

# An algorithm for distinguishing efficiently bit-strings by their subsequences

Jean-Jacques Hebrard

*LIUC, Université de Caen, 14032 Caen Cedex, France*

Communicated by D. Perrin

Received May 1988

Revised February 1989

## *Abstract*

Hebrard, J.-J., An algorithm for distinguishing efficiently bit-strings by their subsequences, *Theoretical Computer Science* 82 (1991) 35–49.

A linear on-line algorithm for computing a shortest subsequence that distinguishes two different bit-strings is presented. The method is based on a special way of factorizing strings.

## 0. Introduction

A string  $h$  divides a string  $u$  if it can be obtained from  $u$  by deleting zero or more symbols. If a string  $h$  divides  $u$  (resp.  $v$ ) and does not divide  $v$  (resp.  $u$ ) we say that  $h$  distinguishes  $u$  and  $v$ . The similarity of two strings  $u$  and  $v$  can be studied by comparing the strings they are divided by. For example several similarity measures are based on the computation of a longest string dividing  $u$  and  $v$  [2, 4, 5, 6]. One can also consider as a measure of similarity the greatest integer  $d(u, v)$  such that no string of length  $\leq d(u, v)$  can distinguish  $u$  and  $v$ . This paper is devoted to the computation of  $d(u, v)$ .

Various algorithms have been proposed for this problem. Simon [7] presented an algorithm with time and space complexity  $O(|A| |uv|)$ , where  $A$  is the alphabet. Unfortunately this algorithm is not on-line and requires a large pre-processing needing a lot of space. Another method uses the finite automaton which accepts the set of all the strings that divide a given string. This leads to an almost linear algorithm [1].

We present a new method based on a special factorization of  $u$  and  $v$  which we call the arch factorization. If  $u$  and  $v$  are bit-strings the arch factorization provides

an efficient linear algorithm to compute  $d(u, v)$ . This algorithm is on-line and only requires a constant amount of extra space. Moreover the method gives the construction of a shortest string that distinguishes  $u$  and  $v$ .

## 1. Basic definitions and notations

Given a finite set of symbols  $A$ , a string  $u$  is a finite sequence  $u(1) \dots u(n)$  of elements of  $A$ ; the length of  $u$  is denoted by  $|u|$ . The empty string is denoted by  $\epsilon$  and the set of all strings over  $A$  by  $A^*$ . By  $\text{alph}(u)$  we mean the set of symbols which occur in  $u$ . The concatenation of two strings  $u$  and  $v$  is denoted by  $u.v$ .

Given a string  $u(1) \dots u(n)$ ,  $\text{pref}(u, i)$  and  $\text{suf}(u, i)$  denote respectively  $u(1) \dots u(i)$  and  $u(i+1) \dots u(n)$ . We have  $u = \text{pref}(u, i).\text{suf}(u, i)$ .

A string  $h$  divides  $u$  if there exists a subsequence of  $u$   $u(s(1)) \dots u(s(m))$  such that  $h = u(s(1)) \dots u(s(m))$ .  $s$  is said to be the *first occurrence* of  $h$  in  $u$  if for every subsequence  $u(t(1)) \dots u(t(m))$  such that  $h = u(t(1)) \dots u(t(m))$ , we have  $s(i) \leq t(i)$  ( $1 \leq i \leq m$ ). A string  $h$  distinguishes two strings  $u$  and  $v$  if it divides one of them and does not divide the other.  $D(u, v)$  denotes the set of the shortest strings that distinguish  $u$  and  $v$ .

Given a string  $u$  and an integer  $l$  let  $S(u, l) = \{h \in A^* \mid h \text{ divides } u \text{ and } |h| \leq l\}$ . Given two strings  $u$  and  $v$ ,  $d(u, v)$  is defined by

$$d(u, v) = \begin{cases} \max\{l \mid S(u, l) = S(v, l)\} & \text{if } u \neq v, \\ \infty & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$d(u, v)$  is the greatest integer such that no string of length  $\leq d(u, v)$  can distinguish  $u$  and  $v$ . One can show that  $\delta(u, v) = 2^{-d(u, v)}$  is an ultrametric distance [3]. If  $h$  is member of  $D(u, v)$  then  $|h| = d(u, v) + 1$ . The following sections deal with the computation of  $d(u, v)$  and  $D(u, v)$ .

## 2. Arch factorization

Our method is based on a special way of factorizing strings.

**Definition.** Let  $u$  be a string over  $A$ .  $u = \text{ar}_u(1) \dots \text{ar}_u(n).r(u)$  is the *arch factorization* of  $u$  if:

(i) for every  $I \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\text{ar}_u(I) = c_u(I).u(p_u(I))$  with  $c_u(I) \in A^*$ ,  $p_u(I) \in \{1, \dots, |u|\}$ ,  $\text{alph}(c_u(I)) \neq A$  and  $\text{alph}(\text{ar}_u(I)) = A$ .

(ii)  $\text{alph}(r(u)) \neq A$ .

The strings  $\text{ar}_u(I)$  will be called the *archs* of  $u$  and  $r(u)$  the *rest*. The string  $u(p_u(1)).u(p_u(2)) \dots u(p_u(n))$  will be called the *model* of  $u$  and denoted by  $m[u]$ .

**Example**

$$\begin{aligned}
A &= \{0, 1, 2\}, & u &= 1022011210010210, \\
u &= 102.201.1210.0102.10, \\
ar_u(1) &= 102, & u(p_u(1)) &= u(3) = 2, \\
ar_u(2) &= 201, & u(p_u(2)) &= u(6) = 1, \\
ar_u(3) &= 1210, & u(p_u(3)) &= u(10) = 0, \\
ar_u(4) &= 0102, & u(p_u(4)) &= u(14) = 2, \\
r(u) &= 10 & \text{and} & m[u] = 2102.
\end{aligned}$$

We show that every string shorter than  $|m[u]|$  divides  $u$  and that it is always possible to construct a string of length  $|m[u]| + 1$  which does not divide  $u$ .

