Respiratory Medicine (2004) 98, 139–151

respiratoryMEDICINE

Mechanisms of chronic airway obstruction in smokers

A. Bohadana^{a,b,}*, D. Teculescu^a, Y. Martinet^b

^aINSERM Unité 420, Epidémiologie Santé Travail, Faculté de Médecine, 9 av de la Forêt de Haye, B.P 184, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy 54505, France ^bService de Pneumologie, Hôpital de Brabois Adultes, CHU de Nancy, Vandoeuvre Cedex 54511, France

[ARTICLE IN PRESS](https://core.ac.uk/display/82012174?utm_source=pdf&utm_medium=banner&utm_campaign=pdf-decoration-v1)

Received 2 June 2003; accepted 12 September 2003

KEYWORDS

Cigarette smoking; Chronic airway obstruction; FEV1; Airway inflammation; Airway remodelling; $CDS + T-lymphocytes;$ Eosinophils

Summary Studies over the past few decades have showed a clear association between cigarette smoking and the development of chronic airway obstruction. Yet, only a minority of smokers is affected so that in many, even heavy, smokers, pulmonary function remains within normal limits. While carcinogens have been well characterized, there is only limited information about the constituents of cigarette smoke responsible for inducing chronic airway obstruction. In addition, the associated risks factors for airway obstruction in smokers have not been totally identified. The present paper is a review of the recently accumulated facts concerning the intimate action of cigarette smoke at the level of large and small airways and lung parenchyma. The role of classical inflammatory cells such as neutrophils and alveolar macrophages is reviewed, but emphasis is put on recent evidence indicating the involvement of $CD8 + T$ -lymphocytes and possibly eosinophils in the genesis of the structural changes leading to airways obstruction. The mechanisms by which airway inflammation and remodelling cause airway narrowing and airflow limitation are discussed, along with the associated loss of lung elasticity secondary to destructive emphysema. Other biological, epidemiological, physiopathological, and clinical aspects are analyzed, stressing such fundamental aspects as the defence mechanisms, the morpho-functional correlations, the identification of susceptible smokers, and the early detection of airway obstruction, both in specialized laboratories and in primary care.

& 2003 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction

Evidence accumulated in the past 30 years shows an irrefutable association between the long-term inhalation of cigarette smoke and the development of obstructive airway disease.^{[1](#page-10-0)–4} Cigarette smoke is the most important factor associated with the occurrence of chronic airway obstruction, being responsible for 80–90% of cases of chronic airway obstruction in the USA. 5 Deaths from chronic airway obstruction are several times more numerous and the loss of pulmonary function with age is more accelerated in smokers than non-smokers.^{[6](#page-10-0)} In general, a dose–response relationship exists between the amount of tobacco smoked and the level of obstruction^{[2,3](#page-10-0)} and a progressive reduction in mean flow rates and an increase in the incidence of severe obstruction with increasing pack-year

^{*}Corresponding author. INSERM Unité 420, Epidémiologie Santé Travail, Faculté de Médecine, 9 av de la Forêt de Haye, B.P 184, Vandoeuvre-lès-Nancy 54505, France.

E-mail address: abraham.bohadana@nancy.inserm.fr (A. Bohadana).

exposure have been documented.^{[4](#page-10-0)} However, in a significant number of heavy smokers, pulmonary function remains within normal limits, a finding indicating that other factors, either of genetic and/ or environmental nature, are also involved in the production of airway obstruction.

Risk factors for the development of chronic airway obstruction

Several risk factors are likely to explain the susceptibility of smokers to develop airway obstruction and a thorough discussion on this topic is available elsewhere. 7 Briefly, concerning cigarette smoke itself, the risk of airway obstruction does not seem to be influenced neither by the nicotine or tar content^{[8](#page-10-0)} nor the addition of a filter.^{[9](#page-10-0)} However, studies in adults have shown an increased prevalence of symptoms following passive smoke exposure at the workplace and at home^{[10](#page-10-0)} while studies in children have demonstrated an increase in respiratory illnesses and a decrease in levels of pulmonary function especially when mothers smoke. 11

There is evidence that the rate of decline in forced expiratory volume in one second (FEV₁) in smokers is correlated with the subject's response to non-specific bronchial challenge. Studies in the general population have shown bronchial hyperresponsiveness (BHR) to be an important predictor of lung function^{[12,13](#page-10-0)} while studies in large populations of subjects with early obstruction showed BHR to be a strong predictor of changes in $FEV₁$ (% predicted), after controlling for baseline lung function, age, sex, baseline smoking history and changes in smoking status.^{[14](#page-10-0)}

Another important factor likely to play a role in the development of chronic airway obstruction in response to smoking is genetic predisposition, the best illustration of which is the propensity to the development of early onset, familial emphysema in patients with α 1-antitrypsin deficiency.^{[15](#page-10-0)} However, this enzyme deficiency is present in only 1–2% of obstructive patients and, although the homozygous deficiency state (Pi-Z phenotype) is clearly associated with the occurrence of emphysema, its presence may not suffice to produce airway obstruction. Indeed, in an earlier study we found that among six males with severe deficiency (homozygous Pi-Z phenotype) only four-all of whom were ex-smokers seeking help because of respiratory complaints—had severe functional im-pairment.^{[16](#page-10-0)} The remaining two, who were first screened at a centre for preventive medicine, had little or no symptoms and remarkably well preserved mechanical function despite active smoking and similar α 1-antitrypsin blood levels. As a whole, this highly deficient group was clinically and functionally indistinguishable from a non-deficient group of similar age and tobacco consumption.

Other risk factors which have been associated with the development of chronic airway obstruction include age, air pollution, childhood illnesses, occupation, social class, and alcohol use.[17](#page-10-0) However, the extent to which these factors act individually or in combination to increase the risk of airway obstruction is not completely known. More studies are necessary to clarify this important issue.

Cigarette smoke-associated syndromes

Smoking-induced lung diseases are complex and can be defined clinically (chronic bronchitis), pathologically (emphysema) and functionally (chronic airway obstruction).[18](#page-10-0) This triple description reflects differences in the way the various authors recognize and describe the cigarette smoke-related diseases. Therefore, it is important to realize that chronic airway obstruction is not one disease but a term encompassing at least three phenotypes namely obstructive chronic bronchitis, emphysema, and small airway disease. The diagnosis of obstructive chronic bronchitis is based on the objective documentation of airway obstruction and symptoms of cough and sputum production.^{[18](#page-10-0)} Incidentally, smokers who consider themselves ''healthy'' may develop mild symptoms which are related to physiological changes and inflammatory markers reflecting events in the central rather than the peripheral airways.^{[19](#page-10-0)} Emphysema is defined in anatomical terms as a permanent destructive enlargement of the airspaces distal to the terminal bronchioles.[18](#page-10-0) Finally, small airway disease is defined as a functional abnormality not revealed by standard spirographic tests such as the $\mathsf{FEV}_1, ^{20}$ $\mathsf{FEV}_1, ^{20}$ $\mathsf{FEV}_1, ^{20}$ evidenced by the use of so called ''sensitive tests'', and by peculiar anatomic alterations.

