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#### Abstract

The general form of a real quadratic mapping of spheres can be determined by studying the diagonalization of each form in an associated family of quadratic forms. In particular, the eigenvalues provide a means for detecting maps which are of the Hopf type. When the eigenvalues are nonzero for every form in the family, the forms associated to $f: S^{n} \rightarrow S^{m}$ give rise to a quadratic form in the tangent bundle of the unit sphere $S^{n}$. If $f$ is of the Hopf type, nondegeneracy of each form occurs only when $n=1,3,7,15$.


## 1. PRELIMINARIES

We will follow the notations and definitions which appeared in our previous work [9]. The symbols $Z, R$, and $C$ denote the ring of integers and the fields of real and complex numbers, respectively. Let ( $U, q_{U}$ ) and ( $V, q_{V}$ ) be real positive definite quadratic spaces of dimension $n$ and $m$, respectively, with $n, m \geqslant 2$. Let $S_{U}=\left\{x \in U: q_{U}(x)=1\right\}, S_{V}=\left\{x \in V: q_{V}(x)=1\right\}$, and $S(U, V)=\left\{f: U \rightarrow V: f\right.$ is a quadratic map and $q_{V}(f(x))=q_{U}(x)^{2}$ for all $x \in U\}$. Clearly, $f: S_{U} \rightarrow S_{V}$. For every $f \in S(U, V)$ we define a family of quadratic forms $f_{e}, e \in S_{U}$, as follows: $f_{e}(z)=\langle f(z), f(e)\rangle_{V}$, where $\langle,\rangle_{V}$ denotes the symmetric bilinear form on $V$ corresponding to $q_{V}$. Since ( $U, q_{U}$ ) is a nondegenerate quadratic space, there is a linear self-adjoint map $F_{e}: U \rightarrow U$ such that $\langle f(z), f(e)\rangle_{V}=\left\langle F_{e}(z), z\right\rangle_{U}$ for $z \in U$, where $\langle,\rangle_{U}$ denotes the corresponding symmetric bilinear form on ( $U, q_{U}$ ). All of the eigenvalues of $F_{e}$ are real. Let $p_{e}$ be the multiplicity of the eigenvalue 1 . We have shown $[9, p$. 262 ] that $F_{e}(e)=e\left(p_{e} \geqslant 1\right)$. The following theorems show the relationship
between the form $f_{e}$ and the general form of the map $f[9]$, p. 262, Theorem 1.

Theorem 1.1. Let $f \in S(U, V), e \in S_{U}, \varepsilon=f(e) \in S_{V}$. The form $f_{e}$ and $F_{e}$ are defined as above. Let $X=\left\{z \in U: F_{e}(z)=z\right\}$ and $U=X \perp Y, V=R \varepsilon$ $\perp V_{1}$. The quadratic map $\beta: U \rightarrow V_{1}$ is given by

$$
\beta(z)=f(z)-f_{e}(z) \varepsilon, \quad \text { where } \quad z=(x, y) \in U
$$

and $B: X \times Y \rightarrow V_{1}$ is the bilinear map given by

$$
B(x, y)=\frac{1}{2}[\beta(x+y)-\beta(x)-\beta(y)]=\frac{1}{2}[\beta(x+y)-\beta(y)]
$$

Then $f$ has the following form:

$$
f(z)=f(x, y)=\left[q_{X}(x)+f_{e}(y)\right] \varepsilon+[2 B(x, y)+\beta(y)]
$$

where $q_{X}=q_{U} \mid X$, and the following are true:
(1) $q_{V_{1}}(\beta(y))+f_{e}(y)^{2}=q_{Y}(y)^{2}$, where

$$
q_{V_{1}}=q_{V}\left|V_{1}, \quad q_{Y}=q_{U}\right| Y
$$

(2) $\langle B(x, y), \beta(y)\rangle_{V_{1}}=0$,
(3) $2 q_{V_{1}}(B(x, y))+q_{X}(x) f_{e}(y)=q_{X}(x) q_{Y}(y)$.

