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#### Abstract

Tsallis relative operator entropy is defined and then its properties are given. Shannon inequality and its reverse one in Hilbert space operators derived by Furuta [Linear Algebra Appl. 381 (2004) 219] are extended in terms of the parameter of the Tsallis relative operator entropy. Moreover the generalized Tsallis relative operator entropy is introduced and then several operator inequalities are derived. © 2004 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.
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## 1. Introduction

Tsallis entropy

$$
S_{q}(X)=-\sum_{x} p(x)^{q} \ln _{q} p(x)
$$

was defined in [6] for the probability distribution $p(x)$, where $q$-logarithm function is defined by $\ln _{q}(x) \equiv \frac{x^{1-q}-1}{1-q}$ for any nonnegative real numbers $x$ and $q \neq 1$. It is easily seen that Tsallis entropy is one parameter extension of Shannon entropy $S_{1}(X) \equiv-\sum_{x} p(x) \log p(x)$ and converges to it as $q \rightarrow 1$. The study based on Tsallis type entropies has been developed in mainly statistical physics [7]. In the recent work [1], Tsallis type relative entropy in quantum system, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{q}(\rho \mid \sigma) \equiv \frac{1}{1-q}\left[1-\operatorname{Tr}\left(\rho^{q} \sigma^{1-q}\right)\right] \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for two density operators $\rho$ and $\sigma$ (i.e., positive operators with unit trace) and $0 \leqslant$ $q<1$, was investigated.

On the other hand, the relative operator entropy was defined by Fujii and Kamei [3]. Many important results in operator theory and information theory have been published in the relation to Golden-Thompson inequality [2,5]. We are interested in not only the properties of the Tsallis type relative entropy but also the properties before taking a trace, namely, Tsallis type relative operator entropy which is a parametric extension of the relative operator entropy. In this paper, we define the Tsallis relative operator entropy and then show some properties of Tsallis relative operator entropy. To this end, we slightly change the parameter $q$ in Eq. (1) to $\lambda$ in our definition which will be appeared in the following section. Moreover, in order to make our definition correspond to the definition of the relative operator entropy defined in [3], we change the sign of the original Tsallis relative entropy.

## 2. Tsallis relative entropy

As mentioned above, we adopt the slightly modified definition of the Tsallis relative entropy in the following.

Definition 1. Let $a=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{n}\right\}$ and $b=\left\{b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{n}\right\}$ be two probability vectors satisfying $a_{j}, b_{j}>0$. Then for $0<\lambda \leqslant 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\lambda}(a \mid b)=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}^{1-\lambda} b_{j}^{\lambda}-1}{\lambda} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called Tsallis relative entropy between $a$ and $b$.

We should note that the Tsallis relative entropy is usually defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{q}(a \mid b)=\frac{1-\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}^{q} b_{j}^{1-q}}{1-q} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a parameter $q \geqslant 0$ in the field of statistical physics [7]. There is the relation between them such that $S_{\lambda}(a \mid b)=-D_{1-q}(a \mid b)$. However, in this paper, we adopt the definition of Eq. (2) in stead of Eq. (3), to study of the properties of the parametrically extended relative operator entropy as a series of the study of the relative operator entropy from the operator theoretical point of view. The opposite sign between the relative entropy defined by Umegaki [8] and the relative operator entropy led us to define the Tsallis relative operator entropy in the above.

Tsallis relative entropy defined in Eq. (2) has the following properties.
Proposition 1. We have the following (1) and (2).
(1) $S_{\lambda}(a \mid b) \geqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \log \frac{b_{j}}{a_{j}} \quad$ for $0<\lambda \leqslant 1$.
(2) $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} S_{\lambda}(a \mid b)=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \log \frac{b_{j}}{a_{j}}$.