**Proposition 1.** *Let  $u$  and  $h$  be two strings over  $A$ . If  $|h| \leq |m[u]|$  then  $h$  divides  $u$ .*

**Proof.**  $\forall i \in \{1, \dots, |h|\}$ ,  $h(i)$  divides  $ar_u(i)$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.** *Let  $u$  be a string over  $A$  and  $a \in A \setminus \text{alph}(r(u))$ . Then  $m[u].a$  does not divide  $u$ .*

**Proof.** We have  $m[u] = u(p_u(1)) \dots u(p_u(n))$ . The result follows from the fact that  $p_u$  is the first occurrence of  $m[u]$  in  $u$ .  $\square$

The two following propositions show that the arch factorization provides an easy tool to compute  $d(u, v)$  when the models  $m[u]$  and  $m[v]$  have different lengths or  $\text{alph}(r(u))$  is different from  $\text{alph}(r(v))$ . In both cases we have  $d(u, v) = \min(|m[u]|, |m[v]|)$ .

**Proposition 3.** *Let  $u$  and  $v$  be two strings over  $A$  such that  $|m[u]| < |m[v]|$  and let  $a \in A \setminus \text{alph}(r(u))$ . Then  $d(u, v) = |m[u]|$  and  $m[u].a \in D(u, v)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $h$  be a string over  $A$ . If  $|h| \leq |m[u]|$ ,  $h$  divides  $u$  and  $v$ . The string  $m[u].a$  does not divide  $u$  (Proposition 2), it divides  $v$  since  $|m[u].a| \leq |m[v]|$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $u$  and  $v$  be two strings over  $A$  such that  $|m[u]| = |m[v]| = n$  and  $\text{alph}(r(v)) \setminus \text{alph}(r(u)) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $a \in \text{alph}(r(v)) \setminus \text{alph}(r(u))$ . Then  $d(u, v) = n$  and  $m[u].a \in D(u, v)$ .*

**Proof.** Let  $h$  be a string over  $A$ . If  $|h| \leq |m[u]|$ ,  $h$  divides  $u$  and  $v$ . The string  $m[u].a$  does not divide  $u$ , it divides  $v$  since  $m[u]$  divides  $ar_v(1) \dots ar_v(n)$  and  $a$  divides  $r(v)$ .  $\square$

We must now show how to compute  $d(u, v)$  when  $|m[u]| = |m[v]|$  and  $\text{alph}(r(u)) = \text{alph}(r(v))$ . This will only be done for bit-strings.

### 3. The case of bit-strings

In this section  $u$  and  $v$  are strings over  $\{0, 1\}$ . We first examine the situation where  $|m[u]| = |m[v]|$ ,  $\text{alph}(r(u)) = \text{alph}(r(v))$  and  $m[u] \neq m[v]$ . The following proposition says that in this case  $d(u, v) = |m[u]| = |m[v]|$ . This result is proved by considering the greatest  $k$  such that  $u(p_u(k)) \neq v(p_v(k))$ . To make things more concrete let us examine the following examples:

(1)  $u = 01011100010$  and  $v = 10001010100$

$$u = 0 \underline{1}. \quad 0 \underline{1}. \quad 1 \underline{1} \underline{0}. \quad 0 \underline{0} \underline{1}. \quad 0 \quad \_ \quad m[u] = 1101,$$

$$v = \underline{1} \underline{0}. \quad 0 \underline{0} \underline{1}. \quad \underline{0} \underline{1}. \quad 0 \underline{1}. \quad 0 \underline{0} \quad m[v] = 0111.$$

We have  $k = 3$ . The string  $w = m[u].1 = 11011$  divides  $v$  and does not divide  $u$ . The third arch enables  $w$  to run more quickly through  $u$  than through  $v$ .

(2)  $u = 001100$  and  $v = 01010$

$$u = 0 \underline{0} \underline{1}. \quad 1 \underline{0}. \quad 0 \quad \_ \quad m[u] = 10,$$

$$v = \quad 0 \underline{1}. \quad \underline{0} \underline{1}. \quad 0 \quad m[v] = 11.$$

We have  $k = 2 = |m[u]|$  and  $m[u].1 = 101$  distinguishes  $u$  and  $v$ .

**Proposition 5.** *Let  $u$  and  $v$  be two strings over  $\{0, 1\}$  such that  $|m[u]| = |m[v]| = n$ ,  $\text{alph}(r(u)) = \text{alph}(r(v)) = \mathcal{R}$  and  $m[u] \neq m[v]$ . Then  $n > 0$  and  $d(u, v) = n$ . Moreover if  $k$  ( $1 \leq k \leq n$ ) is the greatest integer such that  $u(p_u(k)) \neq v(p_v(k))$  we have:*

(i) *if  $k < n$  and  $a \in \{0, 1\} \setminus \mathcal{R}$ :*

- *either  $u(p_u(k)) \neq u(p_u(k+1))$  and  $m[u].a \in D(u, v)$ ,*
- *or  $v(p_v(k)) \neq v(p_v(k+1))$  and  $m[v].a \in D(u, v)$ .*

(ii) *if  $k = n$ :*

- *either  $v(p_v(n)) \notin \mathcal{R}$  and  $m[u].v(p_v(n)) \in D(u, v)$ ,*
- *or  $u(p_u(n)) \notin \mathcal{R}$  and  $m[v].u(p_u(n)) \in D(u, v)$ .*

**Proof.** (i)  $k < n$ . We have  $u(p_u(k)) \neq v(p_v(k))$  and  $u(p_u(k+1)) = v(p_v(k+1))$ . Suppose  $u(p_u(k)) = 0$ ,  $v(p_v(k)) = 1$ ,  $u(p_u(k+1)) = 1$  and  $v(p_v(k+1)) = 1$ . The string  $m[u].a$  distinguishes  $u$  and  $v$ . It does not divide  $u$  (Proposition 2).  $\text{pref}(m[u], k-1)$  divides  $\text{ar}_v(1) \dots \text{ar}_v(k-1)$  (Proposition 1),  $u(p_u(k)).u(p_u(k+1))$  divides  $\text{ar}_v(k)$  since  $\text{ar}_v(k) = 0^q 1$  ( $q > 0$ ), and  $\text{suf}(m[u], k+1).a$  divides  $\text{ar}_v(k+1) \dots \text{ar}_v(n)$  (Proposition 1). Consequently  $m[u].a$  divides  $v$ .

