Properties of Certain Families of 2k-Cycle-Free Graphs*

F. LAZEBNIK

Department of Mathematical Sciences, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware 19716

V A. USTIMENKO

Department of Mathematics and Mechanics, University of Kiev, Kiev 252127, Ukraine

AND

A. J. WOLDAR

Department of Mathematical Sciences, Villanova University, Villanova, Pennsylvania 19085

Received August 13, 1992

Let v = v(G) and e = e(G) denote the order and size of a simple graph G, respectively. Let $\mathscr{G} = \{G_i\}_{i \ge 1}$ be a family of simple graphs of magnitude r > 1 and constant $\lambda > 0$, i.e., $e(G_i) = (\lambda + o(1)) \ v(G_i)^r$, $i \to \infty$. For any such family \mathscr{G} , whose members are bipartite and of girth at least 2k + 2, and every integer $t, 2 \le t \le k - 1$, we construct a family \mathscr{G}_i of graphs of the same magnitude r, of constant greater than λ , and all of whose members contain each of the cycles C_4 , C_6 , ..., C_{2i} , but none of the cycles C_{2i+2} , ..., C_{2k} . We also prove that for every family of 2k-cycle-free extremal graphs (i.e., graphs having the greatest size among all 2k-cycle-free graphs of the same order), all but finitely many such graphs must be either non-bipartite or have girth at most 2k-2. In particular, we show that the best known lower bound on the size of 2k-cycle-free extremal graphs for k=3, 5, namely $(2^{-(k+1)/k} + o(1)) v^{(k+1)/k}$, can be improved to $((k-1) \cdot k^{-(k+1)/k} + o(1)) v^{(k+1)/k}$.

1. Introduction

All graphs we consider are simple. Let \mathcal{F} be a family of graphs, and let G be a graph which contains no subgraph isomorphic to a graph from \mathcal{F} . Then G is called \mathcal{F} -free. We consider the following problem from extremal

^{*} This research was supported by NSF Grant DMS-9115473.

graph theory: Find the greatest number of edges $ex(v, \mathcal{F})$ of any graph on v vertices which is \mathcal{F} -free. In this context \mathcal{F} is called the *family of forbidden graphs*.

Let k be a fixed but arbitrary integer, $k \ge 2$, and let C_{2k} denote the 2k-cycle. By the *even circuit theorem* (see [2, 4, 6]) we have an upper bound for $ex(v, \{C_{2k}\})$:

$$ex(v, \{C_{2k}\}) = O(v^{1+1/k}).$$

A general lower bound for $ex(v, \{C_{2k}\})$ is also available. In fact [11, 12],

$$\operatorname{ex}(v, \{C_{2k}\}) \geqslant \operatorname{ex}(v, \{C_3, C_4, ..., C_{2k+1}\}) = \Omega(v^{1+2/(3k+3)}).$$

For $3 \le k \le 8$, this bound can be improved [10] to

$$\operatorname{ex}(v, \{C_{2k}\}) \ge \operatorname{ex}(v, \{C_3, C_4, ..., C_{2k+1}\}) = \Omega(v^{1+1/(2k-3)}),$$

and for certain values of k the bound can be improved still further (see [14]). Most notably, $\operatorname{ex}(v, \{C_4\}) \sim \frac{1}{2} v^{3/2} [3, 5, 7, 8]$ and $\operatorname{ex}(v, \{C_{2k}\}) = \Omega(v^{1+1/k})$ for k=3 and 5 [1, 9, 13], but similar results have not been proved for any other values of k.

Let \mathscr{F} be a family of graphs, and let G be an \mathscr{F} -free graph of order v=v(G) and size e=e(G) for which $e=\operatorname{ex}(v,\mathscr{F})$. We call such graphs G extremal (or \mathscr{F} -extremal when we wish to emphasize \mathscr{F}). Let $\mathscr{H}=\{H_i\}_{i\geqslant 1}$ be an arbitrary family of \mathscr{F} -free graphs such that $\{v_i=v(H_i)\}_{i\geqslant 1}$ is an increasing sequence of integers. We denote by $r(\mathscr{H})$ the least number r such that $e(H_i)=O(v_i^r)$, should such an r exist, and we call it the magnitude of \mathscr{H} . For a family \mathscr{H} of magnitude r, let $\lambda(\mathscr{H})$ denote the greatest λ such that $e(H_i)=(\lambda+o(1))\,v_i^r$, $i\to\infty$, should such a λ exist, and call it the constant of \mathscr{H} . Let $\mathscr{G}=\{G_i\}_{i\geqslant 1}$ be any family of \mathscr{F} -extremal graphs with magnitude $r(\mathscr{G})$ and constant $\lambda(\mathscr{G})$, and $v_i=v(G_i), i\geqslant 1$. Then $\operatorname{ex}(v_i,\mathscr{F})=(\lambda(\mathscr{G})+o(1))\,v_i^{r(\mathscr{G})}$. Note that for fixed \mathscr{F} , all families of extremal graphs have the same magnitude and constant, so we may denote them by $r_{\mathscr{F}}$ and $\lambda_{\mathscr{F}}$. Let us call \mathscr{H} magnitude extremal (or \mathscr{F} -magnitude extremal) if $r(\mathscr{H})=r_{\mathscr{F}}$. In practice, investigation of the constant is limited to magnitude extremal graphs.