Understanding airflow limitation

To understand how tobacco smoke induces the development of airway obstruction it is useful to revise the mechanisms of airflow limitation. The tracheobronchial tree is a highly branched system of conducting tubes which can be divided schematically into large airways (airways with cartilage in their walls, usually greater than 2 mm in internal diameter) and small airways or bronchioles (airways without cartilage in their walls, usually less than 2 mm in internal diameter). Distal to the bronchioles is the terminal bronchiole, and beyond it the acinus. The branching of the tracheobronchial tree would be symmetric if each parent branch divided into two daughter branches of equal diameter and length. In fact, the system is slightly asymmetric, with the diameter of two daughter branches being greater than the diameter of the parent branch and the number of airways increasing more than the diameter of the individual airways decreases.^{[21](#page-10-0)} In such a system, the major site of airway resistance does not lie in the smallest airways as might be expected from rational considerations but in the medium sized bronchi, with most of the pressure drop occurring in the airways up to the seventh generation.^{[22](#page-10-0)} The reason is the enormous increase in the cumulated cross-sectional area of the airways, from the trachea to the lung periphery, which explains the noticeable diminution of flow resistance in the peripheral airways. Although initial measurements suggested that less than 20% of airway resistance could be attributed to airways less than $2 \text{ mm } i.d.,^{23,24}$ $2 \text{ mm } i.d.,^{23,24}$ $2 \text{ mm } i.d.,^{23,24}$ later experiments showed that 30–40% is probably a more realistic figure.^{[25](#page-10-0)}

In a system like the tracheobronchial tree, airflow can be limited either by reducing the pressure applied to the system or by narrowing the tubes (bronchi), or both. The pressure applied to airflow is the recoil pressure of the lung, which is determined by its elastic properties. Airway narrowing is usually characterized by parameters of forced expiration, and the mechanisms of flow limitation during this manoeuvre can be explained on the basis of the simple model proposed by Mead and colleagues.^{[26](#page-10-0)} At end-inspiration, immediately before forced expiration, flow is zero and intrabronchial pressure, i.e. the pressure within the airways from the mouth to the alveoli, is atmospheric. At the same time, the pressure surrounding the airways (intrapleural) is negative and equal to the static recoil pressure of the lung. This interplay makes the pressure difference between the airway lumen and the intrapleural pressure to be positive thus tending to expand the airways (Fig. 1a). Early in forced expiration, both intrapleural and alveolar pressures rise greatly. As air flows, intrabronchial pressure drops to a point where it equals intrapleural pressure. Downstream from this ''equal pressure point'' (EPP) airway collapse occurs and flow is determined only by the difference between alveolar pressure and intrapleural pressure. Beyond the EPP the airway resistance is irrelevant. At high

Figure 1 Model of lung to illustrate airflow limitation in healthy subjects and smokers with small airway disease (see text for discussion). (a) End-inspiration, normal. (b) Forced expiration, normal: EPP in lobar bronchi; dynamic compression. (c) Small airway disease: EPP moves toward periphery; dynamic compression.

lung volume (e.g. end maximal inspiration), the EPP is located in central airways, probably in the vicinity of lobar bronchi, where the cumulated cross-sectional area is small. As lung volume decreases, the EPP moves toward the periphery so that at low lung volumes flow is dependent of the resistance of the airways between the alveoli and the EPP, i.e. the small airways (Fig. 1b). In cigarette smokers, peripheral airway resistance can be increased by changes in the airways themselves (e.g. inflammation, swelling of the mucosa, contraction of smooth muscle, retention of secretions, etc.) or in the static (e.g. destruction of alveolar attachments, decrease in lung recoil) and dynamic (e.g. dynamic compression) forces acting upon them (Fig. 1c). Other factors favouring 142 **A. Bohadana et al. A. Bohadana et al. A. Bohadana et al. A. Bohadana et al.**

this ''dynamic narrowing'' include the magnitude of the flow and the compressibility of the airways.

Factors involved in cigarette smokeinduced airway obstruction

Although cigarette smoking is the major cause of chronic airway obstruction only 24–47% of the smokers actually develop clinically significant air-way obstruction.^{[6](#page-10-0)} Among the environmental factors likely to influence an individual's response to cigarette smoke, the smoke composition and mode of delivery play an important role.

Smoke components involved in the pathogenesis of cigarette smoke-induced obstruction

Cigarette smoke is a complex, heterogeneous mixture of about 4000 substances. By convention, the smoke fraction that passes through a glass fibre filter is referred to as the gas phase and the retained fraction as *particulate phase*.^{[5](#page-10-0)} Main gas phase components include carbon dioxide $(CO₂)$, carbon monoxide (CO), irritants, and carcinogens. Particulate phase is a mixture of nicotine and tar, a generic term used to define the particles of smoke and the condensable components of the gas phase, minus nicotine and water. Tar contains various aromatic hydrocarbons, including carcinogens (e.g. non-volatile nitrosamines, aromatic amines, benzopyrene) and radioactive elements (e.g Polonium-210). The composition of the smoke directly inhaled through the cigarette into the lung (mainstream smoke) is different from that formed between puffs (sidestream smoke) and that exhaled (exhaled smoke), both constituting the smoke breathed by passive smokers. Unlike carcinogens, which have been well characterized, there is only limited information about the constituents of cigarette smoke responsible for inducing chronic obstructive lung disease.

Several studies failed to demonstrate a clear, direct association between the tar yield and the development of airway obstruction. Higgenbottom and colleagues 27 27 27 related the tar yield and the number of cigarettes smoked daily with lung function and respiratory symptoms in more than 18,000 male civil-servants. They found that tar yield influenced phlegm production but not the degree of airflow obstruction, and suggested that the irritant agents leading to obstruction were probably contained in the gaseous phase of tobacco smoke. Paoletti and colleagues 28 28 28 examined 582 smokers and 621 ex-smokers and found that the estimated tar exposure from current cigarette consumption was significantly associated with cough and phlegm, and lung function measure-ments. Petitti and Friedman^{[29](#page-11-0)} examined the association between smoking cigarettes with low yield of tar and nicotine and respiratory diseases by reviewing the medical records of 4610 current smokers and 2035 never smokers. They found that smoking lower tar cigarettes was not associated with a lower risk for chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Brown and colleagues 30 observed that for women but not for men, the rates of chronic cough and chronic phlegm were higher with higher tar yield of cigarettes smoked. However, pulmonary function tests were not done so the relationship between tar yield and the degree of airway obstruction could not be examined. Finally, Krzy-zanowski and colleagues^{[8](#page-10-0)} analyzed data collected in the Tucson Epidemiologic Study of Airway Obstructive Disease and found that the tar, nicotine, and CO contents were important only in connection with the number of cigarettes smoked and had no independent effect on pulmonary function.

There is no evidence of a direct relationship between the nicotine yield and the development of airway obstruction. $8,31$ However, nicotine was found to be chemotactic for human neutrophils, 32 the first cell to be recruited in smoke-induced lung inflammation, and could therefore play an important role in the onset of the lung response eventually leading to airway obstruction (see below).

Several irritant substances (e.g. acrolein, cresol, acetone, phenol, etc.) present in the two phases of smoke can act either directly on the airways, damaging the mucocilliary apparatus of the bronchial mucosa and favouring the development and progression of bronchitis, or indirectly, favouring lung destruction. This is the case of the potent irritant acrolein, which appears to favour the release by alveolar macrophages of leukotriene B4, a chemotactic substance responsible for the recruitment neutrophils in the lung parenchyma, the presumed first event leading to emphysema. 33

Finally, the role of free radicals, which are present in both smoke phases, as agents favouring the development of emphysema and airway ob-struction seems now well established.^{[33](#page-11-0)} In gas phase, free radicals react with oxygen to form oxy-radicals in smoke, and inactivate alpha-1 protease inhibitor $(\alpha$ 1PI) in a 2-step process: a fast reaction, which is completed in minutes, and a slower process which continues for hours or days. Free radicals in the particulate (tar) phase are stable indefinitely, and are capable of reducing molecular oxygen to produce superoxide,

eventually leading to hydrogen peroxide and hydroxyl radicals.