(ii)  $k = n$ . We may suppose  $u(p_u(n)) = 0$  and  $v(p_v(n)) = 1$ . Either  $u(p_u(n))$  or  $v(p_v(n))$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{R}$ . Suppose  $v(p_v(n))$  does not belong to  $\mathcal{R}$ . The string  $m[u].v(p_v(n))$  distinguishes  $u$  and  $v$ . It does not divide  $u$  (Proposition 2).

$\text{pref}(m[u], n-1)$  divides  $\text{ar}_v(1) \dots \text{ar}_v(n-1)$  (Proposition 1), and  $u(p_u(n)).v(p_v(n))$  divides  $\text{ar}_v(n)$  since  $\text{ar}_v(n) = 0^q.1$  ( $q > 0$ ). Therefore  $m[u].v(p_v(n))$  divides  $v$ .  $\square$

**Remark.** Note that Proposition 5 does not hold if  $\text{card}(A) > 2$ . For example when  $A = \{0, 1, 2\}$ ,  $u = 20101012$  and  $v = 21010102$  we have  $|m[u]| = |m[v]| = 2$ ,  $m[u] = 12$ ,  $m[v] = 02$ , but  $d(u, v) = 3$  ( $S(u, 3) = S(v, 3)$  and 1110 distinguishes  $u$  and  $v$ ).

In order to study the only case that has not yet been considered, namely  $m[u] = m[v]$  and  $\text{alph}(r(u)) = \text{alph}(r(v))$ , we need some new definitions and notations.

**Definition.** Given two bit-strings  $u$  and  $v$  such that  $|m[u]| = |m[v]| = n$  with  $n > 0$  and  $|\text{ar}_u(I)| < |\text{ar}_v(I)|$  for some  $I \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ ,  $\text{disting}(I)$  is the string:  $\text{pref}(m[u], I-1).c_u(I).m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I)-1)].a$  with  $a \in \{0, 1\} \setminus \text{alph}(u(|u|))$ .

**Example**

$$\begin{aligned} u &= 110.01.1110.1, & m[u] &= 010, \text{alph}(r(u)) = \{1\}, \\ v &= 1110.01.110.11, & m[v] &= 010, \text{alph}(r(v)) = \{1\}, \\ |\text{ar}_u(1)| &< |\text{ar}_v(1)|, & \text{pref}(m[u], 0) &= \varepsilon, c_u(1) = 11, \\ & & m[\text{suf}(u, 2)] &= m[00111101] = 10, a = 0, \\ & & \text{disting}(1) &= 11100. \\ |\text{ar}_v(3)| &< |\text{ar}_u(3)|, & \text{pref}(m[v], 2) &= 01, c_v(3) = 11, \\ & & m[\text{suf}(v, 9)] &= m[011] = 1, a = 0, \\ & & \text{disting}(3) &= 011110. \end{aligned}$$

We shall see (Proposition 9) that  $\text{disting}(I)$  distinguishes  $u$  and  $v$ . In order to prove this result we must first thoroughly examine the string  $m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I)-1)]$ . The following lemma shows that its properties depend on whether conditions  $u(p_u(I)-1) \neq u(p_u(I+1)-1)$  and  $|\text{ar}_u(I+1)| > 2$  hold.

**Lemma 6.** Let  $u$  be a string over  $\{0, 1\}$  such that  $|m[u]| = n$  and  $n > 0$ . Let  $I < n$ .

- (i) If  $u(p_u(n)-1) \notin \text{alph}(r(u))$  then  $m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(n)-1)] = \varepsilon$ .
- (ii) If  $u(p_u(n)-1) \in \text{alph}(r(u))$  then  $m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(n)-1)] = u(p_u(n)-1)$ .
- (iii) If  $u(p_u(I)-1) \neq u(p_u(I+1)-1)$  then

$$m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I)-1)] = u(p_u(I)-1).m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I+1))].$$

- (iv) If  $u(p_u(I)-1) = u(p_u(I+1)-1)$  and  $|\text{ar}_u(I+1)| = 2$  then

$$m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I)-1)] = u(p_u(I)-1).m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I+1)-1)].$$

- (v) If  $u(p_u(I)-1) = u(p_u(I+1)-1)$  and  $|\text{ar}_u(I+1)| > 2$  then

$$m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I)-1)] = u(p_u(I)-1).u(p_u(I)).m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I+1))].$$

**Proof.** (i) Suppose  $u(p_u(n) - 1) = 0$ . If  $u(p_u(n) - 1) \notin \text{alph}(r(u))$  then  $\text{suf}(u, p_u(n) - 1) = 1^k (k > 0)$ , and  $m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(n) - 1)] = \epsilon$ .

(ii) Suppose  $u(p_u(n) - 1) = 0$ . If  $u(p_u(n) - 1) \in \text{alph}(r(u))$  then  $\text{suf}(u, p_u(n) - 1) = 10^k (k > 0)$ , and  $m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(n) - 1)] = 0$ .

(iii) Suppose  $u(p_u(I) - 1) = 0$  and  $u(p_u(I + 1) - 1) = 1$ . We have  $u(p_u(I)) = 1$ ,  $\text{ar}_u(I + 1) = 1^k 0 (k > 0)$ , and  $\text{suf}(u, p_u(I) - 1) = 1^{k+1} 0 \cdot \text{suf}(u, p_u(I + 1))$ . Then  $m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I) - 1)] = 0 \cdot m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I + 1))]$ .

(iv) Suppose  $u(p_u(I) - 1) = u(p_u(I + 1) - 1) = 0$ . Then  $\text{ar}_u(I + 1) = 01$ ,  $\text{suf}(u, p_u(I) - 1) = 10 \cdot \text{suf}(u, p_u(I + 1) - 1)$  and

$$m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I) - 1)] = 0 \cdot m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I + 1) - 1)].$$

(v) Suppose  $u(p_u(I) - 1) = u(p_u(I + 1) - 1) = 0$ . Then  $\text{ar}_u(I + 1) = 00^k 1 (k > 0)$ ,  $\text{suf}(u, p_u(I) - 1) = 100^k 1 \cdot \text{suf}(u, p_u(I + 1))$  and

$$m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I) - 1)] = 01 \cdot m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I + 1) - 1)]. \quad \square$$

**Examples.** Here  $I = 1$ ,  $p_u(I) - 1 = 2$ ,  $u(p_u(I) - 1) = 0$  and  $w \in \{0, 1\}^*$ .

(i)  $u = 001$ ,  $m[\text{suf}(u, 2)] = m[1] = \epsilon$ ;  $u = 001.1$ ,  $m[\text{suf}(u, 2)] = m[11] = \epsilon$ .

