

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

**Theoretical
Computer Science**

Theoretical Computer Science 321 (2004) 395–404

www.elsevier.com/locate/tcs

Note

Sturmian words, β -shifts, and transcendence

Dong Pyo Chi^{a,1}, DoYong Kwon^{b,*,2}^a*School of Mathematical Sciences, Seoul National University, Seoul 151-747, Republic of Korea*^b*School of Computational Sciences, Korea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul 130-722, Republic of Korea*

Received 25 November 2003; received in revised form 25 February 2004; accepted 11 March 2004

Communicated by D. Perrin

Abstract

Consider the minimal β -shift containing the shift space generated by a given Sturmian word. In this paper we characterize such β and investigate their combinatorial, dynamical and measure-theoretical properties and prove that such β are transcendental numbers.

© 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.

Keywords: Sturmian word; β -shift; Self-Sturmian number

1. Introduction

A Sturmian word is an infinite word s over a binary alphabet A , whose complexity function satisfies $P(s, n) = n + 1$ for all $n \geq 0$, i.e., the number of factors of s with length n is exactly $n + 1$. Sturmian words are aperiodic infinite words with minimal complexity [14,7].

Let $\beta > 1$ be a real number. We consider the β -transformation T_β on $[0, 1]$ defined by $T_\beta : x \mapsto \beta x \bmod 1$. Then the β -expansion of $x \in [0, 1]$, denoted by $d_\beta(x)$, is a sequence of integers determined by the following rule:

$$d_\beta(x) = (x_i)_{i \geq 1} \text{ if and only if } x_i = \lfloor \beta T_\beta^{i-1}(x) \rfloor,$$

where $\lfloor t \rfloor$ is the largest integer not greater than t . The β -shift S_β is the closure of $\{d_\beta(x) | x \in [0, 1)\}$ with respect to the topology of $A^\mathbb{N}$. In [15], Parry completely

* Corresponding author. Tel.: 82-2-958-3819; fax: 82-2-958-3820.

E-mail addresses: dpchi@math.snu.ac.kr (D.P. Chi), doyong@kias.re.kr (D.Y. Kwon).

¹ Supported by Korea Research Foundation Grant (KRF-2000-015-DP0031).

² Supported by BK21 Program in Korea and by KIAS Research Fund (No.03-0155-001).

characterized S_β in terms of $d_\beta(1)$ and the lexicographic order on $A^\mathbb{N}$. From Parry's result we note that the collection of all β -shifts is totally ordered. The main concern of this article is about the minimal β -shift containing the shift space generated by a Sturmian word.

We call $\beta > 1$ a (maximal) self-Sturmian number if $d_\beta(1)$ is a Sturmian word (and $\alpha(d_\beta(1)) = \{\lfloor \beta \rfloor - 1, \lfloor \beta \rfloor\}$). We show that S_β minimally contains the shift space generated by some Sturmian word if and only if β is self-Sturmian. This gives a large class of specified β -transformations T_β and moreover for a maximal self-Sturmian β , the diameter of the closure of $\{T_\beta^n 1\}_{n \geq 0}$ is minimal in a certain sense. We also prove the transcendence of such β . This is a partial answer to the question posed by Blanchard [5].

2. Sturmian words and lexicographic order

Since $P(s, 1) = 2$, Sturmian words are forced to be infinite words over the alphabet $A = \{0, 1\}$ by renaming if necessary. Then the *height* $h(x)$ of a word x is the number of occurrences of 1 in x . We say a subset X of A^* is *balanced* if for any $x, y \in X$, $|h(x) - h(y)| \leq 1$ whenever x and y have the same lengths. An infinite word s is also called *balanced* if the factor set $F(s)$ is balanced. In [7], Coven and Hedlund described the balanced property in more detail.

