

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Journal of Functional Analysis 240 (2006) 290–296

**JOURNAL OF
Functional
Analysis**

www.elsevier.com/locate/jfa

Kazhdan's property T and C^* -algebras

Nathanial P. Brown¹*Department of Mathematics, Penn State University, State College, PA 16802, USA*

Received 17 January 2006; accepted 9 May 2006

Available online 9 June 2006

Communicated by D. Voiculescu

Abstract

Kazhdan's property T has recently been imported to the C^* -world by Bekka. Our objective is to extend a well-known fact to this realm; we show that a nuclear C^* -algebra with property T is finite-dimensional (for all intents and purposes). Though the result is not surprising, the proof is a bit more complicated than the group case.

© 2006 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

Keywords: C^* -Algebra; Property T; Nuclear; Finite-dimensional

1. Introduction

Kazhdan's revolutionary concept of property T has recently been translated into C^* -language in [1]. One of the questions raised by Bekka's paper is whether or not one can generalize to the C^* -context the classical fact that a discrete group which is both amenable and has property T must be finite (cf. [1, Proposition 11]). Unfortunately, the C^* -situation is not quite as simple, but a satisfactory result can be obtained.

Theorem. *Let A be a unital C^* -algebra which is both nuclear and has property T. Then $A = B \oplus C$ where B is finite-dimensional and C has no tracial states.*

E-mail address: nbrown@math.psu.edu.

¹ Partially supported by DMS-0244807.

The irritating C -summand cannot be avoided; if B is any C^* -algebra with property T and C is any algebra without tracial states then $B \oplus C$ also has property T. Hence any finite-dimensional C^* -algebra plus a Cuntz algebra (for example) will have property T and be nuclear. On the other hand, the theorem above does imply that if A is nuclear, has property T and has a faithful trace then it must be finite-dimensional—this is an honest generalization of the discrete group case since reduced group C^* -algebras always have a faithful trace. More generally, we have the following corollary.

Corollary. *Every unital stably finite nuclear C^* -algebra with property T is finite-dimensional.*

This follows from the main result and the deep fact, due to Haagerup (cf. [5]), that unital stably finite nuclear C^* -algebras have at least one tracial state. Indeed, if $A = B \oplus C$ is unital stably finite and nuclear then C enjoys these properties too; hence it must have a trace, which implies $C = 0$ (if A has property T).

Perhaps the more interesting thing, however, is the proof. In the case of a discrete group it is trivial: amenability implies the left regular representation has almost invariant vectors; rigidity then provides a fixed vector; but, only finite groups have fixed vectors in the left regular representation.

Unfortunately, we have been unable to find a simple argument for the general case, hence the circuitous route taken here. Our approach requires generalizing Kazhdan projections, the theory of amenable traces and even the deep fact that nuclearity passes to quotients.

2. Definitions and notation

We make the blanket assumption that all C^* -algebras are unital and separable unless otherwise noted or obviously false (e.g., $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$, the bounded operators on a (separable) Hilbert space \mathcal{H} , will not be norm separable).

Inspired by the von Neumann version (see, for example, [3]), Bekka defines property T for C^* -algebras in terms of bimodules. If A is a C^* -algebra and \mathcal{H} is a Hilbert space equipped with commuting actions of A and its opposite algebra A^{op} then we say \mathcal{H} is an A - A bimodule. (Another way of saying this is that there exists a $*$ -representation $\pi : A \otimes_{\text{max}} A^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$.) As is standard, we denote the action by $\xi \mapsto a\xi b$, $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$, $a \in A$, $b \in A^{\text{op}}$. (That is, $a\xi b = \pi(a \otimes b)\xi$.)

Definition 2.1. A C^* -algebra A has property T if every bimodule with almost central vectors has a central vector; i.e., if \mathcal{H} is a bimodule and there exist unit vectors $\xi_n \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $\|a\xi_n - \xi_n a\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $a \in A$ then there exists a unit vector $\xi \in \mathcal{H}$ such that $a\xi = \xi a$ for all $a \in A$.

An important example of a bimodule is gotten by starting with an embedding $A \subset \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{K})$ and letting $\mathcal{H} = \text{HS}(\mathcal{K})$ be the Hilbert–Schmidt operators on \mathcal{K} . The commuting actions of A and A^{op} are given by multiplication on the left and right: $T \mapsto aTb$ for all $T \in \text{HS}(\mathcal{K})$, $a \in A$ and $b \in A^{\text{op}}$ (canonically identified, as normed involutive linear spaces, with A).

