

Electrophysiological Low-Frequency Coherence and Cross-Frequency Coupling Contribute to BOLD Connectivity

Liang Wang,^{1,2,3,*} Yuri B. Saalmann,^{1,2,3} Mark A. Pinsk,^{1,2} Michael J. Arcaro,^{1,2} and Sabine Kastner^{1,2} ¹Princeton Neuroscience Institute ²Department of Psychology

Princeton University, Princeton, NJ 08544, USA ³These authors contributed equally to this work

*Correspondence: wanglbit@gmail.com

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.09.033

SUMMARY

Brain networks are commonly defined using correlations between blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) signals in different brain areas. Although evidence suggests that gamma-band (30-100 Hz) neural activity contributes to local BOLD signals, the neural basis of interareal BOLD correlations is unclear. We first defined a visual network in monkeys based on converging evidence from interareal BOLD correlations during a fixation task, task-free state, and anesthesia, and then simultaneously recorded local field potentials (LFPs) from the same four network areas in the task-free state. Low-frequency oscillations (<20 Hz), and not gamma activity, predominantly contributed to interareal BOLD correlations. The low-frequency oscillations also influenced local processing by modulating gamma activity within individual areas. We suggest that such cross-frequency coupling links local BOLD signals to BOLD correlations across distributed networks.

INTRODUCTION

There is currently a limited understanding of the neurophysiological basis of fMRI signals, despite the prevalence of fMRI in neuroscience research. Arguably, most progress has been made toward finding local neural signatures of blood oxygen level-dependent (BOLD) activity in individual brain areas. A number of studies have demonstrated a tight coupling between BOLD responses to sensory stimuli and power in the gamma band (30-100 Hz) of local field potential (LFP) signals (Goense and Logothetis, 2008; Logothetis et al., 2001; Mukamel et al., 2005; Niessing et al., 2005; Shmuel et al., 2006). A prominent role for gamma frequencies is not limited to evoked BOLD responses, but extends to BOLD activity during the resting state. This task-free state has been related to spontaneous, slow (i.e., <0.1 Hz) fluctuations in BOLD signals (Fox and Raichle, 2007). Recent evidence suggests that slow changes in the power of neural gamma oscillations make a significant contribution to

the spontaneous local fluctuations of resting-state BOLD signals in humans (He et al., 2008; Nir et al., 2007, 2008) and monkeys (Schölvinck et al., 2010). The close relationship between gamma oscillations and BOLD activity in individual brain areas supports the notion that gamma processing reflects local neural computations (Canolty and Knight, 2010; Siegel et al., 2012).

Functional interactions between distributed brain areas, known as functional connectivity, give rise to coherent patterns of BOLD signals within specific neural networks during the resting state as well as behavioral tasks. Covariant relations of spontaneous BOLD signals in the resting state have been reported in the awake human (Biswal et al., 1995; Damoiseaux et al., 2006; Dosenbach et al., 2010; Fox et al., 2005; Seeley et al., 2007; Wang et al., 2010; Yeo et al., 2011) and monkey (Moeller et al., 2009), as well as the anesthetized monkey (Vincent et al., 2007) and rat (Lu et al., 2007, 2012). Resting-state connectivity studies have proven useful for characterizing network architectures and for exploring pathological alterations in neurological and psychiatric diseases (Greicius, 2008; Matthews et al., 2006: Zhang and Raichle, 2010). Although there has been a rapid increase in the number of resting-state connectivity studies and in the use of functional connectivity measures in general, there have been few studies of the neural basis of BOLD connectivity. This is at least partly due to the technical difficulty of obtaining simultaneous recordings from multiple network sites using depth electrodes in awake humans or animals. The only such study to date reported that gamma oscillations most strongly correlated with BOLD connectivity between auditory cortices in epilepsy patients (Nir et al., 2008), similar to the relationship previously reported between gamma oscillations and local BOLD signals. However, it is not clear whether the link between gamma oscillations and BOLD connectivity generalizes to other circuits. It is important to test how sensitive BOLD connectivity is to oscillatory frequencies lower than gamma because it is not necessary for local computation and large-scale communication to recruit the same frequencies of oscillatory activity. Rather, low frequencies may be advantageous and commonly used for interactions between distant brain areas (Fujisawa and Buzsáki, 2011; Siegel et al., 2012).

A number of electrophysiological studies have demonstrated that brain oscillations show statistically nested coupling, with low frequencies modulating high frequencies (Buzsáki and Wang, 2012; Jensen and Colgin, 2007; Schroeder and Lakatos, 2009). Given that different oscillations are associated with different spatiotemporal scales (Buzsáki and Draguhn, 2004; von Stein and Sarnthein, 2000), cross-frequency coupling may integrate information transmission over a large-scale network with local cortical processing (Canolty and Knight, 2010). We thus hypothesized that (1) BOLD functional connectivity predominantly reflects low-frequency neural interactions between remote brain areas (e.g., alpha [8–13 Hz] and theta [4–8 Hz]); (2) low frequencies modulate local high-frequency activity (e.g., gamma), which predominantly reflects BOLD signals from an individual area; and (3) such cross-frequency coupling links BOLD correlations in distributed network nodes to local BOLD activations.

RESULTS

To test our hypotheses, we first mapped out thalamo-cortical networks (i.e., network defined as a set of interconnected brain regions) derived from BOLD signals acquired from macague monkeys. Given that task-free fMRI studies have involved various experimental conditions in humans (free gaze, eyes closed, and fixation) and monkeys (free gaze and anesthesia), our study incorporated three experimental conditions to allow generalization and ready comparison with the literature: a task-free, freegaze condition, defined as resting state here; a fixation task; and anesthesia. We focused on a thalamo-cortical visual network constituted by the lateral intraparietal area (LIP), the temporal occipital area (TEO), area V4, and the pulvinar, which has been well studied in terms of its anatomical connectivity (e.g., Felleman and Van Essen, 1991; Saalmann et al., 2012; Shipp, 2003; Ungerleider et al., 2008). After verifying BOLD correlations across our visual network, we performed simultaneous electrophysiological recordings from the same four network areas and measured their functional connectivity based on LFPs. We included a thalamic nucleus, the pulvinar, in our study because the limited evidence available suggests that the thalamus makes an important contribution to cortical oscillations (Hughes et al., 2004; Saalmann et al., 2012; Steriade and Llinás, 1988).

Robust fMRI Functional Connectivity across Different Behavioral States

We used a combination of fMRI retinotopic mapping (Arcaro et al., 2011) and high-resolution structural MRI scans to target the four interconnected visual areas (Shipp, 2003; Ungerleider et al., 2008). Because inaccurate regions of interest (ROIs) have a detrimental effect on connectivity estimates (Smith et al., 2011), the retinotopic mapping ensured that the spatial ROIs we used to extract average time series matched functional areal boundaries. The brain activation pattern evoked by the retinotopic mapping task was projected to the corresponding structural surface (see Figures S1A and S1B available online) to accurately delineate the border of cortical regions LIP, TEO, and V4 (Figure 1). The subcortical region, the pulvinar, was manually delineated based on anatomical criteria using highresolution structural images (Figure 1).

We first aimed to show fMRI networks consistent with previous macaque studies (Moeller et al., 2009; Vincent et al.,



Figure 1. Robust Resting-State fMRI Functional Connectivity across a Visual Thalamo-Cortical Network

Correlation map showing the connectivity of a right V4 seed with the rest of the brain of monkey BS. V4 was significantly connected with the LIP, TEO, and pulvinar, among other regions. ROI boundaries are color coded (red, LIP; blue, V4; green, TEO; cyan, pulvinar). See also Figure S1.

2007), by calculating intrinsic voxelwise functional connectivity during anesthesia, the resting state, and a fixation task. For the anesthesia condition, we used the right LIP as the seed region to allow direct comparison with previous work (Moeller et al., 2009; Vincent et al., 2007). We calculated the correlation between the average time series from the right LIP and the time series from all other brain voxels, with the confounding variables regressed out. The right LIP showed significant connectivity (p < 0.001, corrected using Monte Carlo simulation) with the left LIP and the frontal eye field bilaterally (Figure S1C), as previously shown (Moeller et al., 2009; Vincent et al., 2007). This connectivity pattern was consistent across all six monkeys.