(ii)  $u = 001.0$ ,  $m[\text{suf}(u, 2)] = m[10] = 0$ .

(iii)  $u = 001.110.w$ ,  $m[\text{suf}(u, 2)] = m[1110.w] = 0 \cdot m[w]$ .

(iv)  $u = 001.01.w$ ,  $m[\text{suf}(u, 2)] = m[101.w] = 0 \cdot m[1.w]$ .

(v)  $u = 001.001.w$ ,  $m[\text{suf}(u, 2)] = m[1001.w] = 01 \cdot m[w]$ .

**Notations.** Given  $I \in \{1, \dots, |m[u]|\}$ ,  $F_u(I)$  (resp.  $G_u(I)$ ) denotes the smallest  $J$  such that  $J > I$  and  $u(p_u(J) - 1) \neq u(p_u(I) - 1)$  (resp.  $|\text{ar}_u(J)| > 2$ ). For every  $I \in \{1, \dots, |m[u]|\}$ , let  $\mathcal{F}_u(I) = \{I < J \leq |m[u]| / u(p_u(J) - 1) \neq u(p_u(I) - 1)\}$  and  $\mathcal{G}_u(I) = \{I < J \leq |m[u]| / |\text{ar}_u(J)| > 2\}$ . If  $\mathcal{F}_u(I) \neq \emptyset$  then  $F_u(I) = \min \mathcal{F}_u(I)$  otherwise  $F_u(I) = \infty$ , if  $\mathcal{G}_u(I) \neq \emptyset$  then  $G_u(I) = \min \mathcal{G}_u(I)$  otherwise  $G_u(I) = \infty$ .

### Example

$$u = 01.001.110.10.0$$

$$F_u(1) = 3, \quad G_u(1) = 2, \quad F_u(2) = 3, \quad G_u(2) = 3,$$

$$F_u(3) = G_u(3) = \infty, \quad F_u(4) = G_u(4) = \infty.$$

The next lemma shows that  $m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I) - 1)]$  can be written  $a^p b^q \cdot \text{suf}(m[u], K)$  with  $a, b \in \{0, 1\}$  and  $a = u(p_u(I) - 1)$ . The values of  $p, q$  and  $K$  depend on  $F_u(I)$  and  $G_u(I)$ . Let us see that first on examples.

Here  $I = 1$ ,  $p_u(1) - 1 = 1$  and  $u(p_u(1) - 1) = 0$ :

(1)  $u = 01.01.110.w$ ,  $F_u(1) = G_u(1) = 3$ . We have  $F_u(1) \leq G_u(1)$  and

$$m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(1) - 1)] = m[10.1110.w] = 0^2 \cdot m[w] = 0^2 \cdot \text{suf}(m[u], 3).$$

(2)  $u = 01.01.001.w$ ,  $G_u(1) = 3$ ,  $F_u(1) > 3$ . We have  $G_u(1) < F_u(1)$  and

$$m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(1) - 1)] = m[10.10.01.w] = 0^2 1 \cdot \text{suf}(m[u], 3).$$

(3)  $u = 01.01.111$ ,

$$F_u(1) = G_u(1) = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad u(p_u(1) - 1) \notin \text{alph}(r(u)),$$

$$m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(1) - 1)] = m[10.1111] = 0.$$

(4)  $u = 01.01.000$ ,

$$F_u(1) = G_u(1) = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad u(p_u(1) - 1) \in \text{alph}(r(u)),$$

$$m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(1) - 1)] = m[10.10.00] = 0^2.$$

**Lemma 7.** *Let  $u$  be a string over  $\{0, 1\}$  such that  $|m[u]| = n$  and  $n > 0$ . Let  $I \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  and  $a = u(p_u(I) - 1)$ .*

(i) *If  $F_u(I) \neq \infty$  and  $F_u(I) \leq G_u(I)$  then*

$$m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I) - 1)] = a^{J-I} \text{suf}(m[u], J) \quad \text{where } J = F_u(I).$$

(ii) *If  $G_u(I) < F_u(I)$  then  $m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I) - 1)] = a^{J-I} b \text{suf}(m[u], J)$  where  $J = G_u(I)$  and  $b = u(p_u(I))$ .*

(iii) *If  $F_u(I) = G_u(I) = \infty$  and  $a \notin \text{alph}(r(u))$  then  $m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I) - 1)] = a^{n-I}$ .*

(iv) *If  $F_u(I) = G_u(I) = \infty$  and  $a \in \text{alph}(r(u))$  then  $m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I) - 1)] = a^{n-I+1}$ .*

### Proof

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(i)} \quad m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I) - 1)] &= a^{J-I-1} \cdot m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(J-1) - 1)] \quad (\text{Lemma 6(iv)}) \\ &= a^{J-I} \cdot m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(J))] \quad (\text{Lemma 6(iii)}) \\ &= a^{J-I} \cdot \text{suf}(m[u], J), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(ii)} \quad m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I) - 1)] &= a^{J-I-1} \cdot m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(J-1) - 1)] \quad (\text{Lemma 6(iv)}) \\ &= a^{J-I} b \cdot m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(J))] \quad (\text{Lemma 6(v)}) \\ &= a^{J-I} b \cdot \text{suf}(m[u], J), \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(iii)} \quad m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I) - 1)] &= a^{n-I} \cdot m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(n) - 1)] \quad (\text{Lemma 6(iv)}) \\ &= a^{n-I}, \quad (\text{Lemma 6(i)}) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{(iv)} \quad m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I) - 1)] &= a^{n-I} \cdot m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(n) - 1)] \quad (\text{Lemma 6(iv)}) \\ &= a^{n-I+1} \quad (\text{Lemma 6(ii)}) \quad \square \end{aligned}$$

**Definition.** Given two bit-strings  $u$  and  $v$  such that  $|m[u]| = |m[v]| = n$  with  $n > 0$  and  $|\text{ar}_u(I)| < |\text{ar}_v(I)|$  for some  $I \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ , we say that arch  $I$  satisfies  $\mathcal{P}$  if  $[F_u(I) \neq \infty \text{ and } F_u(I) \leq G_u(I)]$  or  $[F_u(I) = G_u(I) = \infty \text{ and } u(p_u(I) - 1) \notin \text{alph}(r(u))]$ .