Corollary. There is a basis of $U$ over $R$ with respect to which $f \in S(U, V)$ has the form

$$
f(z)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{p_{e}}^{2}+\lambda_{1} y_{1}^{2}+\cdots+\lambda_{q_{e}} y_{q_{e}}^{2} \\
2 B(x, y)+\beta(y)
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $e \in S_{U}, \quad z=(x, y), x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p_{e}}\right) \in X_{e}=\left\{x \in U: F_{e}(x)=x\right\}, \quad y=$ $\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{q_{e}}\right) \in X_{e}^{\perp}=Y_{e}$, and $-1 \leqslant \lambda_{i}<1$ for all $i, 1 \leqslant i \leqslant q_{e}$, with $n=p_{e}+$ $\boldsymbol{q}_{e}=$ dimpnsion of $U$ over $R$.

## 2. HOPF MAPS OVER $R$

We will define various subsets of $S(U, V)$ using the value of $p_{e}$, for $e \in S_{C}$. We begin with the following theorem (see [6], p. 166, Proposition 5):

Theorem 2.1. For $e \in S_{U}$ and $n, m, p=p_{e}, q=q_{e}$ defined as above, we have $p_{e} \geqslant n-m+1$.

Proof. Let $f(z)=f(x, y)=\left[q_{x}(x)+f_{e}(y)\right] \varepsilon+[2 B(x, y)+\beta(y)] \in$ $S(U, V)$ and $z=(x, y) \in U=X \perp Y$, with $X$ defined as above and $\varepsilon=f(e) \in$ $S_{V}$. We have $B(x, y)=\lambda(x) y$, where $\lambda(x): Y \rightarrow V_{1}$ is linear. By statement (3) of Theorem 1.1, we see that $\lambda(x) y=0$ implies that $q_{X}(x) f_{e}(y)=q_{X}(x) q_{Y}(y)$. If $x \neq 0$, then $q_{x}(x) \neq 0$. Therefore, $f_{e}(y)=q_{Y}(y)=y_{1}^{2}+\cdots+y_{q}^{2}=\lambda_{1} y_{1}^{2}$ $+\cdots+\lambda_{q} y_{q}^{2}$. Since $\lambda_{i} \neq 1$ for all $i$, we must have $y_{i}=0, l \leqslant i \leqslant q$, and hence $y=0$. Thus, if $x \neq 0, \lambda(x)$ is injective and the dimension of $Y$ over $R$ is $q \leqslant m-1=$ dimension of $V_{1}$ over $R$, i.e., $n-p_{e}=q_{e} \leqslant m-1$, and $p_{e} \geqslant n$ $-m+1$ for all $e \in S_{U}$.

Let $S_{0}(U, V)$ denote the subset of "constant" maps, i.e., $S_{0}(U, V)=\{f \in$ $\left.S(U, V): f(z)=q_{U}(z) \varepsilon, \varepsilon \in S_{U}, z \in U\right\}$. It is easy to see that for $f \in S_{0}(U, V)$, $p_{e}=n$ for all $e \in S_{V}$. The following was shown by R. Wood [10, p. 163, Theorem 2]: If $n$ is a power of 2 , then all polynomial mappings of the unit spheres $S^{n} \rightarrow S^{n-1}$ are constant. A consequence of this is the following: for $n \geqslant 2 r$ all polynomial maps $S^{n} \rightarrow S^{r}$ are constant. We can prove this result, for quadratic maps, as follows:

Theorem 2.2. $S\left(R^{n+1}, R^{r+1}\right)=S_{0}\left(R^{n+1}, R^{r+1}\right)$ if $n \geqslant 2 r$.

Proof. $\quad f \in S_{0}\left(R^{n+1}, R^{r+1}\right) \Leftrightarrow p_{e}=n+1$ for some $e \in S^{n} \Leftrightarrow p_{e}=n+1$ for all $e \in S^{n}$.

Assume $f \in S\left(R^{n+1}, R^{r+1}\right)-S_{0}\left(R^{n+1}, R^{r+1}\right)$. Therefore we have $1 \leqslant p_{e}$ $=p \leqslant n, q_{e}=q=n+1-p, f_{e}(z)=\langle f(z), f(e)\rangle=\left\langle F_{e}(z), z\right\rangle, p$ is the dimension over $R$ of $X=\left\{x \in R^{n+1}: F_{e}(x)=x\right\}, R^{n+1}=X \perp Y$, and $R^{r+1}=$ $R \varepsilon \perp V$, with $\varepsilon=f(e)$.