Theorem 2.1. *Suppose $X \subset A^*$ and $x \in X$ implies $F(x) \subset X$. Then X is unbalanced if and only if there exists a palindrome word w such that both $0w0$ and $1w1$ lie in X .*

For a real number t , $\lceil t \rceil$ is the smallest integer not less than t , and $\{t\}$ the fractional part of t , i.e., $t = \lfloor t \rfloor + \{t\}$. Let α, ρ be two real numbers in $[0, 1]$. We now define two infinite words over $\{0, 1\}$. Consider, for $n \geq 0$,

$$s_{\alpha, \rho}(n) = \lfloor \alpha(n+1) + \rho \rfloor - \lfloor \alpha n + \rho \rfloor, \quad s'_{\alpha, \rho}(n) = \lceil \alpha(n+1) + \rho \rceil - \lceil \alpha n + \rho \rceil.$$

The infinite words $s_{\alpha, \rho}, s'_{\alpha, \rho}$ are termed *lower* and *upper mechanical words*, respectively, with *slope* α and *intercept* ρ . If α is irrational, we see $s_{\alpha, 0} = 0c_\alpha, s'_{\alpha, 0} = 1c_\alpha$ for some infinite word c_α . Here the word c_α is called the *characteristic word* of slope α . Morse and Hedlund [14] proved two alternative characterizations of Sturmian words.

Theorem 2.2. *For an infinite word s , the following are equivalent.*

- s is Sturmian.
- s is aperiodic and balanced.
- s is irrationally mechanical, i.e., the slope is irrational.

The following proposition prescribes factor sets of Sturmian words.

Proposition 2.1 (Mignosi [13]). *For two Sturmian words s, t , if they have the same slope, then $F(s) = F(t)$. And $F(s) \cap F(t)$ is finite otherwise.*

We denote by σ the shift map, and by $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(s)$ its orbit closure of s . Since Sturmian words are uniformly recurrent, $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(s)$ is minimal if s is Sturmian.

Proposition 2.2. *Let s be a Sturmian word with slope α . Then $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(s)$ is the set of all mechanical words of slope α .*

The proof is a consequence of a lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *For a fixed irrational $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, $s_{\alpha, \rho}$ is continuous from the right and $s'_{\alpha, \rho}$ from the left as functions of ρ .*

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$, s_{α, ρ_0} , s'_{α, ρ_0} be given. We choose an integer $N > 0$ such that $2^{-N} < \varepsilon$. Put $\delta_1 = \min\{1 - \{\alpha n + \rho_0\} \mid 0 \leq n \leq N + 1\}$. Then $0 \leq \rho - \rho_0 < \delta_1/2$ implies $d(s_{\alpha, \rho}, s_{\alpha, \rho_0}) < \varepsilon$. For the upper mechanical word, we define δ_2 by the minimum of nonzero fractions $\{\alpha n + \rho_0\}$ for $0 \leq n \leq N + 1$. Then $0 \leq \rho_0 - \rho < \delta_2/2$ implies $d(s'_{\alpha, \rho}, s'_{\alpha, \rho_0}) < \varepsilon$. If $\rho_0 = 0$, then we can assume $\rho_0 = 1$. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.2. By the minimality of $\overline{\mathcal{O}}(s)$ we may assume $s = s'_{\alpha, 0} = 1c_\alpha$. Since α is irrational, αn is never an integer for nonzero n . Hence $\sigma^n(s) = s_{\alpha, \{\alpha n\}} = s'_{\alpha, \{\alpha n\}}$ holds for any $n \geq 1$. Given $s_{\alpha, \rho}$ and $s'_{\alpha, \rho}$ we can pick two increasing sequences of integers $(p_n)_{n \geq 0}$, $(q_n)_{n \geq 0}$ such that $\{\alpha p_n\} \searrow \rho$ and $\{\alpha q_n\} \nearrow \rho$. Then one finds

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^{p_n}(s) = s_{\alpha, \rho}, \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma^{q_n}(s) = s'_{\alpha, \rho}.$$

Conversely assume $t \in \overline{\mathcal{O}}(s)$. Then t is balanced since $F(t) \subset F(s)$, and the minimality implies the aperiodicity of t . t has the slope α . Otherwise $F(s) \cap F(t)$ would be finite by Proposition 2.1. But every factor of t also occurs in s . \square

In the next section, what we need critically is the lexicographic order between Sturmian words. We have the following. For its proof, see [10].