Proof. (1) Since $t^{\lambda}-1 \geqslant \log t^{\lambda}$, we have

$$
\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}^{1-\lambda} b_{j}^{\lambda}-1}{\lambda}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \frac{\left(\frac{b_{j}}{a_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}-1}{\lambda} \geqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \log \frac{b_{j}}{a_{j}}
$$

(2)

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}^{1-\lambda} b_{j}^{\lambda}-1}{\lambda} & =\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow 0} \frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}\left(\frac{b_{j}}{a_{j}}\right)^{\lambda}-1}{\lambda} \\
& =\left.\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}\left(\frac{b_{j}}{a_{j}}\right)^{\lambda} \log \frac{b_{j}}{a_{j}}\right|_{\lambda=0} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j} \log \frac{b_{j}}{a_{j}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

## Proposition 2

$$
0 \geqslant S_{\lambda}(a \mid b) \geqslant \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{b_{j}}\right)^{-\lambda}-1}{\lambda}
$$

Proof. Since

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}^{1-\lambda} b_{j}^{\lambda} \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left\{(1-\lambda) a_{j}+\lambda b_{j}\right\}=(1-\lambda) \sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}+\lambda \sum_{j=1}^{n} b_{j}=1
$$

we have

$$
S_{\lambda}(a \mid b) \leqslant 0
$$

We also give another inequality. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} a_{j}^{1-\lambda} b_{j}^{\lambda} & =\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{a_{j}}{b_{j}}\right)^{-\lambda} a_{j} \geqslant \prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{a_{j}}{b_{j}}\right)^{-\lambda a_{j}} \\
& =\left(\prod_{j=1}^{n}\left(\frac{a_{j}}{b_{j}}\right)^{a_{j}}\right)^{-\lambda} \geqslant\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{b_{j}}\right)^{-\lambda}
\end{aligned}
$$

we have

$$
S_{\lambda}(a \mid b) \geqslant \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{a_{j}^{2}}{b_{j}}\right)^{-\lambda}-1}{\lambda}
$$

## 3. Tsallis relative operator entropy

A bounded linear operator $T$ on a Hilbert space $H$ is said to be positive (denoted by $T \geqslant 0)$ if $(T x, x) \geqslant 0$ for all $x \in H$ and also an operator $T$ is said to be strictly positive (denoted by $T>0$ ) if $T$ is invertible and positive. We define Tsallis relative operator entropy in the following.

Definition 2. For $A>0, B>0$ and $0<\lambda \leqslant 1$,

$$
T_{\lambda}(A \mid B)=\frac{A^{1 / 2}\left(A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right)^{\lambda} A^{1 / 2}-A}{\lambda}
$$

is called Tsallis relative operator entropy between $A$ and $B$.
In this section we give the Shannon type operator inequality and its reverse one satisfied by Tsallis relative operator entropy.

Theorem 1. Let $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{n}\right\}$ be two sequences of strictly positive operators on a Hilbert space $H$. If $\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j}=I$, then

$$
0 \geqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} T_{\lambda}\left(A_{j} \mid B_{j}\right) \geqslant \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j} B_{j}^{-1} A_{j}\right)^{-\lambda}-I}{\lambda} .
$$

We need a lemma before we prove the main theorem.
Lemma 1. For fixed $t>0$, an inequality of $\lambda(0<\lambda \leqslant 1)$ holds.

$$
\frac{t^{\lambda}-1}{\lambda} \leqslant t-1
$$

Proof. If $t=1$, then it is clear. If $t \neq 1$, then we put $F(\lambda)=\lambda(t-1)-t^{\lambda}+1$. Then we have $F^{\prime}(\lambda)=t-1-t^{\lambda} \log t$ and $F^{\prime \prime}(\lambda)=-t^{\lambda}(\log t)^{2}<0$. Hence $F(\lambda)$ is concave. Since $F(0)=F(1)=0$, we have the result.