To establish functional connectivity across the visual thalamocortical network in the resting state, we performed a correlation analysis for our four ROIs, seeding LIP, V4, TEO, and the pulvinar in turn, during the awake conditions. There was robust connectivity between each seed region and the other ROIs. Figure 1 shows that the right V4 seed significantly correlated (p < 0.001, corrected using Monte Carlo simulation) with the ipsilateral LIP, TEO and the pulvinar (the same was true for the left V4 seed). Because the resting-state and fixation conditions showed a consistent functional connectivity pattern (Figure S1D), we combined the two conditions to increase the statistical power of the ROI-based analyses. These findings suggest that the architecture of spontaneous functional connectivity is robust across different resting-state conditions and can be replicated across animals.

To allow subsequent comparison with the electrophysiological results, we next evaluated ROI-based BOLD functional connectivity between LIP, TEO, V4, and the pulvinar in the right hemisphere for the resting state and fixation task. The average time series from each ROI was extracted for each run in the native space, and Pearson's correlation coefficients between those time series were calculated for the epochs (437 ± 241 s) that were not contaminated by head movement. There was a significant correlation between each pair of regions (one-sample t test, p < 0.001; Figure S2). To control for the effect of eye movements, we also calculated Pearson's correlation between the BOLD activities corresponding to each stable-eye epoch (\geq 6.4 s) and observed a significant correlation between the ROIs (p < 0.01; Figure 2). Having established a robust resting-state fMRI network between V4, TEO, LIP, and the pulvinar, we next probed the electrophysiological basis of this BOLD connectivity.



Figure 2. fMRI Functional Connectivity between Pulvino-Cortical ROIs

There were significant correlations between all ROIs during epochs without eye movements. Bars show mean functional connectivity \pm SEM. See also Figure S2.

Slow Fluctuations of Low-Frequency, and Not Gamma, Power Predominantly Contributed to BOLD Connectivity

We derived power time series from the magnitude of the Hilbert transform for different frequency bands (Figure 3) from the LFPs simultaneously recorded in the pulvinar, LIP, TEO, and V4 (58 sessions from two monkeys, one of which was also scanned under anesthesia; see Figure S3 for finer frequency band divisions). These power time series were then band-pass filtered to 0.01-0.1 Hz to correspond to the main frequencies constituting the BOLD signal (Fox and Raichle, 2007). We performed correlation analyses on long and short epochs of the power time series. The long epochs included eye movements, as commonly used in resting-state studies, thereby allowing comparison with published results, whereas the short epochs only included stable eye positions (no eye movements; see Supplemental Experimental Procedures for eye movement controls). The correlation analyses on long epochs (184 ± 84 s) showed significant correlations of power time series between ROIs for all frequency bands (one-sample t tests, p < 0.001). However, the low-frequency bands (theta, alpha, and beta) showed significantly higher correlation values than the gamma band (pairedsample t tests, p < 0.001, theta/alpha/beta versus gamma). Among the low-frequency bands, there were moderately but significantly higher correlation values for the alpha band compared with the theta and beta bands (p < 0.001, alpha versus theta/beta; p > 0.05, theta versus beta). Similarly, for stable-eye epochs, significant correlations were found in the power time series derived from all frequency bands (one-sample t tests, p < 0.001; Figures 3 and S3); but the low-frequency bands had significantly higher correlation values than the gamma band (paired-sample t tests, p < 0.001, theta/alpha/beta versus gamma), with the alpha band being moderately but significantly higher than the theta and beta bands (p < 0.001, alpha versus theta/beta; p > 0.05, theta versus beta). Overall, these results indicate that slow fluctuations in the power of low-frequency oscillations contributed most to the connectivity. To verify that power correlations predominantly resulted from slow oscillations (<0.1 Hz), we also applied the correlation analyses to the signals derived from band-pass filtering the power time series in two higher-frequency bands (0.1–1 Hz and >1 Hz). There were significantly higher correlation values for the 0.01–0.1 Hz band compared with both the 0.1–1 Hz band and the >1 Hz band (paired-sample t tests, p < 0.001). The major contribution of frequencies < 0.1 Hz is consistent with a recent study of bilateral primary auditory cortex (Nir et al., 2008). However, our findings suggest that in contrast to the current view on the predominant contribution from gamma activity, low-frequency oscillations are a major contributor to large-scale network connectivity.

Low-Frequency Coherence Predicts BOLD Connectivity

Slow oscillations (<0.1 Hz) are commonly thought to signal general changes in network excitability (Hughes et al., 2011; Monto et al., 2008), whereas oscillations on a faster timescale (>1 Hz) may be better suited to more specific information exchange between areas. To measure interactions between network areas on a faster timescale, we calculated the coherence between the "raw" LFP signals (cf. power time series in the previous section) in each pair of network areas. The coherence measures the linear association between the LFPs as a function of oscillation frequency. For each recording session, we used multitaper methods (three tapers and ±4 Hz bandwidth) to estimate the coherence in every 500 ms time window for which there was no eye movement (excluding 0-200 ms after any preceding eye movement). The population mean coherence spectrum for each ROI pair showed the peak coherence at low frequencies (<20 Hz; Figure 4). Within a specified frequency band, we counted the number of sessions showing significant coherence for each pair of ROIs (jackknife variance estimates, p < 0.001). There was significant coherence in the 4–20 Hz range for 41-55 sessions (range across the six pairs of ROIs) out of the total of 58 sessions, whereas only 9-29 out of 58 sessions showed significant coherence in the 30-100 Hz range. Notably, the rank of connection strengths based on mean alpha coherence was similar to that seen in BOLD connectivity (Figure 2). For example, alpha coherence and BOLD connectivity both showed the strongest connection between the pulvinar and V4 and the weakest connection between the TEO and LIP. With respect to the greater effects at low versus high frequencies, these coherence results were consistent with that observed in the slow-wave power correlations. Thus, the coherence of neural activities on a fast timescale may give rise to the power correlation of band-limited neural activities at the slow fMRI timescale. Specifically, low-frequency oscillations (<20 Hz) may predominantly contribute to resting-state functional connectivity.

Cross-Frequency Coupling Between Low Frequencies and Gamma

Different frequencies of neural oscillations may be useful for different temporal and spatial scales: high frequencies like gamma for local computation, and lower frequencies like alpha for large-scale interactions. Because low-frequency oscillations have been shown to modulate high-frequency activity (Buzsáki and Wang, 2012; Canolty and Knight, 2010; Jensen and Colgin, 2007; Schroeder and Lakatos, 2009), such cross-frequency coupling may integrate functions across multiple spatiotemporal



Figure 3. Slow Fluctuations in the Power of Low Frequencies, but Not Gamma, Contributed Most to Functional Connectivity

There were significantly higher correlations in slow waves of alpha power between ROIs compared with slow waves of theta, beta, and gamma power (p < 0.001). We found the lowest correlations for gamma power. Bars show mean functional connectivity ± SEM. The mean connectivity of ROI pairs across frequency bands fits a Gaussian function, $f_{fitted}(x) = 0.54 \times e^{(-1)\times((x-1.92)/2.51)^2}$, with a peak at the alpha band (R² = 0.989). See also Figure S3.

evoked responses or resting-state signals) reflect neural oscillations in the gamma frequency band (Logothetis et al., 2001; Niessing et al., 2005; Nir et al., 2007). However, our finding of the prominent role of low-frequency oscillations and the notion that gamma oscillations play a prominent role can be integrated by considering crossfrequency coupling mechanisms. We found that the phase of low-frequency

scales. We hypothesized that low frequencies may contribute to fluctuation of the power in the gamma band through a crossfrequency coupling mechanism. To measure cross-frequency coupling, we used the synchronization index (SI; Cohen, 2008), which supposes that high-frequency power should fluctuate according to the phase of the low-frequency oscillation if the lowfrequency oscillation modulates the high-frequency activity. For each recording session, the SI was computed for thetagamma, alpha-gamma, and beta-gamma coupling and then normalized to a Z score. We found that theta, alpha, and low beta (13-20 Hz) frequencies significantly coupled with gamma between 40 and 80 Hz for each ROI (Figure 5, right column), indicating that low-frequency rhythms (<20 Hz) modulated gamma rhythms (permutation tests, p < 0.001). The strongest crossfrequency coupling occurred between the alpha and gamma bands (Figure S4; paired-sample t tests, p < 0.001, alphagamma coupling versus theta/low beta/high beta coupling with gamma). This coupling was highly consistent across recording sessions (Figure 5, left column). Although previous studies of the electrophysiological signatures of BOLD emphasized gamma frequencies, our cross-frequency coupling result suggests that lower frequencies like alpha may ultimately shape gamma activity and BOLD signals.