Throughout this note we will use Tr to denote the canonical (unbounded) trace on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and, if \mathcal{H} happens to be finite-dimensional, tr will be the unique tracial state on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

3. Kazhdan projections

It is known that if Γ is a discrete group with property T then all the Kazhdan projections—the central covers in the double dual $C^*(\Gamma)^{**}$ coming from finite-dimensional irreducible representations—actually live in $C^*(\Gamma)$. We extend this fact to the general C^* -context.

Recall that an *intertwiner* of two $*$ -representations $\pi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ and $\sigma : A \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{K})$ is a bounded linear operator $T : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ such that $T\pi(a) = \sigma(a)T$ for all $a \in A$. We will need *Schur’s lemma*.

Lemma 3.1. *If two representations π and σ have a nonzero intertwiner and π is irreducible then π is unitarily equivalent to a subrepresentation of σ .*

The proof is simple, well known and will be omitted—the main point is that irreducibility of π forces an intertwiner to be a scalar multiple of an isometry.

Property T groups are often defined as follows: if a unitary representation weakly contains the trivial representation then it must honestly contain it. Here is the generalization to our context.

Proposition 3.2. *Assume A has property T, $\pi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is an irreducible representation and $\sigma : A \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{K})$ is any representation which weakly contains π .² Then π is unitarily equivalent to a subrepresentation of σ .*

Proof. Let $\text{HS}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathcal{K})$ denote the Hilbert–Schmidt operators from \mathbb{C}^n to \mathcal{K} . We make this space into an A – A bimodule by multiplication on the left and right—i.e., $aTb = \sigma(a)T\pi(b)$ for all $T \in \text{HS}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathcal{K})$, $a \in A$, $b \in A^{\text{op}}$. Since a nonzero central vector would evidently be an intertwiner of π and σ , it suffices (by property T and Schur’s lemma) to show the existence of an asymptotically central sequence in $\text{HS}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathcal{K})$.

If $V_k : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ are isometries such that $\|\sigma(a)V_k - V_k\pi(a)\| \rightarrow 0$ then a routine calculation shows that the unit vectors $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}V_k \in \text{HS}(\mathbb{C}^n, \mathcal{K})$ have the property that $\|a\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}V_k - \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}}V_ka\|_{\text{HS}} \rightarrow 0$ for all $a \in A$. \square

Recall that if $\pi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is a representation then there is a central projection $c(\pi) \in \mathcal{Z}(A^{**})$ in the double dual of A with the property that $c(\pi)A^{**} \cong \pi(A)''$ (among other things—see [8] for more).

Definition 3.3. If A has property T and $\pi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$ is irreducible then the central cover $c(\pi)$ is also known as the *Kazhdan projection* associated to π .

One of the remarkable consequences of property T is that all Kazhdan projections actually live in (the center of) A (not just A^{**}). (Compare with the fact that $C^*(\mathbb{F}_2)$ has many finite-dimensional representations, yet no nontrivial projections.)

² The classical definition of weak containment states that $\pi(A)$ is a quotient of $\sigma(A)$. However, we take a stronger definition: there exist isometries $V_k : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$ such that $\|\sigma(a)V_k - V_k\pi(a)\| \rightarrow 0$ for all $a \in A$. The two definitions agree, thanks to Voiculescu’s theorem (cf. [4]), in the case that $\sigma(A)$ contains no nonzero compact operators (and this can always be arranged by inflating).

Theorem 3.4. *Assume A has Kazhdan’s property T. Then for each finite-dimensional irreducible representation $\pi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$, the Kazhdan projection $c(\pi)$ actually lives in A .*

Proof. First, we must find a representation $\sigma : A \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ with the following three properties:

- (1) $\sigma(A)$ contains no nonzero compact operators,
- (2) $\pi \oplus \sigma : A \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathbb{C}^n \oplus \mathcal{H})$ is faithful, and
- (3) σ contains no subrepresentation which is unitarily equivalent to π .

For example, one can start with a faithful representation of the algebra $(1 - c(\pi))A \subset A^{**}$ and inflate, if necessary, to get σ satisfying (1). Standard theory of central covers shows that such a σ has no subrepresentation unitarily equivalent to π , and evidently $\pi \oplus \sigma$ is faithful.

