



Physics Letters B 567 (2003) 107-115

PHYSICS LETTERS B

www.elsevier.com/locate/npe

# Noncommutative multi-instantons on $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^2$

Tatiana A. Ivanova<sup>a</sup>, Olaf Lechtenfeld<sup>b</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR, 141980 Dubna, Moscow Region, Russia <sup>b</sup> Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Hannover, Appelstraße 2, 30167 Hannover, Germany

Received 29 May 2003; accepted 13 June 2003

Editor: L. Alvarez-Gaumé

#### Abstract

Generalizing self-duality on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^2$  to higher dimensions, we consider the Donaldson–Uhlenbeck–Yau equations on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^2$  and their noncommutative deformation for the gauge group U(2). Imposing SO(3) invariance (up to gauge transformations) reduces these equations to vortex-type equations for an Abelian gauge field and a complex scalar on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\theta}$ . For a special  $S^2$ -radius *R* depending on the noncommutativity  $\theta$  we find explicit solutions in terms of shift operators. These vortex-like configurations on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\theta}$  determine SO(3)-invariant multi-instantons on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\theta} \times S^2_R$  for  $R = R(\theta)$ . The latter may be interpreted as sub-branes of codimension 2n inside a coincident pair of noncommutative D*p*-branes with an  $S^2$  factor of suitable size. © 2003 Published by Elsevier B.V. Open access under CC BY license.

### 1. Introduction

Noncommutative deformation is a well established framework for stretching the limits of conventional (classical and quantum) field theories [1,2]. On the nonperturbative side, all celebrated classical field configurations have been generalized to the noncommutative realm. Of particular interest thereof are BPS configurations, which are subject to first-order nonlinear equations. The latter descend from the 4d Yang–Mills (YM) self-duality equations and have given rise to instantons [3], monopoles [4] and vortices [5], among others. Their noncommutative counterparts were introduced in [6,7] and [8], respectively, and have been studied intensely for the past five years (see [9] for a recent review).

String/M theory embeds these efforts in a higher-dimensional context, and so it is important to formulate BPStype equations in more than four dimensions. In fact, noncommutative instantons in higher dimensions and their brane interpretations have recently been considered in [10–12]. Yet already 20 years ago, generalized self-duality equations for YM fields in more than four dimensions were proposed [13,14] and their solutions investigated, e.g., in [14,15]. For U(k) gauge theory on a Kähler manifold these equations specialize to the Donaldson–Uhlenbeck– Yau (DUY) equations [16,17]. They are the natural analogues of the 4d self-duality equations.

In this Letter we generalize the DUY equations to the noncommutative spaces  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\theta} \times S^2$  and construct explicit U(2) multi-instanton solutions even though these equations are not integrable. The key lies in a clever ansatz for

E-mail addresses: ita@thsun1.jinr.ru (T.A. Ivanova), lechtenf@itp.uni-hannover.de (O. Lechtenfeld).

<sup>0370-2693 © 2003</sup> Published by Elsevier B.V. Open access under CC BY license. doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(03)00868-2

the gauge potential, due to Taubes [5], which we generalize to higher dimensions and to the noncommutative setting. This SO(3)-invariant ansatz reduces the U(2) DUY equations to vortex-type equations on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\theta}$ . For n = 1 the latter are the standard vortex equations on  $\mathbb{R}^2_{\theta}$ , while for n = 2 they are intimately related to the Seiberg–Witten monopole equations on  $\mathbb{R}^4_{\theta}$  [18].

# 2. Donaldson–Uhlenbeck–Yau equations on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\theta} \times S^2$

## 2.1. Manifold $\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\theta} \times S^2$

We consider the manifold  $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^2$  with the Riemannian metric

$$ds^{2} = \sum_{\mu,\nu=1}^{2n} \delta_{\mu\nu} dx^{\mu} dx^{\nu} + R^{2} (d\vartheta^{2} + \sin^{2}\vartheta d\varphi^{2}) = \sum_{i,j=1}^{2n+2} g_{ij} dx^{i} dx^{j}, \qquad (2.1)$$

where  $x^1, \ldots, x^{\mu}, \ldots, x^{2n}$  are coordinates on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  while  $x^{2n+1} = \vartheta$  and  $x^{2n+2} = \varphi$  parametrize the standard twosphere  $S^2$  with constant radius R, i.e.,  $0 \le \varphi \le 2\pi$  and  $0 \le \vartheta \le \pi$ . The volume two-form on  $S^2$  reads

$$\sqrt{\det(g_{ij})} \, \mathrm{d}\vartheta \wedge \mathrm{d}\varphi =: \omega_{\vartheta\varphi} \, \mathrm{d}\vartheta \wedge \mathrm{d}\varphi = \omega \implies \omega_{\vartheta\varphi} = -\omega_{\varphi\vartheta} = R^2 \sin\vartheta.$$
(2.2)