DISCUSSION

Our simultaneous LFP recordings from four distributed network sites show that low-frequency neural oscillations (<20 Hz) predominantly contributed to resting-state BOLD connectivity, providing evidence of the electrophysiological basis of thalamo-cortical functional connectivity in fMRI. The important role for low-frequency oscillations suggested by our findings contrasts with the current view that BOLD signals (whether oscillations modulated the amplitude of gamma oscillations, suggesting that cross-frequency coupling integrates long-range neural interactions mediated by low-frequency rhythms (e.g., theta/alpha) with local computations mediated by high frequencies (i.e., gamma).

Cross-Frequency Coupling Contributions to BOLD

Different rhythms are commonly associated with different spatiotemporal scales. Low-frequency oscillations have long time windows for information processing, which are useful for synchronizing distant network areas with large conduction delays between areas. In contrast, high-frequency oscillations have short time windows for information processing, which are useful for selectively synchronizing small groups of neurons (Buzsáki and Draguhn, 2004; Canolty and Knight, 2010; Schroeder and Lakatos, 2009; Siegel et al., 2012; von Stein and Sarnthein, 2000). Thus, cross-frequency coupling may serve to coordinate multiple nodes of fast, local cortical processing, which is necessary for specialized computations, across a large-scale network, necessary for effective behavioral responses. Interestingly, recent studies showed that lowfrequency activity, such as the alpha band, carried information about BOLD signals largely complementary to that carried by gamma power (Hermes et al., 2012; Magri et al., 2012). In our study, we conducted cross-frequency coupling analysis in each brain area to demonstrate that low-frequency oscillations synchronize with high-frequency activity. This suggests that gamma power correlations between brain areas, as obtained here and in previous studies, may be induced by the combination of interareal synchronization of low-frequency oscillations and cross-frequency coupling between these low frequencies and the gamma band. Taking into account our coherence results showing high synchronization between low-frequency



Figure 4. Fast-Wave Coherence at Low Frequencies Best Predicts BOLD Connectivity

The population mean LFP-LFP coherence (\pm SEM) was calculated for all ROI pairs during stable-eye epochs of 500 ms duration. The peak coherence occurred at low frequencies <20 Hz.

oscillations in different areas, the cross-frequency coupling may indicate temporal coordination of local computations (Siegel et al., 2012).

Probing the Neural Basis of BOLD Connectivity

Previous animal studies of the neural basis of the BOLD signal have generally relied on recordings from a single brain area (Logothetis et al., 2001; Niessing et al., 2005). The neural data from one brain area were then compared with BOLD activity, whether recorded simultaneously (Goense and Logothetis, 2008; Logothetis et al., 2001; Niessing et al., 2005; Schölvinck et al., 2010) or in different sessions (Leopold et al., 2003; Lu et al., 2007; Nir et al., 2007). This approach offers insight into localized neural processes contributing to the BOLD signal. Because our main objective was to better understand distributed processing, as measured with functional connectivity approaches, we naturally attempted to acquire simultaneous recordings from distal, but interconnected, sites and measure their interactions. However, it is technically challenging to obtain simultaneous recordings from multiple brain areas, which currently precludes the simultaneous acquisition of BOLD signals. Thus, we acquired electrophysiological and fMRI data in different sessions under similar experimental conditions, as has been done in human studies (Mukamel et al., 2005; Nir et al., 2007, 2008). Rather than directly comparing the LFPs to BOLD signals across sessions to probe localized neurovascular coupling, we compared the functional connectivity derived from LFPs within-session to the connectivity derived from BOLD signals within-session to probe the large-scale neural interactions underlying correlations of BOLD signals across networks. We did perform both LFP and BOLD recordings (in different sessions) in one monkey, and the results from this monkey are consistent with the results from the different groups of monkeys used in the electrophysiology and fMRI experiments.



Figure 5. Cross-Frequency Coupling between Neural Oscillations in the Alpha and Gamma Frequency Bands

Left column: normalized SI between the alpha frequency band (8–13 Hz) and higher frequencies across each of the 58 sessions, for the pulvinar, TEO, LIP, and V4. The color bar (bottom) shows the SI range. The SI was highly consistent across sessions for each ROI. Right column: the population average SI (\pm SEM) shows high coupling between the alpha and gamma frequency bands (predominantly 40–80 Hz) for all four ROIs. See also Figure S4.

Comparison with Previous Studies of the Neural Basis of BOLD Connectivity

Previous human electrocorticography (ECoG) studies reported that interareal correlations in the power of gamma oscillations are a major contributor to BOLD connectivity (He et al., 2008; Nir et al., 2008). Electrodes on the cortical surface in these ECoG studies may prominently reflect processing in supragranular and granular cortical layers (Fukushima et al., 2012; Kajikawa and Schroeder, 2011). In comparison, intracerebral LFP recordings with higher-impedance electrodes in monkeys have higher spatial resolution (e.g., Katzner et al., 2009) and can reflect superficial or deep cortical layers (or a subcortical area) depending on the electrode depth. There is evidence that neurons in different cortical layers may predominantly operate in different frequency bands. For example, gamma oscillations have been associated with superficial layers, while lower frequency oscillations have been found in deep layers (Buffalo et al., 2011; Maier et al., 2010; but see Lakatos et al., 2005, 2008). Thus, compared with methods used in animal studies, human electrophysiological techniques may not be as sensitive to low-frequency oscillations in deep cortical layers, although the effects of volume conduction and cortical folding complicate the interpretation of cortical surface recordings. Nir et al. (2008) also performed depth electrode recordings from auditory cortex in both hemispheres and showed a predominant contribution of gamma oscillations to BOLD connectivity. This predominance of gamma over lower frequencies may be due to auditory networks operating at different frequencies to visual networks, their intracranial recordings targeting particular cortical layers, or the possibility that cross-hemispheric interactions between homologous areas are more likely to involve gamma oscillations than intrahemispheric interactions (Engel et al., 1991; Sil'kis and Bogdanova, 1998). Although these ECoG studies reported the strongest interareal correlations in gamma power, there were also significant correlations in the power of lower-frequency oscillations (1-25 Hz) between areas. Given this synchronization of low-frequency oscillations between brain areas, cross-frequency coupling between the low and gamma frequencies in the individual areas may have contributed to the reported interareal correlations in gamma power.

There is evidence for prominent low-frequency oscillatory contributions to BOLD connectivity in a recent electroencephalography study using electrodes on the intact dura of anesthetized rats (Lu et al., 2007). This study demonstrated that delta oscillations (1-4 Hz) contributed to BOLD connectivity between bilateral primary somatosensory cortices during anesthesia. However, it is not clear how much delta oscillations normally contribute to BOLD connectivity, because anesthetic agents generally alter neural activity and hemodynamics, including shifts in relative power from higher-frequency neural activity to delta oscillations (Franks, 2008; Williams et al., 2010). Delta oscillations have been reported to have an organizing influence on sensory processing in behaving monkeys through hierarchical coupling (Lakatos et al., 2005, 2008), but our band-pass of 3–300 Hz for LFP recordings did not allow us to test the role of delta oscillations in the resting state. Further support for a role of low-frequency oscillations derives from a macaque resting-state study that showed cross-correlations between LFP power at one cortical site (frontal, parietal, or visual cortex) and simultaneously acquired BOLD signals at distant sites (Schölvinck et al., 2010). Although gamma-frequency contributions were emphasized, theta- and alpha-frequency oscillations at times showed the strongest correlation with BOLD signals, consistent with our study. Because different functional networks can recruit distinct frequency bands (Siegel et al., 2012), the particular low frequencies of neural oscillations that predominantly contribute to BOLD connectivity across the brain may be network dependent.