Notice that σ is *not* faithful. If it were then Voiculescu’s theorem would imply that σ is approximately unitarily equivalent to $\sigma \oplus \pi$ (by condition (1)). Hence, σ weakly contains π and thus, by Proposition 3.2, actually contains π . This contradicts condition (3), so σ cannot be faithful.

Thus $J = \ker(\sigma)$ is a nontrivial ideal in A . But assumption (2) implies that $\pi|_J$ must be faithful; hence, J is finite-dimensional and has a unit p which is necessarily a central projection in A . We will show $p = c(\pi)$.

Since π is irreducible, $\pi(p) = 1$ and $J \cong \mathbb{M}_n(\mathbb{C})$. Hence we may identify the representations $A \rightarrow pA$ and π . Thus they have the same central covers—i.e., $p = c(\pi)$ as desired. \square

Here are a couple of consequences. The first generalizes a well-known result of Wang (cf. [9]).

Corollary 3.5. *If A has property T then it has at most countably many nonequivalent finite-dimensional representations.*

Proof. A separable C^* -algebra has at most countably many orthogonal projections (since it can be represented on a separable Hilbert space). \square

Corollary 3.6. *Assume A has property T and let $J \triangleleft A$ be the ideal generated by all of the Kazhdan projections. Then A/J has no finite-dimensional representations.*

Proof. Any nonzero, finite-dimensional representation of A/J would produce a Kazhdan projection in A which was not in the kernel of the quotient map $A \rightarrow A/J$. \square

4. Amenable traces

The notion of amenability for traces has a reasonably long history, with important contributions from several authors (see [2] for history and references). In this section we adapt one of Kirchberg’s contributions (cf. [6]).

Definition 4.1. Let $A \subset \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ be a concretely represented unital C^* -algebra. A tracial state τ on A is called *amenable* if there exists a state φ on $\mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$ such that (1) $\varphi|_A = \tau$ and (2) $\varphi(uTu^*) = \varphi(T)$ for every unitary $u \in A$ and $T \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H})$.

It is a remarkable fact (due to Connes and Kirchberg) that this notion can be recast in terms of approximation by finite-dimensional completely positive maps. See [2] or [6] for a proof of the theorem and [7] for more on completely positive maps.

Theorem 4.2. *Let τ be a tracial state on A . Then τ is amenable if and only if there exist unital completely positive maps $\varphi_n : A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\|\varphi_n(ab) - \varphi_n(a)\varphi_n(b)\|_{2,\text{tr}} \rightarrow 0$, where $\|x\|_{2,\text{tr}} = \sqrt{\text{tr}(x^*x)}$, and $\tau(a) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{tr} \circ \varphi_n(a)$, for all $a, b \in A$.*

With this approximation property in hand, the following proposition is straightforward.

Proposition 4.3. *Let A be a C^* -algebra with property T. Then A has an amenable trace if and only if A has a nonzero finite-dimensional quotient.*

Proof. Evidently a finite-dimensional quotient yields an amenable trace (since every trace on a finite-dimensional C^* -algebra is amenable). Hence we assume A has property T and an amenable trace τ .

Let $\varphi_n : A \rightarrow \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(\mathbb{C})$ be unital completely positive maps as in Theorem 4.2. Invoking Stinespring’s theorem, we can find representations $\rho_n : A \rightarrow \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$ and finite rank projections $P_n \in \mathbb{B}(\mathcal{H}_n)$ such that φ_n can be identified with $x \mapsto P_n \rho_n(x) P_n$. Let

$$\rho = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \rho_n : A \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \left(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_n \right)$$

and regard $\text{HS}(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_n)$ as an A - A bimodule via $T \mapsto \rho(a)T\rho(b)$.

Letting $P_n^\perp = 1 - P_n$, the orthogonal decomposition

$$P_n \rho_n(a) - \rho_n(a) P_n = P_n \rho_n(a) P_n^\perp - P_n^\perp \rho_n(a) P_n$$

implies

$$\begin{aligned} \|P_n \rho_n(a) - \rho_n(a) P_n\|_2^2 &= \|P_n \rho_n(a) P_n^\perp\|_2^2 + \|P_n^\perp \rho_n(a) P_n\|_2^2 \\ &= \text{Tr}(P_n \rho_n(a) P_n^\perp \rho_n(a^*) P_n) + \text{Tr}(P_n \rho_n(a^*) P_n^\perp \rho_n(a) P_n) \\ &= \text{Tr}(\varphi_n(aa^*) - \varphi_n(a)\varphi_n(a^*)) + \text{Tr}(\varphi_n(a^*a) - \varphi_n(a^*)\varphi_n(a)), \end{aligned}$$

where $\|\cdot\|_2$ denotes the Hilbert–Schmidt norm induced by $\langle S, T \rangle = \text{Tr}(T^*S)$. Hence,

$$\frac{\|P_n \rho_n(a) - \rho_n(a) P_n\|_2}{\|P_n\|_2} = (\text{tr}(\varphi_n(aa^*) - \varphi_n(a)\varphi_n(a^*)) + \text{tr}(\varphi_n(a^*a) - \varphi_n(a^*)\varphi_n(a)))^{1/2}.$$