Proposition 2.3. *Suppose $\alpha \in (0, 1)$ is irrational and $\rho, \rho' \in [0, 1)$ are real. Then*

$$s_{\alpha, \rho} < s_{\alpha, \rho'} \text{ if and only if } \rho < \rho'.$$

Corollary 2.3.1 (Borel and Laubie [6]). *Let α be an irrational number in $(0, 1)$. Then $0c_\alpha < s_{\alpha, \rho} < 1c_\alpha$ for any $0 < \rho < 1$. In particular we have for all $n \geq 1$,*

$$1c_\alpha > \sigma^n(1c_\alpha) \quad \text{and} \quad 0c_\alpha < \sigma^n(0c_\alpha).$$

3. β -shifts and self-Sturmian numbers

Just as the number 1 dominates any number in $[0, 1)$, so does $d_\beta(1)$ in S_β with respect to lexicographic order, which was shown by Parry [15]. Moreover, Parry also

determined the sequences that can be β -expansions of 1 for some $\beta > 1$. These sequences obey the next rule.

Theorem 3.1. *A sequence $s \in \{0, 1, \dots, \lfloor \beta \rfloor\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ is a β -expansion of 1 for some β if and only if $\sigma^n(s) < s$ for all $n \geq 1$. In this case, such a β is unique.*

From now on we replace the alphabet $A = \{0, 1\}$ by $\{a, b\}$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $0 \leq a < b$. For a Sturmian word s over $\{a, b\}$ with slope α , any $t \in \overline{\mathcal{C}(s)}$ lies between ac_α and bc_α , where c_α is the characteristic word over $\{a, b\}$. We write this as $ac_\alpha \leq \overline{\mathcal{C}(s)} \leq bc_\alpha$. This notation represents β -shift as $0^\infty \leq S_\beta \leq d_\beta(1)$. In both cases, the two inequalities are best possible. By Theorem 3.1 and Corollary 2.3.1, there exists a unique $\beta > 1$ such that $d_\beta(1) = bc_\alpha$. From the fact that $\gamma < \theta$ implies $S_\gamma \subsetneq S_\theta$, one can deduce that S_β is the minimal β -shift containing $\overline{\mathcal{C}(s)}$. Moreover, the closure of $\{\sigma^n(d_\beta(1))\}_{n \geq 0}$ is equal to $\overline{\mathcal{C}(s)}$ and ac_α, bc_α are accumulation points by Proposition 2.2. We state these as a theorem. For an infinite word x , $\alpha(x)$ is the set of letters involved in x .

Theorem 3.2. *Suppose s is Sturmian of slope α and $\alpha(s) = \{a, b\}$ with $0 \leq a < b$. If S_β is the smallest β -shift containing $\overline{\mathcal{C}(s)}$, then $\{\sigma^n(d_\beta(1))\}_{n \geq 0} = \overline{\mathcal{C}(s)}$ and β is the unique positive solution of $1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} ((b-a)s'_{\alpha,0}(n) + a)/x^{n+1}$.*

In [5], Blanchard classified β -shifts into five categories, and for each β contained in some classes the morphology of $d_\beta(1)$ was totally understood by Parry and Bertrand-Mathis [15,4]. The language theoretical terminology used in the next proposition is referred to [5] or the bibliography therein.

Proposition 3.1. *For $\beta > 1$, the following equivalences hold.*

- $\beta \in \mathcal{C}_1$: S_β is a shift of finite type if and only if $d_\beta(1)$ is finite.
- $\beta \in \mathcal{C}_2$: S_β is sofic if and only if $d_\beta(1)$ is ultimately periodic.
- $\beta \in \mathcal{C}_3$: S_β is specified if and only if there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that the number of consecutive 0's in $d_\beta(1)$ is less than n .
- $\beta \in \mathcal{C}_4$: S_β is synchronizing if and only if some word of $F(S_\beta)$ does not appear in $d_\beta(1)$.
- $\beta \in \mathcal{C}_5$: S_β has none of the above properties if and only if all words of $F(S_\beta)$ appear at least once in $d_\beta(1)$.

One sees immediately the inclusions:

$$\emptyset \neq \mathcal{C}_1 \subset \mathcal{C}_2 \subset \mathcal{C}_3 \subset \mathcal{C}_4 \subset (1, \infty), \quad \mathcal{C}_5 = (1, \infty) \setminus \mathcal{C}_4.$$

On the other hand, Schmeling [16] determined each size of the classes.