As an example, let $\mathscr{F}=\{C_4\}$. A family \mathscr{H} of magnitude extremal graphs consists of bipartite point-line incidence graphs of projective planes of order q (thick generalized triangles). For this family we have $r(\mathscr{H})=\frac{3}{2}$ and $\lambda(\mathscr{H})=2^{-3/2}$. But since $\lambda_{\mathscr{F}}=\frac{1}{2}$ (see any of [3,5,7,8]) the graphs of \mathscr{H} are not extremal.

For the remainder of this note we restrict ourselves to the case $\mathscr{F} = \{C_{2k}\}$. We also write r_k and λ_k , should they exist, in place of the more cumbersome $r_{\{C_{2k}\}}$ and $\lambda_{\{C_{2k}\}}$. Note that in this case $1 < r_k \le 1 + 1/k$.

We now mention an interesting phenomenon concerning certain families of $\{C_{2k}\}$ -magnitude extremal graphs. Namely, in every case in which r_k is known (viz. $r_2 = \frac{3}{2}$, $r_3 = \frac{4}{3}$, $r_5 = \frac{6}{5}$) there exists a family of $\{C_{2k}\}$ -magnitude extremal graphs whose members are bipartite graphs of high girth g_k (viz. $g_2 = 6$, $g_3 = 8$, $g_5 = 12$) [1, 9, 13]. We think this is quite remarkable since the only requirement of such graphs is that they do not contain 2k-cycles, and it would seem (if not for the aforementioned examples which illustrate otherwise) that the magnitude could always be increased by selectively adding edges to form smaller cycles. In this note we show that while $\{C_{2k}\}$ -magnitude extremality can be achieved with families of bipartite graphs of high girth, ordinary extremality cannot! In particular, we give a general and simple constructive procedure for producing from any family of high girth bipartite $\{C_{2k}\}$ -magnitude extremal graphs a family of $\{C_{2k}\}$ -magnitude extremal graphs with greater constant. More precisely, we prove the following.

THEOREM. Let $k \ge 3$ and let \mathscr{G} be a family of 2k-cycle-free graphs with magnitude r > 1 and constant $\lambda > 0$, the members of which are bipartite graphs of girth at least 2k + 2. Then, for any t, $2 \le t \le k - 1$, there exists a family \mathscr{G} , of 2k-cycle-free graphs with magnitude r and constant $\lambda \ge t(2/(t+1))^r \lambda > \lambda$, all of whose members are bipartite and contain each of the cycles C_4 , C_6 , ..., C_{2t} , and none of the cycles C_{2t+2} , ..., C_{2k} . Consequently, any family of $\{C_{2k}\}$ -extremal graphs must consist (with finitely many exceptions) either of graphs that are non-bipartite or have girth at most 2k-2.

2. THE CONSTRUCTION

Let G be an arbitrary bipartite graph, the partitions of which we denote by P (points) and L (lines) for convenience. For any fixed integer $t \ge 2$, construct the bipartite graph $\widetilde{G} = \widetilde{G}(t)$ as follows: Let $P^1, P^2, ..., P^t$ denote t disjoint copies of the (labeled) points of P, i.e., $P^i = \{p^i | p \in P\}$, i = 1, 2, ..., t. The vertex and edge sets are now defined by $V(\widetilde{G}) = L \cup P^1 \cup P^2 \cup \cdots \cup P^t$ and $E(\widetilde{G}) = \{\{p^i, l\} | \{p, l\} \in E(G), i = 1, 2, ..., t\}$. We will need the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 1. Let $\Delta > 1$ be the maximum degree among all points of bipartite graph G. Then \tilde{G} contains each of C_4 , C_6 , ..., C_{2m} as subgraphs, where $m = \min\{t, \Delta\}$.

Proof. Let $p \in P$ have degree Δ and let the neighbors of p be $l_1, l_2, ..., l_A \in L$. Then $l_1 p^1 l_2 p^2 l_3 p^3 \cdots l_i p^i l_1$ is clearly a 2*i*-cycle, $2 \le i \le m$.