As a consequence of Lemma 7 the length of  $\text{disting}(I)$  can be easily calculated.

**Proposition 8.** *Let  $u$  and  $v$  be two bit-strings such that  $|m[u]| = |m[v]| = n$  with  $n > 0$  and  $|\text{ar}_u(I)| < |\text{ar}_v(I)|$  for some  $I \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ . Then*

$$|\text{disting}(I)| = \begin{cases} n + |\text{ar}_u(I)| - 1 & \text{if arch } I \text{ satisfies } \mathcal{P}, \\ n + |\text{ar}_u(I)| & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof**

$$\begin{aligned} |\text{disting}(I)| &= |\text{pref}(m[u], I-1)| + |c_u(I)| + |m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I)-1)]| + 1 \\ &= I - 1 + |\text{ar}_u(I)| - 1 + |m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I)-1)]| + 1. \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Lemma 7 that  $|m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I)-1)]| = n - I$  if arch  $I$  satisfies  $\mathcal{P}$  and  $|m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I)-1)]| = n - I + 1$  otherwise.  $\square$

The next proposition shows that two bit-strings  $u$  and  $v$  such that  $m[u] = m[v]$  and  $\text{alph}(r(u)) = \text{alph}(r(v))$ , are distinguished by  $\text{disting}(I)$ . Consider the following example:

$$u = 110.01.1110.1, \quad m[u] = 010, \text{alph}(r(u)) = \{1\},$$

$$v = 1110.01.110.11, \quad m[v] = 010, \text{alph}(r(v)) = \{1\},$$

$$|\text{ar}_u(1)| < |\text{ar}_v(1)|, \quad \text{disting}(1) = 11100 \text{ divides } v \text{ and does not divide } u:$$

$$u = \underline{1} \ \underline{1} \ 0 \ . \ 0 \ \underline{1} \ . \ 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ \underline{0} \ . \ 1 \ \_$$

$$v = \underline{1} \ \underline{1} \ \underline{1} \ \underline{0} \ . \ \underline{0} \ 1 \ . \ 1 \ 1 \ 0 \ . \ 1 \ 1$$

$$|\text{ar}_v(3)| < |\text{ar}_u(3)|, \quad \text{disting}(3) = 011110 \text{ divides } u \text{ and does not divide } v:$$

$$u = 1 \ 1 \ \underline{0} \ . \ 0 \ \underline{1} \ . \ \underline{1} \ \underline{1} \ \underline{1} \ \underline{0} \ . \ 1$$

$$v = 1 \ 1 \ 1 \ \underline{0} \ . \ 0 \ \underline{1} \ . \ \underline{1} \ \underline{1} \ 0 \ . \ \underline{1} \ 1 \ \_$$

Notice that  $u$  and  $v$  can also be distinguished by their rests provided that  $|r(u)| \neq |r(v)|$ . In the above example we have  $|r(u)| < |r(v)|$  and the string  $m[u].r(u).1 = 01011$  divides  $v$  but does not divide  $u$ .

**Proposition 9.** *Let  $u$  and  $v$  be strings over  $\{0, 1\}$  such that  $m[u] = m[v]$  and  $\text{alph}(r(u)) = \text{alph}(r(v))$ . Let  $n = |m[u]| = |m[v]|$ .*

(i) *If  $n > 0$  and there exists  $I \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $|\text{ar}_u(I)| < |\text{ar}_v(I)|$ , then  $\text{disting}(I)$  divides  $v$  but does not divide  $u$ .*

(ii) *If  $|r(u)| < |r(v)|$  and  $a \in \text{alph}(r(v))$ , then  $m[u].r(u).a$  divides  $v$  but does not divide  $u$ .*



**Proof.** (i)  $\text{disting}(I) = \text{pref}(m[u], I-1).c_u(I).m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I)-1)].a$  with  $a \in \{0, 1\} \setminus \text{alph}(u(|u|))$ . It follows from Proposition 2 that  $\text{disting}(I)$  does not divide  $u$  since  $a \in \{0, 1\} \setminus \text{alph}(r(\text{suf}(u, p_u(I)-1)))$ . Let us show that  $\text{disting}(I)$  divides  $v$ . We can suppose  $c_u(I) = 0^k$ ,  $\text{ar}_u(I) = 0^k 1$  and  $\text{ar}_v(I) = 0^{k+l} 1$  with  $l > 0$ . We have  $\text{pref}(v, p_v(I-1) + k) = \text{ar}_v(1) \dots \text{ar}_v(I-1).c_u(I)$  and therefore  $\text{pref}(m[u], I-1).c_u(I)$  divides  $\text{pref}(v, p_v(I-1) + k)$ . We must now show that  $m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I)-1)].a$  divides  $\text{suf}(v, p_v(I-1) + k)$ . Three cases must be considered.

*Case 1.*  $\text{arch } I$  satisfies  $\mathcal{P}$ . It follows from Lemma 7(i) and (iii) that

$$\begin{aligned} |m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I)-1)].a| &= n - I + 1. |m[\text{suf}(v, p_v(I-1) + k)]| \\ &= |m[0^l 1. \text{suf}(v, p_v(I))]| = |1. m[\text{suf}(v, p_v(I))]| \\ &= |1. \text{suf}(m[v], I)| = n - I + 1. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I)-1)].a$  divides  $\text{suf}(v, p_v(I-1) + k)$  (Proposition 1).

*Case 2.*  $G_u(I) < F_u(I)$ . It follows from Lemma 7(ii) that

$$m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I)-1)].a = 0^{J-I} 1. \text{suf}(m[u], J).a$$

with

$$J = G_u(I). \text{suf}(v, p_v(I-1) + k) = 0^l 1. \text{ar}_v(I+1) \dots \text{ar}_v(J-1). \text{suf}(v, p_v(J-1)).$$

For every  $K \in \{I+1, \dots, J-1\}$ ,  $\text{ar}_u(K) = 01$  and  $\text{ar}_v(K)$  has the form  $0^q 1$  ( $q > 0$ ) since  $m[u] = m[v]$ . Then  $0^{J-I} 1$  divides  $0^l 1. \text{ar}_v(I+1) \dots \text{ar}_v(J-1)$ . It follows from Proposition 1 that  $\text{suf}(m[u], J).a$  divides  $\text{suf}(v, p_v(J-1))$  since  $|\text{suf}(m[u], J).a| = n - J + 1$  and  $|m[\text{suf}(v, p_v(J-1))]| = |\text{suf}(m[v], J-1)| = n - J + 1$ .