The manifold  $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^2$  is Kähler, with local complex coordinates  $z^1, \ldots, z^n$ , y where

$$z^{a} = x^{2a-1} - ix^{2a}, \qquad \bar{z}^{\bar{a}} = x^{2a-1} + ix^{2a} \quad \text{with } a = 1, \dots, n$$
 (2.3)

and

$$y = \frac{R \sin \vartheta}{(1 + \cos \vartheta)} \exp(-i\varphi), \qquad \bar{y} = \frac{R \sin \vartheta}{(1 + \cos \vartheta)} \exp(i\varphi), \tag{2.4}$$

so that  $1 + \cos \vartheta = \frac{2R^2}{R^2 + y\bar{y}}$ . In these coordinates, the metric takes the form<sup>1</sup>

$$ds^{2} = \delta_{a\bar{b}} dz^{a} d\bar{z}^{\bar{b}} + \frac{4 R^{4}}{(R^{2} + y\bar{y})^{2}} dy d\bar{y}$$
(2.5)

with  $\delta_{a\bar{a}} = \delta^{a\bar{a}} = 1$  (other entries vanish), and the Kähler two-form reads

$$\Omega = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \left\{ \delta_{a\bar{b}} \,\mathrm{d}z^a \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{z}^{\bar{b}} + \frac{4\,R^4}{(R^2 + y\bar{y})^2} \,\mathrm{d}y \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{y} \right\} = -\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \delta_{a\bar{b}} \,\mathrm{d}z^a \wedge \mathrm{d}\bar{z}^{\bar{b}} + \omega_{\vartheta\varphi} \,\mathrm{d}\vartheta \wedge \mathrm{d}\varphi. \tag{2.6}$$

For later use, we also note here the derivatives

$$\partial_{z^a} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{2a-1} + i\partial_{2a}), \qquad \partial_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{2a-1} - i\partial_{2a}),$$
(2.7)

where  $\partial_{\mu} \equiv \partial/\partial x^{\mu}$  for  $\mu = 1, \dots, 2n$ .

Classical field theory on the noncommutative deformation  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\theta}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  may be realized in a star-product formulation or in an operator formalism. While the first approach alters the product of functions on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  the second one turns these functions f into linear operators  $\hat{f}$  acting on the *n*-harmonic-oscillator Fock space  $\mathcal{H}$ .

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> From now on we use the Einstein summation convention for repeated indices.

The noncommutative space  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\theta}$  may then be defined by declaring its coordinate functions  $\hat{x}^1, \ldots, \hat{x}^{2n}$  to obey the Heisenberg algebra relations

$$\left[\hat{x}^{\mu}, \hat{x}^{\nu}\right] = \mathrm{i}\theta^{\mu\nu} \tag{2.8}$$

with a constant antisymmetric tensor  $\theta^{\mu\nu}$ . The coordinates can be chosen in such a way that the matrix  $(\theta^{\mu\nu})$  will be block-diagonal with nonvanishing components

$$\theta^{2a-12a} = -\theta^{2a\,2a-1} =: \theta^a. \tag{2.9}$$

We assume that all  $\theta^a \ge 0$ ; the general case does not hide additional complications. For the noncommutative version of the complex coordinates (2.3) we have

$$\left[\hat{z}^{a},\hat{\bar{z}}^{\bar{b}}\right] = -2\delta^{a\bar{b}}\,\theta^{a} =: \theta^{a\bar{b}} = -\theta^{\bar{b}a} \leqslant 0, \quad \text{and all other commutators vanish.}$$
(2.10)

The Fock space  $\mathcal{H}$  is spanned by the basis states

$$|k_1, k_2, \dots, k_n\rangle = \prod_{a=1}^n \left( 2\theta^a k_a! \right)^{-1/2} \left( \hat{z}^a \right)^{k_a} |0\rangle \quad \text{for } k_a = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$
(2.11)

which are connected by the action of creation and annihilation operators subject to

$$\left[\frac{\hat{\bar{z}}^b}{\sqrt{2\theta^b}}, \frac{\hat{z}^a}{\sqrt{2\theta^a}}\right] = \delta^{a\bar{b}}.$$
(2.12)

We recall that, in the operator realization  $f \mapsto \hat{f}$ , derivatives of f get mapped according to

$$\partial_{z^a} f \mapsto \theta_{a\bar{b}} \left[ \hat{\bar{z}}^{\bar{b}}, \hat{f} \right] =: \partial_{\hat{z}^a} \hat{f}, \qquad \partial_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}} f \mapsto \theta_{\bar{a}b} \left[ \hat{z}^b, \hat{f} \right] =: \partial_{\hat{z}^{\bar{a}}} \hat{f}, \tag{2.13}$$

where  $\theta_{a\bar{b}}$  is defined via  $\theta_{b\bar{c}}\theta^{\bar{c}a} = \delta^a_b$  so that  $\theta_{a\bar{b}} = -\theta_{\bar{b}a} = \frac{\delta_{a\bar{b}}}{2\theta^a}$ . Finally, we have to replace

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2n}} \mathrm{d}^n x \, f \mapsto \left(\prod_{a=1}^n 2\pi \theta^a\right) \mathrm{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} \, \hat{f}.$$
(2.14)

Tensoring  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\theta}$  with a commutative  $S^2$  means extending the noncommutativity matrix  $\theta$  by vanishing entries in the two new directions. A more detailed description of noncommutative field theories can be found in the review papers [2].