By Theorem 1.1, with $z=(x, y) \in R^{n+1}$, we have $f(z)=f(x, y)=\left[q_{x}(x)\right.$ $\left.+f_{e}(y)\right] \varepsilon+[2 B(x, y)+\beta(y)]$. As above, vee write $B(x, y)=\lambda(x) y=\mu(y) x$, where $\lambda(x): Y \hookrightarrow V, \mu(y): X \hookrightarrow V$ if $x \neq 0 \neq y$. Therefore, $p \leqslant r, q \leqslant r$, and $n+1-r \leqslant n+1-q=p \leqslant r$, i.e., $n+1 \leqslant 2 r, n<2 r$.

We use the value of $p_{e}$ to study mappings of spheres whose form resembles that of the classical Hopf fibrations [1, 2]. We make the following

Definition. A map $f: U \rightarrow V$ is a Hopf map if there exist orthogonal decompositions $U=X \perp Y$ and $V=R \varepsilon \perp V_{1}$, with $\varepsilon \in S_{V}$, and a bilinear map $B: X \times Y \rightarrow V_{1}$ with $q_{V_{1}}(B(x, y))=q_{X}(x) q_{Y}(y)$ where $q_{X}=q_{U} \mid X, q_{Y}=$
$q_{U} \mid Y$, and $q_{V_{1}}=q_{V} \mid V_{1}$, such that $f$ has the form $f(z)=f(x, y)=\left[q_{X}(x)-\right.$ $\left.q_{Y}(y)\right] \varepsilon+2 B(x, y)$. Let $H(U, V)$ denote the set of Hopf maps $U \rightarrow V$.

Clearly, $H(U, V) \subseteq S(U, V)$.
It has been showi by $R$. Wood that every map in $S(U, V)$ is homotopic to a map in $H(U, V)$ [10, p. 163, Theorem 3].

We state the following results [6, p. 164, Theorem 3]:

Theorem 2.3. $H(U, V)=\left\{f \in S(U, V):\left|\lambda_{i}\right|=1\right.$ for all eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}$ of $F_{e}$, for some $\left.e \in S_{U}\right\}$.

Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H_{0}(U, V)=\left\{f \in S(U, V): \lambda_{i}=1 \text { for all eigenvalues } \lambda_{i} \text { of } F_{e}\right\}, \\
& S_{1}(U, V)=\left\{f \in S(U, V): p_{e}=n-m+1 \text { for some } e \in S_{U}\right\} \\
& H_{1}(U, V)=\left\{f \in H(U, V): 1 \leqslant p_{e}, q_{e}=m-1 \text { for some } e \in S_{U}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{0}(U, V) \cap S_{1}(U, V)=\varnothing \\
H_{0}(U, V) \cap H_{1}(U, V)=\varnothing \\
S_{0}(U, V)=H_{0}(U, V)
\end{gathered}
$$

The result of $R$. Wood shows that if $f \in S_{1}(U, V)$, then $f$ is homotopic to a map $g \in H_{1}(U, V)$. Therefore, $S_{1}(U, V) \neq \varnothing \Leftrightarrow H_{1}(U, V) \neq \varnothing$.

There is a close connection between the existence of a map in $H_{1}(U, V)$ and the existence of orthonormal vector fields on the unit sphere. If there is a bilinear map $B: R^{p_{e}} \times R^{q_{e}} \rightarrow R^{q_{e}}$ such that $|B(x, y)|=|x||y|$, then there are $p_{e}-1$ orthonormal vector fields on $S^{q_{e}-1}$. However, there are at most $\rho(m-1)-1$ orthonormal vector fields on $S^{m-2}$ [4, p. 225, Theorem 13.10], where $\rho(n)$ is the Radon-Hurwitz number ( $n=2^{4 a+b} n_{0}, n_{0}$ odd; $0 \leqslant b \leqslant 3$ $\Rightarrow \rho(n)=8 a+2^{b}$ ). Furthermore, a bilinear map $B: R^{p} \times R^{q} \rightarrow R^{q}$ with $|B(x, y)|=|x||y|$ exists if and only if $p \leqslant \rho(q)[6, p .208$, Theorem 7].

We have the following:

Theorem 2.4. $\quad H_{1}(U, V) \neq \varnothing \Leftrightarrow 1 \leqslant n-m+1 \leqslant \rho(m-1)$.

