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Minimal incisions for laparoscopic radical cystectomy with extracorporeal-assisted urinary diversion
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A B S T R A C T

Objective: Laparoscopic radical cystectomy (LRC) had been used for >10 years. However, longer wound incisions for extracorporeal-assisted urinary diversion decrease the benefits of a laparoscopic approach. In this study, we describe our experience of modified LRC with extracorporeal-assisted urinary diversion using minimal wound incisions.

Materials and methods: From January 2011 to January 2013, 22 consecutive patients underwent radical cystectomy by a single surgeon. Seven patients underwent open radical cystectomy (ORC), and 15 patients underwent LRC with four-port incisions.

Results: The LRC group had a significantly lower estimated blood loss (p = 0.005), lower blood transfusion rate (p = 0.004), and lower ileus rate (p = 0.031) than the ORC group. No significant differences were noted in operative time, time to flatus, pain score, overall complication rate, pathological stage, positive surgical margin rate, or lymph node yield (27.6 for LRC and 29.1 for ORC). The 1-year disease free survival rate was 86.7% in the LRC group and 71.4% in the ORC group, and the 1-year overall survival rates were both 100%.

Conclusion: Our experience shows that LRC with extracorporeal-assisted urinary diversion using minimal incisions is a safe and feasible surgical technique with less blood loss. Further reports with a longer follow-up period and large number of cases are necessary to validate our findings.

Copyright © 2014, Taiwan Urological Association. Published by Elsevier Taiwan LLC.

Open access under CC BY-NC-ND license.

1. Introduction

Although open radical cystectomy (ORC) remains the gold standard procedure for the treatment of muscle-invasive bladder cancer, an increasing number of urologists are using a laparoscopic approach for radical cystectomy. This minimally invasive approach is expected to decrease postoperative recovery time and surgical complications, while achieving compatible oncological results to the traditional open procedure.

Unlike laparoscopic nephrectomy or prostatectomy, laparoscopic radical cystectomy (LRC) has developed relatively slowly in the past 20 years because of the difficulty of ureteroenteric anastomosis impeding the advancement of intracorporeal urinary diversion. Only skilled and experienced laparoscopic surgeons can perform the procedure successfully and minimize complications.1,2 An increasing number of studies have reported the safety of intracorporeal urinary diversion assisted by a robotic system.3 For a purely laparoscopic approach, extracorporeal-assisted urinary diversion is safer, easier, and more acceptable for most medical centers. However, the longer wound incisions in extracorporeal-assisted urinary diversion seem to compromise the benefits of the laparoscopic approach.

In this study, we show the feasibility, safety, and early oncological outcomes of our modified LRC with extracorporeal-assisted urinary diversion using minimal wound incisions.

2. Methods

We retrospectively reviewed 22 consecutive patients who underwent radical cystectomy by a single surgeon (C.K.Y.) in one tertiary referral hospital from January 2011 to January 2013. All of the patients were diagnosed with muscle-invasive bladder cancer or high-risk recurrent noninvasive disease prior to surgery, and they all received chest radiography and abdominal computed tomography (CT) for preoperative staging. If the abdominal CT scan showed distal metastasis or T4b lesions (pelvic side wall or abdominal wall invasion), curative surgery was not suggested.
Contraindications for laparoscopic surgery are previous abdominal surgery, renal hilar or interaortocaval lymphadenopathy in the abdominal CT, receiving neoadjuvant chemotherapy, or patients who could not tolerate pneumoperitoneum and steep Trendelenburg position. We explained the possible benefits and drawbacks of LRC with extracorporeal-assisted urinary diversion, and the patients could choose either open or laparoscopic surgery. Seven patients chose traditional ORC and 15 patients chose the laparoscopic approach. None of them received neoadjuvant chemotherapy because no significant lymphadenectomy was noted clinically or in the abdominal CT.

All of the patients received the same standard pelvic lymphadenectomy template, including common iliac, external iliac, internal iliac, and obturator lymph node chains. The urinary diversion included the Studer neobladder, ileal conduit, and cutaneous ureterostomy. Indications for cutaneous ureterostomy are previous radiation history or severe adhesion of small intestine. Ileal conduit is suggested for female patients and older (>65 years) male patients.