*Case 3.*  $F_u(I) = G_u(I) = \infty$  and  $u(p_u(I)-1) \in \text{alph}(r(u))$ . It follows from Lemma 7(iv) that  $m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(I)-1)].a = 0^{n-I+1}.a$ . Since  $u(p_u(I)-1) \in \text{alph}(r(u))$  we have

$$\text{alph}(r(u)) = \text{alph}(r(v)) = \{0\},$$

and

$$\text{suf}(v, p_v(I-1) + k) = 0^l 1. \text{ar}_v(I+1) \dots \text{ar}_v(n-1). 0^q 1 0^r,$$

with  $q > 0$  and  $r > 0$ . The string  $0^{n-I+1}$  divides  $0^l 1. \text{ar}_v(I+1) \dots \text{ar}_v(n-1). 0^q$  and  $a$  divides  $10^r$ .

(ii) We have  $r(v) = r(u).a^k$  with  $k > 0$ . It is readily seen that  $m[u].r(u).a$  does not divide  $u$ . It divides  $v$  since  $m[u] = m[v]$ .  $\square$

Given  $u$  and  $v$  ( $u \neq v$ ) such that  $m[u] = m[v]$  and  $\text{alph}(r(u)) = \text{alph}(r(v))$  we now prove that either there exists an arch  $I$  such that  $\text{disting}(I)$  belongs to  $D(u, v)$ , or  $D(u, v)$  contains a string which distinguishes  $u$  and  $v$  by their rests.

**Proposition 10.** *Let  $u$  and  $v$  be two different strings over  $\{0, 1\}$  such that  $m[u] = m[v]$  and  $\text{alph}(r(u)) = \text{alph}(r(v)) = \mathcal{R}$ . Let  $n = |m[u]| = |m[v]|$ . At least one of the following conditions holds.*

(i)  $n > 0$  and there exists  $I \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  such that  $|\text{ar}_u(I)| \neq |\text{ar}_v(I)|$ ,  $\text{disting}(I)$  belongs to  $D(u, v)$  and  $d(u, v) = |\text{disting}(I)| - 1$ .

(ii)  $|r(u)| \neq |r(v)|$  and  $d(u, v) = n + \min(|r(u)|, |r(v)|)$ . If  $|r(u)| < |r(v)|$  then  $m[u].r(u).a \in D(u, v)$  otherwise  $m[v].r(v).a \in D(u, v)$ , with  $a \in \mathcal{R}$ .

**Proof.** Let  $h \in D(u, v)$ . Necessarily  $|h| \geq 2$ . The string  $h(1) \dots h(|h|-1)$  divides  $u$  and  $v$ . Let  $s$  (resp.  $t$ ) be the first occurrence of  $h(1) \dots h(|h|-1)$  in  $u$  (resp.  $v$ );  $h(1) \dots h(|h|-1) = u(s(1)) \dots u(s(|h|-1)) = v(t(1)) \dots v(t(|h|-1))$ . For every  $I \in \{1, \dots, n\}$  let  $N(u, I, h)$ ,  $N(v, I, h)$ ,  $R(u, h)$  and  $R(v, h)$  denote the following sets:

$$N(u, I, h) = \{s(1), \dots, s(|h|-1)\} \cap \{p_u(I-1)+1, \dots, p_u(I)\},$$

$$N(v, I, h) = \{t(1), \dots, t(|h|-1)\} \cap \{p_v(I-1)+1, \dots, p_v(I)\},$$

$$R(u, h) = \{s(1), \dots, s(|h|-1)\} \cap \{p_u(n)+1, \dots, |u|\},$$

$$R(v, h) = \{t(1), \dots, t(|h|-1)\} \cap \{p_v(n)+1, \dots, |v|\}.$$

$\text{Card}(N(u, I, h))$  (resp.  $\text{Card}(R(u, h))$ ) indicates how many times  $h(1) \dots h(|h|-1)$  “touches” the  $I$ th arch (resp. the rest) of  $u$ .

*Case 1.*  $n = 0$  or  $[n > 0 \text{ and } \forall I \in \{1, \dots, n\}, \text{Card}(N(u, I, h)) = \text{Card}(N(v, I, h))]$ . We have  $\text{card}(R(u, h)) = \text{card}(R(v, h))$ .  $R(u, h)$  and  $R(v, h)$  are not empty, otherwise  $h$  would not distinguish  $u$  and  $v$ . Let  $R(u, h) = \{s(r), \dots, s(|h|-1)\}$  and  $R(v, h) = \{t(r), \dots, t(|h|-1)\}$ . The string  $h(1) \dots h(r-1)$  divides  $\text{pref}(u, p_u(n))$  and  $\text{pref}(v, p_v(n))$ . The string  $h(1) \dots h(r)$  divides neither  $\text{pref}(u, p_u(n))$  nor  $\text{pref}(v, p_v(n))$  because  $s$  and  $t$  are first occurrences. Suppose  $h$  divides  $v$  and does not divide  $u$ . Then  $h(r) \dots h(|h|)$  divides  $r(v)$ . If we had  $|r(u)| \geq |r(v)|$ ,  $h(r) \dots h(|h|)$  would divide  $r(u)$  and  $h$  would divide  $u$ . Hence  $|r(u)| < |r(v)|$ . Let  $a \in \mathcal{R}$ . We have  $r(v) = r(u).a^q$  ( $q > 0$ ) and  $h(r) \dots h(|h|) = r(u).a$ . Since  $h(1) \dots h(r)$  does not divide  $\text{pref}(u, p_u(n))$  we have  $r > |m[\text{pref}(u, p_u(n))]|$  (Proposition 1). Now  $m[\text{pref}(u, p_u(n))] = m[u]$ , then  $r > |m[u]|$  and  $|h| \geq |m[u].r(u).a|$ . It follows from Proposition 9(ii) that  $m(u).r(u).a$  distinguishes  $u$  and  $v$ . Then  $m(u).r(u).a \in D(u, v)$  since  $h \in D(u, v)$ , and condition (ii) holds.