Proposition 3.2. \mathcal{C}_3 has Hausdorff dimension 1 and \mathcal{C}_5 has full Lebesgue measure.

Now we concentrate on a special class of real numbers that is contained in \mathcal{C}_3 .

Definition. Let $\beta > 1$. We call β a *self-Sturmian number* if $d_\beta(1)$ is a Sturmian word over a binary alphabet $A = \{a, b\}$, $0 \leq a < b = \lfloor \beta \rfloor$. In particular, β is *maximally self-Sturmian* if it is self-Sturmian and $\alpha(d_\beta(1)) = \{\lfloor \beta \rfloor - 1, \lfloor \beta \rfloor\}$.

Remark. Not every Sturmian word is equal to $d_\beta(1)$ for some $\beta > 1$. In such cases, $d_\beta(1) = bc_x$ and $\alpha(c_x) = \{a, b\}$ for some irrational α and integers $0 \leq a < b$.

Definition. $\text{diam} : (1, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is the function that maps β to the diameter of T_β -orbit of 1, i.e., $\text{diam}(\beta) := \text{diam}\{T_\beta^n 1\}_{n \geq 0} = \sup\{|x - y| : x, y \in \{T_\beta^n 1\}_{n \geq 0}\}$.

One can note that if $\beta \in \mathcal{C}_1$ or $\beta \in (1, \infty) \setminus \mathcal{C}_3$, then $\text{diam}(\beta) = 1$ since both 0 and 1 lie in the closure of $\{T_\beta^n 1\}_{n \geq 0}$. We get from the definitions,

Proposition 3.3. Suppose β is self-Sturmian and $\alpha(d_\beta(1)) = \{a, b\}$ with $0 \leq a < b = \lfloor \beta \rfloor$. Then $\beta \in \mathcal{C}_3 \setminus \mathcal{C}_2$ and $\text{diam}(\beta) = (b - a)/\beta$.

Maximal self-Sturmian numbers are distinguished from the dynamical point of view.

Theorem 3.3. $\beta > 1$ is maximally self-Sturmian if and only if $\beta \notin \mathcal{C}_2$ and $1 - 1/\beta \leq T_\beta^n 1 \leq 1$ for any $n \geq 0$.

Proof. We prove the sufficiency. The hypothesis implies that $d_\beta(1)$ is aperiodic and $\alpha(d_\beta(1)) = \{\lfloor \beta \rfloor - 1, \lfloor \beta \rfloor\}$. Put $a = \lfloor \beta \rfloor - 1$ and $b = \lfloor \beta \rfloor$. If $d_\beta(1)$ is unbalanced, then Theorem 2.1 guarantees the existence of a palindrome word w such that both awa, bwb are factors of $d_\beta(1)$. For $d_\beta(1) = bd_1d_2 \dots$, one sees $d_\beta(1 - 1/\beta) = ad_1d_2 \dots$. We get

$$ad_1 \dots d_n d_{n+1} \leq awa < bwb \leq bd_1 \dots d_n d_{n+1},$$

where n is the length of w . This yields a contradiction. Hence $d_\beta(1)$ is a Sturmian word of some slope α and it dominates all its shifts, and therefore $d_\beta(1) = bc_x$. \square

The diameter of a maximal self-Sturmian number is minimal in the following sense.

Corollary 3.3.1. For any $\beta > 1$, either $\beta \in \mathcal{C}_2$ or $\text{diam}(\beta) \geq 1/\beta$.

Proposition 3.2 shows the set of self-Sturmian numbers is of Lebesgue measure zero. Then what about the size of $\overline{\{T_\beta^n 1\}_{n \geq 0}}$ for a fixed self-Sturmian number β ? The last paragraph of this section is devoted to showing $\overline{\{T_\beta^n 1\}_{n \geq 0}}$ has Lebesgue measure zero, whereas the orbit closure of an irrational rotation has full Lebesgue measure even though Theorem 3.2 indicates that two orbit closures in full shift coincide.