Smoking parameters in the development of airway obstruction

The manner of smoking is one of the major factors controlling lung's exposure to cigarette smoke. In general, smokers take a puff into the mouth and after a variable pause mix the smoke with air and inhale. The reason why this two-phase pattern is adopted is not clearly understood but it could be a means to reduce the acute airway response to smoke inhalation. Deposition of particles of smoke depends on their size, the pattern of breathing, and the pulmonary structure–function relationships. The median size of particulate matter in smoke ranges from 0.3 to $0.5 \mu m$ but it may increase in actual smoking, with growth in size occurring by coalescence or by hygroscopic growth after absorption of moisture in the respiratory tract. Larger particles tend to deposit in airway branch points by impaction, while smaller particles tend to deposit by sedimentation in areas of slowest flow, at the lung periphery.

Individual smokers show a wide variation in the volumes inhaled while smoking a single cigarette whatever the tar yield. Using respiratory inductive plethysmography, Tobin and colleagues³⁴ assessed the pattern of inhalation in 19 habitual smokers. They found that the mean volumes inhaled varied from 0.27 to 1.97 l (mean \pm sp = 0.79 \pm 0.45) and the mean duration of smoke inhalation varied from 2 to 6.8 s (mean + $sp = 4.5 + 1.3$). In addition, they found that the smokers' perception of their inhaled volume was quite imprecise and pointed out that such imprecision could be responsible for the purported lack of relationship between smoke inhalation and loss of lung function.³⁵ Another study from the same group showed that in a single session of 150 min, switching from a high- to lowtar cigarette caused a significant increase in the puff volume from $39+10$ to $52+15$ ml, respectively $(P<0.001)$.^{[36](#page-11-0)} It was argued that if the gas phase is the important component for the development of airway obstruction, subjects taking larger puff volumes may be at an increased risk of obstruction.

The inhalation pattern seems to be important in the pathogenesis of airway obstruction, and smokers with obstruction have patterns that differ from those of smokers without obstruction.^{[37](#page-11-0)} In addition, higher ventilation/perfusion ratios in the lung apices could lead to excess deposition of smoke in these areas thus explaining the preferential loca-lization of centriacinar emphysema.^{[38](#page-11-0)} Longitudinal studies comparing objective indices of smoke inhalation and pulmonary function are necessary to assess the importance of inhaling patterns in determining loss of lung function.

Pulmonary response to the inhalation of cigarette smoke

In healthy individuals, a complex system called ''host defence mechanisms'' limits the penetration of substances through the mucosal surface and removes particles deposited along the airways and in the alveoli. These mechanisms include anatomic barriers in the airways, clearance activity provided by coughing and the mucociliary apparatus, and several substances of non-immunologic and immunologic nature. The upper regions of the bronchial tree are lined with ciliated cells, the ''mucociliary escalator'', in charge of removing inhaled particles from the lungs. Chronic cigarette smoking may induce an increased number of abnormal cilia which could constitute a determinant of the mucociliary clearance impairment.^{[39](#page-11-0)} Airways beyond generation 14 do not have this protection, being therefore vulnerable to the effects of inhaled particles, especially those in the respirable range $(0.5-5 \mu m)$ such as particulate matter of smoke (median size = $0.5 \mu m$). Despite the efficiency of host defences, during cigarette smoking 5–10% of the tar/nicotine particles are deposited in the tracheobronchial region and 30–40% in the alveolar region. Obviously, the amount of deposited substances and the site of deposition may vary according to the physical properties of the smoke particles and the smoking pattern (volume and depth of inhalation, etc.). For the average smoker, particle deposition is greatest at airway bifurcations, the most common site of bronchogenic carcinoma. The chronic, continuing action of cigarette smoke on lung structures induce an array of lung responses that will eventually lead to airway obstruction in the susceptible smoker. The initial event after the first few cigarette puffs is an alteration of the airway epithelial cell barrier leading to airway inflammation. Several studies have shown that this inflammatory process is quite widespread and extends to the central airways, $40,41$ peripheral airways^{[24,42](#page-10-0)–44} and lung parenchyma as $Well.45,46$ $Well.45,46$

Airway inflammation

It has long been recognized that exposure to cigarette smoke is associated with airway inflammation.[40](#page-11-0) The combination of direct effects of cigarette smoke and indirect damage caused by effects of inflammatory cells leads to a series of epithelial changes including squamous metaplasia, hyperplasia of mucous glands, changes in mucociliary clearance, and fibrotic changes. Several types of inflammatory cells are thought to play a role in this process.

An increase of neutrophils in the airway lumen has been documented by bronchoalveolar (BAL) fluid and sputum analysis in smokers with mild to moderate chronic airway obstruction^{47–50} and a good correlation was observed between the number of neutrophils and the annual decline in FEV_1 .^{[47](#page-11-0)} However, biopsies studies in mild to moderate airway obstruction showed a dissociation between the increased number of neutrophils in the airway lumen and a lack of increase in these cells numbers in the subepithelium. 41 To explain this discrepancy, Saetta and her colleagues postulated that neutrophils could migrate across the tissue into the lumen thus making their accumulation undetectable by tissue analysis but detectable by analysis of BAL fluid or induced sputum. 51 Incidentally, studies of surgical specimens and biopsies by the same team showed an increased number of neutrophils in both epithelium and bronchial gland of patients with established chronic airway obstruction thus providing evidence for neutrophilia not only in the airway lumen but also in specific areas in the airway wall of these subjects.^{[52](#page-11-0)}

Another cell involved in the process of smokeinduced airway inflammation is the T-lymphocyte. Studies of bronchial biopsies taken through a bronchoscope or from surgical specimens have shown these cells to infiltrate the epithelium and submucosa of patients with mild to moderate airway obstruction, along with macrophages and eosinophils. $41,52-57$ Using immunohistochemical Using immunohistochemical methods various studies showed that in contrast with asthma $-$ whose inflammation is dominated by the $CD4+$ subset—in chronic airway obstruction the T-lymphocyte inflammation is largely due to the $CD8 +$ subset thus making the balance of the $CD4 + /CD8 +$ cell ratio to tilt in favour of CD8 + cells. Concerning lung distribution, the $CD8 +$ infiltrate was found to be ubiquitous, being present in all lung compartments including the central airways^{[52,57](#page-11-0)–59} the peripheral airways^{59–[61](#page-11-0)} and the lung parenchyma.^{[62](#page-12-0)}

The role of T-lymphocytes in smoke-induced airway inflammation has been explored by several authors. In a study examining the effect of smoking on small airway submucosal immunopathology, Lams and colleagues 61 found an increase in total and activated eosinophils, neutrophils, and $CD8 + /$ $CD3 +$ cell ratio in smokers along with a decrease in $CD4(+) / CD8(+)$ cell ratio. Interestingly, the increased $CD8 + /CD3 +$ cell ratio showed a dose relationship to pack-years smoked and an inverse relationship to months since smoking cessation suggesting an association between cigarette smoking and $CD8 +$ cells. In bronchial biopsies of bronchitic smokers with and without chronic airway obstruction O'Shaugnessy and colleagues^{[57](#page-11-0)} found that as airflow obstruction develops, neutrophils and $CD8 +$ lymphocytes increase in number and proportion in association with the decline of lung function. These authors suggested that individuals with genetically determined higher $CDB +$ cell population may be more susceptible to a further increase in $CD8 +$ cells recruitment induced by smoking and that this might explain why only a proportion of smokers proceed to develop chronic airway obstruction. Saetta and her colleagues 60 60 60 examined whether the inflammatory process in the small airways differs in surgical specimens of smokers with ($n = 9$) and without ($n = 7$) chronic airflow obstruction. They found that the number of $CD8 + T-lymphocytes$ and the smooth muscle area were increased in smokers with obstruction, while the number of neutrophils, macrophages, and $CD4 +$ lymphocytes were similar in the two groups. When all the smokers were considered jointly, both the number of $CD8 + T$ -lymphocytes and the value of smooth muscle area showed a significant negative correlation with $FEV₁$. Taken together, these studies support the idea that the $CDB +$ cells might play a role in the development of smokeinduced airway obstruction.