Dissecting Contributions of Different Circuit Paths to BOLD Signals

It has been suggested that the biophysical properties of neural circuits determine the frequencies of network interactions (Siegel et al., 2012; Wang, 2010). For example, conduction delays

between distant network nodes may be one important factor contributing to the frequency range of cortical network interactions (Kopell et al., 2000; von Stein and Sarnthein, 2000). Long conduction delays between distant brain regions may limit the frequency of large-scale network oscillations to a low-frequency band, accounting for the low-frequency oscillations observed during our multisite recordings. Evidence suggests that lowfrequency oscillations (e.g., theta and alpha) can be generated locally in thalamic nuclei (Hughes and Crunelli, 2005; Lörincz et al., 2008) or the deep layers of high-order visual cortex (Bollimunta et al., 2008, 2011; Lopes da Silva, 1991; Lopes da Silva and Storm Van Leeuwen, 1977) and propagated to other network nodes. Because previous studies of the neural basis of BOLD connectivity (He et al., 2008; Nir et al., 2008) focused mainly on the primary sensory cortices (which reportedly have different oscillation-generating mechanisms; Bollimunta et al., 2008; Mo et al., 2011), rather than on these generators, the low-frequency contributions of oscillations to the BOLD signal may have been more difficult to detect.

Different oscillatory frequency bands may also be associated with different functional properties. It has been suggested that different frequencies reflect different directions of cortical information transmission (Buffalo et al., 2011; Buschman and Miller, 2007; von Stein et al., 2000), specifically, gamma-band coherence for feedforward processing, and lower-frequency coherence for feedback processing. In our study (similar to other resting-state studies), the absence of visual stimulation in a completely dark room possibly reduced gamma activity in bottom-up processing and relatively increased the contribution from lower-frequency oscillations. However, an alternative interpretation of the finding of prominent alpha oscillations in deep cortical layers (Buffalo et al., 2011) is that the lowfrequency oscillations play a role in feedforward (and feedback) processing via extensive cortico-thalamo-cortical pathways (Saalmann et al., 2012), which originate in the deep cortical layers (Sherman and Guillery, 2006), instead of giving rise to cortico-cortical feedback, which originates in the deep cortical layers as well. Because it is probable that there was largely spontaneous activity in our visual network in the absence of visual stimulation, the interactions between areas may well have been bidirectional.

Functional Role of Alpha Oscillations

Although electroencephalography and myeloencephalography studies have proposed a suppressive role for alpha oscillations on sensory processing (Jensen and Mazaheri, 2010; Klimesch et al., 2007), recent evidence suggests it is the phase of alpha oscillations that is important for regulating information transmission (Busch et al., 2009; Jensen et al., 2012; Mathewson et al., 2009). Thus, phase synchronization between alpha oscillations in different brain areas allows for effective network communications (Palva and Palva, 2011; Saalmann et al., 2012; von Stein et al., 2000). Alpha oscillations can be recorded in sensory areas and fronto-parietal cortex, but are typically prominent in occipital areas. Because we recorded from a visual network, it might be expected that alpha frequencies sizably contributed to the low-frequency interactions between network areas. It may well be that different brain networks predominantly operate in different

low-frequency bands for interareal communication, for instance, theta frequencies in medial temporal networks and beta frequencies in motor networks (Siegel et al., 2012).

Subcortical Contributions to Resting-State Connectivity

Partly because of methodological issues associated with imaging subcortical areas and partly because of current views of cognitive functions being confined to the cortex, there have been few studies of thalamic contributions to functional connectivity measured using fMRI. The thalamus and cerebral cortex are extensively and reciprocally connected (Jones, 2007; Sherman and Guillery, 2006), with the thalamus well positioned to regulate information transmitted to the cortex and between cortical areas. A recent study in humans (Zhang et al., 2008) and our own results from monkeys suggest that this closely coupled thalamo-cortical system produces robust resting-state fMRI networks incorporating the thalamus. Thalamo-cortical interactions, supported by recurrent thalamocortical loops (McCormick and Bal, 1997; Steriade and Llinás, 1988; Steriade et al., 1993), are important for generating brain oscillations. In particular, low-frequency neural oscillations (e.g., alpha) in the cortex are highly dependent on the thalamus, whereas cortical gamma oscillations are highly dependent on inhibitory interneurons (Buzsáki and Wang, 2012). Simultaneous neural recordings from thalamo-cortical sites have shown a strong coherence between alpha rhythms in the thalamus and cortex (Chatila et al., 1993; Lopes da Silva et al., 1980). Evidence suggests that the alpha oscillations can be generated in thalamic nuclei (Hughes and Crunelli, 2005; Hughes et al., 2004; Lörincz et al., 2008), and thalamic lesions have been reported to suppress cortical alpha activity (Ohmoto et al., 1978). We have recently demonstrated that the pulvinar regulates the degree of alpha-band synchrony between visual cortical areas based on behavioral demands (Saalmann et al., 2012). This suggests that the thalamus may be a vital node for supporting resting-state networks.

Resolving Connectivity on Slow versus Fast Timescales

Previous studies have indicated that spontaneous BOLD connectivity best correlates with slow (<0.1 Hz) cortical potentials (Nir et al., 2008). Consistent with these previous corticocortical studies, we showed the highest correlations between power time series on a slow timescale (<0.1 Hz) in our thalamo-cortical network. Such slow changes in LFP power match the main frequencies (<0.1 Hz) contributing to the BOLD signal. However, we also showed significant coherence between "raw" time series on a fast timescale. Both of these effects on slow and fast timescales were associated with the same range of low-frequency oscillations (e.g., alpha power on a slow timescale and alpha coherence on a fast timescale). Computational modeling studies have proposed that interareal coupling on slow timescales can emerge from neural synchrony on fast timescales (Cabral et al., 2011; Honey et al., 2007). Our study provides an empirical demonstration that slow power fluctuations could reflect the faster coherent oscillations, linking the fMRI measure to neural interactions occurring on a timescale better suited to more detailed information processing.

Conclusions

In summary, our findings suggest that the following neural processes support BOLD connectivity: (1) phase-locking of low-frequency oscillations for effective information transmission between remote brain areas; (2) low-frequency oscillations modulating the higher-frequency activity of local information processing; and (3) the slow fluctuations in oscillatory power changes correlating with BOLD connectivity across distinct brain areas.

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

fMRI Studies

Experimental Design

Anesthesia Condition. Macaque monkeys (BU, BS, CA, HO, MC, and PH) were anesthetized with Telazol (tiletamine/zolazepam, 10 mg/kg, i.m., administered at regular intervals as needed to maintain anesthesia) and held securely in an all-plastic MR-compatible stereotaxic apparatus. Two to four fMRI time series (1,125 measurements in each series) were acquired from each monkey during anesthesia (two sessions collected from monkey BU). The monkey's eyes were closed, and the experiments were performed in darkness. We monitored respiration rate and pulse rate during scan sessions using an MR-compatible respiratory belt and a pulse oximeter (Siemens). fMRI data were acquired from monkey CA prior to implantation of the recording chamber.

Resting State. Monkeys BU and BS each participated in three scan sessions, in which there were no behavioral requirements and they were free to move their eyes. We placed the monkey in an MR-compatible primate chair in a sphinx-like position, with his head fixed using the implanted head bolt, and inflated pillows around the body to minimize movement (Pinsk et al., 2005). Three to four fMRI time series (1,125 measurements each series) were acquired in each scan session, during which the monkey rested in the dark (lights off in the scanner and console room). The monkey's eye position at the MRI scanner was monitored using a 60 Hz long-range optics system (Model LRO, Applied Science Laboratories) to determine the periods when the eyes were stable. At the end of the awake fMRI scans, we anesthetized the animals (ketamine, 2–10 mg/kg, i.m.) to collect the field map and structural images.