It was shown above that if $S\left(R^{n}, R^{m}\right)-\mathrm{S}_{0}\left(R^{n}, R^{m}\right) \neq \varnothing$, then $n=p_{e}+q_{e}$, with $p_{e} \leqslant m-1, q_{e} \leqslant m-1$, i.e., $n \leqslant 2 m-2$. Write $H_{i}\left(R^{n}, R^{m}\right)=H_{i}$, for $i=0,1$. We have the following theorems see [6, p. 177, Theorem 8],

## Theorem 2.5.

(1)

$$
\begin{array}{llll}
n=2 m-2 & (m=2,3,5,9) & \Rightarrow & H=H_{0} \cup H_{1} \\
n=2 m-2 & (\text { all other } m) & \Rightarrow & H=H_{0}
\end{array}
$$

(2)

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
n=2 m-3 & (m=3,5,9) \\
n=2 m-3 & \quad \\
\text { (all other } m) & \Rightarrow \quad H=H_{0} \cup H_{1} ; \\
\end{array}
$$

Proof. (1): $H \supseteq H_{0} . H-H_{0} \neq \varnothing \Rightarrow n=2 m-2=p+q$, with $p, q \leqslant m$ -1 . Therefore, $n-m+1=m-1=p=q$. A map $f \in H$ corresponds to a bilinear map $B: R^{p} \times R^{p} \rightarrow R^{p}$ with $|B(x, y)|=|x||y|$ for all $x, y \in R^{p}$. By a theorem of Hurwitz [3], $p=1,2,4$, or 8 if and only if such a bilinear map exists.
(2): $H-H_{0} \neq \varnothing \Rightarrow 2 m-3=p+q$, with $p, q \leqslant m-1$. Therefore, $(p, q)$ $=(m-2, m-1)$, or $(p, q)=(m-1, m-2)$. Now $n-m+1=m-2=p$ $\Rightarrow f(x, y)=\left[q_{X}(x)-q_{Y}(y)\right] \varepsilon+2 B(x, y) \in H_{1}$. However, $f(x, y)=\left[q_{Y}(y)\right.$ $\left.-q_{X}(x)\right](-\varepsilon)+2 B(x, y) \in H_{1}$ if $q=m-2 . \Lambda$ map $f \in H_{1}$ corresponds to a bilinear map $B: R^{m-2} \times R^{m-1} \rightarrow R^{m-1}$, with $|B(x, y)|=|x||y|$. This map exists if and only if $m-2 \leqslant p(m-1)$. But $m-2 \leqslant \rho(m-1)$ if and only if $m=3,5,9$.

Note that $H\left(R^{n}, R^{n}\right) \neq \varnothing$, since we have the following map $f \in$ $H_{1}\left(R^{n}, R^{n}\right)$ :

$$
f\left(x, y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}\right)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x^{2}-y_{1}^{2}-\cdots-y_{n-1}^{2} \\
2 x y_{1} \\
\vdots \\
2 x y_{n-1}
\end{array}\right]
$$

We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& H\left(R^{2}, R^{2}\right)=H_{0} \cup H_{1} \\
& H\left(R^{3}, R^{3}\right)=H_{0} \cup H_{1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

$H\left(R^{4}, R^{4}\right) \supsetneqq H_{0} \cup H_{1}$, since the map

$$
f(x, y)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}-y_{1}^{2}-y_{2}^{2} \\
2\left(x_{1} y_{1}+x_{2} y_{2}\right) \\
2\left(x_{1} y_{2}-x_{2} y_{1}\right) \\
0
\end{array}\right] \in H-\left(H_{0} \cup H_{1}\right)
$$

## 3. ACTIONS BY THE ORTHOGONAL GROUP

There is a double action by the orthogonal group on the set $S(U, V)$. Let $\mathrm{O}(U), \mathrm{O}(V)$ be the orthogonal groups on $U, V$ with respect to $q_{C}, q_{V}$ respectively. Let $\phi: O(V) \times S(U, V) \times O(U) \rightarrow S(U, V)$ be defined by $\phi(\tau, f, \sigma)$ $={ }^{\tau} f^{\sigma}$, and ${ }^{\tau} f^{\sigma}(z)=\tau(f(\sigma(z)))$ for all $z \in U$. This action defines an equivalence relation on $S(U, V)$. Denote by $\bar{S}(U, V)$ the resulting set of double cosets:

$$
\bar{S}(U, V)=\mathrm{O}(V) \backslash S(U, V) / \mathrm{O}(U)
$$

While it is clear that for any map $f \in S(U, V)$ we have $f_{e}={ }^{\tau} f_{e}$, the forms $\left\{f_{e}\right\}_{e \in S_{V}}$ are independent of the class of $f$ in $\bar{S}(U, V) \Leftrightarrow f_{\sigma e}(\sigma z)=f_{e}(z)$ for all $z \in U, \sigma \in \mathrm{O}(U) \Leftrightarrow{ }^{t} \sigma F_{\sigma e} \sigma=F_{e}$, where $F_{e} \in \operatorname{Sym}(n)$, with $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$ the set of real symmetric matrices of order $n$, is the matrix of $f_{c}$ with respect to a fixed basis. This leads to the following definitions:
(1) A map $f \in S(U, V)$ induces a map $f^{\#}: S_{U} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}(n)$ given by $f^{\#}(e)$ $=F_{e}$.
(2) We have the following action by $\mathrm{O}(U)$ on $\operatorname{Sym}(n)$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
\tau: \mathrm{O}(U) \times \operatorname{Sym}(n) \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}(n) \\
\tau(\sigma, A)=\sigma A \sigma^{-1}={ }^{\sigma} \mathrm{A}
\end{gathered}
$$

(3) A map $f \in S(U, V)$ is invariant with respect to $\sigma \in \mathrm{O}(U)$ if $f^{\#}(\sigma e)$ $={ }^{\circ} F_{e}$.

We would like to determine those maps $f \in S(U, V)$ which are invariant with respect to every $\sigma \in G$, where $G$ is a subgroup of $O(U)$ which acts transitively on $S_{U}$. We have the following results, the proofs of which are straightforward.

Theorem 3.1. Maps in $S(U, V)$ are invariant with respect to $\sigma \in G$ in the following cases:
(1) $m=1, n \geqslant 2, f \in S(U, V), \sigma \in G=O(U)$.
(2) $n, m \geqslant 2, f \in \mathrm{~S}_{0}(U, V), \sigma \in G=\mathrm{O}(U)$.
(3) $n=m=2, f(z)=z^{2}$, where $z \in C$ and $\sigma \in G=\{\sigma \in O(U): \operatorname{det} \sigma=$ $1\} \approx\{\sigma \in C: N \sigma=1\}$.

Let $H$ denote the Hamiltonian quaternion algebra over $R$ and $H^{(1)}=$ $\{z \in H: N z=1\} . H^{(1)}$ acts transitively on itself by right multiplication. Write $\sigma(z)=z \cdot \sigma=\hat{\sigma} z$ for $z, \sigma \in H^{(1)}$ and $\hat{\sigma} \in \mathrm{O}\left(R^{4}\right)$. We identify $H$ as a vector space with $R^{4}$, and the norm $N: H \rightarrow R$ with $N z=\bar{z} z$ with the quadratic form $q_{4}(z)={ }^{t} z z$. The corresponding bilinear form is $\langle x, y\rangle=\frac{1}{2} T(\bar{x} y)$, where the trace $T: H \rightarrow R$ is given by $T(z)=\bar{z}+z$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $f(z)=\bar{z} i z, z \in H, i \in H$ with $\bar{i}=-i$. Then $f_{o e}(\sigma z)$ $=f_{e}(z)$ for any $e \in H^{(1)}$, where $\sigma(z)=z \cdot \sigma$ with $\sigma \in H^{(1)}$.

Proof. $\quad f_{e}(z)=\langle f(z), f(e)\rangle=\frac{1}{2} T(\overline{f(z)} f(e))=-\frac{1}{2} T((\overline{z i z})(\bar{e} i e)) \quad$ and $f_{\sigma e}(\sigma z)=-\frac{1}{2} T(\overline{z \sigma} i(z \sigma)(\overline{e \sigma}) i(e \sigma))=-\frac{1}{2} T((\bar{\sigma} \bar{z} i z)(\sigma \bar{\sigma}) \bar{e} i e \sigma)=\frac{1}{2} T\left(\sigma^{-1} \bar{f}(z)\right.$ $f(e) \sigma)=f_{e}(z)$.

The map $f(z)=\bar{z} i z \in S\left(R^{4}, R^{3}\right)$ is the classical Hopf fibration $S^{3} \rightarrow S^{2}$. We suspect that the other classical Hopf fibrations $f: S^{2 n-1} \rightarrow S^{n}, n=4,8$, also are invariant with respect to a subgroup of the orthogonal group.