In contrast with T-lymphocytes, the role of eosinophils in the pathogenesis of chronic airway obstruction in smokers is more controversial. While airway eosinophilia has been documented in smokers with chronic obstruction during exacerbations,[55](#page-11-0) an increase in eosinophils in clinically stable patients has been documented by some investigators^{48,54,61,63,64} but not by others.^{[65](#page-12-0)} On the other hand, eosinophilia has been documented in BAL lavage fluid in a subset of asthma-like patients with chronic airway obstruction who respond to steroid and have increased responsiveness.^{[66](#page-12-0)}

The role of eosinophils in the development of chronic airway obstruction in smokers is still controversial. Lams and colleagues^{[61](#page-11-0)} used immunohistochemistry to examine peripheral lung sections obtained at surgery from smokers $(n = 22)$, ex-smokers ($n = 17$) and non-smokers ($n = 5$). The number of eosinophils and activated eosinophils in the small-airway submucosa of smokers was increased compared with non-smokers. In addition, a good correlation was found between the number of

eosinophils and neutrophil numbers. However, no difference was found between groups with and without airway obstruction in the number of eosinophils infiltrating the submucosa, a finding suggesting that eosinophilia may be related to smoking per se. Balzano and colleagues 50 evaluated airway inflammation by cellular analysis and measurement of eosinophil cationic protein (ECP) levels in induced sputum and correlated the inflammation markers with functional indices of obstruction. A group of 46 subjects with clinically stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease $(n = 10)$, patients with mild asthma ($n = 15$), asymptomatic smokers $(n = 11)$, and healthy control subjects $(n = 10)$ was examined. As expected, eosinophils were found to be significantly higher in patients with asthma than in the other groups, while neutrophils were significantly higher in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease than in the other groups. However, eosinophils and ECP levels were increased in patients with chronic airway obstruction as compared with healthy controls. In addition, sputum eosinophils and sputum ECP levels were inversely correlated with indices of obstruction both in patients with established chronic airway obstruction and asymptomatic smokers. Finally, significant correlations were found between sputum eosinophils and neutrophils as well as sputum neutrophils and sputum ECP, leading the authors to conclude that eosinophilic and neutrophilic inflammation may be closely correlated and that eosinophils may play a role in the pathogenesis of chronic airway inflammation in chronic airway obstruction.

To summarize, there is evidence that neutrophils, $CD8 +$ cells, and possibly eosinophils play a role in cigarette smoke-induced chronic airway obstruction. While neutrophils predominate on mucosal surface of large airways, $CD8 +$ cells are distributed extensively along all lung compartments. This is especially true of mild and moderate obstruction; as more severe airflow limitation develops the number of neutrophils increases suggesting that these cells may play a role in the progression of the disease. Finally, an increase in the number of eosinophils—which correlated with the neutrophil numbers—has been documented in the sputum and small airway submucosa of patients with stable chronic airway obstruction and smokers, respectively.

Inflammation in the lung parenchyma

As discussed above, smoking is known to be associated with the presence of neutrophils in the distal airspaces.^{[67](#page-12-0)} The exact mechanisms governing neutrophil recruitment and sequestration are not completely understood but it seems that the process is influenced by a loss of capacity of neutrophils to deform, an important mechanism allowing these cells to squeeze through pulmonary capillaries, along with other factors.^{[68](#page-12-0)} This decreased deformability would delay the passage of neutrophils through the alveolar capillary bed thereby enhancing the release of elastase and reactive oxygen intermediates. These substances would then be capable to cause tissue destruction through the association of a protease–antiprotease imbalance and an oxidant–antioxidant imbalance. According to this theory, under normal circumstances proteolytic enzymes (e.g. elastase, cathepsin G) and matrix metalloproteases of neutrophil origin are neutralized by the antiprotease protective mechanisms (e.g. α 1 AT); however, in smokers, this antiprotease activity is impaired by the oxidation of α 1 antitrypsin by cigarette smoke. As a consequence, the unopposed proteolytic activity damages the lung parenchyma by degrading elastin, enhancing neutrophil recruitment, and increasing collagen deposition. Of course, neutrophils are not the only source of elastolytic enzymes and other cells, especially the alveolar macrophage, source of macrophage elastase, likely play a role. In fact, neutrophils and alveolar macrophages could interact but their relative contribution to the process of lung inflammation and emphysematous destruction is not completely understood.

Studies showed that the cellularity of the alveolar walls is increased in smokers. Eidelman and co-workers⁶⁹ examined the lungs of smokers $(n = 22)$ undergoing thoracotomy for localized pulmonary lesions, along with lungs of eight nonsmokers and five smokers who died suddenly of nonrespiratory causes. The number of inflammatory cells correlated significantly with an index of alveolar destruction while the number of polymorphonuclear cells in smokers was 5 times as great as in non-smokers; however, the inflammatory cells were not clearly distinguished from one another. To attempt to clarify this issue, Saetta and collea-gues^{[60](#page-11-0)} examined surgical specimens from nonsmokers ($n = 8$), asymptomatic smokers with normal lung function ($n = 6$), and smokers with chronic airway obstruction ($n = 10$). Compared with nonsmokers, smokers with airway obstruction were found to have an increased number of $CDB +$ cells both in the lung parenchyma and the pulmonary arteries. In addition, the number of $CD8 +$ cells in both sites was significantly correlated with the degree of airflow obstruction. These results show that the lung parenchyma (and the pulmonary arteries) is (are) involved by an inflammatory process whose characteristics are similar to those previously documented in the central and periph-eral airways. Finally, Saetta and her colleagues^{[70](#page-12-0)} reported that the level of small airway inflammation in smokers correlates with the destruction of the alveolar wall attached to the airways (alveolar attachments). They suggested that by-products of inflammatory cells could weaken the alveolar tissue and facilitate its rupture at the point where attachments join with the outer airway wall and where mechanical stress is maximal. As a result, two types of emphysema were described.^{[71](#page-12-0)} The first, centrilobular emphysema, is characterized by focal destruction restricted to respiratory bronchioles and the central portions of the acinus; it is commonly found in smokers and is usually more severe in the upper lung regions. The second, panacinar emphysema, involves the destruction of alveolar walls in a uniform manner and is found in smokers who develop emphysema early in life and in subjects with a deficiency of α 1 antitrypsin.

Summary of cigarette smoke-induced inflammation

How do inflammatory cells work to promote airway damage? Although each cell can work on its own it is likely that they work in concert. The following sequence of events has been proposed as an attempt to combine their inflammatory action.^{[72](#page-12-0)} In the beginning, the inflammatory reaction would involve the neutrophil followed by the alveolar macrophage in the epithelial surfaces of the lung. These cells would damage epithelial cells and the interstitial protein structures, which would be processed into peptides and recognized by T cells, initiating their activation and proliferation. Once activated, T cells could recruit other neutrophils and alveolar macrophages, as well as eosinophils, to the site of inflammation. Indeed, $CD8 +$ cells are capable of switching to IL-5 production, a well described eosinophil chemoattractor and activation cytokine, and producing IL-8, a powerful chemoattractor for neutrophils. By this mechanism, $CD8 +$ cells may play a central role in coordinating the influx of eosinophils and neutrophils in smokeinduced small airway inflammation.

