

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

SciVerse ScienceDirect

Physics Procedia

Physics Procedia 24 (2012) 731 - 734

## 2012 International Conference on Applied Physics and Industrial Engineering

# (2,1)-Total labelling of trees with 3,4 are not in $D{\scriptstyle\Delta}$

## Haina Sun

Department of Fundamental Courses ,Ningbo Institute of Technology,Zhejiang Univ,Ningbo 315100,China.

#### Abstract

Let T be a tree with maximum degree  $\Delta \ge 4$ . Let  $D_{\Delta}(T)$  denote the set of integers k for which there exist two distinct vertices of maximum degree of distance at k in T. It was known that  $\Delta + 1 \le \lambda_2^t(T) \le \Delta + 2$ . In this paper, we prove that if  $3, 4 \notin D_{\Delta}(T)$ , then  $\lambda_2^t(T) = \Delta + 1$ .

© 2011 Published by Elsevier B.V. Selection and/or peer-review under responsibility of ICAPIE Organization Committee. Open access under CC BY-NC-ND license.

Keywords: <sup>(2,1)</sup> -Total labelling; Tree; Maximum degree; Combinatorial problems.

### **1.Introduction**

Motivated by the Frequency Channel Assignment problem, Griggs and Yeh [1] introduced the L(2,1) -labelling of graphs. This notion was subsequently generalized to the L(p,q) -labelling problem of graphs. Let p and q be two nonnegative integers. An L(p,q) -labelling of a graph G is a function f from its vertex set V(G) to the set  $\{0,1,\dots,k\}$  such that  $|f(x) - f(y)| \ge p$  if x and y are adjacent, and  $|f(x) - f(y)| \ge q$  if x and y are at distance 2. The L(p,q) -labelling number  $\lambda_{p,q}(G)$  of G is the smallest k such that G has an L(p,q) -labelling f with  $\max\{f(v) \circledast \in V(G)\} = k$ . In particular, we simply write  $\lambda(G) = \lambda_{2,1}(G)$ .

A star is a tree that consists of  $\Delta$  leaves (A leaf is a 1-vertex) and a  $\Delta$ -vertex. A generalized star is a tree that all vertices are leaves except that two adjacent vertices. Obviously, a star is also a generalized star and the L(2,1)-total labelling number of a generalized star is  $\Delta$ +1. Let M denote a

generalized star, a tree of order 8 consisting two adjacent 4-vertices and four leaves. Let  $K_{1,4}$  denote the star of order 5. Clearly, both  $K_{1,4}$  and M are type 1. Recent research see references [2,3,4,5,6].

# **2.Trees with** $3, 4 \notin D_{\Delta}$

Given an edge  $e = vu \in E(T)$ , we use  $T_v(e)$  to represent the subtree of T which is rooted at the vertex v and contains the edge e.

**Theorem.** If T is a tree with  $\Delta \ge 4$  and  $3, 4 \notin D_{\Lambda}(T)$ , then T is Type 1.

**Proof.** The proof is proceeded by induction on |T|. The theorem holds clearly if |T| = 5. Let T be a tree with  $|T| \ge 6$ ,  $\Delta \ge 4$  and  $3, 4 \notin D_{\Delta}(T)$ . If T is a generalized star, it is easy to construct a (2,1)-total labelling of T using the label set  $B = \{0, 1, \dots, \Delta + 1\}$ . Thus, assume that T is not a generalized star.

If T contains a leaf v adjacent to a minor vertex u, then T-v has a (2,1)-total labelling fusing  $B = \{0,1,\dots,\Delta+1\}$ , by the induction hypothesis (or using the fact that every tree  $T^*$  has  $\lambda_2^t(T^*) \leq \Delta(T^*) + 2$ ). Since  $deg(u) \leq \Delta - 1$ , there exist at most  $\Delta - 2 + 3 = \Delta + 1$  forbidden labels for the edge vu and at most four forbidden labels for the vertex v. By  $|B| = \Delta + 2$ , we can first extend f to vu and then to v. Hence, assume that no leaf is adjacent to a minor vertex.