Let $\{G_i\}_{i\geqslant 1}$ be a family of bipartite graphs with magnitude r>1 and constant $\lambda>0$. Without loss of generality we may assume $|L|\geqslant |P|$ for each G_i . Then, for any $t\geqslant 2$, family $\{\tilde{G}_i\}_{i\geqslant 1}$ has magnitude $\tilde{r}=r$ but the constant $\tilde{\lambda}$ need not exist. In fact, the existence of $\tilde{\lambda}$ depends on the behavior of the sequence $\{\mu_i\}$, where μ_i is defined to be the ratio of the number |P| of points in G_i to the number $v=(G_i)$ of vertices. Note that $0<\mu_i\leq\frac{1}{2}$. If $\mu_i\to\mu$, $i\to\infty$, then, as we shall show in Lemma 2, $\tilde{\lambda}$ exists; in fact $\tilde{\lambda}=t[1+(t-1)\mu]^{-r}\lambda$. In any case, we can always find a subsequence of $\{\mu_i\}$ which converges to some μ , $0\leqslant\mu\leqslant\frac{1}{2}$, and hence a subfamily $\{\tilde{G}_{i(\mu)}\}$ of $\{\tilde{G}_i\}_{i\geqslant 1}$ for which $\tilde{\lambda}$ exists and is described as above. Therefore, without loss of generality, we may assume that $\mu_i\to\mu$ for the original family $\{\tilde{G}_i\}$.

LEMMA 2. $\{\tilde{G}_i\}$ has magnitude $\tilde{r} = r$ and constant $\tilde{\lambda} = t[1 + (t-1)\mu]^{-r}\lambda$ $\geq t(2/(t+1))^r \lambda$. Moreover, $\tilde{\lambda} > \lambda$ if $2 \leq t \leq (r-1)^{-1}$.

Proof. Clearly, graph \tilde{G}_i has parameters $\tilde{v} = v + (t-1) |P|$ and $\tilde{e} = te$. Then $\tilde{e}\tilde{v}^{-r} = te[v + (t-1)|P|]^{-r} = tev^{-r}[1 + (t-1)\mu_i]^{-r} \rightarrow t[1 + (t-1)\mu]^{-r}\lambda := \tilde{\lambda}$. Since $1 + (t-1)\mu \leqslant \frac{1}{2}(t+1)$, then $\tilde{\lambda} \geqslant t(2/(t+1))^r\lambda$. The last statement of the lemma follows from the fact that the function $f(t) = t(2/(t+1))^r$ is increasing on the interval $[1, (r-1)^{-1}]$.

Proof of the Theorem. Let $k \ge 3$ and let $\mathscr G$ be a family of graphs as in the theorem statement. Thus $G \in \mathscr G$ is bipartite and has girth at least 2k+2. For fixed t, $2 \le t \le k-1$, form the family $\widetilde{\mathscr G}_t = \{\widetilde G \mid \Delta(G) \ge t\}$. (Note that $\Delta \ge 2e/v \sim 2\lambda v^{r-1} \to \infty$ as $v \to \infty$, so that $\Delta \ge t$ for v sufficiently large.) By Lemma 1, $\widetilde G$ contains each of the cycles C_4 , C_6 , ..., C_{2t} . Suppose $\widetilde G$ contains a 2s-cycle for some s, $(t+1) \le s \le k$, which we describe by its sequence of consecutive vertices

$$a_1b_1a_2b_2\dots a_sb_s, (1)$$

where $a_i \in P^1 \cup \cdots \cup P^i$, $b_i \in L$, $1 \le i \le s$. We consider the closed walk in G,

$$\eta(a_1) b_1 \eta(a_2) b_2 \dots \eta(a_s) b_s,$$
(2)

which is the image of (1) under the map $\eta: V(\tilde{G}) \to V(G)$ defined by $\eta(p^i) = p$ for all $p^i \in P^i$, $1 \le i \le t$, and $\eta(l) = l$ for all $l \in L$. Note that while the b_i are certainly distinct, this need not be the case for the $\eta(a_i)$.