Airway remodelling and lung destruction: the morphologic basis of airflow limitation

It has been known for 35 years that the increase in airways resistance in patients with chronic airway obstruction is due to disease in the peripheral airways.[24](#page-10-0) Airway remodelling is the term coined to define the structural changes that occur in the airway wall due to acute inflammatory events and/ or chronic inflammation and repair. Several studies have shown that airway remodelling and inflammation in the small airways are associated with chronic airway obstruction.^{73-[75](#page-12-0)} These processes combine with proteolytic lung destruction to decrease maximal expiratory flow from the lung.

When a bronchiole is cut in cross-sections three compartments can be distinguished: (1) the inner wall, that is the tissue between the luminal surface and the innermost layer of smooth muscle cells; (2) the smooth muscle, formed by discontinuous bundles arranged in a helicoidal fashion around the airway; and (3) the adventitia, a layer of loose connective tissue placed between the airway smooth muscle and parenchyma. In chronic airway obstruction, the airway remodelling affects these three layers. In the acute phase of chronic airway obstruction, there is an increase in deposition of submucosal and adventitial tissue due to oedema and proteoglycan deposition, two potentially reversible phenomena. With chronic inflammation, there is progressive collagen deposition and fibrosis of the airway wall together with smooth muscle remodelling due both to hypertrophy and hyperplasia. Mucosal thickening can amplify the effect of muscle shortening, adventitial thickening can lead to greater muscle shortening, and muscle thickening will lead to greater muscle shortening against elastic loads. As a result, in airway remodelling, a given degree of smooth muscle contraction will cause airway narrowing several fold greater than it would be observed in a non-remodelled airway.^{[76](#page-12-0)}

Smoke-induced airway obstruction: morpho-functional correlations

There is evidence that the inflammatory changes in the small airways make an important contribution to the functional changes seen in smoke-induced chronic airway obstruction. Niewoehner and col-leagues^{[42](#page-11-0)} were the first to identify the pathological abnormalities in the small airways of young cigarette smokers. They compared the lungs of young smokers and non-smokers dying of sudden, nonhospital deaths and found that the former had a respiratory bronchiolitis associated with clusters of pigmented alveolar macrophages and an increase in mural inflammatory cells and denude epithelium in the membranous bronchioles; they postulated that these abnormalities were precursors of centriacinar emphysema. Cosio and collea-gues^{[74](#page-12-0)} confirmed and extended these observations

by performing pulmonary function tests in 36 patients, of whom two were non-smokers, 1–3 days before open-lung biopsy for localized pulmonary lesions. Using an original pathologic score, they observed a progressive inflammatory reaction in the small airways leading to fibrosis with connective tissue deposition in the airway walls, the most severe cases showing a substantial degree of emphysema. They also observed that pulmonary function deteriorated progressively as the abnormality in the small airways increased, and that tests of small airway function were able to separate subjects with minimal pathologic changes from those with the most normal airways. These initial observations were largely confirmed by other investigators in experiments with lungs obtained either at surgery or autopsy. $77-79$ $77-79$ In conjunction, these studies demonstrate that cigarette smoke can elicit an early inflammatory reaction in the membranous and respiratory bronchioles that can be detected by tests of small airway function in subjects with normal $FEV₁$.

The progressive nature of cigarette smokeinduced lesions has been further demonstrated. Hale and her colleagues^{[80](#page-12-0)} examined pathologic changes in the small airways and lung parenchyma of lungs obtained from persons with a history of long-term cigarette use and an established clinical and physiologic diagnosis of chronic airflow obstruction, and compared the findings with those of older, never smokers and persons who had smoked but did not have severe disease. They found an orderly progression of the pathologic lesions in the three groups along with an increase in the number of airways $<$ 400 μ m in diameter. In the group with established chronic airway obstruction, the premortem $FEV₁$ correlated not only with the average airway diameter and the proportion of airways smaller than 400 μ m, but also with emphysema. No small airway variable had any independent predictive value beyond the severity of emphysema alone in estimating the level of ventilatory function. However, as they pointed out, the relative importance of emphysema as a cause of severe airflow obstruction had to be tempered because correlations of individual spirometric function and pathology were possible only for the group of smokers with established COPD.

There is evidence that the pattern of airway obstruction differs according to the type of destructive emphysema.[81](#page-12-0)–⁸⁴ Panacinar emphysema is associated with a loss of elastic recoil and higher lung compliance whereas centriacinar emphysema is associated with a higher degree of airway inflammation and hyperresponsiveness. In pathological studies, lungs with centriacinar emphysema were found to have higher total pathological scores of the small airways and more airways smaller than $400 \mu m$ than lungs with panlobular emphysema. 82 As a consequence, in centrilobular emphysema, flow decreases as airways abnormalities increase, while elastic recoil loss would play an additive role. By contrast, in panlobular emphysema the flow limitation seems to be mainly a function of reduced elastic recoil. Saetta and her colleagues 84 showed that these two types of emphysema may be present in pure form or may overlap each other in which case, as they emphasized, one type is always clearly predominant. In these studies, pathological score for airway abnormalities were highest in pure centriacinar emphysema and decreased in stepwise fashion as the amount of panlobular emphysema increased. By contrast, lung compliance was highest in panlobular emphysema and decreased as the amount of centrilobular emphysema increased. Based upon these observations Saetta and her colleagues 84 suggested that panlobular emphysema might result from a bloodborne mechanism while centriacinar emphysema, which is characterized by an uneven pattern of lung destruction associated with more severe abnormalities in small airways, would be related to airborne factors. Finally, more recent studies have shown that high-resolution computer tomography (HRCT) may reveal early emphysematous lesions in a substantial fraction of smokers before clinical symptoms have developed.^{[85](#page-12-0)}

An overview of the mechanisms likely to produce airway obstruction in the susceptible smoker is shown schematically in Fig. 2.

Figure 2 Schematic representation of the mechanism of cigarette smoke-induced chronic airway obstruction.

Cigarette smoke and airway obstruction: who is susceptible?

The risk for a smoker of progression toward chronic airway obstruction is linked to the rapid decline of FEV₁ with age. However, since FEV₁ is insensitive to early changes in the small airways, where the disease originates, other tests that correlate with lesions of the small airways should be available. In one study, Nemery and colleagues^{[86](#page-12-0)} indirectly estimated the prognostic significance of tests of small airway disease, namely the slope of phase III of the N2 single-breath washout and the bolus closing volume and closing capacity. They examined a group of steelworkers, 54 of whom were lifelong non-smokers and 105 current smokers, ranging between the ages of 45 and 55. Smokers were divided into 3 groups: the first group comprised the subjects with an $FEV₁/FVC$ ratio below the normal limit value (66.6%) while the remaining subjects were divided into 2 groups according to the presence or not of an abnormal index of small airway function. The essential finding was that among smokers without evidence of airway obstruction the subjects with small airway disease had a significantly lower mean $FEV₁$ than smokers without small airway disease. The authors speculated that subjects with small airway disease and low normal FEV_1 might be at high risk of chronic airflow limitation.

The observations of Nemery and colleagues were further extended by Stanescu and colleagues.^{[87](#page-12-0)} In a 13 years follow up study of 56 subjects from the original series above, they showed that those subjects with impairment of tests of small airway obstruction but normal $FEV₁/FVC$ ratio at the beginning of the study were at no obvious risk of rapid functional deterioration. However, in smokers with a decreased $FEV₁/FVC$, an associated high phase III slope quite accurately predicted a low FEV₁ at the end of the study. In their view, these smokers are probably the high-risk smokers who will reach clinical stages of COPD.