Fixation Task. Monkey BU participated in a third fMRI experiment that required him to fixate on a central fixation point. A single fMRI time series was acquired (2,250 measurements) per scan session while the monkey performed a simple fixation task. A juice reward was provided at regular 2 s intervals as long as the monkey fixated on a central fixation point (0.50° diameter) within an invisible 4° square window (Pinsk et al., 2005). This small gray fixation point on a black background was projected from a single-lamp, three-chip LCD projector (Christie LX650; Christie Digital Systems) outside the scanner room onto a translucent screen located at the end of the scanner bore at an \sim 60 cm viewing distance. We synchronized the display, eye position recordings, reward delivery, and the beginning of each scan via a computer running Presentation software (Neurobehavioral Systems). A total of five fMRI time series was acquired over five scan sessions.

Data Acquisition

We acquired structural MRI and fMRI images on a 3 T head-dedicated scanner (Magnetom Allegra; Siemens) using a 12 cm transmit-receive surface coil (model NMSC-023; Nova Medical). fMRI images for the anesthesia condition, resting-state, and fixation task scanning sessions were acquired with a gradient echo, echo planar sequence (field of view [FOV] = 95 × 95 mm; matrix = 64 × 64; number of slices = 24; slice orientation = transverse; slice thickness = 1.5 mm; interslice gap = 0.5 mm; repetition time [TR] = 1,600 ms; echo time [TE] = 26 ms; flip angle = 66°; in-plane resolution = 1.5 mm²). Matching in-plane gradient echo field mag and magnitude images were acquired to perform geometric unwarping of the echo planar imaging (EPI) images (TR = 500 ms, TE = 4.17/6.63 ms, flip angle = 55°) as well as T1-weighted structural images for coregistration of the fMRI data (magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo; FOV = 128 × 128 mm; matrix = 256 × 256; number of slices = 160; slice thickness = 1.0 mm; TR = 2,500 ms; TE = 4.38 ms; flip angle = 8°; inversion time [TI] = 1,100 ms; in-plane resolution = 0.5 mm²).

Details of the imaging parameters used for retinotopic mapping sessions are described in Arcaro et al. (2011).

Data Analysis

fMRI Data Preprocessing. The first six EPI volumes from each scan series were excluded from further analysis to avoid artifacts caused by signal saturation effects. The remaining volumes underwent slice timing correction, and rigidmotion correction to the first volume of the first run (Cox and Jesmanowicz, 1999). After the motion correction, we geometrically unwarped the images using a field map and magnitude image acquired in the same session (Jenkinson, 2001; Jezzard and Balaban, 1995). Briefly, the magnitude image was skull stripped, forward warped using fMRIB's FUGUE utility, and rigidly registered to a skull-stripped reference EPI volume with fMRIB's Linear Image Registration Tool (FLIRT; Jenkinson and Smith, 2001). The resulting transformation matrix was applied to the field map image (scaled to rad/s and regularized by a 2 mm 3D Gaussian kernel), which was subsequently used to unwarp all fMRI images with the FUGUE utility. In preparation for functional connectivity analysis, several additional preprocessing steps were performed on the unwarped images: (1) removal of "spikes" from EPI volumes, (2) linear and quadratic detrending, (3) spatial smoothing using a 3 mm full width at half maximum Gaussian blur, (4) temporal filtering retaining frequencies in the 0.01–0.1 Hz band, and (5) removal by regression of several sources of variance (the six motion parameter estimates and their temporal derivatives, the signal from a ventricular region, and the signal from a white-matter region).

Voxelwise Correlation Analysis. The first step in all connectivity analyses was to extract BOLD time courses from each ROI by averaging over voxels within each ROI. To compute functional connectivity maps corresponding to the selected seed ROI (LIP), we correlated the regional time course with all other voxels in the brain (Biswal et al., 1995). We used AFNI's AlphaSim program (1,000 Monte Carlo simulations) to correct for multiple comparisons. For awake monkeys, we regressed out the influence of head movements. As an additional control, we performed the linear correlation analysis within the longest period of stable head position, defined as within the range of the mean \pm 3 SD. In the case of an outlier > 3 SD, we excluded the outlying volume and the surrounding \pm 30 volumes.

ROI-Based Correlation Analysis. We performed correlation analyses between ROIs only for the awake states. Stable-eye epochs were identified based on the criteria of fixation within a 4° window (i.e., epochs between eye movements) and a duration of at least 6.4 s (4 TRs). To minimize the effect of any evoked response to eye movements, we excluded the first 6.4 s of each stable-eye epoch (considering the effect of eye movements on the first few volumes due to the slow characteristics of the hemodynamic function) and used the volumes during the subsequent 4.8 s (i.e., 3 TRs). For each ROI, we averaged the BOLD signal over these three volumes (TRs 5–7 of the stable-eye epoch) to generate one sample point in a discontinuous time series; repeating this procedure for every eye epoch generated the full time series (Kerns et al., 2004). The interregional functional connectivity was obtained by computing Pearson correlation coefficients for all possible pairs of ROIs. We computed statistical tests on all correlations after applying the Fisher Z-transform, which yields variates that are approximately normally distributed.

Electrophysiology Studies

Resting-State Data Collection

The monkey sat in a customized primate chair, alone in a completely dark room to avoid visual stimulation and minimize eye movements (Martinez-Conde et al., 2004). We acclimatized the monkey to this resting-state condition prior to recordings. The monkey had no behavioral requirements and was free to move his eyes (however, we analyzed epochs in which the eyes were stable, except for the correlation analyses on long data epochs, to allow comparison with the literature). We monitored eye movements using a stationary eye-tracking system (Applied Science Laboratories) with an infrared camera operating at 120 Hz. The LFP from each electrode was amplified and band-pass filtered (3–300 Hz; precluding assessment of delta band oscillations) using a preamplifier (PBX3/16sp-r-G1000/16fp-G1000, with a high input impedance headstage; Plexon) and Plexon Multichannel Acquisition Processor controlled by RASPUTIN software. The signals were digitized at a rate of 1,000 Hz. In total, 58 resting-state sessions (on separate days) were acquired from two monkeys (CA, 39 sessions; LE, 19 sessions).

Data Analysis

Analysis of LFPs. We performed data analyses in MATLAB using the Chronux toolbox (Bokil et al., 2010). Preprocessing steps included the exclusion of artifacts from any body movements and the removal of 60 Hz power line noise and its harmonics using a notch filter (\pm 1 Hz). We identified stable-eye epochs of at least 700 ms duration, during which the monkey's eyes did not deviate by more than 2°. We calculated band-limited power (BLP) correlations and coherence in 500 ms windows within each stable-eye epoch after excluding (1) the first 200 ms of stable-eye epochs to remove any evoked responses, and (2) the 210 \pm 141 ms (mean \pm SD) before the next eye movement to remove any possible motor-related signals; if the stable-eye epoch), each of these 500 ms data segments contributed to the analyses.

BLP and Correlation Analysis. To examine BLP modulation in different frequency bands, we applied zero phase-shift band-pass filtering to the raw LFP signals to produce the following frequency bands: theta, 4-8 Hz; alpha, 8-13 Hz; beta, 13-30 Hz; and gamma, 30-100 Hz. We also probed effects at a higher-frequency resolution in the following bands: 4-8 Hz, 8-13 Hz, 13-20 Hz, 20-30 Hz, 30-40 Hz, 40-50 Hz, 50-60 Hz, 60-70 Hz, 70-80 Hz, 80-90 Hz, and 90-100 Hz. To normalize the resulting band-limited signals, we subtracted the mean power and divided by the SD for that frequency band. We full-wave rectified the normalized band-limited signals by taking their absolute value, and convolved these signals with a Gaussian of full width at half maximum equal to 100 ms. Note that this procedure extracts the timevarying envelope amplitude of each band-pass-filtered signal. Next, the BLP signals were further filtered into slow (<0.1 Hz) fluctuations (two other frequency bands [0.1-1 Hz and >1 Hz] were also computed for comparison) using a second-order, zero-phase Butterworth band-pass filter. We calculated Pearson's correlation coefficients between all possible pairs of ROIs (1) over the entire time course of the filtered BLP signals ("long epochs") and (2) over the stable-eye epochs ("short epochs"; 135 ± 69 epochs per recording session; a total of 58 sessions). The significance of correlations was assessed using one-sample t tests on Fisher Z-transformed coefficients.