The next section deals with a more general problem, namely, that of determining when the forms $\left\{f_{e}\right\}_{e \in S_{U}}$ are always nondegenerate.

## 4. THE NONDEGENERACY OF $\left\{f_{e}\right\}_{e \in S^{n-1}}$

Fix orthonormal bases in $\left(U, q_{U}\right)$ and $\left(V, q_{V}\right)$. For $f \in S(U, V), e \in S_{U} \approx$ $S^{n}{ }^{1}$, we write $f_{e}(z)=\langle f(z), f(e)\rangle_{V}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} f_{i}(z) f_{i}(e)={ }^{t} z F_{e} z$. Therefore, $F_{e}$
$=\left(f_{i j}(e)\right)$, where $f_{i j}(e)$ is a quadratic form in $e=\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right) \in \mathrm{S}^{n-1}$. The map $s: e \rightarrow F_{e}$ is a continuous map $R^{n} \rightarrow R^{m}$, with $m=n(n+1) / 2$. There is a nonsingular matrix $T_{e}$ such that

$$
{ }^{t} T_{e} F_{e} T_{e}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
I_{p_{e}} & 0 \\
0 & I_{q_{e}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

if the determinant $\left|F_{e}\right| \neq 0$, where $I_{k}$ is the $k \times k$ identity matrix.
If we assume that $f_{e}$ is a nondegenerate form for every $e \in S^{n-1}$, then it follows from the continuity of the map $s$ that $q_{e}=q_{e_{0}}$ for all $e, e_{0} \in S^{n-1}$. That is, if the forms are all nondegenerate, then they must be isometric over $R$.

Lemma 4.1. $f \in H\left(R^{n}, R^{m}\right)$. The quadratic forms $\left\{f_{e}\right\}_{e \in S^{n-1}}$ are all nondegenerate only if $n$ is even.

Proof. There exist decompositions $R^{n}=X \perp Y, R^{m}=R \varepsilon \perp V_{1}$, with $\varepsilon=$ $f(e) \in \mathrm{S}^{m-1}, e \in \mathrm{~S}^{n-1}$, and a bilinear map $B: X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow V_{1}$ with $|B(x, y)|=$ $|x||y|$ such that $f$ has the form $f(z)=f(x, y)=\left(x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{p_{e}}^{2}-y_{1}^{2}-\cdots\right.$ $\left.-y_{q_{e}}^{2}\right) \varepsilon+2 B(x, y)$. We have $f_{e}(z)=x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{p_{e}}^{2}-y_{1}^{2}-\cdots-y_{q_{e}}^{2}$. If $\{f(e)\}_{e \in S^{n-1}}$ are all nondegenerate, then there is a map $f^{\#}: S^{n-1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}_{q}(n)$, where $\operatorname{Sym}_{q}(n)$ denotes the set of real symmetric matrices of order $n$ with $q$ negative eigenvalues; i.e., $q$ is independent of $e \in S^{n-1}$. Therefore, $\left\{f_{e}\right\}_{e \in S^{n-1}}$ are all nondegenerate $\Rightarrow f^{\#}(e)=F_{e} \in \operatorname{Sym}_{q}(n), q=q_{e}$. Let $\alpha$ be the vector

$$
(0, \ldots, 0,1,0, \ldots, 0)
$$

We have $f(\alpha)=-\varepsilon$ and $f_{\alpha}(z)=-\left(x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+x_{p_{e}}^{2}\right)+y_{1}^{2}+\cdots+y_{q_{e}}^{2}$ with $f^{\#}(\alpha)=F_{\alpha} \in \operatorname{Sym}_{p_{c}}(n)$. Therefore, $p_{e}=p=q=q_{e}$.

Lemma 4.2. $f \in S\left(R^{n}, R^{m}\right)$. If the family of forms $\left\{f_{e}\right\}_{e \in S^{n-1}}$ are all nondegenerate, then $S^{n-1}$ admits a quadratic form of signature $k$.