A β -transformation T_β has an invariant ergodic measure ν_β whose Radon–Nikodym derivative with respect to Lebesgue measure is given by

$$h_\beta(x) = \frac{1}{F(\beta)} \sum_{x < T_\beta^n 1} \frac{1}{\beta^n}, \quad x \in [0, 1].$$

Here $F(\beta)$ is the normalizing factor. Suppose $d_\beta(1) = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_1 \dots$. Parry noted that

$$F(\beta) = \int_0^1 \sum_{x < T_\beta^n 1} \frac{1}{\beta^n} dx = \int_0^1 \left(\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n(x)}{\beta^n} \right) dx = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{T_\beta^n 1}{\beta^n} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+1)\varepsilon_n}{\beta^{n+1}},$$

where

$$a_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x < T_\beta^n 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The frequency of $\lfloor \beta \rfloor$ in $d_\beta(x)$ is, if the limit exists, given by

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \chi(T_\beta^i(x)),$$

where χ is the characteristic function of $[\lfloor \beta \rfloor / \beta, 1]$. Owing to Birkhoff Ergodic Theorem, we can say more. For almost all x in $[0, 1]$, the frequency of $\lfloor \beta \rfloor$ in $d_\beta(x)$ equals

$$\mu_\beta(\lfloor \beta \rfloor) := \frac{1}{F(\beta)} \int_{\lfloor \beta \rfloor / \beta}^1 \sum_{x < T_\beta^n 1} \frac{1}{\beta^n} dx.$$

A similar reasoning also applies to the frequency of the other digit.

Lemma 3.1. *If β is self-Sturmian and $\alpha(d_\beta(1)) = \{a, b\}$ with $0 \leq a < b = \lfloor \beta \rfloor$, then for almost every x in $[0, 1]$, the frequency of b in $d_\beta(x)$ is equal to*

$$\mu_\beta(b) = \frac{\mathcal{J}}{F(\beta)} = \frac{1}{F(\beta)} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lceil \alpha n \rceil \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\beta^{n+1}},$$

and the frequency of a in $d_\beta(x)$ is equal to

$$\mu_\beta(a) = \frac{\mathcal{J}}{F(\beta)} = \frac{1}{F(\beta)} \left(\sum_{n \in J} \frac{1}{\beta^{n+1}} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n - \lceil \alpha n \rceil) \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\beta^{n+1}} \right),$$

where $d_\beta(1) = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots$ and $J = \{n \geq 0 \mid \varepsilon_n = b\}$, $K = \{n \geq 0 \mid \varepsilon_n = a\}$.

Proof. Let α be a number such that $d_\beta(1) = bc_\alpha = \varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_2 \dots$, where c_α is the characteristic word of slope α over the alphabet $\{a, b\}$. First we compute the following integral:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} &:= \int_{\lfloor \beta \rfloor / \beta}^1 \sum_{x < T_\beta^n 1} \frac{1}{\beta^n} dx = \int_{\lfloor \beta \rfloor / \beta}^1 \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n(x)}{\beta^n} dx \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\beta^n} \int_{\lfloor \beta \rfloor / \beta}^1 a_n(x) dx = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{\beta^n}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$b_n = \begin{cases} T_\beta^n 1 - \lfloor \beta \rfloor / \beta & \text{if } \lfloor \beta \rfloor / \beta \leq T_\beta^n 1, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Since $\lfloor \beta \rfloor / \beta \leq T_\beta^n 1$ is equivalent to $\varepsilon_n = b$, it follows from Fubini Theorem that

$$\mathcal{J} = \sum_{n \in J} \frac{1}{\beta^n} \left(T_\beta^n 1 - \frac{\lfloor \beta \rfloor}{\beta} \right) = \sum_{n \in J} \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_m}{\beta^{m+1}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_n \frac{\varepsilon_{n+1}}{\beta^{n+2}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h_{n-1} \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\beta^{n+1}},$$

where h_n is the number of b 's in the word $\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_1 \cdots \varepsilon_n$ and we put $h_{-1} = 0$ by convention. Noting that $h_n = \lceil \alpha(n+1) \rceil$, we finally get $\mathcal{J} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \lceil \alpha n \rceil \varepsilon_n / \beta^{n+1}$.