Early detection of obstruction in primary care

The decrease in lung function in patients with chronic obstructive lung disease is gradual and often diagnosed late in its course. In normal nonsmoking subjects, FEV_1 declines on average ap-proximately 30 ml per year.^{[6](#page-10-0)} In susceptible smokers, the age-related decline in $FEV₁$ is accelerated and rates of decline from 60 ml/year^{[88](#page-12-0)} to 150 ml/

year^{[6](#page-10-0)} have been reported. In a smoker having smoked for 30 years, such decline would cause the FEV₁ to decrease by 1.8–4.5 l, an amputation likely to cause disability or even death. Although smoking cessation does not usually allow $FEV₁$ recovery, it is the only effective treatment likely to reverse the steep decline in lung function at all stages of the disease.^{[88](#page-12-0)} By consequence, early detection of smokers at risk of development of obstruction is of utmost importance as a first step towards prevention. Indeed, smoking cessation can slow the rate of decline of $FEV₁$ both in subjects with mild airway obstruction 88 and with normal function.[89](#page-12-0) In a study aimed at testing the efficiency of two regimens of nicotine replacement therapy for smoking cessation, we observed an average increase of 40 ml in the $FEV₁$ of completely abstinent subjects 1 year after smoking cessation.^{[89](#page-12-0)}

The matter of how feasible is early detection in primary care has been addressed recently. In a random group of subjects visiting two semirural practices in the south east of the Netherlands, van Schayck and colleagues 90 found that 30 smokers, out of 169 (18%) capable to produce an acceptable spirometry, were at risk of chronic airway obstruction (defined as an $FEV_1<80%$ predicted). Spirometry was carried out by a trained assistant in four minutes and the direct costs of detecting one smoker with airway obstruction was $5-10\epsilon$. These and other results^{[91](#page-12-0)} give support to the idea that smokers $>$ 40–45 years of age who have a smoking history ≥ 10 pack years should be given a spirometric test especially if they are symptomatic.

Conclusion

In conclusion, a clear relationship has been documented between the chronic inhalation of cigarette smoke and the development of obstructive airway disease. A progressive reduction in mean flow rates and an increase in the incidence of severe obstruction have been found with increasing pack-year exposure. However, only a small fraction of smokers will develop significant airway obstruction, a finding suggesting that other genetic and/or environmental factors are also operative in the production of airway obstruction. Smoke components likely to cause airway obstruction are not completely known but nicotine, irritants such as acrolein and free radicals might play a role. The manner of smoking is another factor influencing the lung's exposure to cigarette smoke; a considerable inter- and intra-subject variability has been documented in the inhaled volume and puff volume.

After the first few cigarette puffs, an inflammatory response develops involving several cell types, especially neutrophils, alveolar macrophages, $CDS + T-lymphocytes$, and possibly eosinophils. In susceptible subjects, a bronchiolitis develops which will progress with continuing smoking. After a variable time, airway inflammation is followed by airway remodelling, a term defining the structural changes that occur in the airway wall due to acute inflammatory events and/or chronic inflammation and repair. At the same time, the antiprotease activity of the lung may be compromised by the oxidation, by cigarette smoke, of antiprotease protective mechanisms (e.g. α 1 AT). Without opposition, the proteolytic activity may damage the lung parenchyma leading to destructive emphysema. This proteolytic lung destruction combines with airway inflammation and remodelling to produce decrease lung recoil and increased peripheral airway resistance, the two main factors responsible for decreasing maximal expiratory flow from the lung in cigarette smoke-induced chronic airway obstruction. Cigarette smoke-induced chronic airway obstruction is an insidious condition usually diagnosed at advanced stages. Since smoking cessation is the only treatment likely to reverse the decline in lung function an early diagnosis is a challenge of pulmonologists but also of general practitioners. The condition should be diagnosed as early as possible and subjects strongly advised to stop smoking.