Proof. $\quad f \in S\left(R^{n}, R^{m}\right)$ induces a continuous map $f^{\#}: S^{n-1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sym}_{k}(n)$, $f^{\#}(e)=F_{e}$, where $k$ is the number of negative eigenvalues of $F_{e}$, which is by definition the "signature" of the quadratic form $f_{e}\left[8\right.$, p. 204]. The map $f^{\#}$
gives rise to a section of the product bundle:

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{S}^{n} \\
\stackrel{1}{\downarrow} \times \mathrm{Sym}_{k}(n) \\
\mathrm{S}^{n-1} & \uparrow \\
s
\end{array} \quad s(e)=\left(e, \phi_{e}\right), \quad \phi_{e}(x, y)={ }^{t} x F_{e} y
$$

We claim that we can construct a section of the bundle of quadratic forms of signature $k$ over $S^{n-1}$, i.e., a section of the bundle

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Sym}_{k}\left(T S^{n-1}\right) \\
\downarrow \\
S^{n-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

where $T S^{n-1}$ is the tangent bundle of $S^{n-1}$. We have the following:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& F_{e}(e)=e, \quad e \in S^{n-1}, \\
& T_{e} S^{n-1} \cong(R e)^{\perp}, \\
& T S^{n-1} \underset{i}{\hookrightarrow} S^{n-1} \times R_{j}^{n} \rightarrow S^{n-1} \times R e
\end{aligned}
$$

where $j$ is the projection along the vector $e$. Therefore, $S^{n-1} \times R^{n} \cong T S^{n-1} \oplus$ $\left(S^{n-1} \times R e\right)$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{Sym}_{k}\left(\mathrm{TS}^{n-1}\right) \\
\downarrow \\
S^{n-1}
\end{gathered} i_{i * s} \text { where }\left(i^{*} s\right)_{e}\left(u_{e}, v_{e}\right)=s_{e}\left(u_{e}, v_{e}\right)
$$

Note that the signature of the restricted symmetric bilinear form $i^{*} s$ is $k$, since at each $e \in S^{n-1}$ there is an orthogonal matrix $T_{e}$ such that

$$
{ }^{t} T_{e} F_{e} T_{e}=\left[\begin{array}{c|c}
1 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & G_{e}
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { with } \quad G_{e} \in \operatorname{Sym}_{k}(n-1)
$$

Theorem 4.1. $f \in H\left(R^{n}, R^{m}\right)$. The family of forms $\left\{f_{e}\right\}_{e \in S^{n-1}}$ are all nondegenerate only if $n=2,4,8$, or 16 .

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, the forms $\left\{f_{e}\right\}_{e \in S^{n-1}}$ are all nondegenerate $\Rightarrow$ $n=2 k(p=q=k)$. By Lemma 4.2, $S^{n-1}$ admits a quadratic form of signature $k$. Therefore, $S^{n-1}$ admits a continuous field of tangent $k$-planes [ $8, p$. 207, Theorem 40.11]. By duality, $S^{n-1}$ admits a continuous field of tangent $(n-1-k=k-1)$-planes. Since $2(k-1)=2 k-2=n-2<n-1, S^{n-1}$ admits $k-1$ continuous linearly independent vector fields [8, p. 144, Theorem 27.16]. Therefore, $k-1 \leqslant \rho(n)-1$, where $\rho(n)$ is the Randon-Hurwitz number; i.e., $k=n / 2 \leqslant \rho(n)$. This is true only when $n=2,4,8$, or 16 .

We can improve this result if we restrict ourselves to maps defined over certain $R$-lattices. Write $f(x)=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} x_{i} x_{j} s_{i j}$, where $s_{i j} \in R^{m}, f \in$ $S\left(R^{n}, R^{m}\right)$. Let $\Lambda \subset V=R^{m}$ be an $R$-lattice. Define

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{n, m}(\Lambda)= & \left\{f \in S\left(R^{n}, R^{m}\right): f=\sum_{i, j=1}^{n} x_{i} x_{j} s_{i j}\right. \\
& \text { where } \left.s_{i j} \in \Lambda \text { for all } i, j, 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n\right\}, \\
H_{n, m}(\Lambda)= & H\left(R^{n}, R^{m}\right) \cap S_{n, m}(\Lambda) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It has been shown by T. Ono [5, p. 158] that $H_{n, m}(\Lambda)=S_{n, m}(\Lambda)$ if $\Lambda$ is an $R$-lattice such that $q_{U}(z) \in Z$ for all $z \in \Lambda$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $\Lambda \subset V \approx R^{m}$ be an $R$-lattice such that $\Lambda=\sigma A^{m}$, where $\sigma \in O(V)$ and $A^{m}$ is the standard lattice in $R^{m}$ with integral coordinates. For $f \in S_{n, m}(\Lambda)=H_{n, m}(\Lambda)$, the forms $\left\{f_{e}\right\}_{e \in S^{n-1}}$ are all nondegenerate if and only if $n=2(m-1)$ and $m=2,3,5,9$.