For almost every x in $[0, 1]$, the frequency of a in $d_\beta(x)$ is equal to

$$\mu_\beta(a) = \frac{\mathcal{J}}{F(\beta)} = \frac{1}{F(\beta)} \int_{a/\beta}^{(a+1)/\beta} \sum_{x < T_\beta^n 1} \frac{1}{\beta^n} dx.$$

The integration is derived as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J} &:= \int_{a/\beta}^{(a+1)/\beta} \sum_{x < T_\beta^n 1} \frac{1}{\beta^n} dx = \int_{a/\beta}^{(a+1)/\beta} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n(x)}{\beta^n} dx \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\beta^n} \int_{a/\beta}^{(a+1)/\beta} a_n(x) dx = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_n}{\beta^n}, \end{aligned}$$

where

$$b_n = \begin{cases} 1/\beta & \text{if } (a+1)/\beta \leq T_\beta^n 1, \\ T_\beta^n 1 - a/\beta & \text{if } a/\beta \leq T_\beta^n 1 < (a+1)/\beta, \\ 0 & \text{if } T_\beta^n 1 < a/\beta. \end{cases}$$

Since only a and b appear in $d_\beta(1)$, the inequality $T_\beta^n 1 < a/\beta$ never occurs and $(a+1)/\beta \leq T_\beta^n 1$ is reduced to $\lfloor \beta \rfloor / \beta \leq T_\beta^n 1$. So the integration is expressed as

$$\mathcal{J} = \sum_{n \in J} \frac{1}{\beta^{n+1}} + \sum_{n \in K} \frac{1}{\beta^n} \left(T_\beta^n 1 - \frac{a}{\beta} \right) = \sum_{n \in J} \frac{1}{\beta^{n+1}} + \sum_{n \in K} \sum_{m=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{\varepsilon_m}{\beta^{m+1}}.$$

By changing the order of summation indices, we find

$$\mathcal{J} = \sum_{n \in J} \frac{1}{\beta^{n+1}} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1 - h_n) \frac{\varepsilon_{n+1}}{\beta^{n+2}} = \sum_{n \in J} \frac{1}{\beta^{n+1}} + \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n - h_{n-1}) \frac{\varepsilon_n}{\beta^{n+1}}. \quad \square$$

Theorem 3.4. *If β is self-Sturmian, then $\overline{\{T_\beta^n 1\}}_{n \geq 0}$ is of Lebesgue measure zero.*

Proof. We adopt the notations used in Lemma 3.1 above. For any x in $\overline{\{T_\beta^n 1\}}_{n \geq 0}$, the infinite word $d_\beta(x)$ is Sturmian. The frequency of b in $d_\beta(x)$, therefore, has the value

α , while the frequency of a has $1 - \alpha$. We will prove that at least one of these values is different from those given in Lemma 3.1.

At first we suppose $a = 0$. Then the integration is given by $\mathcal{I} = \sum_{n \in J} \lceil \alpha n \rceil b / \beta^{n+1}$. Similarly, one sees $\alpha F(\beta) = \sum_{n \in J} (\alpha n + \alpha) b / \beta^{n+1}$. It holds that $n \in J$ if and only if $\lceil \alpha n \rceil < \alpha n + \alpha$ because $\varepsilon_n = b(\lceil \alpha(n+1) \rceil - \lceil \alpha n \rceil)$. Whence $\mu_\beta(b) = \mathcal{I} / F(\beta) < \alpha$.

Next, we suppose $1 \leq a < b$ and, in addition, $\lfloor \beta \rfloor \alpha > 1$. Noting the index set J contains 0, let k_0 be the smallest element of K . One sees

$$\alpha F(\beta) - \mathcal{I} = \sum_{n \in J} \frac{(\alpha n + \alpha) - \lceil \alpha n \rceil}{\beta^{n+1}} b - \sum_{n \in K} \frac{\lceil \alpha n \rceil - (\alpha n + \alpha)}{\beta^{n+1}} a.$$

We know that $(\alpha n + \alpha) > \lceil \alpha n \rceil$ if $n \in J$, and $\lceil \alpha n \rceil > (\alpha n + \alpha)$ if $n \in K$. The series can be bounded from below as