### 2.2. Donaldson–Uhlenbeck–Yau equations

Let  $M_{2q}$  be a complex q = n+1 dimensional Kähler manifold with some local real coordinates  $x = (x^i)$ and a tangent space basis  $\partial_i := \partial/\partial x^i$  for i, j = 1, ..., 2q, so that a metric and the Kähler two-form read  $ds^2 = g_{ij} dx^i dx^j$  and  $\Omega = \Omega_{ij} dx^i \wedge dx^j$ , respectively. Consider a rank k complex vector bundle over  $M_{2q}$ with a gauge potential  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_i dx^i$  and the curvature two-form  $\mathcal{F} = d\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A}$  with components  $\mathcal{F}_{ij} =$  $\partial_i \mathcal{A}_j - \partial_j \mathcal{A}_i + [\mathcal{A}_i, \mathcal{A}_j]$ . Both  $\mathcal{A}_i$  and  $\mathcal{F}_{ij}$  take values in the Lie algebra u(k). The Donaldson–Uhlenbeck–Yau (DUY) equations [16,17] on  $M_{2q}$  are

$$*\Omega \wedge \mathcal{F} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}^{0,2} = 0, \tag{2.15}$$

where  $\Omega$  is the Kähler two-form,  $\mathcal{F}^{0,2}$  is the (0, 2) part of  $\mathcal{F}$ , and \* is the Hodge operator. In our local coordinates  $(x^i)$  we have  $q!(*\Omega \wedge \mathcal{F}) = (\Omega, \mathcal{F})\Omega^q = \Omega^{ij}\mathcal{F}_{ij}\Omega^q$  where  $\Omega^{ij}$  are defined via  $\Omega^{ij}\Omega_{jk} = \delta^i_k$ . Due to the anti-Hermiticity of  $\mathcal{F}$ , it follows that also  $\mathcal{F}^{2,0} = 0$ . For q = 2 the DUY equations (2.15) coincide with the anti-self-dual

Yang-Mills (ASDYM) equations

$$\mathcal{F} = -\mathcal{F} \tag{2.16}$$

introduced in [3].

Specializing now  $M_{2q}$  to be  $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^2$ , the DUY equations (2.15) in the local complex coordinates  $(z^a, y)$  take the form

$$\delta^{a\bar{b}}\mathcal{F}_{z^{a}\bar{z}^{\bar{b}}} + \frac{(R^{2} + y\bar{y})^{2}}{4R^{4}}\mathcal{F}_{y\bar{y}} = 0, \qquad \mathcal{F}_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}\bar{z}^{\bar{b}}} = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}\bar{y}} = 0, \tag{2.17}$$

where a, b = 1, ..., n. Using formulae (2.4), we obtain

$$\mathcal{F}_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}\bar{y}} = \mathcal{F}_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}\vartheta} \frac{\partial\vartheta}{\partial\bar{y}} + \mathcal{F}_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}\varphi} \frac{\partial\varphi}{\partial\bar{y}} = \frac{1}{\bar{y}} (\sin\vartheta \,\mathcal{F}_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}\vartheta} - \mathrm{i}\,\mathcal{F}_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}\varphi}), \tag{2.18}$$

$$\mathcal{F}_{y\bar{y}} = \mathcal{F}_{\vartheta\varphi} \left| \frac{\partial(\vartheta,\varphi)}{\partial(y,\bar{y})} \right| = \frac{1}{2i} \frac{\sin\vartheta}{y\bar{y}} \mathcal{F}_{\vartheta\varphi} = \frac{1}{2i} \frac{(1+\cos\vartheta)^2}{R^2\sin\vartheta} \mathcal{F}_{\vartheta\varphi}$$
(2.19)

and finally write the Donaldson–Uhlenbeck–Yau equations on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^2$  in the alternative form

$$2\mathrm{i}\delta^{a\bar{b}}\mathcal{F}_{z^{a}\bar{z}^{\bar{b}}} + \frac{\mathrm{i}}{R^{2}\sin\vartheta}\mathcal{F}_{\vartheta\varphi} = 0, \qquad \mathcal{F}_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}\bar{z}^{\bar{b}}} = 0, \qquad \sin\vartheta \mathcal{F}_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}\vartheta} - \mathrm{i}\mathcal{F}_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}\varphi} = 0.$$
(2.20)

The transition to the noncommutative DUY equations is trivially achieved by going over to operator-valued objects everywhere. In particular, the field strength components in (2.20) then read  $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{ij} = \partial_{\hat{x}^i} \hat{\mathcal{A}}_j - \partial_{\hat{x}^j} \hat{\mathcal{A}}_i + [\hat{\mathcal{A}}_i, \hat{\mathcal{A}}_j]$ , where, e.g.,  $\hat{\mathcal{A}}_i$  are simultaneously u(k) and operator valued. To avoid a cluttered notation, we drop the hats from now on.