By the Cauchy–Schwarz inequality we have

$$\text{tr}(\varphi_n(aa^*) - \varphi_n(a)\varphi_n(a^*)) \leq \|\varphi_n(aa^*) - \varphi_n(a)\varphi_n(a^*)\|_{2,\text{tr}}$$

and hence

$$\frac{\|P_n \rho_n(a) - \rho_n(a) P_n\|_2}{\|P_n\|_2} \rightarrow 0,$$

for every $a \in A$. That is, $\text{HS}(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_n)$ has a sequence of asymptotically central unit vectors (namely, $\frac{1}{\|P_n\|_2} P_n$). Hence property T gives us a nonzero central vector $T \in \text{HS}(\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{H}_n)$ —i.e., a nonzero compact operator in the commutant of $\rho(A)$. Since T 's spectral projections must also live in $\rho(A)'$ we get a finite rank projection in the commutant. Thus $\rho(A)$, and hence A , has a finite-dimensional quotient. \square

Since property T evidently passes to quotients, the following corollary is a consequence of the previous result and Corollary 3.6.

Corollary 4.4. *Assume A has property T and let $J \triangleleft A$ be the ideal generated by all the Kazhdan projections. Then A/J has no amenable traces.*

5. Nuclearity and property T

We now have the necessary ingredients.

Theorem 5.1. *Assume A is nuclear and has property T. Then $A = B \oplus C$ where B is finite-dimensional and C admits no tracial states.*

Proof. Let J be the ideal generated by the Kazhdan projections in A . Note that A/J has property T and is nuclear. Since every trace on a nuclear C^* -algebra is amenable (cf. [2,6]), Corollary 4.4 implies that A/J is traceless. Thus it suffices to show $B = J$ is finite-dimensional (since it would then have to be a direct summand and $C = A/J$ is traceless).

To prove J is finite-dimensional, we proceed by contradiction. If it were not then we could find integers $k(n)$ such that

$$J = \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(\mathbb{C}),$$

the c_0 -direct sum (sequences tending to zero in norm) and thus the multiplier algebra of J is equal to the algebra of bounded sequences. Hence there is a unital $*$ -homomorphism

$$A/J \rightarrow \frac{\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(\mathbb{C})}{\bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{M}_{k(n)}(\mathbb{C})}.$$

However, the Corona algebra on the right is easily seen to have lots of tracial states and so we deduce that A/J has a tracial state. But this is impossible, as observed in the preceding paragraph. \square

Acknowledgment

We express our gratitude to the referee for pointing out an error in the original manuscript, and making a number of suggestions which improved the exposition.

References

- [1] B. Bekka, A property (T) for C^* -algebras, preprint, 2005.
- [2] N.P. Brown, Invariant means and finite representation theory for C^* -algebras, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.*, in press.
- [3] A. Connes, V. Jones, Property T for von Neumann algebras, *Bull. London Math. Soc.* 17 (1985) 57–62.
- [4] K.R. Davidson, C^* -Algebras by Example, *Fields Inst. Monogr.*, vol. 6, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1996.
- [5] U. Haagerup, S. Thorbjørnsen, Random matrices and K-theory for exact C^* -algebras, *Doc. Math.* 4 (1999) 341–450.
- [6] E. Kirchberg, Discrete groups with Kazhdan's property T and factorization property are residually finite, *Math. Ann.* 299 (1994) 551–563.
- [7] V. Paulsen, *Completely Bounded Maps and Operator Algebras*, *Cambridge Stud. Adv. Math.*, vol. 78, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [8] G.K. Pedersen, C^* -Algebras and Their Automorphism Groups, *London Math. Soc. Monogr.*, vol. 14, Academic Press, London, 1979.
- [9] P.S. Wang, On isolated points in the dual space of locally compact groups, *Math. Ann.* 218 (1975) 19–34.