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha F(\beta) - \mathcal{I} &> \sum_{n \in J} \frac{(\alpha n + \alpha) - \lceil \alpha n \rceil}{\beta^{n+1}} b - \sum_{n \in K} \frac{a}{\beta^{n+1}} = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta} b + \dots \right) - \left(\frac{a}{\beta^{k_0+1}} + \dots \right) \\ &> \frac{1}{\beta} - \left(\frac{a}{\beta^{k_0+1}} + \dots \right) > 0, \end{aligned}$$

because $\lfloor \beta \rfloor \alpha > 1$ and $k_0 \geq 1$. Hence we have $\mu_\beta(b) = \mathcal{I} / F(\beta) < \alpha$.

If $\lfloor \beta \rfloor \alpha < 1$, then $\alpha < 1 / \lfloor \beta \rfloor \leq \frac{1}{2}$, which implies $k_0 = 1$. We observe

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \alpha)F(\beta) - \mathcal{I} &= \sum_{n \in J} \frac{1 + \lceil \alpha n \rceil - (\alpha n + \alpha)}{\beta^{n+1}} b + \sum_{n \in K} \frac{1 + \lceil \alpha n \rceil - (\alpha n + \alpha)}{\beta^{n+1}} a \\ &\quad - \sum_{n \in J} \frac{1}{\beta^{n+1}} \\ &= \left(\frac{1 - \alpha}{\beta} b + \dots \right) + \sum_{n \in K} \frac{1 + \lceil \alpha n \rceil - (\alpha n + \alpha)}{\beta^{n+1}} a - \left(\frac{1}{\beta} + \dots \right). \end{aligned}$$

By the assumption, the inequality $(1 - \alpha)b > b - 1 \geq 1$ is true. Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 - \alpha)F(\beta) - \mathcal{I} &> \sum_{n \in K} \frac{1 + \lceil \alpha n \rceil - (\alpha n + \alpha)}{\beta^{n+1}} a - \sum_{n \in J \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{\beta^{n+1}} \\ &> \frac{1}{\beta^2} - \sum_{n \in J \setminus \{0\}} \frac{1}{\beta^{n+1}} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

since the least integer in $J \setminus \{0\}$ is greater than or equal to 2 and if $n \in K$, then $1 + \lceil \alpha n \rceil - (\alpha n + \alpha) > 1$. We have proved $\mu_\beta(a) = \mathcal{I} / F(\beta) < 1 - \alpha$. \square

4. Transcendence of self-Sturmian numbers

We know that β is an algebraic integer for every $\beta \in \mathcal{C}_2$. Then are there transcendental numbers in \mathcal{C}_3 , \mathcal{C}_4 , and \mathcal{C}_5 ? This was questioned by Blanchard [5]. From Schmeling's results, \mathcal{C}_5 is abundant in transcendental numbers. But a transcendental number

reported in \mathcal{C}_3 is, to the knowledge of the authors, only Komornik–Loreti constant $\delta = 1.787231650\dots$. This constant is the smallest number in (1, 2), for which there is only one expansion of 1 as $1 = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varepsilon_n \delta^{-n}$, $\varepsilon_n \in \{0, 1\}$ [9]. Later it turned out to be transcendental [1,2]. This section contains the proof that all self-Sturmian numbers are transcendental. That enriches \mathcal{C}_3 with transcendental numbers of continuum cardinality. In fact, Sturmian words hitherto have given births to transcendental numbers in other manners, e.g. [8,3]. We need a classical result on transcendence.

Proposition 4.1. *Let the function f be defined by*

$$f(w, z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} [nw]z^n,$$

where w is real and z is complex with $|z| < 1$. Then $f(\omega, \alpha)$ is transcendental if ω is irrational and α is a nonzero algebraic number with $|\alpha| < 1$.