### 3. Generalized vortex equations on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\theta}$

### 3.1. Noncommutative generalization of Taubes' ansatz

Considering the particular case (2.16) of the SU(2) DUY equations on  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^2$ , Taubes introduced an SO(3)invariant ansatz<sup>2</sup> for the gauge potential  $\mathcal{A}$  which reduces the ASDYM equations (2.16) to the vortex equations on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  [5] (see also [21]). Here we extend Taubes' ansatz to the higher-dimensional manifold  $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^2$  and reduce the noncommutative<sup>3</sup> U(2) Donaldson–Uhlenbeck–Yau equations (2.20) to generalized vortex equations on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\theta}$ , including their commutative ( $\theta = 0$ ) limit. In Section 4, we will write down explicit solutions of the generalized noncommutative vortex equations on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  which determine multi-instanton solutions of the noncommutative YM equations on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^2$ .

We begin with the u(2)-valued operator one-form  $\mathcal{A}$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\theta} \times S^2$ . Imposing SO(3) invariance up to a gauge transformation, Taubes [5] found for n = 1 and  $\theta = 0$  that the  $S^2$  dependence of  $\mathcal{A}$  must be collected in the su(2) matrix

$$Q = i \begin{pmatrix} \cos\vartheta & e^{-i\varphi}\sin\vartheta \\ e^{i\varphi}\sin\vartheta & -\cos\vartheta \end{pmatrix} = i(\sin\vartheta\cos\varphi\,\sigma_1 + \sin\vartheta\sin\varphi\,\sigma_2 + \cos\vartheta\,\sigma_3)$$
(3.1)

110

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Similarly, Witten's ansatz [19] for gauge fields on  $\mathbb{R}^4$  reduces (2.16) to the vortex equations on the hyperbolic space  $H^2$  (cf. [20] for the noncommutative  $\mathbb{R}^4$ ).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> As it is well known [2], in the noncommutative case one should use U(2) instead of SU(2).

and its differential dQ. Note that  $Q^2 = -1$  and  $\frac{\partial Q}{\partial \varphi} = -\sin \vartheta Q \frac{\partial Q}{\partial \vartheta}$ . Our slight generalization of his ansatz to  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\theta} \times S^2$  reads  $(\mathbf{1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix})$ 

$$\mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2} \{ (iQ - \gamma \mathbf{1})A + (\phi_1 - 1)Q \, dQ + \phi_2 \, dQ \},$$
(3.2)

where the constant  $\gamma$  parametrizes the additional u(1) piece. The one-form  $A = A_{\mu}(x) dx^{\mu}$  with  $A_{\mu} \in u(1) \cong i\mathbb{R}$ and  $\mu = 1, ..., 2n$  is anti-Hermitian while  $\phi_{1,2} = \phi_{1,2}(x) \in \mathbb{R}$  are Hermitian, all being operators in  $\mathcal{H}$  only. Note that this form reduces the non-Abelian connection  $\mathcal{A}$  to the Abelian objects  $(A, \phi_1, \phi_2)$  whose noncommutative character thus does not interfere with the u(2) structure. Calculation of the curvature

$$\mathcal{F} = \mathbf{d}\mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A} = \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{ij}\,\mathbf{d}x^{i} \wedge \mathbf{d}x^{j}$$
  
$$= \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu}\,\mathbf{d}x^{\mu} \wedge \mathbf{d}x^{\nu} + \mathcal{F}_{\mu\vartheta}\,\mathbf{d}x^{\mu} \wedge \mathbf{d}\vartheta + \mathcal{F}_{\mu\varphi}\,\mathbf{d}x^{\mu} \wedge \mathbf{d}\varphi + \mathcal{F}_{\vartheta\varphi}\,\mathbf{d}\vartheta \wedge \mathbf{d}\varphi$$
(3.3)

for  $\mathcal{A}$  of the form (3.2) yields

$$2\mathcal{F}_{\mu\nu} = iQ(\partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} - \gamma[A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}]) - \gamma \mathbf{1} \bigg(\partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} - \frac{1+\gamma^{2}}{2\gamma}[A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}]\bigg), \tag{3.4}$$

$$4\mathcal{F}_{\mu\vartheta} = \left\{ Q \left( 2\partial_{\mu}\phi_{1} + iA_{\mu}\phi_{2} + i\phi_{2}A_{\mu} - \gamma[A_{\mu},\phi_{1}] \right) + \mathbf{1} \left( 2\partial_{\mu}\phi_{2} - iA_{\mu}\phi_{1} - i\phi_{1}A_{\mu} - \gamma[A_{\mu},\phi_{2}] \right) \right\} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial\vartheta},$$
(3.5)