First, suppose that  $\Delta \ge 5$ . *T* contains a configuration: i.e., a minor vertex *v* adjacent to deg(v)-1 major handles  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{deg(v)-1}$  and the other vertex *y*. Let

$$T' = T - \bigcup_{i=1}^{\deg(v)-1} (L(x_i) \cup \{x_i\}).$$

By the induction hypothesis, T' has a (2,1) -total labell -ing f using  $B = \{0,1,\dots,\Delta+1\}$ . If  $f(v) \notin \{0, \Delta+1\}$ , then f can be extended into a (2,1) -total labelling of. T Assume that  $f(v) \in \{0, \Delta+1\}$ . Relabel v with a label from  $B \setminus \{0, \Delta+1, f(v), f(vy) - 1, f(vy), f(vy) + 1\}$ . As  $|B \setminus \{0, \Delta+1, f(y), f(vy) - 1, f(vy), f(vy) + 1\}|_{1} \ge |B| - 6 = \Delta + 2 - 6 \ge 5 + 2 - 6 = 1$ 

such a relabelling is feasible. f can be extended to T. Next, suppose that  $\Delta = 4$ . For each possible case, we construct a subtree T' and let f be a (2,1)-total labelling of T' using the label set  $B = \{0, 1, \dots, 5\}$ . Afterwards, we are going to extend f to the whole tree T by a series of labelling or relabelling.

1.If T contains a path  $x_1x_2x_3x_4$  such that  $d(x_2)=2$  and  $x_1$  is a major handle.

We set T' = T - u - L(u). If  $f(v) \notin \{0, 5\}$ , that f can be extended to T. So assume that  $f(v) \in \{0, 5\}$ , say f(v) = 0. We first label u with 5, and then label uv with a label in  $\{2, 3\} \setminus \{f(vw)\}$ , where w is the neighbor of v different from u. f can be extended to T.

**2**.If T contains a path  $x_1x_2x_3x_4$  such that  $d(x_2)=3$ ,  $x_1$  is a major handle and

 $x_2$   $y_1$   $y_2$  is a path, where  $y_1$  is a neighbor of  $x_2$  with  $y_1$  is a major handle. We set  $T' = T - \{x_1, x_2\} - L(x_1) - L(x_2)$ .

(2.1) Assume that  $deg(x_3) = 2$ . Let  $x'_3 \neq x$  denote the second neighbor of  $x_3$ . By the symmetry of labels in B, let  $f(x'_3) \in \{0,1,2\}$ . In order to extend f to T, we only need to handle the following cases

(2.1a)  $f(x'_3) = 0$ . It follows that  $f(x_3 x'_3) \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ .

If  $f(x_3x'_3) = 2$ , we relabel  $x_3, x_3x, x$  with 4,1,3, respectively.

If  $f(x_3x'_3) = 3$ , we relabel  $x_3, x_3x, x$  with 5,1,4, respectively.

If  $f(x_3x'_3) = 4$ , we relabel  $x_3, x_3x, x$  with 1,5,3, respectively.

If  $f(x_3x'_3) = 5$ , we relabel  $x_3, x_3x, x$  with 1, 4, 2, respectively.

(2.1b)  $f(x'_3) = 1$ . It follows that  $f(x_3 x'_3) \in \{3, 4, 5\}$ .

If  $f(x_3, x'_3) = 3$ , we relabel  $x_3, x_3x, x$  with 5, 2, 4, respectively.

If  $f(x_3x'_3) = 4$ , we relabel  $x_3, x_3x, x$  with 2, 5, 3, respectively.

If  $f(x_3, x'_3) = 5$ , we relabel  $x_3, x_3, x_3, x$  with 0, 4, 2, respectively.

(2.1c)  $f(x'_3) = 2$ . It follows that  $f(x_3 x'_3) \in \{0, 4, 5\}$ .

If  $f(x_3 x'_3) = 0$ , we relabel  $x_3, x_3 x, x$  with 3, 5, 1, respectively.

If  $f(x_3x'_3) = 4$ , we relabel  $x_3, x_3x, x$  with 1,5,3, respectively.

If  $f(x_3 x'_3) = 5$ , we relabel  $x_3, x_3 x, x$  with 1, 4, 2, respectively.