Proof of Theorem 1. It follows from Lemma 1 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{A^{1 / 2}\left(A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right)^{\lambda} A^{1 / 2}-A}{\lambda} & =A^{1 / 2} \frac{\left(A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right)^{\lambda}-I}{\lambda} A^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqslant A^{1 / 2}\left(A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}-I\right) A^{1 / 2} \\
& =B-A,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $A>0, B>0$ and $0<\lambda \leqslant 1$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} T_{\lambda}\left(A_{j} \mid B_{j}\right) & =\sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{A_{j}^{1 / 2}\left(A_{j}^{-1 / 2} B_{j} A_{j}^{-1 / 2}\right)^{\lambda} A_{j}^{1 / 2}-A_{j}}{\lambda} \\
& \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(B_{j}-A_{j}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

We also prove another inequality. We apply Proposition 3.1 of Furuta [4] by putting $f(x)=-x^{-\lambda}, C_{j}=A_{j}^{1 / 2}$ and $X_{j}=A_{j}^{1 / 2} B_{j}^{-1} A_{j}^{1 / 2}$. Then

$$
-\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}^{1 / 2}\left(A^{1 / 2} B_{j}^{-1} A_{j}^{1 / 2}\right) A_{j}^{1 / 2}\right)^{-\lambda} \geqslant-\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}^{1 / 2}\left(A_{j}^{1 / 2} B_{j}^{-1} A_{j}^{1 / 2}\right)^{-\lambda} A_{j}^{1 / 2}
$$

Hence

$$
\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j} B_{j}^{-1} A_{j}\right)^{-\lambda} \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}^{1 / 2}\left(A_{j}^{-1 / 2} B_{j} A_{j}^{-1 / 2}\right)^{\lambda} A_{j}^{1 / 2}
$$

Then we complete the proof.

We also obtain the operator version of the Shannon inequality and reverse one given by Furuta [4] as a corollary of Theorem 1 in the following.

Corollary 1 (Furuta [4]). Let $\left\{A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{B_{1}, B_{2}, \ldots, B_{n}\right\}$ be two sequences of strictly positive operators on a Hilbert space $H$. If $\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}=$ $\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j}=I$, then

$$
0 \geqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}^{1 / 2}\left(\log A_{j}^{-1 / 2} B_{j} A_{j}^{-1 / 2}\right) A_{j}^{1 / 2} \geqslant-\log \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j} B_{j}^{-1} A_{j}\right]
$$

We need the following lemma to prove it.
Lemma 2. For $0<\lambda<1,0<\alpha<\beta$, we have the following (1) and (2).
(1) $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow+0} \frac{t^{\lambda}-1}{\lambda}=\log t$ uniformly on $[\alpha, \beta]$.
(2) $\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow+0} \frac{t^{-\lambda}-1}{\lambda}=-\log t$ uniformly on $[\alpha, \beta]$.

Proof. We prove it by using Dini's theorem.
Proof of Corollary 1. By (1) of Lemma 2,

$$
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow+0} \frac{\left(A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right)^{\lambda}-I}{\lambda}=\log A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2},
$$

where the limit is taken in operator norm. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow+0} \sum_{j=1}^{n} T_{\lambda}\left(A_{j} \mid B_{j}\right) & =\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow+0} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \frac{A_{j}^{1 / 2}\left(A_{j}^{-1 / 2} B_{j} A_{j}^{-1 / 2}\right)^{\lambda} A_{j}^{1 / 2}-A_{j}}{\lambda} \\
& =\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}^{1 / 2}\left(\log A_{j}^{-1 / 2} B_{j} A_{j}^{-1 / 2}\right) A_{j}^{1 / 2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

On the other hand, by (2) of Lemma 2, we have

$$
\lim _{\lambda \rightarrow+0} \frac{\left(\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j} B_{j}^{-1} A_{j}\right)^{-\lambda}-I}{\lambda}=-\log \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j} B_{j}^{-1} A_{j}\right]
$$

Therefore Theorem 1 ensures

$$
0 \geqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}^{1 / 2}\left(\log A_{j}^{-1 / 2} B_{j} A_{j}^{-1 / 2}\right) A_{j}^{1 / 2} \geqslant-\log \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j} B_{j}^{-1} A_{j}\right]
$$

Actually the above Corollary 1 is a part of the Corollary 2.4 in [4]. We will generalize our Tsallis relative operator entropy and derive some generalized operator inequalities by the different way from [4] in the following section.