Indeed Mahler [12] proved in 1929 the preceding result for quadratic irrational ω 's, and Loxton and van der Poorten [11] extended the case to arbitrary irrational ω 's. We are now in a position to state the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.1. *Every self-Sturmian number is transcendental.*

Proof. Since β is self-Sturmian, we have for some irrational $\alpha \in (0, 1)$,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 - \frac{b-a}{\beta} &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(b-a)s_{\alpha,0}(n) + a}{\beta^{n+1}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(b-a)([\alpha(n+1)] - [\alpha n]) + a}{\beta^{n+1}} \\ &= (b-a) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[\alpha(n+1)] - [\alpha n]}{\beta^{n+1}} + \frac{a}{\beta-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the following equality holds:

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[\alpha(n+1)] - [\alpha n]}{\beta^{n+1}} = \frac{1}{b-a} \left(1 - \frac{b-a}{\beta} - \frac{a}{\beta-1} \right). \tag{1}$$

On the other hand,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[\alpha(n+1)] - [\alpha n]}{\beta^{n+1}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\alpha n]}{\beta^n} - \frac{1}{\beta} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\alpha n]}{\beta^n} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{\beta} \right) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{[\alpha n]}{\beta^n}.$$

If β were algebraic, the left-hand side of Eq. (1) would be transcendental by Proposition 4.1 whereas the right one algebraic. \square

Example. For $0 \leq a < b$ let $f_0 = a$, $f_1 = ab$ and $f_{n+2} = f_{n+1}f_n$, $n \geq 0$. The Fibonacci word f , which is Sturmian, is defined by

$$f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n = abaababaabaababaababaababaabaab \dots$$

We assume $d_\beta(1) = bf$. Then such $\beta \in (b, b + 1)$ exists and is transcendental. Furthermore, $\text{diam}\{T_\beta^n 1\}_{n \geq 0} = (b - a)/\beta$ and $\overline{\{T_\beta^n 1\}_{n \geq 0}}$ is Lebesgue negligible.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the elaborate comments from the anonymous referee, which improve the readability of the paper.

References

- [1] J.-P. Allouche, M. Cosnard, The Komornik–Loreti constant is transcendental, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 107 (2000) 448–449.
- [2] J.-P. Allouche, M. Cosnard, Non-integer bases, iteration of continuous real maps, and an arithmetic self-similar set, *Acta Math. Hungar.* 91 (2001) 325–332.
- [3] J.-P. Allouche, J.L. Davison, M. Queffélec, L.Q. Zamboni, Transcendence of Sturmian or morphic continued fractions, *J. Number Theory* 91 (2001) 39–66.
- [4] A. Bertrand-Mathis, Développement en base θ ; répartition modulo un de la suite $(x\theta^n)_{n \geq 0}$; langages codés et θ -shift, *Bull. Soc. Math. France* 114 (1986) 271–323.
- [5] F. Blanchard, β -expansions and symbolic dynamics, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 65 (1989) 131–141.
- [6] J.-P. Borel, F. Laubie, Quelques mots sur la droite projective réelle, *J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux* 5 (1993) 23–51.
- [7] E.M. Coven, G.A. Hedlund, Sequences with minimal block growth, *Math. Systems Theory* 7 (1973) 138–153.
- [8] S. Ferenczi, C. Mauduit, Transcendence of numbers with a low complexity expansion, *J. Number Theory* 67 (1997) 146–161.
- [9] V. Komornik, P. Loreti, Unique developments in non-integer bases, *Amer. Math. Monthly* 105 (1998) 636–639.
- [10] M. Lothaire, *Algebraic Combinatorics on Words*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002.
- [11] J.H. Loxton, A.J. van der Poorten, Arithmetic properties of certain functions in several variables III, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 16 (1977) 15–47.
- [12] K. Mahler, Arithmetische Eigenschaften der Lösungen einer Klasse von Funktionalgleichungen, *Math. Ann.* 101 (1929) 342–366.
- [13] F. Mignosi, Infinite words with linear subword complexity, *Theoret. Comput. Sci.* 65 (1989) 221–242.
- [14] M. Morse, G.A. Hedlund, Symbolic dynamics II, Sturmian trajectories, *Amer. J. Math.* 62 (1940) 1–42.
- [15] W. Parry, On the β -expansions of real numbers, *Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hungar.* 11 (1960) 401–416.
- [16] J. Schmeling, Symbolic dynamics for β -shifts and self-normal numbers, *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems* 17 (1997) 675–694.