Coherence Analysis. We used multitaper methods (three Slepian tapers, providing an effective taper smoothing of ± 4 Hz; Mitra and Pesaran, 1999) to calculate the coherence $C_{xy}(f)$:

$$C_{xy}(f) = \frac{\left|\overline{S_{xy}}(f)\right|}{\sqrt{\overline{S_x}(f)\overline{S_y}(f)}},$$

where $S_x(f)$ and $S_y(f)$ are the spectra of LFP time series, and $S_{xy}(f)$ is the crossspectrum. Coherence values range from zero to one, where zero coherence means that the LFPs are unrelated, and a coherence of one means that the LFPs have a constant phase relationship. We Fisher transformed coherence values and accounted for the different number of stable-eye epochs in each resting-state session according to:

$$C_{xy_{-}t}(f) = tanh^{-1}(C_{xy}(f)) - \frac{1}{2m-2},$$

where $C_{xy,t}$ is the transformed coherence, and *m* is the product of *K* and the number of stable-eye epochs (Bokil et al., 2007). We rejected the null hypothesis of no significant coherence between two ROIs only when the coherence was above zero (based on jackknife estimates of the variance) across a frequency range greater than the bandwidth (i.e., 8 Hz), to account for multiple comparisons (Bokil et al., 2007).

Cross-Frequency Coupling. We measured cross-frequency coupling between low-frequency oscillations and gamma power using the SI (Cohen, 2008). There were two reasons for using this measure: (1) the SI can be reliably computed on the short stable-eye epochs examined in our study; and (2) the SI can capture dynamic changes in cross-frequency coupling. There were three processing steps to calculate the SI. First, we extracted gamma power time series for given frequency bands whose central frequency ranged from 30 to 100 Hz, stepped in 5 Hz increments, with a bandwidth of \pm 5 Hz. Second, for each of the theta, alpha, low-beta (13–20 Hz), and high-beta (20–30 Hz) bands, we identified the low frequency with which the gamma power time series might synchronize. (The aim here was to identify the dominant frequency at which the gamma power time series oscillated.) Third, we identified the peak

of the power of the gamma frequency envelope time series, extracted the phase time series from both the gamma- and low-frequency bands (low-frequency bandwidth \pm 1.5 Hz), and calculated the phase coherence (SI) for the epoch *k* (*k* = 1, ..., N):

$$SI_k = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} e^{i[\emptyset_{lt} - \emptyset_{ht}]},$$

where T is the number of time points in an epoch; \mathcal{Q}_{lt} is the phase value of the low-frequency time series, and $Ø_{ht}$ is the phase value of the gamma frequency power time series at time point t. The magnitude of SI, SI_{km}, reflects the degree to which the phases are synchronized. The SI_{km} measure ranges from zero to one: an SI_{km} equal to zero means the phase values are entirely desynchronized, and an SI_{km} equal to one means the phases are entirely synchronized. We calculated theta-gamma, alpha-gamma, and beta-gamma coupling in 1,000, 500, and 300 ms windows, respectively (to obtain 1,000 ms time windows, we identified stable-eye epochs [2° fixation window] of at least 1,200 ms duration and removed the first 0-200 ms of these epochs to avoid any eve movement-related activity), so that analysis time windows contained at least four cycles of the low-frequency oscillation. We also calculated crossfrequency coupling using the same window length for each frequency band, and obtained similar results. Next, we used a bootstrapping technique to transform SI_{km} values to Z scores by comparing the distance of SI_{km} to the distribution of SI_{kmb} values obtained by shuffling data 200 times:

$$SI_{kZ} = \frac{(SI_{km} - mean(SI_{kmb}))}{std(SI_{kmb})}$$

where SI_{kZ} is the normalized SI for the epoch *k*. For each recording session, we averaged SI_{kZ} values over all stable-eye epochs to obtain SI_Z , and applied parametric statistical tests on the SI_Z values from all sessions.

SUPPLEMENTAL INFORMATION

Supplemental Information includes four figures and Supplemental Experimental Procedures and can be found with this article online at http://dx.doi. org/10.1016/j.neuron.2012.09.033.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Xin Li for assisting with electrophysiology experiments, and Drs. Adriano Tort, Michael X. Cohen, and Christopher J. Honey for helpful discussions. This work was supported by grants from the National Institutes of Health (NEI RO1 EY017699, NEI R21 EY021078, and NSF BCS-1025149).

Accepted: September 19, 2012 Published: December 5, 2012

REFERENCES

Arcaro, M.J., Pinsk, M.A., Li, X., and Kastner, S. (2011). Visuotopic organization of macaque posterior parietal cortex: a functional magnetic resonance imaging study. J. Neurosci. *31*, 2064–2078.

Biswal, B., Yetkin, F.Z., Haughton, V.M., and Hyde, J.S. (1995). Functional connectivity in the motor cortex of resting human brain using echo-planar MRI. Magn. Reson. Med. *34*, 537–541.

Bokil, H., Purpura, K., Schoffelen, J.M., Thomson, D., and Mitra, P. (2007). Comparing spectra and coherences for groups of unequal size. J. Neurosci. Methods *159*, 337–345.

Bokil, H., Andrews, P., Kulkarni, J.E., Mehta, S., and Mitra, P.P. (2010). Chronux: a platform for analyzing neural signals. J. Neurosci. Methods *192*, 146–151.

Bollimunta, A., Chen, Y.H., Schroeder, C.E., and Ding, M. (2008). Neuronal mechanisms of cortical alpha oscillations in awake-behaving macaques. J. Neurosci. 28, 9976–9988.

Bollimunta, A., Mo, J., Schroeder, C.E., and Ding, M. (2011). Neuronal mechanisms and attentional modulation of corticothalamic α oscillations. J. Neurosci. *31*, 4935–4943.

Buffalo, E.A., Fries, P., Landman, R., Buschman, T.J., and Desimone, R. (2011). Laminar differences in gamma and alpha coherence in the ventral stream. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *108*, 11262–11267.

Busch, N.A., Dubois, J., and VanRullen, R. (2009). The phase of ongoing EEG oscillations predicts visual perception. J. Neurosci. 29, 7869–7876.

Buschman, T.J., and Miller, E.K. (2007). Top-down versus bottom-up control of attention in the prefrontal and posterior parietal cortices. Science *315*, 1860–1862.

Buzsáki, G., and Draguhn, A. (2004). Neuronal oscillations in cortical networks. Science *304*, 1926–1929.

Buzsáki, G., and Wang, X.J. (2012). Mechanisms of gamma oscillations. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 35, 203–225.

Cabral, J., Hugues, E., Sporns, O., and Deco, G. (2011). Role of local network oscillations in resting-state functional connectivity. Neuroimage 57, 130–139.

Canolty, R.T., and Knight, R.T. (2010). The functional role of cross-frequency coupling. Trends Cogn. Sci. 14, 506–515.

Chatila, M., Milleret, C., Rougeul, A., and Buser, P. (1993). Alpha rhythm in the cat thalamus. C. R. Acad. Sci. III *316*, 51–58.

Cohen, M.X. (2008). Assessing transient cross-frequency coupling in EEG data. J. Neurosci. Methods *168*, 494–499.

Cox, R.W., and Jesmanowicz, A. (1999). Real-time 3D image registration for functional MRI. Magn. Reson. Med. *42*, 1014–1018.

Damoiseaux, J.S., Rombouts, S.A., Barkhof, F., Scheltens, P., Stam, C.J., Smith, S.M., and Beckmann, C.F. (2006). Consistent resting-state networks across healthy subjects. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *103*, 13848–13853.