*Case 2.*  $n > 0$  and  $\{I \in \{1, \dots, n\} \mid \text{Card}(N(u, I, h)) \neq \text{Card}(N(v, I, h))\} \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $J = \min\{I \in \{1, \dots, n\} \mid \text{Card}(N(u, I, h)) \neq \text{Card}(N(v, I, h))\}$ ,  $N(u, J, h) = \{s(r), \dots, s(r+p)\}$  and  $N(v, J, h) = \{t(r), \dots, t(r+q)\}$ . The string  $h(1) \dots h(r-1)$  divides  $\text{pref}(u, p_u(J-1))$  and  $\text{pref}(v, p_v(J-1))$ ;  $h(1) \dots h(r)$  divides neither  $\text{pref}(u, p_u(J-1))$  nor  $\text{pref}(v, p_v(J-1))$  since  $s$  and  $t$  are first occurrences. Suppose  $p < q$ . Then  $h(r) \dots h(r+p+1)$  divides  $\text{ar}_v(J)$  but does not divide  $\text{ar}_u(J)$  since  $s$  is the first occurrence of  $h(1) \dots h(|h|-1)$  in  $u$ . Therefore we have  $|\text{ar}_u(J)| < |\text{ar}_v(J)|$ ,  $h(r) \dots h(r+p) = c_u(J)$ ,  $s(r+p) = p_u(J) - 1$  and  $t(r+p) \leq p_v(J) - 2$ .

$$\text{disting}(J) = \text{pref}(m[u], J-1).c_u(J).m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(J)-1)].a$$

with  $a \in \{0, 1\} \setminus \text{alph}(u(|u|))$ . It follows from Proposition 9 that  $\text{disting}(J)$  distinguishes  $u$  and  $v$ . We show that  $|h| \geq |\text{disting}(J)|$ .

(a) The string  $h(1) \dots h(r)$  does not divide  $\text{pref}(u, p_u(J-1))$ . Now  $|m[\text{pref}(u, p_u(J-1))]| = |\text{pref}(m[u], J-1)| = J-1$ . Therefore  $r-1 \geq J-1$  (Proposition 1).

(b) Let us show now that  $|h(r+p+1) \dots h(|h|)| > |m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(J)-1)]|$ :  
 If  $h$  does not divide  $u$  then  $h(r+p+1) \dots h(|h|)$  does not divide  $\text{suf}(u, p_u(J)-1)$ , and therefore  $|h(r+p+1) \dots h(|h|)| > |m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(J)-1)]|$  (Proposition 1).

If  $h$  divides  $u$  then it does not divide  $v$  and  $h(r+p+1) \dots h(|h|)$  does not divide  $\text{suf}(v, t(r+p))$ . Then  $|h(r+p+1) \dots h(|h|)| > |m[\text{suf}(v, t(r+p))]|$  (Proposition 1).

We have

$$m[\text{suf}(v, t(r+p))] = m[\text{suf}(v, p_v(J-1))]$$

since

$$t(r+p) \leq p_v(J) - 2.$$

$$m[\text{suf}(v, p_v(J-1))] = m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(J-1))]$$

since

$$m[u] = m[v].$$

Now

$$|m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(J-1))]| \geq |m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(J)-1)]|,$$

thus

$$|h(r+p+1) \dots h(|h|)| > |m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(J)-1)]|.$$

Finally we have  $r-1 \geq J-1$ ,  $h(r) \dots h(r+p) = c_u(J)$  and  $|h(r+p+1) \dots h(|h|)| \geq |m[\text{suf}(u, p_u(J)-1)].a|$ . Thus  $|h| \geq \text{disting}(J)$ ,  $\text{disting}(J) \in D(u, v)$  and condition (i) holds.  $\square$

#### 4. Algorithm

In this section  $u$  and  $v$  are bit-strings. From the above propositions we obtain a linear on-line algorithm which computes  $d(u, v)$ . It only requires one reading of  $u$  and  $v$  and a constant amount of extra space. Let

$$n = \min(|m[u]|, |m[v]|), \quad r = \min(|r(u)|, |r(v)|),$$

$$\text{Diff} = \{I \in \{1, \dots, n\} \mid |\text{ar}_u(I)| \neq |\text{ar}_v(I)|\},$$

$$M = \{I \in \text{Diff} \mid \forall J \in \text{Diff}, \min(|\text{ar}_u(I)|, |\text{ar}_v(I)|) \leq \min(|\text{ar}_u(J)|, |\text{ar}_v(J)|)\},$$

$$l_{\min} = \min(|\text{ar}_u(I)|, |\text{ar}_v(I)|) \quad \text{with } I \in M,$$

$$P = \{I \in M \mid \text{arch } I \text{ satisfies } \mathcal{P}\}.$$



The procedure UPDATE is also called when the value of  $p$  is false and conditions  $\min(\text{length-arch}[u], \text{length-arch}[v]) = \text{lmin}$  and  $\text{length-arch}[u] \neq \text{length-arch}[v]$  hold, because even if  $\text{lmin}$  is not changed, the values of  $\text{inf}$  and  $\text{bit}$  might be different and  $p$  might become true.

When the algorithm terminates we obtain the following bindings:

- $n$  is bound to  $\min(|m[u]|, |m[v]|)$ ,
- $\text{bool-}m$  is bound to the boolean value of  $m[u] = m[v]$ .  
If  $m[u] = m[v]$  then:
  - $r$  is bound to  $\min(|r(u)|, |r(v)|)$ ,
  - $\text{bool-}r$  is bound to the value of  $|r(u)| = |r(v)|$ ,
  - $\text{bool-}r$  is bound to the value of  $\text{alph}(r(u)) = \text{alph}(r(v))$ ,
  - $\text{bool-Diff}$  is bound to the value of  $\text{Diff} \neq \emptyset$ ,  
If  $m[u] = m[v]$  and  $\text{Diff} \neq \emptyset$  then:
    - $\text{lmin}$  is bound to  $\min(|\text{ar}_u(I)|, |\text{ar}_v(I)|)$  with  $I \in M$ ,
    - $p$  is bound to the value of  $P \neq \emptyset$ .