## 4. Generalized Tsallis relative operator entropy

We remind of the relative operator entropy and its related operator entropy.
Definition 3. For $A>0, B>0$

$$
S(A \mid B)=A^{1 / 2}\left(\log A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right) A^{1 / 2}
$$

is called relative operator entropy between $A$ and $B$. It was defined by Fujii and Kamei [3] originally. For $A>0, B>0$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, the generalized relative operator entropy was defined by Furuta [4]

$$
S_{\lambda}(A \mid B)=A^{1 / 2}\left(A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right)^{\lambda}\left(\log A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right) A^{1 / 2}
$$

and

$$
A \natural_{\lambda} B=A^{1 / 2}\left(A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right)^{\lambda} A^{1 / 2} .
$$

In particular we remark that $S_{0}(A \mid B)=S(A \mid B), A \natural_{0} B=A$ and $A \bigsqcup_{1} B=B$.
We generalize the definition of the Tsallis relative operator entropy.
Definition 4. For $A>0, B>0, \lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}, \lambda \neq 0$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\tilde{T}_{\mu, k, \lambda}(A \mid B)=\frac{A \square_{\mu+k \lambda} B-A \bigsqcup_{\mu+(k-1) \lambda} B}{\lambda}
$$

is called generalized Tsallis relative operator entropy. In particular we remark that for $\lambda \neq 0$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{T}_{0,1, \lambda}(A \mid B) & =\frac{A \bigsqcup_{\lambda} B-A \bigsqcup_{0} B}{\lambda}=\frac{A^{1 / 2}\left(A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}\right)^{\lambda} A^{1 / 2}-A}{\lambda} \\
& =T_{\lambda}(A \mid B) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We state the relationship among $S_{\mu \pm k \lambda}(A \mid B), S_{\mu \pm(k+1) \lambda}(A \mid B)$ and $\tilde{T}_{\mu, k+1, \pm \lambda}$ $(A \mid B)$.

Proposition 3. If $\lambda>0, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k=0,1,2, \ldots$, then
(1) $S_{\mu-(k+1) \lambda}(A \mid B) \leqslant \tilde{T}_{\mu, k+1,-\lambda}(A \mid B) \leqslant S_{\mu-k \lambda}(A \mid B)$.
(2) $S_{\mu+k \lambda}(A \mid B) \leqslant \tilde{T}_{\mu, k+1, \lambda}(A \mid B) \leqslant S_{\mu+(k+1) \lambda}(A \mid B)$.

Proof. If $\lambda>0, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k=0,1,2, \ldots$, then it is easy to give the following inequalities for any $t>0$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t^{\mu-(k+1) \lambda} \log t \leqslant \frac{t^{\mu-(k+1) \lambda}-t^{\mu-k \lambda}}{-\lambda} \leqslant t^{\mu-k \lambda} \log t \\
& t^{\mu+k \lambda} \log t \leqslant \frac{t^{\mu+(k+1) \lambda}-t^{\mu+k \lambda}}{\lambda} \leqslant t^{\mu+(k+1) \lambda} \log t
\end{aligned}
$$

Then replace $t$ by $A^{-1 / 2} B A^{-1 / 2}$ and multiply $A^{1 / 2}$ on both sides so we get the desired results.