Dosenbach, N.U., Nardos, B., Cohen, A.L., Fair, D.A., Power, J.D., Church, J.A., Nelson, S.M., Wig, G.S., Vogel, A.C., Lessov-Schlaggar, C.N., et al. (2010). Prediction of individual brain maturity using fMRI. Science 329, 1358–1361.

Engel, A., Konig, P., Kreiter, A., and Singer, W. (1991). Interhemispheric synchronization of oscillatory neuronal responses in cat visual cortex. Science *252*, 1177–1179.

Felleman, D.J., and Van Essen, D.C. (1991). Distributed hierarchical processing in the primate cerebral cortex. Cereb. Cortex 1, 1–47.

Fox, M.D., and Raichle, M.E. (2007). Spontaneous fluctuations in brain activity observed with functional magnetic resonance imaging. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. *8*, 700–711.

Fox, M.D., Snyder, A.Z., Vincent, J.L., Corbetta, M., Van Essen, D.C., and Raichle, M.E. (2005). The human brain is intrinsically organized into dynamic, anticorrelated functional networks. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *102*, 9673–9678.

Franks, N.P. (2008). General anaesthesia: from molecular targets to neuronal pathways of sleep and arousal. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 9, 370–386.

Fujisawa, S., and Buzsáki, G. (2011). A 4 Hz oscillation adaptively synchronizes prefrontal, VTA, and hippocampal activities. Neuron 72, 153–165.

Fukushima, M., Saunders, R.C., Leopold, D.A., Mishkin, M., and Averbeck, B.B. (2012). Spontaneous high-gamma band activity reflects functional organization of auditory cortex in the awake macaque. Neuron 74, 899–910.

Goense, J.B., and Logothetis, N.K. (2008). Neurophysiology of the BOLD fMRI signal in awake monkeys. Curr. Biol. 18, 631–640.

Greicius, M. (2008). Resting-state functional connectivity in neuropsychiatric disorders. Curr. Opin. Neurol. *21*, 424–430.

He, B.J., Snyder, A.Z., Zempel, J.M., Smyth, M.D., and Raichle, M.E. (2008). Electrophysiological correlates of the brain's intrinsic large-scale functional architecture. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *105*, 16039–16044.

Hermes, D., Miller, K.J., Vansteensel, M.J., Aarnoutse, E.J., Leijten, F.S., and Ramsey, N.F. (2012). Neurophysiologic correlates of fMRI in human motor cortex. Hum. Brain Mapp. *33*, 1689–1699.

Honey, C.J., Kötter, R., Breakspear, M., and Sporns, O. (2007). Network structure of cerebral cortex shapes functional connectivity on multiple time-scales. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *104*, 10240–10245.

Hughes, S.W., and Crunelli, V. (2005). Thalamic mechanisms of EEG alpha rhythms and their pathological implications. Neuroscientist *11*, 357–372.

Hughes, S.W., Lörincz, M., Cope, D.W., Blethyn, K.L., Kékesi, K.A., Parri, H.R., Juhász, G., and Crunelli, V. (2004). Synchronized oscillations at alpha and theta frequencies in the lateral geniculate nucleus. Neuron *42*, 253–268.

Hughes, S.W., Lorincz, M.L., Parri, H.R., and Crunelli, V. (2011). Infraslow (<0.1 Hz) oscillations in thalamic relay nuclei basic mechanisms and significance to health and disease states. Prog. Brain Res. *193*, 145–162.

Jenkinson, M. (2001). Improved unwarping of EPI images using regularised B0 maps. Neuroimage *13*, S165.

Jenkinson, M., and Smith, S. (2001). A global optimisation method for robust affine registration of brain images. Med. Image Anal. *5*, 143–156.

Jensen, O., and Colgin, L.L. (2007). Cross-frequency coupling between neuronal oscillations. Trends Cogn. Sci. 11, 267–269.

Jensen, O., and Mazaheri, A. (2010). Shaping functional architecture by oscillatory alpha activity: gating by inhibition. Front. Hum. Neurosci. 4, 186.

Jensen, O., Bonnefond, M., and VanRullen, R. (2012). An oscillatory mechanism for prioritizing salient unattended stimuli. Trends Cogn. Sci. 16, 200–206.

Jezzard, P., and Balaban, R.S. (1995). Correction for geometric distortion in echo planar images from B0 field variations. Magn. Reson. Med. *34*, 65–73.

Jones, E.G. (2007). The Thalamus, Second Edition (New York: Cambridge University Press).

Kajikawa, Y., and Schroeder, C.E. (2011). How local is the local field potential? Neuron 72, 847–858.

Katzner, S., Nauhaus, I., Benucci, A., Bonin, V., Ringach, D.L., and Carandini, M. (2009). Local origin of field potentials in visual cortex. Neuron *61*, 35–41.

Kerns, J.G., Cohen, J.D., MacDonald, A.W., 3rd, Cho, R.Y., Stenger, V.A., and Carter, C.S. (2004). Anterior cingulate conflict monitoring and adjustments in control. Science *303*, 1023–1026.

Klimesch, W., Sauseng, P., and Hanslmayr, S. (2007). EEG alpha oscillations: the inhibition-timing hypothesis. Brain Res. Brain Res. Rev. *53*, 63–88.

Kopell, N., Ermentrout, G.B., Whittington, M.A., and Traub, R.D. (2000). Gamma rhythms and beta rhythms have different synchronization properties. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 97, 1867–1872.

Lakatos, P., Shah, A.S., Knuth, K.H., Ulbert, I., Karmos, G., and Schroeder, C.E. (2005). An oscillatory hierarchy controlling neuronal excitability and stimulus processing in the auditory cortex. J. Neurophysiol. *94*, 1904–1911.

Lakatos, P., Karmos, G., Mehta, A.D., Ulbert, I., and Schroeder, C.E. (2008). Entrainment of neuronal oscillations as a mechanism of attentional selection. Science *320*, 110–113.

Leopold, D.A., Murayama, Y., and Logothetis, N.K. (2003). Very slow activity fluctuations in monkey visual cortex: implications for functional brain imaging. Cereb. Cortex *13*, 422–433.

Logothetis, N.K., Pauls, J., Augath, M., Trinath, T., and Oeltermann, A. (2001). Neurophysiological investigation of the basis of the fMRI signal. Nature *412*, 150–157.

Lopes da Silva, F.H. (1991). Neural mechanisms underlying brain waves: from neural membranes to networks. Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol. *79*, 81–93.

Lopes da Silva, F.H., and Storm Van Leeuwen, W. (1977). The cortical source of the alpha rhythm. Neurosci. Lett. *6*, 237–241.

Lopes da Silva, F.H., Vos, J.E., Mooibroek, J., and Van Rotterdam, A. (1980). Relative contributions of intracortical and thalamo-cortical processes in the generation of alpha rhythms, revealed by partial coherence analysis. Electroencephalogr. Clin. Neurophysiol. *50*, 449–456.

Lörincz, M.L., Crunelli, V., and Hughes, S.W. (2008). Cellular dynamics of cholinergically induced alpha (8-13 Hz) rhythms in sensory thalamic nuclei in vitro. J. Neurosci. 28, 660–671.

Lu, H., Zuo, Y., Gu, H., Waltz, J.A., Zhan, W., Scholl, C.A., Rea, W., Yang, Y., and Stein, E.A. (2007). Synchronized delta oscillations correlate with the resting-state functional MRI signal. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *104*, 18265–18269.

Lu, H., Zou, Q., Gu, H., Raichle, M.E., Stein, E.A., and Yang, Y. (2012). Rat brains also have a default mode network. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *109*, 3979–3984.

Magri, C., Schridde, U., Murayama, Y., Panzeri, S., and Logothetis, N.K. (2012). The amplitude and timing of the BOLD signal reflects the relationship between local field potential power at different frequencies. J. Neurosci. *32*, 1395–1407.

Maier, A., Adams, G.K., Aura, C., and Leopold, D.A. (2010). Distinct superficial and deep laminar domains of activity in the visual cortex during rest and stimulation. Front. Syst. Neurosci. *4*, 31.