References

- 1. Lowell FC, Franklin W, Michelson AL, et al. Chronic obstructive pulmonary emphysema: a disease of smokers. Ann Intern Med 1956;45:268.
- 2. Burrows B, Knudson RJ, Cline G, Lebowitz MD. Quantitative relationships between cigarette smoking and ventilatory function. Am Rev Respir Dis 1977;115:195–205.
- 3. Xu X, Dockery DW, Ware JH, Speizer FE, Ferris Jr BG. Effects of cigarette smoking on rate of loss of pulmonary function in adults: a longitudinal assessment. Am Rev Respir Dis 1992;146:1345–8.
- 4. Kuperman AS, Riker JB. The variable effect of smoking on pulmonary function. Chest 1973;63:655–60; Speizer FE, Tager IB. Epidemiology of chronic mucus hypersecretion and obstructive lung disease. Epidemiol Rev 1979;1:124–42.
- 5. US Department of Health and Human Services. The health consequences of smoking: cardiovascular disease. A report of the surgeon general. US Government Printing Office, Washington, DC, 1983.
- 6. Fletcher CM, Peto R, Tinker CM, Spizer FE. Natural history of chronic bronchitis and emphysema. Oxford: Oxford University Press; 1976.
- 7. Speizer FE, Tager IB. Epidemiology of chronic mucus hypersecretion and obstructive lung disease. Epidemiol Rev 1979;1:124–42.
- 8. Krzyzanowski M, Sherril DL, Paoletti P, Lebowitz MD. Relationship of respiratory symptoms and pulmonary function to tar, nicotine and carbon monoxide yield of cigarettes. Am Rev Respir Dis. 1991;143:306–11.
- 9. Lange P, Groth S, Nyboe J, et al. Decline of the lung function related to the type of tobacco smoked and inhalation. Thorax 1990;45:22–6.
- 10. Leunberger P, Schwartz J, Ackerman-Liebrich U, et al. Passive smoking exposure in adults and chronic respiratory symptoms (SAPALDIA Study). Swiss study in air pollution and lung diseases in adults, SAPALPDIA team. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1994;150:1222–8.
- 11. Tager IB, Weiss ST, Munoz A, Rosner B, Speizer FE. Longitudinal study of the effects of maternal smoking on pulmonary function in children. N Engl J Med 1983;309: 699–703.
- 12. O'Connor GT, Sparrow D, Weiss ST. Prospective longitudinal study of methacholine airway responsiveness as a predictor of pulmonary function decline: the normative aging study. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1995;152:87–92.
- 13. Rijcken B, Schouten JP, Weiss ST, Speizer FE, van der Lende R. The relationship between airways responsiveness to histamine and pulmonary function level in random population sample. Am Rev Respir Dis 1988:133:826-32.
- 14. Tashkin DP, Altose MD, Connett JE, Kanner RE, Lee WW, Wise RA, For the Lung Health Study Research Group. Methacholine recativity predicts changes in lung function over time in smokers with early chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1996;153:1802–11.
- 15. Eriksson S. Pulmonary emphysema and α 1-antitrypsin deficiency. Acta Med Scand 1964;175:197–205.
- 16. Bohadana AB, Peslin R, Uffholzt H, Girard F. Profil clinique et fonctionnel pulmonaire d'homozygotes déficitaires en alpha1 antitrypsine. Respiration 1979;37:167–76.
- 17. Tager IB, Segal MR, Speizer FE, Weiss ST. The natural history of forced expiratory volumes: the effects of cigarette smoking and respiratory symptoms. Am Rev Respir Dis 1988;137:837–8.
- 18. Siafakas NM, Vermeire P, Pride NB, on behalf of the Task Force, et al. ERS Consensus Statement. Optimal assessment and management of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). Eur Respir J 1995;8:1398–420.
- 19. Ekberg-Jansson A, Bake B, Andersson B, Skoogh BE, Lofdahl CG. Respiratory symptoms relate to physiological changes and inflammatory markers reflecting central but not peripheral airways. A study in 60-year-old ''healthy'' smokers and never-smokers. Respir Med 2001;95:40–7.
- 20. Mc Fadden Jr ER, Kiker R, Holmes B, de Groot WJ. Small airway disease. An assessment of tests of peripheral airway function. Am J Med 1974;57:171–82.
- 21. Weibel ER. Morphometry of the human lung.. New York: Academic Press; 1963.
- 22. Pedley TJ, Schroter RC, Sudlow MF. The prediction of pressure drop and variation of resistance within the human bronchial airways. Respir Physiol 1970;9:387–405.
- 23. Macklem PT, Mead J. Resistance of central and peripheral airway measured by retrograde catheter. J Appl Physiol 1967;22:395–401.
- 24. Hogg JC, Macklem PT, Thurlbeck WM. The site and nature of airway obstruction in chronic obstructive lung disease. N Engl J Med 1968;278:1355–60.
- 25. Takishima T, Yanai M, Sasaki H. Site of airways hyperreactivity. Am Rev Respir Dis 1991;143:S49–51.
- 26. Mead J, Turner JM, Macklem PT, Little JB. Significance of the relationship between lung recoil and maximal expiratory flow. J Appl Physiol 1967;22:95–108.
- 27. Higenbottam T, Clark TJH, Shipley MJ, Rose G. Lung function and symptoms of cigarette smokers related to tar yield and number of cigarettes smoked. Lancet 1981;i:409–12.
- 28. Paoletti P, Camilli AE, Holberg CJ, Lebowitz MD. Respiratory effects in relation to estimated tar exposure from current and cumulative cigarette consumption. Chest 1985;88: 849–55.
- 29. Petitti DB, Friedman GD. Respiratory morbidity in smokers of low- and high-yield cigarettes. Prev Med 1985;14:217–25.
- 30. Brown CA, Crombie IK, Smith WCS, Tunstall-Pedoe H. Cigarette tar content and symptoms of chronic bronchitis: results of the Scottish heart health study. J Epidemiol Comm Health 1991;45:287–90.
- 31. Kimmel EC, Diamond L. The role of nicotine in the pathogenesis of pulmonary emphysema. Am Rev Respir Dis 1984;129:112–7.
- 32. Totti N, McCusker KT, Campbell EJ, Griffin L, Senior RM. Nicotine is chemotactic for neutrophils and enhances neutrophil responsiveness to chemotactic peptides. Science 1984;223:169–71.
- 33. Janoff A, Pryor WA, Bengali ZH. NHLBI Workshop summary. Effects of tobacco smoke components on cellular and biochemical processes in the lung. Am Rev Respir Dis 1987;136:1058–64.
- 34. Tobin MJ, Jenouri G, Sackner MA. Subjective and objective measurement of cigarette smoke inhalation. Chest 1982;82:696–700.
- 35. Beck GH, Doyle CA, Schachter EN. Smoking and lung function. Am Rev Respir Dis 1981;123:149–55.
- 36. Tobin MJ, Sackner MA. Monitoring smoking patterns of low and high tar cigarettes with incutive plethysmography. Am Rev Respir Dis 1982;126:258–64.
- 37. Medici TC, Unger S, Ruegger M. Smoking pattern of smokers with and without smoke-related diseases. Am Rev Respir Dis. 1985;131:385–8.
- 38. Cockcroft DW, Horne SL. Localization of emphysema within the lung. An hypothesis based upon ventilation/perfusion relationships. Chest 1982;82:483–7.
- 39. Verra F, Escudier E, Lebargy F, Bernaudin JF, de Crémoux H, Bignon J. Ciliary abnormalities in bronchial epithelium of smokers, ex-smokers and nonsmokers. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1995;151:630–4.
- 40. Mullen JBM, Wright JL, Wiggs BR, Pare PD, Hogg JC. Reassessment of inflammation of airways in chronic bronchitis. Br Med J 1985;291:1235–9.
- 41. Saetta M, DiStefano A, Maestrelli P, Ferraresso A, Drigo R, Potena A, Claccia A, Fabbri L. Activated T lymphocytes and macrophages in bronchial mucosa of subjects with chronic bronchitis. Am Rev Respir Dis 1993;147:301–6.
- 42. Niewoehner DE, Kleinerman J, Rice DB. Pathologic changes in the peripheral airways of young cigarette smokers. N Engl J Med 1974;291:755–8.
- 43. Cosio M, Hale KA, Niewoehner DE. Morphologic and morphometric effects of prolonged cigarette smoking on the small airways. Am Rev Respir Dis 1980;122:265–71.
- 44. Bosken CH, Hards J, Gatter K, Hogg JC. Characterization of the inflammatory reaction in the peripheral airways of cigarette smokers using immunohistochemistry. Am Rev Respir Dis 1992;145:911–7.
- 45. Eidelman D, Saetta M, Ghezzo H, Wang NS, Hodal JR, King M, Cosio MG. Cellularity of the alveolar walls in smokers and its relation to alveolar destruction. Functional implications. Am Rev Respir Dis. 1990;141:1547–52.
- 46. Finkelstein R, Fraser RS, Ghezzo H, Cosio MG. Alveolar inflammation and its relation to emphysema in smokers. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1995;152:1666–72.
- 47. Stanescu D, Sanna A, Veriter C, Kostianev S, Calcagni PG, Fabbri LM, Maestrelli P. Airways obstruction, chronic expectoration, and rapid decline of $FEV₁$ in smokers are associated with increased levels of sputum neutrophils. Thorax 1996;51:267–71.
- 48. Thompson AB, Daughton D, Robbins RA, Ghafouri MA, Oehlerkin M, Rennard SI. Intraluminal airway inflammation in chronic bronchitis: characterization and correlation with clinical parameters. Am Rev Respir Dis 1989;140: 1527–37.
- 49. Ekberg-Jansson A, Andersson B, Bake B, Boijsen M, Enanden I, Rosengren A, Skoogh B, Tylen U, Venge P, Lofdahl C-G. Neutrophil-assicated activation markers in healthy smokers relates to a fall in DLCO and to emphysematous changes on high resolution CT. Respir Med 2001;95:363–73.
