \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n\}.$$

(b)  $\Rightarrow$  (c): Let  $\mathcal{D}' = \mathcal{D} \cap \{d : d \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n\}$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is an  $N$ -set,  $\mathcal{D}'$  is a  $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n$ -set. For  $d \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n$ , if  $d \notin \mathcal{D}'$ , then  $d \notin \mathcal{D}$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is a maximal  $N$ -set, there exists  $l \in \mathcal{D}$  such that  $(d, l) = 1$ . By the definition of  $d(\mathcal{D})$ ,  $l$  is divisible by an element  $l'$  of  $d(\mathcal{D})$ . So  $(d, l') = 1$ . By  $d(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \{d : d \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n\}$  we have  $l' \in \mathcal{D}'$ . Thus we have proved that  $\mathcal{D}'$  is a maximal  $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n$ -set. By  $d(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \{d : d \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n\}$  we have  $d(\mathcal{D}') = d(\mathcal{D})$ . By Lemma 5 we have  $\mathcal{D}' = R(d(\mathcal{D}'), p_{u+1} \cdots p_n) = R(d(\mathcal{D}), p_{u+1} \cdots p_n)$ . Again, by Lemma 5 and  $d(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \{d : d \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n\}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D} &= R(d(\mathcal{D}), N) = \left\{ dd' : d \mid \frac{N}{p_{u+1} \cdots p_n}, d' \in R(d(\mathcal{D}), p_{u+1} \cdots p_n) \right\} \\ &= \left\{ dd' : d \mid \frac{N}{p_{u+1} \cdots p_n}, d' \in \mathcal{D}' \right\}. \end{aligned}$$

(c)  $\Rightarrow$  (a): It follows from the arguments before Theorem 1.

This completes the proof of Theorem 2.  $\square$

**Proof of Theorem 3.** Suppose that  $\mathcal{D}$  is a maximal  $N$ -set with the minimum size. By Theorem 2 we have

$$d(\mathcal{D}) \subseteq \{d : d \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n\}.$$

Since no two elements of  $\mathcal{D}$  are coprime, we know that no two elements of  $d(\mathcal{D})$  are coprime. That is (a). By the definition of  $d(\mathcal{D})$  we know that no element of  $d(\mathcal{D})$  is divisible by another element of  $d(\mathcal{D})$ . That is (b). Let  $l \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n$ . If  $l \in \mathcal{D}$ , then  $l$  is divisible by an element of  $d(\mathcal{D})$ . If  $l \notin \mathcal{D}$ , then, by the maximality of  $\mathcal{D}$ , there exists  $d_1 \in \mathcal{D}$  with  $(d_1, l) = 1$ . Since  $d_1 \in \mathcal{D}$ , there exists  $d \in d(\mathcal{D})$  with  $d \mid d_1$ . Hence  $(d, l) = 1$ . That is (c). Hence  $d(\mathcal{D})$  is one of  $\mathcal{T}_1, \dots, \mathcal{T}_k$ . By Lemma 5 we have  $\mathcal{D} = R(d(\mathcal{D}), N)$ . Hence  $\mathcal{D}$  is one of  $R(\mathcal{T}_1, N), \dots, R(\mathcal{T}_k, N)$ .

Now we show that each  $R(\mathcal{T}_i, N)$  is a maximal  $N$ -set with the minimum size.

Since no two elements of  $\mathcal{T}_i$  are coprime, we know that no two elements of  $R(\mathcal{T}_i, N)$  are coprime. That is,  $R(\mathcal{T}_i, N)$  is an  $N$ -set. In order to prove that  $R(\mathcal{T}_i, N)$  is maximal, it is enough to prove that for any  $l > 1$  with  $l \mid N$  and  $l \notin R(\mathcal{T}_i, N)$  there exists  $d \in R(\mathcal{T}_i, N)$  with  $(d, l) = 1$ . It is enough to prove that there exists  $d \in \mathcal{T}_i$  with  $(d, l) = 1$ . Let  $l_1 = (l, p_{u+1} \cdots p_n)$ . Noting that  $\mathcal{T}_i$  is a set of positive divisors of  $p_{u+1} \cdots p_n$ , it is enough to prove that there exists  $d \in \mathcal{T}_i$  with  $(d, l_1) = 1$ . Since  $l \notin R(\mathcal{T}_i, N)$ , we know that  $l$  is not divisible by any element of  $\mathcal{T}_i$ . So  $l_1$  is not divisible by any element of  $\mathcal{T}_i$ . By the definition of  $\mathcal{T}_i$  (i.e. (c) of Theorem 3), there exists  $d \in \mathcal{T}_i$  with  $(d, l_1) = 1$ . Thus we have proved that  $R(\mathcal{T}_i, N)$  is a maximal  $N$ -set. Noting that no element of  $\mathcal{T}_i$  is divisible by another element of  $\mathcal{T}_i$ , we have  $d(R(\mathcal{T}_i, N)) = \mathcal{T}_i$ . Since  $\mathcal{T}_i \subseteq \{d : d \mid p_{u+1} \cdots p_n\}$ , by Theorem 2 we have  $R(\mathcal{T}_i, N)$  has the minimum size. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.  $\square$

#### 4. Final remarks

Finally we pose the following problems for further research.

**Problem 3.** Fix  $t \geq 2$  and  $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$ ,  $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_n$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a set of positive divisors  $d$  of  $N$  which have exactly  $t$  distinct prime factors (i.e.  $\omega(d) = t$ ) such that no two members of the set being coprime and no additional member may be included in  $\mathcal{D}$  without contradicting this requirement. Determine  $m(N, t) = \min |\mathcal{D}|$ .

**Problem 4.** Fix  $t \geq 2$  and  $N = p_1^{\alpha_1} \cdots p_n^{\alpha_n}$ ,  $\alpha_1 \geq \alpha_2 \geq \cdots \geq \alpha_n$ . Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a set of positive divisors  $d$  of  $N$  which have exactly  $t$  prime factors (i.e.  $\Omega(d) = t$ ) such that no two members of the set being coprime and no additional member may be included in  $\mathcal{D}$  without contradicting this requirement. Determine  $M(N, t) = \min |\mathcal{D}|$ .

#### Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the referees for their valuable comments.

#### References

- [1] P. Erdős, M. Herzog, J. Schönheim, An extremal problem on the set of noncoprime divisors of a number, *Israel J. Math.* 8 (1970) 408–412.
- [2] P. Erdős, C. Ko, R. Rado, Intersection theorems for systems of finite sets, *Quart. J. Math. Oxford Ser.* 12 (1961) 313–320.
- [3] P. Erdős, J. Schönheim, On the set of non pairwise coprime divisors of a number, in: *Combinatorial Theory and its Applications, I*, Proc. Colloq., Balatonfüred, 1969, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1970, pp. 369–376.
- [4] M. Herzog, J. Schönheim, On certain sets of divisors of a number, *Discrete Math.* 1 (1972) 329–332.