Proof. Assume that $f \in H_{2 p, m}(Z)=H_{2 p, m}\left(A^{m}\right)$, and $\left\{f_{e}\right\}_{e \in S^{n-1}}$ are all nondegenerate. Then $f$ has the following form:

$$
f(x, y)=f(z)=\left[q_{X}(x)-q_{Y}(y)\right] \varepsilon+\sum_{i, j=1}^{p} x_{i} y_{j} b_{i j}
$$

with $b_{i j} \in(R \varepsilon)^{\perp}, \varepsilon \in Z^{m}$, and $X \approx Y \approx R^{p}$. Each of the $p^{2}$ monomials $\pm x_{\alpha} y_{\beta}, 1 \leqslant \alpha, \beta \leqslant p$, appears once in $f_{i}(z)$, for some $i, 2 \leqslant i \leqslant m$ (since
$b_{i j} \in Z^{m}$ and $\left|b_{i j}\right|=1$ for all $i, j$ [9, p. 267]. Each coordinate map $f_{i}(z)$ contains at most $p$ monomials, and $p \leqslant m-1$. By the theorem of Hurwitz, if $p=m-1$ then $m=2,3,5,9$. If $p<m-1$, there is a $j, 2 \leqslant j \leqslant m$, such that $f_{j}(z)$ contains $l$ monomials, with $l<p$. Clearly $f_{j}(z)$ is a degenerate form. Now $f_{j}(z)$ contains the monomial $\pm x_{\alpha} x_{\beta}$ for some $\alpha, \beta$. Let $e \in S^{n-1}$, $e=\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right)$, with

$$
e_{i}= \begin{cases}1 / \sqrt{2} & \text { if } i=\alpha \text { or } i=p+\beta \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Then

$$
f_{i}(e)=\left\{\begin{array}{ccc} 
\pm 1 & \text { if } & i=j \\
0 & \text { if } & i \neq j
\end{array}\right.
$$

and $f_{e}(z)= \pm f_{j}(z)$ is a degenerate form.
Assume that $f \in H_{n, m}(\Lambda)$. There is a $\tau \in O(V)$ such that ${ }^{\tau} f \in H_{n, m}(Z)$. By the above argument, if $p<m-1$, then ${ }^{\tau} f_{e}$ is a degenerate form for some $e \in S^{n-1}$. But

$$
{ }^{\tau} f_{e}(z)=\langle\tau(f(z)), \tau(f(e))\rangle_{v}=\langle f(z), f(e)\rangle_{V}=f_{e}(z)
$$

Let $f \in H_{2(m-1), m}(\Lambda)$ with $m=2,3,5,9$. A calculation shows that if $\mathrm{g}: \mathrm{S}^{2 m-3} \rightarrow S^{m-1}$ is the classical Hopf fibration, then $\left\{g_{e}\right\}_{e \in S^{2 m-3}}$ are all nondegenerate. There is a $\tau \in \mathrm{O}(U)$ such that ${ }^{\tau} f \in H_{2(m-1), m}(Z)$. Therefore, there is a $\psi \in \mathrm{O}(U), \phi \in \mathrm{O}(U)$ such that ${ }^{\top} f={ }^{\phi}{ }^{\mathrm{g}}{ }^{\psi}[9, \mathrm{p} .267$, Theorem 2] and ${ }^{\phi} g_{e}^{\psi}(z)=g_{e}^{\psi}(z)=\left\langle g^{\psi}(z), g^{\psi}(e)\right\rangle={ }^{t} z^{t} \psi G_{\psi e} \psi z={ }^{t} z F_{e} z=f_{e}(z)={ }^{\top} f_{e}(z)$. Therefore, ${ }^{t} \psi G_{\psi e} \psi=F_{e}$, and the determinant

$$
\left|t \psi G_{\psi e} \psi\right|=\left|G_{\psi e}\right|=\left|F_{e}\right| \neq 0 \quad \text { for all } \quad e \in S^{n-1}
$$
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