- 50. Balzano G, Stefanelle F, Iorio C, De Felice A, Melillo EM, Martucii M, Mellilo G. Eosinophilic inflammation in stable chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Relationship with neutrophils and airway function. Am J Resp Crit Care Med 1999;160:1486–92.
- 51. Saetta M. Airway inflammation in chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1999;160: S17–20.
- 52. Saetta M, Turato G, Facchini FM, et al. Inflammatory cells in the bronchial glands of smokers with chronic bronchitis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1997;156:1633–9.
- 53. Fournier M, Lebargy F, Le Roy Ladurie F, Lenormand E, Pariente R. Intra-epithelial T-lymphocytes subsets in the airways of normal subjects and of patients with chronic bronchitis. Am Rev Respir Dis 1989;140:737–42.
- 54. Lacoste J, Bousquet J, Chanez P, Van Vyve T, Simony-Lafontaine J, Lequeu N, Vic P, Enander I, Godard P, Michel F. Eosinophilic and neutrophilic inflammation in asthma, chronic bronchitis, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. J Allergy Clin Immunol 1993;92:537–48.
- 55. Saetta M, Di Stefano A, Maestrelli P, Turato G, Ruggieri MP, Calcagri P, Mapp CE, Ciaccia A, Fabbri LM. Airway eosinophilia in chronic bronchitis during exacerbations. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1994;150:1646–52.
- 56. Di Stefano A, Turato G, Maestrelli P, Mapp CE, Ruggieri MP, Roggeri A, Boschetto P, Fabbri LM, Saetta M. Airflow limitation in chronic bronchitis is associated with Tlymphocyte and macrophage infiltration in the bronchial mucosa. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1996;153:629–32.
- 57. O'Shaugnessy TC, Ansari TW, Barnes NC, Feffrey PK. Inflammation in bronchial biopsies of subjects with chronic bronchitis: inverse relationship of $CD8 + T$ lymphocytes with FEV1. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1997;155:852–7.
- 58. Lams BEA, Sousa AR, Rees PJ, Lee TH. Subepithelial immunopathology of the large airways in smokers with and without chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Eur Respir J 2000;15:512–6.
- 59. Ekberg-Jansson A, Andersson B, Avra E, Nilsson O, Lofdahl CG. The expression of lymphocyte surface antigens in bronchila biopsies, brochoalveolar lavage cells and blood cells in healthy smoking and never-smoking men, 60 years old. Respir Med 2000;94:264–72.
- 60. Saetta M, Di Stefano A, Turato G, Facchini FM, Corbino L, Mapp CE, Maestrelli P, Ciaccia A, Fabbri LM. CD8 + T-Lymphocytes in peripheral airways of smokers with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1998;157:822–6.
- 61. Lams BE, Sousa AR, John Rees P, Lee TH. Immunopathology of the small-airway submucosa in smokers with and without chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1998;158:1518–23.
- 62. Saetta M, Baraldo S, Corbino L, Turato G, Braccioni F, Rea F, Cavallesco G, Tropeano G, Mapp CE, Maestrelli P, Ciaccia A, Fabbri LM. $CD8 + ve$ cells in the lungs of smokers with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1999;160:711–7.
- 63. Maestrelli P, Saetta M, Di Stefano A, Calcagni PG, Turato G, Ruggieri MP, Roggeri A, Mapp CE, Fabbri LM. Comparison of leukocyte counts in sputum, bronchial biopsies, and bronchoalveolar lavage. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1995;152: 1926–31.
- 64. Pizzichini E, Pizzichini MM, Gibson P, Parameswaran K, Gleich GJ, Berman L, Dolovich J, Hargreave FE. Sputum eosinophilia predicts benefit from prednisone in smokers with chronic obstructive bronchitis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1998;158:1511–7.
- 65. Linden M, Rasmussen JB, Pititulainen E, Tunek A, Larson M, Tegner H, Venge P, Laitinen A, Brattsand R. Airway inflammation in smokers with nonobstruictive and obstructive chronic bronchitis. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1993; 148:1226–32.
- 66. Chanez P, Vignola AM, O'Shaugnessy T, Enander I, Li D, Jeffery PK, Bousquet J. Corticosteroid reversibility in COPD is related to features of asthma. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1997;155:1529–34.
- 67. Hunninghake GW, Crystal RG. Cigarette smoking and lung destruction: accumulation of neutrophils in the lungs of cigarette smokers. Am Rev Respir Dis 1983;128:833–8.
- 68. McNee W, Selby C. Neutrophil traffic in the lungs: role of haemodynamics, cell adhesion and deformability. Thorax 1993;48:79–88.
- 69. Eidelman D, Saetta M, Ghezzo H, Wang NS, Hodal JR, King M, Cosio MG. Cellularity of the alveolar walls in smokers and its relation to alveolar destruction. Functional implications. Am Rev Respir Dis 1990;141:1547–52.
- 70. Saetta M, Ghezzo H, Kim WD, King M, Angus GE, Wang NS, Cosio MG. Loss of alveolar attachments in smokers: a morphometric correlate of lung function impairment. Am Rev Respir Dis 1985;132:894–900.
- 71. Thurlbeck WM. In: Petty TL, editor. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. 2nd ed. New York: Marcel Dekker; 1985.
- 72. Cosio MG, Guerassimov A. Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Inflammation of small airways and lung parenchyma. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1999;160:S21–5.
- 73. Bosken CH, Wiggs BR, Pare P, Hogg JC. Small airways dimensions in smokers with obstruction to airflow. Am Rev Respir Dis 1990;142:563–70.
- 74. Cosio MG, Ghezzo H, Hogg JC, et al. The relations between structural changes in small airways and pulmonary function tests. N Engl J Med 1978;298:1277–81.
- 75. Wright JL, Lawson LM, Pare PD, Wiggs BJ, Kennedy S, Hogg JC. Morphology of peripheral airways in current smokers and ex-smokers. Am Rev Respir Dis 1983;127:474–7.
- 76. Kuwano K, Bosken C, Paré PD, Bai T, Wiggs B, Hogg JC. Small airways dimensions in asthma and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. Am Rev Respir Dis. 1993;148:1220–5.
- 77. Berend N, Skoog C, Thurlbeck WM. Single-breath nitrogen tests in excised human lungs. J Appl Physiol Respir Environ Exercise Physiol 1981;51:1568–73.
- 78. Petty TL, Silvers GW, Stanford RE, Bairs MD, Mitchell RS. Small airway pathology is related to increased closing capacity and abnormal slope of phase III in excised human lungs. Am Rev Respir Dis 1980;121:449–56.
- 79. Wright JL, Lawson LM, Paré PD, Kennedy S, Wiggs B, Hogg JC. The detection of small airway disease. Am Rev Respir Dis 1984;129:989–94.
- 80. Hale KA, Ewing SL, Gosnell BA, Niewoehner DE. Lung disease in long-term cigarette smokers with and without chronic airflow obstruction. Am Rev Respir Dis 1984;130:716–21.
- 81. Eidelman DH, Ghezzo H, Kim WD, Hyatt RE, Cosio MG. Pressure–volume curves in smokers. Comparison with alpha1-antitrypsin deficiency. Am Rev Respir Dis 1989;139: 1452–8.
- 82. Kim WD, Eidelman DH, Izquierdo JL, Ghezzo H, Saetta MP, Cosio MG. Centrilobular and panlobular emphysema in smokers. Two distinct morphological and functional entities. Am Rev Respir Dis 1991;144:1385–90.
- 83. Finkelstein R, Ma HD, Ghezzo H, Whittaker K, Fraser RS, Cosio MG. Morphometry of small airways in smokers and its relationship to emphysema type and hyeprresponsiveness. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1995;152:267–76.
- 84. Saetta M, Kim WD, Izquierdo JL, Ghezzo H, Cosio MG. Extent of centrilobular and panacinar emphysema in smokers' lungs: pathological and mechanical implications. Eur Respir J 1994;7:664–71.
- 85. Tylen U, Boijsen M, Ekberg-Jansson A, Bake, Lofdahl CG. Emphysematous lesions and lung function in healthy smokers 60 years of age. Respir Med 2000;94:38–43.
- 86. Nemery B, Moavero NE, Brasseur L, Stanescu DC. Significance of small airways tests in middle aged smokers. Am Rev Respir Dis 1981;124:232–8.
- 87. Stanescu DC, Sanna A, Veriter C, Robert A. Early stages of chronic obstructive lung disease. A 13 year longitudinal study in middle-aged smokers. Am J Respir Crit Care Med 1994;149:A1008.
- 88. Anthonisen NR, Conett JE, Kiley JP, Altose MD, Bailey WC, Buist AS. Effects of smoking intervention and the use of an inhaled anticholinergic bronchodilator on the rate of decline of FEV1: the lung health study. J Am Med Assoc 1995;273: 1497–505.
- 89. Bohadana AB, Nilsson F, Rasmussen T, Martinet Y. Nicotine inhaler and nicotine patch as a combination therapy for smoking cessation. A randomized, double-blind, placebocontrolled trial. Arch Intern Med 2000;160:3128–34.
- 90. Van Schayack CP, Loozen JMC, Wagena E, Akkermans RP, Wessling GJ. Detecting patients at a high risk of developing chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. A prospective case-finding study of smokers in general practice. Br Med J 2002;324:1370–3.
- 91. Zielinski J, Bednarek M, Know the Age of Your Lung Group Study. Early detection of COPD in a high-risk population using spirometric screening. Chest 2001;119:731–6.