$$4\mathcal{F}_{\mu\varphi} = \left\{ Q \left( 2\partial_{\mu}\phi_{1} + iA_{\mu}\phi_{2} + i\phi_{2}A_{\mu} - \gamma[A_{\mu},\phi_{1}] \right) + \mathbf{1} \left( 2\partial_{\mu}\phi_{2} - iA_{\mu}\phi_{1} - i\phi_{1}A_{\mu} - \gamma[A_{\mu},\phi_{2}] \right) \right\} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial\varphi},$$

$$(3.6)$$

$$2\mathcal{F}_{\vartheta\varphi} = \left\{ Q \left( 1 - \phi_1^2 - \phi_2^2 \right) + \mathbf{1} [\phi_1, \phi_2] \right\} \sin \vartheta.$$
(3.7)

In the complex coordinates (2.3) with  $A_{z^a} = \frac{1}{2}(A_{2a-1} + iA_{2a})$  and  $A_{\bar{z}\bar{a}}^{\dagger} = -A_{\bar{z}\bar{a}}$  we have

$$\mathcal{F}_{2a-1\,2a} = -Q\left(\partial_{z^a}A_{\bar{z}\bar{a}} - \partial_{\bar{z}\bar{a}}A_{z^a} - \gamma[A_{z^a}, A_{\bar{z}\bar{a}}]\right) - i\gamma \mathbf{1}\left(\partial_{z^a}A_{\bar{z}\bar{a}} - \partial_{\bar{z}\bar{a}}A_{z^a} - \frac{1+\gamma^2}{2\gamma}[A_{z^a}, A_{\bar{z}\bar{a}}]\right)$$
(3.8)

which agrees with  $2i \mathcal{F}_{z^a \bar{z}^{\bar{a}}}$ .

# 3.2. Vortex-type equations in $\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\theta}$

Introducing  $\phi := \phi_1 + i\phi_2$  and substituting (3.7) and (3.8) into the first equation from (2.20), we obtain

$$-\delta^{a\bar{b}} \left\{ Q \left( \partial_{z^a} A_{\bar{z}\bar{b}} - \partial_{\bar{z}\bar{b}} A_{z^a} - \gamma [A_{z^a}, A_{\bar{z}\bar{b}}] \right) + i\gamma \mathbf{1} \left( \partial_{z^a} A_{\bar{z}\bar{b}} - \partial_{\bar{z}\bar{b}} A_{z^a} - \frac{1+\gamma^2}{2\gamma} [A_{z^a}, A_{\bar{z}\bar{b}}] \right) \right\} + \frac{1}{4R^2} \left( Q \left( 2 - \phi \phi^{\dagger} - \phi^{\dagger} \phi \right) + i\mathbf{1} [\phi, \phi^{\dagger}] \right) = 0$$

$$(3.9)$$

which splits into the two equations

$$\delta^{a\bar{b}} \{ \partial_{z^a} A_{\bar{z}\bar{b}} - \partial_{\bar{z}\bar{b}} A_{z^a} - \gamma [A_{z^a}, A_{\bar{z}\bar{b}}] \} = \frac{1}{4R^2} (2 - \phi \phi^{\dagger} - \phi^{\dagger} \phi),$$
(3.10)

$$\gamma \,\delta^{a\bar{b}} \left\{ \partial_{z^a} A_{\bar{z}\bar{b}} - \partial_{\bar{z}\bar{b}} A_{z^a} - \frac{1+\gamma^2}{2\gamma} [A_{\bar{z}^a}, A_{\bar{z}\bar{b}}] \right\} = \frac{1}{4R^2} \Big[ \phi, \phi^{\dagger} \Big] \tag{3.11}$$

after separating into the su(2) (proportional to Q) and u(1) (proportional to i1) components.

111

0.0

The second equation from (2.20) can be written as

$$Q\left(\partial_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}}A_{\bar{z}^{\bar{b}}} - \partial_{\bar{z}^{\bar{b}}}A_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}} - \gamma[A_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}}, A_{\bar{z}^{\bar{b}}}]\right) + i\gamma \mathbf{1}\left(\partial_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}}A_{\bar{z}^{\bar{b}}} - \partial_{\bar{z}^{\bar{b}}}A_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}} - \frac{1 + \gamma^2}{2\gamma}[A_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}}, A_{\bar{z}^{\bar{b}}}]\right) = 0.$$
(3.12)

After some algebra, using (3.5) and (3.6), we find that the third equation from (2.20) is equivalent to

$$2\partial_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}}\phi + (1-\gamma)A_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}}\phi + (1+\gamma)\phi A_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}} = 0.$$
(3.13)