Sequence (2) defines an eulerian multigraph in which every edge has multiplicity at most two and each line b_i has degree exactly two. Delete from this multigraph all edges of multiplicity two (all two-cycles). Then, in the resulting simple graph, each connected component is eulerian. But if there exists such a component which is not an isolated vertex, then G contains a cycle of length at most 2s, a contradiction. Thus, every

component is an isolated vertex; i.e., every edge in the multigraph has multiplicity two. This implies that all $\eta(a_i)$ are equal, so (1) has the form

$$p^1b_1p^2b_2\cdots p^sb_s$$

for some $p \in P$, an impossibility as \tilde{G} has only t copies of P and $t \leq s-1$. We conclude that \tilde{G} is free of all cycles C_{2t+2} , ..., C_{2k} . Finally, by Lemma 2, \mathfrak{F}_t has the same magnitude as \mathfrak{F} with constant as in the statement of the theorem. It remains only to show that $\tilde{\lambda} > \lambda$. But since $r \leq 1 + 1/k$, this follows immediately from Lemma 2.

COROLLARY. $\lambda_3 \ge 2/3^{4/3}$, $\lambda_5 \ge 4/5^{6/5}$.

Proof. Apply our construction to the known families of magnitude extremal graphs [1, 9, 13] which have magnitudes $\frac{4}{3}$ and $\frac{6}{5}$ and constants $2^{-4/3}$ and $2^{-6/5}$, respectively.

3. CONCLUDING REMARKS

It would seem that the constructive procedure described in Section 2 could be applied to graphs with a forbidden family different from $\{C_{2k}\}$. While this is probably the case, the situation might be a bit subtle. For example, consider $\mathscr{F} = \{K_{3,3}\}$, where $K_{3,3}$ is the complete bipartite graph on 3+3 vertices. It is easy to see that if G is a $\{K_{3,3}\}$ -free bipartite graph which just happens to have no $K_{2,3}$ subgraphs, then $\widetilde{G}(2)$ will be $\{K_{3,3}\}$ -extremal graphs must consist either of non-bipartite graphs or graphs which contain a copy of $K_{2,3}$. The point is that, as can easily be shown from a result of Brown [3], every family of $\{K_{3,3}\}$ -magnitude extremal graphs must consist of graphs which contain a $K_{2,3}$ subgraph, so that, in this case, there are no graphs to which our construction applies.

Note added in proof. Recently the authors proved that $ex(v, \{C_3, C_4, ..., C_{2k+1}\}) = \Omega(v^{1+2/(3k-3+\epsilon)})$, where $\varepsilon = 0$ if k is odd and $\varepsilon = 1$ if k is even. To our knowledge this is the best known asymptotic lower bound for all $k, k \ge 2, k \ne 5$. (The result will appear elsewhere.)

REFERENCES

- C. T. Benson, Minimal regular graphs of girth eight and twelve, Canad. J. Math. 18 (1966), 1091-1094.
- J. A. BONDY AND M. SIMONOVITS, Cycles of even length in graphs, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 16 (1974), 87-105.
- 3. W. G. Brown, On graphs that do not contain a Thomsen graph, Canad. Math. Bull. 9, No. 3 (1966), 281-285.

- 4. P. Erdős, Extremal problems in graph theory, in "Theory of Graphs and Its Applications" (M. Fiedler, Ed.), Academic Press, New York, 1985.
- 5. P. Erdős, A. RÉNYI, AND V. T. Sós, On a problem of graph theory, Stud. Sci. Math. Hungar. 1 (1966), 215-235.
- R. J. FAUDREE AND M. SIMONOVITS, On a class of degenerate extremal graph problems, Combinatoria 3, No. 1 (1983), 83-93.
- 7. Z. FÜREDI, Graphs without quadrilaterals, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 34 (1983), 187-190.
- 8. Z. FÜREDI, Quadrilateral-free graphs with maximum number of edges, preprint.
- 9. F. LAZEBNIK AND V. A. USTIMENKO, New examples of graphs without small cycles and of large size, Europ. J. Combin. 14 (1993), 445-460.
- 10. F. LAZEBNIK AND V. A. USTIMENKO, Explicit construction of graphs with an arbitrary large girth and of large size, *Applied Discrete Math.*, to appear.
- 11. A. Lubotzky, R. Phillips, and P. Sarnak, Ramanujan graphs, *Combinatoria* 8, No. 3 (1988), 261–277.
- G. A. MARGULIS, Explicit group-theoretical construction of combinatorial schemes and their application to the design of expanders and concentrators, J. Probl. Inform. Transmission (1988), 39-46 (translation from Problemy Peredachi Informatsii 24, No. 1 (1988), 51-60.
- R. Wenger, Extremal graphs with no C⁴, C⁶, or C¹⁰s, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B 52 (1991), 113-116.
- A. J. WOLDAR AND V. A. USTIMENKO, An application of group theory to extremal graph theory, in "Group Theory: Proceedings of the Biennial Ohio-State Denison Conference" (S. Sehgal and R. Solomon, Eds.), World Scientific Publ. Co., Singapore, 1993.