Martinez-Conde, S., Macknik, S.L., and Hubel, D.H. (2004). The role of fixational eye movements in visual perception. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. *5*, 229–240.

Matthews, P.M., Honey, G.D., and Bullmore, E.T. (2006). Applications of fMRI in translational medicine and clinical practice. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. 7, 732–744.

Mathewson, K.E., Gratton, G., Fabiani, M., Beck, D.M., and Ro, T. (2009). To see or not to see: prestimulus α phase predicts visual awareness. J. Neurosci. 29, 2725–2732.

McCormick, D.A., and Bal, T. (1997). Sleep and arousal: thalamocortical mechanisms. Annu. Rev. Neurosci. 20, 185–215.

Mitra, P.P., and Pesaran, B. (1999). Analysis of dynamic brain imaging data. Biophys. J. 76, 691–708.

Mo, J., Schroeder, C.E., and Ding, M.Z. (2011). Attentional modulation of alpha oscillations in macaque inferotemporal cortex. J. Neurosci. *31*, 878–882.

Moeller, S., Nallasamy, N., Tsao, D.Y., and Freiwald, W.A. (2009). Functional connectivity of the macaque brain across stimulus and arousal states. J. Neurosci. *29*, 5897–5909.

Monto, S., Palva, S., Voipio, J., and Palva, J.M. (2008). Very slow EEG fluctuations predict the dynamics of stimulus detection and oscillation amplitudes in humans. J. Neurosci. 28, 8268–8272.

Mukamel, R., Gelbard, H., Arieli, A., Hasson, U., Fried, I., and Malach, R. (2005). Coupling between neuronal firing, field potentials, and FMRI in human auditory cortex. Science *309*, 951–954.

Niessing, J., Ebisch, B., Schmidt, K.E., Niessing, M., Singer, W., and Galuske, R.A. (2005). Hemodynamic signals correlate tightly with synchronized gamma oscillations. Science *309*, 948–951.

Nir, Y., Fisch, L., Mukamel, R., Gelbard-Sagiv, H., Arieli, A., Fried, I., and Malach, R. (2007). Coupling between neuronal firing rate, gamma LFP, and BOLD fMRI is related to interneuronal correlations. Curr. Biol. *17*, 1275–1285.

Nir, Y., Mukamel, R., Dinstein, I., Privman, E., Harel, M., Fisch, L., Gelbard-Sagiv, H., Kipervasser, S., Andelman, F., Neufeld, M.Y., et al. (2008). Interhemispheric correlations of slow spontaneous neuronal fluctuations revealed in human sensory cortex. Nat. Neurosci. *11*, 1100–1108.

Ohmoto, T., Mimura, Y., Baba, Y., Miyamoto, T., Matsumoto, Y., Nishimoto, A., and Matsumoto, K. (1978). Thalamic control of spontaneous alpha-rhythm and evoked responses. Appl. Neurophysiol. *41*, 188–192.

Palva, S., and Palva, J.M. (2011). Functional roles of alpha-band phase synchronization in local and large-scale cortical networks. Front. Psychol. *2*, 204.

Pinsk, M.A., Moore, T., Richter, M.C., Gross, C.G., and Kastner, S. (2005). Methods for functional magnetic resonance imaging in normal and lesioned behaving monkeys. J. Neurosci. Methods *143*, 179–195.

Saalmann, Y.B., Pinsk, M.A., Wang, L., Li, X., and Kastner, S. (2012). The pulvinar regulates information transmission between cortical areas based on attention demands. Science *337*, 753–756.

Schölvinck, M.L., Maier, A., Ye, F.Q., Duyn, J.H., and Leopold, D.A. (2010). Neural basis of global resting-state fMRI activity. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 107, 10238–10243. Schroeder, C.E., and Lakatos, P. (2009). Low-frequency neuronal oscillations as instruments of sensory selection. Trends Neurosci. *32*, 9–18.

Seeley, W.W., Menon, V., Schatzberg, A.F., Keller, J., Glover, G.H., Kenna, H., Reiss, A.L., and Greicius, M.D. (2007). Dissociable intrinsic connectivity networks for salience processing and executive control. J. Neurosci. *27*, 2349–2356.

Sherman, S.M., and Guillery, R.W. (2006). Exploring the Thalamus and Its Role in Cortical Function, Second Edition (Cambridge, MA: MIT Press).

Shipp, S. (2003). The functional logic of cortico-pulvinar connections. Philos. Trans. R. Soc. Lond. B Biol. Sci. *358*, 1605–1624.

Shmuel, A., Augath, M., Oeltermann, A., and Logothetis, N.K. (2006). Negative functional MRI response correlates with decreases in neuronal activity in monkey visual area V1. Nat. Neurosci. *9*, 569–577.

Siegel, M., Donner, T.H., and Engel, A.K. (2012). Spectral fingerprints of largescale neuronal interactions. Nat. Rev. Neurosci. *13*, 121–134.

Sil'kis, I.G., and Bogdanova, O.G. (1998). Background gamma-oscillations in neuronal networks with interhemisphere connections. Neurosci. Behav. Physiol. *28*, 645–659.

Smith, S.M., Miller, K.L., Salimi-Khorshidi, G., Webster, M., Beckmann, C.F., Nichols, T.E., Ramsey, J.D., and Woolrich, M.W. (2011). Network modelling methods for FMRI. Neuroimage *54*, 875–891.

Steriade, M., and Llinás, R.R. (1988). The functional states of the thalamus and the associated neuronal interplay. Physiol. Rev. 68, 649–742.

Steriade, M., McCormick, D.A., and Sejnowski, T.J. (1993). Thalamocortical oscillations in the sleeping and aroused brain. Science *262*, 679–685.

Ungerleider, L.G., Galkin, T.W., Desimone, R., and Gattass, R. (2008). Cortical connections of area V4 in the macaque. Cereb. Cortex 18, 477–499.

Vincent, J.L., Patel, G.H., Fox, M.D., Snyder, A.Z., Baker, J.T., Van Essen, D.C., Zempel, J.M., Snyder, L.H., Corbetta, M., and Raichle, M.E. (2007). Intrinsic functional architecture in the anaesthetized monkey brain. Nature *447*, 83–86.

von Stein, A., and Sarnthein, J. (2000). Different frequencies for different scales of cortical integration: from local gamma to long range alpha/theta synchronization. Int. J. Psychophysiol. 38, 301–313.

von Stein, A., Chiang, C., and König, P. (2000). Top-down processing mediated by interareal synchronization. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA *97*, 14748–14753.

Wang, L., Yu, C., Chen, H., Qin, W., He, Y., Fan, F., Zhang, Y., Wang, M., Li, K., Zang, Y., et al. (2010). Dynamic functional reorganization of the motor execution network after stroke. Brain *133*, 1224–1238.

Wang, X.J. (2010). Neurophysiological and computational principles of cortical rhythms in cognition. Physiol. Rev. *90*, 1195–1268.

Williams, K.A., Magnuson, M., Majeed, W., LaConte, S.M., Peltier, S.J., Hu, X., and Keilholz, S.D. (2010). Comparison of alpha-chloralose, medetomidine and isoflurane anesthesia for functional connectivity mapping in the rat. Magn. Reson. Imaging 28, 995–1003.

Yeo, B.T., Krienen, F.M., Sepulcre, J., Sabuncu, M.R., Lashkari, D., Hollinshead, M., Roffman, J.L., Smoller, J.W., Zöllei, L., Polimeni, J.R., et al. (2011). The organization of the human cerebral cortex estimated by intrinsic functional connectivity. J. Neurophysiol. *106*, 1125–1165.

Zhang, D., and Raichle, M.E. (2010). Disease and the brain's dark energy. Nat. Rev. Neurol. 6, 15–28.

Zhang, D.Y., Snyder, A.Z., Fox, M.D., Sansbury, M.W., Shimony, J.S., and Raichle, M.E. (2008). Intrinsic functional relations between human cerebral cortex and thalamus. J. Neurophysiol *100*, 1740–1748.