**procedure UPDATE;**

**begin**

**if**  $\text{length-arch}[u] < \text{length-arch}[v]$  **then**  $\text{inf} := u$  **else**  $\text{inf} := v$ ;

$\text{bit} := \text{bit-arch}[\text{inf}]$ ;  $p := \text{ind}$

**end;** {UPDATE}

**procedure COMPUTE\_P;**

**begin**

**if**  $\text{bit-arch}[\text{inf}] \neq \text{bit}$  **then**  $p := \text{true}$

**else if**  $\text{length-arch}[\text{inf}] > 2$  **then**  $p := \text{false}$ ;

**end;** {COMPUTE\_P}

**Algorithm**

**begin**

$n := 0$ ;  $\text{lmin} := \infty$ ;  $p := \text{false}$ ; NEXTARCH( $u$ ); NEXTARCH( $v$ );

**while**  $\text{bool-arch}[u]$  **and**  $\text{bool-arch}[v]$  **and**  $\text{bit-arch}[u] = \text{bit-arch}[v]$  **do begin**

$n := n + 1$ ;

**if**  $p = \text{ind}$  **then** COMPUTE\_P;

(1) **if**  $\text{length-arch}[u] \neq \text{length-arch}[v]$  **then**

**if**  $\min(\text{length-arch}[u], \text{length-arch}[v]) < \text{lmin}$  **then**

**begin**  $\text{lmin} := \min(\text{length-arch}[u], \text{length-arch}[v])$ ;

    UPDATE

**end**

**else if**  $\min(\text{length-arch}[u], \text{length-arch}[v]) = \text{lmin}$  **and**  $p = \text{false}$

**then** UPDATE;

  NEXTARCH( $u$ ); NEXTARCH( $v$ )

**end;** {while}

```

if not bool-arch[ $u$ ] and not bool-arch[ $v$ ] then {here  $m[u] = m[v]$ }
  begin
    bool- $m$  := true;
     $r := \min(\text{length-arch}[u], \text{length-arch}[v]);$ 
    if length-arch[ $u$ ] = length-arch[ $v$ ]
      then bool- $rl$  := true else bool- $rl$  := false;
    if ( $r = 0$  and bool- $rl$ ) or ( $r \neq 0$  and bit-arch[ $u$ ] = bit-arch[ $v$ ])
      then bool- $r$  := true else bool- $r$  := false;
    if  $lmin \neq \infty$  then bool-Diff := true else bool-Diff := false;
    if  $p = ind$  then
      if length-arch[inf] = 0 or bit  $\neq$  bit-arch[inf] then  $p := true$  else  $p := false$ 
    end
  end
else
  begin {here  $m[u] \neq m[v]$ }
    bool- $m$  := false;
    while bool-arch[ $u$ ] and bool-arch[ $v$ ] do
      begin  $n := n + 1$ ; NEXTARCH( $u$ ); NEXTARCH( $v$ ) end
    end
  end.{algorithm}

```

**Remark.** Line (1): if the value of  $p$  is still “ind” necessarily  $\text{bit-arch}[\text{inf}] = \text{bit}$  and  $\text{length-arch}[\text{inf}] = 2$  (otherwise COMPUTE\_ $P$  would have assigned “true” or “false” to  $p$ ). Therefore if  $\text{length-arch}[u] \neq \text{length-arch}[v]$ ,  $\min(\text{length-arch}[u], \text{length-arch}[v]) = lmin$  and  $p = ind$  it is useless to call UPDATE ( $\text{inf}$ ,  $\text{bit}$  and  $p$  would not be changed).

It follows from Propositions 3, 4, 5, 10 and Lemma 7 that the above algorithm can be straightforwardly adapted to compute a string of  $D(u, v)$ . The main difference is that the models  $m[u]$  and  $m[v]$  must be explicitly computed and the required amount of extra space becomes  $O(d(u, v))$ .

## 5. Conclusion

The arch factorization provides an efficient method to compute  $d(u, v)$  when  $u$  and  $v$  are bit-strings. One may ask whether this method could be generalized to any pair of strings. We first notice that Propositions 3 and 4 hold even if  $u$  and  $v$  are not bit-strings, thus  $d(u, v)$  can always be computed if  $|m[u]| \neq |m[v]|$  or  $\text{alph}(r(u)) \neq \text{alph}(r(v))$ . Difficulties arise when  $|m[u]| = |m[v]|$  and  $\text{alph}(r(u)) = \text{alph}(r(v))$ . For example let us merely consider the case  $|m[u]| = |m[v]| = n$ ,  $\text{alph}(r(u)) = \text{alph}(r(v))$  and  $m[u] \neq m[v]$ . If  $u$  and  $v$  are bit-strings we can prove

that  $d(u, v) = n$  (Proposition 5), but this result does not hold if  $\text{card}(A) > 2$  (see the remark following Proposition 5). In fact the proof of Proposition 5 strongly depends on the cardinality of  $A$ . In order to compute  $d(u, v)$  when  $\text{card}(A) > 2$ , the analysis of  $u$  and  $v$  must be less coarse than the one provided by the mere application of arch factorization. The way for future work could be to compute the arch factorization of  $u$  and  $v$ , and then of every arch of  $u$  and  $v$ , and so on.

## References

- [1] J.J. Hebrard and M. Crochemore, Calcul de la distance par les sous-mots, *RAIRO Inform Théor. Appl.* **20**(4) (1986) 441-456.
- [2] S.K. Kumar and C.P. Rangan, A linear space algorithm for the LCS problem, *Acta Inform.* **24** (1987) 353-362.
- [3] Lothaire, *Combinatorics on Words* (Cambridge University Press, 1983).
- [4] W.J. Masek and M.S. Paterson, A faster algorithm computing string edit distances, *J. Comput. System Sci.* **20** (1980) 18-31.
- [5] N. Nakatsu, Y. Kambayashi and S. Yajima, A longest common subsequence algorithm suitable for similar test strings, *Acta Inform.* **18** (1982) 171-179.
- [6] D. Sankoff and J.B. Kruskal, *Time Warps, String Edits and Macromolecules: the Theory and Practice of Sequence Comparison* (Addison-Wesley, Reading, MA, 1983).
- [7] I. Simon, An algorithm to distinguish words efficiently by their subwords, 1984, unpublished.