Let us consider the commutative case  $\theta^{\mu\nu} = 0$  and put  $\gamma = 0$ . Then the Donaldson–Uhlenbeck–Yau equations on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^2$  for  $\mathcal{A}$  defined in (3.2) reduce to

$$\delta^{a\bar{b}}\{\partial_{z^a}A_{\bar{z}\bar{b}} - \partial_{\bar{z}\bar{b}}A_{z^a}\} = \frac{1}{2R^2}(1 - \phi\bar{\phi}), \tag{3.14}$$

$$\partial_{\bar{z}\bar{a}}A_{\bar{z}\bar{b}} - \partial_{\bar{z}\bar{b}}A_{\bar{z}\bar{a}} = 0, \tag{3.15}$$

$$\partial_{\bar{z}\bar{a}}\phi + A_{\bar{z}\bar{a}}\phi = 0, \tag{3.16}$$

where  $\bar{\phi}$  is the complex conjugate of the scalar field  $\phi$ . Eqs. (3.14)–(3.16) generalize the vortex equations [5] on  $\mathbb{R}^2$  to the higher-dimensional space  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$ .

For the noncommutative case  $\theta^{\mu\nu} \neq 0$  we choose  $\gamma = -1$ . Comparing (3.10) and (3.11), we obtain a constraint equation on the field  $\phi$ .

$$2 - \phi \phi^{\dagger} - \phi^{\dagger} \phi = -[\phi, \phi^{\dagger}] \implies \phi^{\dagger} \phi = 1,$$
(3.17)

and the following noncommutative generalization of the vortex equations in 2n dimensions:

$$\delta^{a\bar{b}}F_{z^{a}\bar{z}^{\bar{b}}} := \delta^{a\bar{b}} \{\partial_{z^{a}}A_{\bar{z}^{\bar{b}}} - \partial_{\bar{z}^{\bar{b}}}A_{z^{a}} + [A_{z^{a}}, A_{\bar{z}^{\bar{b}}}]\} = \frac{1}{4R^{2}} (1 - \phi\phi^{\dagger}), \qquad (3.18)$$

$$F_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}\bar{z}^{\bar{b}}} := \partial_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}} A_{\bar{z}^{\bar{b}}} - \partial_{\bar{z}^{\bar{b}}} A_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}} + [A_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}}, A_{\bar{z}^{\bar{b}}}] = 0,$$
(3.19)

$$\partial_{\bar{z}\bar{a}}\phi + A_{\bar{z}\bar{a}}\phi = 0. \tag{3.20}$$

These equations and their antecedent DUY equations on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{A} \times S^2$  are not integrable even for n = 1. Therefore, neither dressing nor splitting approaches, developed in [22] for integrable equations on noncommutative spaces, can be applied. The modified ADHM construction [6] also does not work in this case. However, some special solutions can be obtained by choosing a proper ansatz as we shall see next.

### 4. Multi-instanton solutions on $\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\theta} \times S^2$

### 4.1. Solutions of the constrained vortex-type equations

We are going to present explicit solutions to the noncommutative generalized vortex equations (3.18)–(3.20) subject to the constraint (3.17). The latter can be solved by putting

$$\phi = S_N, \qquad \phi^{\dagger} = S_N^{\dagger}, \tag{4.1}$$

where  $S_N$  is an order-N shift operator acting on the Fock space  $\mathcal{H}$ , i.e.,

$$S_N^{\dagger}S_N = 1 \quad \text{while} \quad S_N S_N^{\dagger} = 1 - P_N, \tag{4.2}$$

with  $P_N$  being a Hermitian rank-*N* projector:  $P_N^2 = P_N = P_N^{\dagger}$ . It is convenient to introduce the operators

$$X_{z^a} = A_{z^a} + \theta_{a\bar{b}} \bar{z}^b, \qquad X_{\bar{z}\bar{a}} = A_{\bar{z}\bar{a}} + \theta_{\bar{a}b} z^b$$

$$\tag{4.3}$$

112

in terms of which

$$F_{z^{a}\bar{z}^{\bar{b}}} = [X_{z^{a}}, X_{\bar{z}^{\bar{b}}}] + \theta_{a\bar{b}}, \qquad F_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}\bar{z}^{\bar{b}}} = [X_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}}, X_{\bar{z}^{\bar{b}}}].$$
(4.4)

We now employ the shift-operator ansatz (see, e.g., [7,23])

$$X_{z^a} = \theta_{a\bar{b}} S_N \bar{z}^{\bar{b}} S_N^{\dagger}, \qquad X_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}} = \theta_{\bar{a}b} S_N z^b S_N^{\dagger}$$

$$\tag{4.5}$$

for which

$$F_{z^a\bar{z}^{\bar{b}}} = \theta_{a\bar{b}} P_N = \delta_{a\bar{b}} \frac{P_N}{2\theta^a}, \qquad F_{\bar{z}^{\bar{a}}\bar{z}^{\bar{b}}} = 0$$

$$\tag{4.6}$$

since  $\theta_{a\bar{b}} = \frac{\delta_{a\bar{b}}}{2\theta^a}$ . After substituting (4.1) and (4.6) into the first vortex equation (3.18), we obtain the condition

$$\delta^{a\bar{b}}\theta_{a\bar{b}} P_N = \frac{1}{4R^2} P_N \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \frac{1}{\theta^1} + \dots + \frac{1}{\theta^n} = \frac{1}{2R^2}.$$
(4.7)