For the laparoscopic surgery, a four-port transperitoneal approach was used (Fig. 1A). The first 10-mm camera trocar was placed 4 cm above the umbilicus using an open technique. After a pneumoperitoneum was established, two 10-mm working ports were placed 6 cm away from the umbilicus under a 30° laparoscopic camera, along the line from the anterior spine of the iliac crest to the umbilicus. One 5-mm assistant port was placed 4 cm above the right anterior spine of the iliac crest. The patient was then tilted in a steep Trendelenburg position, and bilateral standard lymph node dissection was performed. Bilateral ureters were then isolated distally down to the level of the bladder and ligated by Hem-o-lok clips (Weck Surgical Instruments, Teleflex Medical, Durham, NC, USA). The ureter margins were sent for frozen section examination. The bladder was then dissected from adjacent tissues and the bladder pedicles were ligated by Hem-o-lok clips. Before the Foley catheter was transected, it was clamped with Hem-o-lok clip to avoid urinary spillage. Each specimen was retrieved using an endobag.

In cases of a urinary diversion with ileal conduit, the right working port was extended to 4 cm with a wound protector to pull out the specimen, distal ileal loop and bilateral ureters for extracorporeal assisted urinary diversion (Fig. 1B). Finally, the extended working port was close to 2 cm for ostomy of the ileal conduit. For patients with a Studer neobladder, another 4 cm incision over the lower abdomen above the pubic symphysis was made to form the neobladder and urethral anastomosis.

All of the patients in both groups received the same protocol of postoperative care. The nasogastric tube was removed on postoperative Day 1. Water intake began after flatus was noted. The patients were followed up at our clinic every 3 months and abdominal CT was performed every 6 months for at least 1 year.

Patient characteristics were assessed, including age, sex, body mass index (BMI), and the methods of urinary diversion. Perioperative measures were compared including estimated blood loss, operative time, time to flatus, pain score, transfusion, ileus (defined as abdominal distension with obvious small bowel loop in plain abdominal X-ray or no flatus after POD5), and Clavien grade of complications over the first 90 days. Oncological outcomes including such as pathological stage, positive surgical margin, lymph node yield, 1-year disease-free survival, and 1-year overall survival were also assessed. Data analysis was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Taichung Veterans General Hospital, Taichung, Taiwan (No. CE13240).

Categorical variables were expressed as number and proportion and were compared by using Pearson Chi-square or Fisher’s exact tests. Continuous variables were expressed as mean and range and compared using the Mann–Whitney U test. SPSS version 19 (SPSS Inc., Chicago, IL, USA) was used for all statistical analyses.

3. Results

Table 1 shows the patients’ demographic data. The mean age was 59.1 years in the LRC group and 61.1 years in the ORC group. There were no significant differences in age, sex, BMI, clinical stage, and the methods of urinary diversion between the two groups.

The perioperative outcomes are summarized in Table 2. The LRC group had significantly lower estimated blood loss ($p = 0.005$), lower blood transfusion rate ($p = 0.004$), and lower ileus rate ($p = 0.031$) than the ORC group. There were no significant differences in operative time, time to flatus, pain score, or overall

![Fig. 1. Four-port placement for laparoscopic radical cystectomy with extracorporeal-assisted urinary diversion. (A) Four-port placement included three 10-mm camera and working ports (yellow discs), and one 5-mm assistant port (blue disc). (B) The right working port was extended to 4 cm to pull out the specimen, distal ileal loop, and bilateral ureters for extracorporeal-assisted urinary diversion. ASIS = anterior superior iliac spine.](image-url)
complication rate between the two groups. Two patients in the LRC group had Grade 3 complications, one of whom suffered from single J catheter dislodgement with hydrenephrosis, and the other subsequently received percutaneous nephrostomy. The other patient suffered from delayed bleeding, and he subsequently received reopening to control the bleeding by laparoscopy.

Table 3 lists the oncological outcomes between the two groups. There were no significant differences in pathological stage ($p = 0.319$), positive surgical margin rate ($p > 0.99$), and yielded lymph node number (27.6 for LRC and 29.1 for ORC, $p = 0.302$) between two group. The 1-year disease free survival rate was 86.7% in the LRC group and 71.4% in the ORC group. The 1-year overall survival was 100% in both groups.

### 4. Discussion

Oncological control remains the primary therapeutic goal of oncological surgery to prolong patient survival. However, a fast postoperative recovery and a good quality of life are also important goals. This study demonstrated that LRC with minimal incisions has the benefits of less wound pain and shorter recovery time without increasing the complications or compromising early oncological outcomes. This is the first report of a modified four-port approach for LRC with extracorporeal-assisted urinary diversion.