By putting $k=0$ or 1 , we get the following.
Corollary 2. For $A>0, B>0, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{\mu-2 \lambda}(A \mid B) & \leqslant \tilde{T}_{\mu, 2,-\lambda}(A \mid B) \leqslant S_{\mu-\lambda}(A \mid B) \\
& \leqslant \tilde{T}_{\mu, 1,-\lambda}(A \mid B) \leqslant S_{\mu}(A \mid B) \leqslant \tilde{T}_{\mu, 1, \lambda}(A \mid B) \\
& \leqslant S_{\mu+\lambda}(A \mid B) \leqslant \tilde{T}_{\mu, 2, \lambda}(A \mid B) \leqslant S_{\mu+2 \lambda}(A \mid B)
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular by putting $\mu=0, \lambda=1$, we get the following.
Corollary 3. For $A>0, B>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{-2}(A \mid B) & \leqslant \tilde{T}_{0,2,-1}(A \mid B) \leqslant S_{-1}(A \mid B) \\
& \leqslant \tilde{T}_{0,1,-1}(A \mid B) \leqslant S_{0}(A \mid B) \leqslant \tilde{T}_{0,1,1}(A \mid B) \\
& \leqslant S_{1}(A \mid B) \leqslant \tilde{T}_{0,2,1}(A \mid B) \leqslant S_{2}(A \mid B)
\end{aligned}
$$

We rewrite the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{-2}(A \mid B) & \leqslant A B^{-1} A-A B^{-1} A B^{-1} A \leqslant S_{-1}(A \mid B) \\
& \leqslant A-A B^{-1} A \leqslant S(A \mid B) \leqslant B-A \\
& \leqslant S_{1}(A \mid B) \leqslant B A^{-1} B-B \leqslant S_{2}(A \mid B)
\end{aligned}
$$

Similarly we state the relationship among $\sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{\mu \pm k \lambda}\left(A_{j} \mid B_{j}\right)$, $\sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{\mu \pm(k+1) \lambda}\left(A_{j} \mid B_{j}\right)$ and $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{T}_{\mu, k+1, \pm \lambda}\left(A_{j} \mid B_{j}\right)$, where $A_{j}>0, B_{j}>0$ satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j}=I$. If $\lambda>0, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $k=0,1,2, \ldots$, then we have the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{\mu-(k+1) \lambda}\left(A_{j} \mid B_{j}\right) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{T}_{\mu, k+1,-\lambda}\left(A_{j} \mid B_{j}\right) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{\mu-k \lambda}\left(A_{j} \mid B_{j}\right), \\
& \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{\mu+k \lambda}\left(A_{j} \mid B_{j}\right) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{T}_{\mu, k+1, \lambda}\left(A_{j} \mid B_{j}\right) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{\mu+(k+1) \lambda}\left(A_{j} \mid B_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By putting $k=0$ or 1 , we get the following.
Corollary 4. For $A>0, B>0, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\lambda>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{\mu-2 \lambda}\left(A_{j} \mid B_{j}\right) & \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{T}_{\mu, 2,-\lambda}\left(A_{j} \mid B_{j}\right) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{\mu-\lambda}\left(A_{j} \mid B_{j}\right) \\
& \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{T}_{\mu, 1,-\lambda}\left(A_{j} \mid B_{j}\right) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{\mu}\left(A_{j} \mid B_{j}\right) \\
& \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{T}_{\mu, 1, \lambda}\left(A_{j} \mid B_{j}\right) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{\mu+\lambda}\left(A_{j} \mid B_{j}\right) \\
& \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} \tilde{T}_{\mu, 2, \lambda}\left(A_{j} \mid B_{j}\right) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{\mu+2 \lambda}\left(A_{j} \mid B_{j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In particular by putting $\mu=0, \lambda=1$, we get the following result which is somewhat different type from Corollary in [4].

Corollary 5. For $A_{j}>0, B_{j}>0$ satisfying $\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j}=\sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j}=I$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{-2}\left(A_{j} \mid B_{j}\right) & \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j} B_{j}^{-1} A_{j}-\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j} B_{j}^{-1} A_{j} B_{j}^{-1} A_{j} \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{-1}\left(A_{j} \mid B_{j}\right) \\
& \leqslant I-\sum_{j=1}^{n} A_{j} B_{j}^{-1} A_{j} \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} S\left(A_{j} \mid B_{j}\right) \leqslant 0 \\
& \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{1}\left(A_{j} \mid B_{j}\right) \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} B_{j} A_{j}^{-1} B_{j}-I \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{n} S_{2}\left(A_{j} \mid B_{j}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$
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