The remaining vortex equations (3.19) and (3.20) are identically satisfied by (4.1) and (4.6). Hence, for  $\gamma = -1$  we have established on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  a whole class of noncommutative constrained vortex-type configurations

$$A_{z^a} = \theta_{a\bar{b}} \left( S_N \, \bar{z}^b \, S_N^\dagger - \bar{z}^b \right), \qquad \phi = S_N, \tag{4.8}$$

parametrized by shift operators  $S_N$ . Our particular form (3.2) for  $\mathcal{A}$  then yields a plethora of solutions to the noncommutative DUY equations on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n} \times S^2$ . These configurations generalize U(2) multi-instantons from  $\mathbb{R}^2 \times S^2$ to  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\theta} \times S^2$ . To substantiate this interpretation we finally calculate their topological charge.

### 4.2. Topological charge

$$\mathcal{F}_{\vartheta\varphi} = \frac{1}{4}(Q - \mathrm{i}\mathbf{1})\sin\vartheta P_N, \qquad \mathcal{F}_{2a-1\,2a} = (\mathrm{i}\mathbf{1} - Q)F_{z^a\bar{z}\bar{a}} = (Q - \mathrm{i}\mathbf{1})\frac{P_N}{2\theta^a}.$$
(4.9)

Employing

$$(Q - i\mathbf{1})^{n+1} = (-2i)^n (Q - i\mathbf{1}), \quad tr_{2\times 2}(Q - i\mathbf{1}) = -2i$$
(4.10)

we have

$$\operatorname{tr}_{2\times 2} \underbrace{\mathcal{F} \wedge \dots \wedge \mathcal{F}}_{n+1} = (n+1)! \operatorname{tr}_{2\times 2} \mathcal{F}_{12} \mathcal{F}_{34} \dots \mathcal{F}_{2n-1} {}_{2n} \mathcal{F}_{\vartheta\varphi} \, \mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^2 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{2n} \wedge \mathrm{d}\vartheta \wedge \mathrm{d}\varphi$$
$$= (n+1)! \, \frac{(-2\mathrm{i})^{n+1}}{2^{n+2}} \frac{P_N}{\prod_{a=1}^n \theta^a} \, \mathrm{d}x^1 \wedge \mathrm{d}x^2 \wedge \dots \wedge \mathrm{d}x^{2n} \wedge \sin\vartheta \, \mathrm{d}\vartheta \wedge \mathrm{d}\varphi. \tag{4.11}$$

With this, the topological charge indeed becomes

For  $\gamma = -1$ , from (3.7) and (3.8) we get

$$\mathcal{Q} := \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\pi}\right)^{n+1} \left(\prod_{a=1}^{n} 2\pi\theta^{a}\right) \operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} \int_{S^{2}} \operatorname{tr}_{2\times 2} \underbrace{\mathcal{F} \wedge \cdots \wedge \mathcal{F}}_{n+1}$$

$$= \left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2\pi}\right)^{n+1} \frac{(-2\mathrm{i})^{n+1}}{2^{n+2}} \left(\prod_{a=1}^{n} 2\pi\theta^{a}\right) \left(\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} \frac{P_{N}}{\prod_{a=1}^{n} \theta^{a}}\right) \int_{S^{2}} \sin\vartheta \, \mathrm{d}\vartheta \wedge \mathrm{d}\varphi$$

$$= \frac{1}{4\pi} (\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{H}} P_{N}) \int_{S^{2}} \sin\vartheta \, \mathrm{d}\vartheta \wedge \mathrm{d}\varphi = N.$$
(4.12)

113

### 5. Concluding remarks

By solving the noncommutative Donaldson–Uhlenbeck–Yau equations we have presented explicit U(2) multiinstantons on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\theta} \times S^2$  which are uniquely determined by Abelian vortex-type configurations on  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}_{\theta}$ . The existence of these solutions required the condition (4.7) relating the  $S^2$ -radius R to  $\theta$  via  $R = (2\sum_{a=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\theta^a})^{-1/2}$ . We see that any commutative limit ( $\theta^a \rightarrow 0$ ) forces  $R \rightarrow 0$  as well, and the configuration becomes localized in  $\mathbb{R}^{2n}$  (for n = 1) or disappears (for n > 1). The moduli space of our N-instanton solutions is that of rank-N projectors in the noscillator Fock space.

Since standard instantons localize all compact coordinates in the ambient space they have been interpreted as sub-branes inside D*p*-branes [1,2,9–12]. The presence of an NS background *B*-field deforms such configurations noncommutatively. In the same vein, the solutions presented in this Letter may be viewed as a collection of *N* sub-branes of codimension 2n, i.e., as D(p - 2n)-branes located inside two coincident Dp-branes, with all branes sharing a common two-sphere  $S_R^2$ .

### Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to A.D. Popov for fruitful discussions and for reading the manuscript. O.L. wishes to thank B.-H. Lee for discussions. T.A.I. acknowledges the Heisenberg-Landau Program for partial support and the Institut für Theoretische Physik der Universität Hannover for its hospitality. This work was partially supported by grant LE-838/7 within the framework of the DFG priority program (SPP 1096) in string theory.

### References

- [1] N. Seiberg, E. Witten, JHEP 9909 (1999) 032, hep-th/9908142.
- [2] J.A. Harvey, hep-th/0102076;
  - M.R. Douglas, N.A. Nekrasov, Rev. Mod. Phys. 73 (2002) 977, hep-th/0106048; A. Konechny, A. Schwarz, Phys. Rep. 360 (2002) 353, hep-th/0107251.
- [3] A.A. Belavin, A.M. Polyakov, A.S. Schwarz, Y.S. Tyupkin, Phys. Lett. B 59 (1975) 85.
- [4] E.B. Bogomolny, Sov. J. Nucl. Phys. 24 (1976) 449;
- M.K. Prasad, C.M. Sommerfield, Phys. Rev. Lett. 35 (1975) 760.
- [5] C.H. Taubes, Commun. Math. Phys. 72 (1980) 277;
- C.H. Taubes, Commun. Math. Phys. 75 (1980) 207.
- [6] N. Nekrasov, A. Schwarz, Commun. Math. Phys. 198 (1998) 689, hep-th/9802068.
- [7] D.J. Gross, N.A. Nekrasov, JHEP 0103 (2001) 044, hep-th/0010090.
- [8] D.P. Jatkar, G. Mandal, S.R. Wadia, JHEP 0009 (2000) 018, hep-th/0007078;
   D. Bak, Phys. Lett. B 495 (2000) 251, hep-th/0008204;
   D. Bak, K.M. Lee, J.H. Park, Phys. Rev. D 63 (2001) 125010, hep-th/0011099.
- [9] M. Hamanaka, hep-th/0303256.
- M. Mihailesan, I.Y. Park, T.A. Tran, Phys. Rev. D. 64 (2001) 125010, hep-th/0011079;
   E. Witten, JHEP 0204 (2002) 012, hep-th/0012054.
- [11] P. Kraus, M. Shigemori, JHEP 0206 (2002) 034, hep-th/0110035;
  M. Hamanaka, Y. Imaizumi, N. Ohta, Phys. Lett. B 529 (2002) 163, hep-th/0112050;
  D.S. Bak, K.M. Lee, J.H. Park, Phys. Rev. D 66 (2002) 025021, hep-th/0204221;
  Y. Hiraoka, hep-th/0205283;
  Y. Hiraoka, hep-th/0301176.
- [12] N.A. Nekrasov, hep-th/0203109.
- [13] E. Corrigan, C. Devchand, D.B. Fairlie, J. Nuyts, Nucl. Phys. B 214 (1983) 452.
- [14] R.S. Ward, Nucl. Phys. B 236 (1984) 381.
- [15] D.B. Fairlie, J. Nuyts, J. Phys. A 17 (1984) 2867;
  - S. Fubini, H. Nicolai, Phys. Lett. B 155 (1985) 369;

- T.A. Ivanova, A.D. Popov, Lett. Math. Phys. 24 (1992) 85;
- T.A. Ivanova, A.D. Popov, Theor. Math. Phys. 94 (1993) 225;
- E.G. Floratos, G.K. Leontaris, math-ph/0011027.
- [16] S.K. Donaldson, Proc. London Math. Soc. 50 (1985) 1;
   S.K. Donaldson, Duke Math. J. 54 (1987) 231.
- [17] K. Uhlenbeck, S.-T. Yau, Commun. Pure Appl. Math. 39 (1986) 257.
- [18] A.D. Popov, A.G. Sergeev, M. Wolf, hep-th/0304263.
- [19] E. Witten, Phys. Rev. Lett. 38 (1977) 121.
- [20] D.H. Correa, E.F. Moreno, F.A. Schaposnik, Phys. Lett. B 543 (2002) 235, hep-th/0207180.
- [21] P. Forgacs, N.S. Manton, Commun. Math. Phys. 72 (1980) 15.
- [22] O. Lechtenfeld, A.D. Popov, JHEP 0111 (2001) 040, hep-th/0106213;
  O. Lechtenfeld, A.D. Popov, Phys. Lett. B 523 (2001) 178, hep-th/0108118;
  O. Lechtenfeld, A.D. Popov, JHEP 0203 (2002) 040, hep-th/0109209;
  Z. Horvath, O. Lechtenfeld, M. Wolf, JHEP 0212 (2002) 060, hep-th/0211041.
- [23] M. Aganagic, R. Gopakumar, S. Minwalla, A. Strominger, JHEP 0104 (2001) 001, hep-th/0009142;
- J.A. Harvey, P. Kraus, F. Larsen, JHEP 0012 (2000) 024, hep-th/0010060.