(2.2) Assume that  $deg(x_3) = 4$ .  $f(x_3) \in \{0,5\}$ , say  $f(x_3) = 0$ . Thus,  $f(x_3x) \in \{2,3,4,5\}$ . If  $f(x_3x) = 2$ , we relabel x with 4. If  $f(x_3x) \in \{3,4,5\}$ , we relabel x with 1. f can be extended to T.

**3.** If T contains a path  $x_1x_2x_3x_4$  such that  $x_1$  is a major handle and  $x_2$  is a weak major handle. We set  $T' = T - \{u_1, u_2\} - L(u_1) - L(u_2)$ . By symmetry, we may assume that  $f(v) \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ . If f(v) = 0, the proof is similar to Case (2.2).

Assume that f(v) = 1. Then,  $f(vu) \in \{3, 4, 5\}$ . If  $f(vu) \in \{4, 5\}$ , then we relabel u with 2. If f(vu) = 3, it follows that  $f(vv_1) \in \{4, 5\}$ . We first exchange the labels of vu and  $vv_1$ , and then relabel u with 2 and v with 0. f can be extended to T. Assume that f(v) = 2. Then,

 $f(vu) \in \{0, 4, 5\}$ . If f(vu) = 0, we relabel u with 3. If  $f(vu) \in \{4, 5\}$ , we relabel u with 1. f can be extended to T.

**4.**If T contains path  $x_1x_2x_3x_4$  such that  $x_1$  is a major handle and  $x_2$  is  $\Delta x_2$  is  $\Delta x_2$  is a path, where  $y_1$  is a neighbor of  $x_2$  with  $y_1$  is a major handle, the neighbor of  $x_2$  other than  $x_1x_3$  and  $y_1$  is a leaf. The proof is divided into the following two subcases:

(4.1) deg(z) = 4. We set

 $T = T - \{u, v, u_1, u_2, v_1, v_2\} - L(u_1) - L(u_2) - L(v_2) \quad f(z) \in \{0, 5\} \quad \text{say} \quad f(z) = 0 \quad \text{so},$  $f(zw) \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ . it suffices to construct the following labelling: If f(zw) = 2, we relabel w, wu, wv, u, v with 4, 0, 1, 3, 3, respectively. If f(zw) = 3, we relabel w, wu, wv, u, v with 1, 4, 5, 2, 2, respectively. If f(zw) = 4, we relabel w, wu, wv, u, v with 2, 0, 5, 3, 1, respectively. If f(zw) = 5, we relabel w, wu, wv, u, v with 2, 0, 4, 3, 1, respectively. (4.2)  $deg(z) \leq 3$ . We set  $T' = T - \{u_1, u_2\} - L(u_1) - L(u_2) + wy$ , where  $v \notin V(T)$  is a new vertex. It is easy to see that |T'| < |T| and  $\Delta(T') = \Delta(T) = 4$ . Since  $deg(z) \leq 3$ ,  $1 \notin D_{A}(T')$ . By the induction hypothesis, T' has a (2,1) -total labelling f using  $B = \{0, 1, \dots, 5\}$ . Since w is of degree 4 in T',  $f(w) \in \{0, 5\}$ , say f(w) = 0. Thus,  $f(wu) \in \{2, 3, 4, 5\}$ . Remove y and extend f to T in this way: If f(wu) = 2, we relabel u with 4 : If  $f(wu) \in \{3, 4, 5\}$ , we relabel u with 1.

### Acknowledgment

The first author's research was partially supported by the Natural Science Foundation of zhejiang Province(Y6090131) and T the Natural Science Foundation of Ningbo City (No.2010A610101)

#### References

[1] W. K. Hale, Frequency assignment: theory and applications, Proc IEEE 68(1980), 1497-1514.

[2] W. Wang, The L(2,1) – labelling of trees, Discrete Applied Math 154(2006), 598-603. [3] W. Wang, Total chromatic number of planar graphs with maximum degree ten, J Graph Theory 54(2007), 91-102.

[4] D. Chen and W. Wang, (2,1) - total labelling of outerplanar graphs, submitted.

[5] M. Montassier and A. Raspaud, (d, 1)-total labelling of graphs with a given maximum average degree, J Graph Theory 51(2006), 93-109

[6] A. Kemnitz and M. Marangio. [r, s, t]-colorings of graphs. Discrete Math 307(2007), 119-207.