LRC was usually performed with five or six ports in previous reports. In these reports, extension of an existing port site or more commonly an additional midline incision was made to pull out the specimen and the intestine for urinary diversion. However, one 5–7 cm midline incision with another five or six ports in LRC might not be much different to one 12–15 cm low midline incision in ORC. Therefore, we minimized the number of incisions by using four ports including one extended existing port in patients with ileal conduit. Few studies have reported four ports in LRC, and only our study used the extended existing port for extracorporeal-assisted urinary diversion. The results show that this method is feasible and safe. In addition, this modification may lead to less postoperative pain, less analgesic use, early oral intake, and better cosmetic appearance, thereby achieving the benefits of a laparoscopic approach.

### Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Perioperative outcomes</th>
<th>Laparoscopic (n = 15)</th>
<th>Open (n = 7)</th>
<th>$p$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Estimated blood loss (mL)</td>
<td>224.0 (150–450)</td>
<td>878.6 (450–2650)</td>
<td>0.005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Operative time (min)</td>
<td>281.6 (212–424)</td>
<td>415.6 (310–542)</td>
<td>0.445</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Time to flatus (d)</td>
<td>2.3 (1–3)</td>
<td>4.1 (3–5)</td>
<td>0.012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain score</td>
<td>2.3 (1–3)</td>
<td>4.1 (3–5)</td>
<td>0.402</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Complications</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transfusion</td>
<td>3 (20)</td>
<td>6 (85.7)</td>
<td>0.004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ileus</td>
<td>2 (13.3)</td>
<td>4 (57.1)</td>
<td>0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clavien grade 1–2</td>
<td>4 (26.7)</td>
<td>6 (85.7)</td>
<td>0.244</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clavien grade 3–4</td>
<td>2 (13.3)</td>
<td>0 (0)</td>
<td>&gt;0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as n (%) or, mean (range).

Only six retrospective comparative studies and one prospective randomized controlled study have compared LRC and ORC. In these studies, LRC was usually superior to ORC in perioperative outcomes, including estimated blood loss, transfusion rate, early oral intake, and less wound pain. For all studies, although blood loss in LRC might underestimate due to the steep Trendelenburg position, the transfusion rate is still significant lower in LRC. The overall complication rate was similar between LRC and ORC in most studies, and only Guillotreau et al reported lower a lower 30-day complication rate in the LRC group. Our study showed that LRC using minimal incisions still had the same benefits with regards to these perioperative outcomes without increasing the number of complications. The major difference in perioperative outcomes between this study and the previous studies was the operative time. Three studies showed that LRC needed a significantly longer operative time, whereas we found a shorter operative time in the LRC group, although this did not reach statistical significance. Three times the rate of blood loss was noted in the ORC compared to the LRC group in our study, and this may have resulted in spending more time checking bleeding in the ORC group than other studies.

With regards to early oncological outcomes, there were no significant differences in positive surgical margin and retrieved lymph nodes between the LRC and ORC groups, which is consistent with previous studies. However, the mean retrieved lymph node number was 10.5–19.6 in those studies compared to 27.6 and 29.1 in the LRC and ORC groups, respectively, in the current study. A lower BMI in Chinese and the degree of pathologists’ circumspection may explain this difference. Also, the low BMI (21.3 kg/m²) of the enrolled patients in the current study may also attribute the success of the minimal incisions for extracorporeal reconstruction of ileal conduit.

Oncological control is still the most important therapeutic goal. The biggest single arm series including 171 LRC cases with a median 3-year follow-up reported a 5-year overall survival rate of 73.7%, cancer-specific survival rate of 81.3%, and recurrence-free survival rates of 72.6%. Recently, Lin et al reported no significant differences in 5-year recurrence-free and overall survival in a prospective randomized controlled study with a small number of cases. A small case number, selection bias, and a lack of long-term follow-up were the main limitations to our study and previous comparative studies.

In conclusion, our experience showed that LRC with extracorporeal-assisted urinary diversion using minimal incisions is a safe and feasible surgical technique with less blood loss. Early oncological outcomes were similar between the LRC and ORC groups. Studies with long-term follow-up and a large number of cases are necessary to validate our results.
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