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#### Abstract

The motivation of this study was to prove the following conjecture by Rota: Given a pregeometry $G(r, s)$ and an integer $k \geqslant 1$, the geometrization of the function $k r$ is a functor of the category of finite pregeometries and strong maps into itself. In addition to a proof of this fact. properties of other classes of functors based on expansions and geometrizations are presented in this paper.


## 1. Introduction

As in the case with most mathematical structures, an important question in the theory of combinatorial geometries is to develop constructions for obtaining new geometries from old ones. Several geometric constructions are well-known, e.g. deletion, contraction, truncation, direct sums, etc. ... and are generally extensions to combinatorial geometries of existing operations on projective geometries, graphs or lattices. In this paper we introduce a new class of constructions based on the ideas of expansion and geometrization. More precisely, we will study several classes of functors of the category $\mathscr{P}$ of combinatorial pregeometries and strong maps into itself. The motivation of this study was the following conjecture of Rota: Given a pregeometry $G(r, s)$ and an integer $k \geqslant 1$, the geometrization of the function $k r$ is a functor of $\mathscr{\mathscr { S }}$ into itself. After a brief review of the basic concepts of the theory of combinatorial geometries in Section 2, the conjecture is proved in Section 3 as a consequence of a general study concerning a broader class of functors. In Section 4 . a generalization is considered which yields a method for constructing new classes of functors.

## 2. Basic concepts

A combinatorial pre,cometry $G(S)$, or simply a pregeomeiry $G$, is a set $S$ together with a closure relation $A \rightarrow \bar{A}^{G}$ (or $\bar{A}$ if no ambiguity) for all $A, A \subset S$, which satisfies the following two axioms:
Exchange axiom: if $a, b \in S, A \subset S$, and $a \in \overline{A \cup b}-\bar{A}$ then $\delta \in \overline{A \cup a}$.

Finite basis propery: if $A \subset S$, there is a finite subset $A_{0} \subset A$ such that $\overline{A_{0}}=\bar{A}$.
A pregeometry is a geometry if $\emptyset$ and ail single-element subsets are closed. The flats of $G(S)$ are the closed subsets of $S$. The set of all flats of $G(S)$ ordered by inclusion is a geometric lattice, i.e. a semi-modu!ar point lattice with finite rank. $A$ subset $A \subset S$ is independent if for no $a \in A, A \subset \overline{A-a}$. If $A$ is not independent, then $A$ is dependent. If $B \subset A \subset S$ and $A \subset \bar{B}$, we say that $B$ spans $A$.

A basis of $A$, for $A \subset S$, is an independent sabset of $A$ which spans $A$. All bases of $A$ have the same cardinality, $r(A)$, the rank of $A$. If $A$ is finite, the nu!!ity of $A$ is $n(A)=|A|-r(A)$. The flats of $G(S)$ of rank $1,2, r(G)-1, r(G)-2$ are called point, line, copoint, coline respectively. $r(G)$ is the rank of $G$.

A circuit is a minimal dependent set. A cyclic flat is a flat which is a union of circuits.

The pregeonetry $G^{*}(S)$ dual to $G(S)$ is the pregeometry on $S$ whose bases are $S-B$ where $B$ is a basis of $G$. If $r$ is the rank-function of $G$, the rank-function $r^{*}$ of $G^{*}(S)$ is $\forall A \subset S, \quad r^{*}(A)=|A|-r(G)+r(S-A)$. The function $n: \forall A \subset S \rightarrow n(A)=|A|-r(A)$ is the nullity function. $r^{*}(A)=n(S-A)-n(A)$.
$A \subset S$, the subgeometry of $G$ defined on $A, G-A$, is the pregeometry on $A$ whose closure relation is: $U \subset A \rightarrow \bar{U} \cap A$. The contraction of $G$ by $A, G / A$, is the pregeometry on $S-A$, with closure: $U \subset S-A \rightarrow \overline{U \cup A}-A$. A point $x \in A$ is an isthmus of $G$ if $r(G-x)=r(G)-1 . x$ is a loop of $G$ if $r(G / s)=r(G)$. $x$ is a relative isthmus of a set $A \subset S$ if $r(A-x)=r(A)-1$.

Given two geometric lattices $L_{1}$ and $L_{2}$, a strong map from $L_{1}$ to $L_{2}$ is a function $\sigma: L_{1} \rightarrow L_{2}$ which is supremum-preserving and cover-preserving. A strong map $\sigma$ between two pregeometries $G(S)$ and $H(T)$ is a strong map between the corresponding geometric lattices of flats of $G(S)$ and $H(T)$. With the expedient of adjoining a point 0 to each point set $S$ and $T, \sigma$ determines a function $\bar{\sigma}$ from the point set $S \cup 0$ to the point set $T \cup 0$, with $\bar{\sigma}(0)=0 . \bar{\sigma}$ is said to extend to the srrong map $\sigma$. A function $\vec{\sigma}$ from $S \cup 0$ to $T \cup 0$ such that $\tilde{\sigma}(0)=0$ extends to a strong map $G(S)$ to $H(T)$ if and only if the inverse image of any flat of $H(T)$ is a flat of $G(S)$. When the identity extends to a strong map from $G(S)$ to $H(S), H$ is called a quotient of $G$ and $G$ is a lift. The category whose objects are pregeometries and morphisms are strong maps is valled $\mathscr{\mathscr { S }}$.
$S$ being a finite set, a real-valued function $f$ defined on the power set of $S$ is semi-modular if and only if:

$$
\forall A, \quad B \subset S, \quad f(A)+f(B) \geqslant f(A \cap B)+f(A \cup B) .
$$

Given an integer-valued. semi-modular, non decreasing function $f$ on $S$, the pregeometry defined by the following family of independent sets

$$
\left\{I: I \subset S, \forall I \subset I, I^{\prime} \neq \emptyset, \mid I^{\prime}\right\} \leqslant f\left(I^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}
$$

is called the geometrization of $f$ and denoted $G(f, S)$. The rank-function of $G(f, S)$ is $r$ :

$$
\forall T \subset S, \quad T \neq \emptyset, \quad r(T)=\inf _{A \subset r}\{f(A)+|T-A|\}
$$

Given an integer-valued, semi-modular, non decreasing, non negative function $f$ on $S$, the free expansion (or simply expansion in this paper) of $f$ is the pregeometry $E(f)$ defined (1) on the set $X=U_{a \in s} X_{a}$ where $\left|X_{a}\right|=f(a)$ (notation: $\forall A \subset S$, $X_{A}=U_{a \in A} X_{a}$ ), (2) by the following family of independent sets:

$$
\left\{I: I \subset X, \forall A \subset S, I \cap X_{A} \mid \leqslant f(A)\right\} .
$$

The rank function of $E(f)$ is

$$
\rho: \forall T \subset X, \rho(T)=\inf _{A \subset S}\left\{f(A)+!T-X_{A}\right.
$$

In particular $\forall A \subset S, \rho\left(X_{A}\right)=f(A) . s$ is the natural surjection of $X$ onto $S: \forall T \subset X$. $s(T)=\left\{a: a \in S, X_{a} \cap T \neq \emptyset\right\}$. The fundamentai relationship $[8]$ between $G(f, S)$ and $E(f)$ is that $G(f, S)$ is the subgeometry of $E(f)$ defined on a set $Y \subset X$ such that $\forall a \in S, Y \cap X_{a}=1$.

## Notation:

$S$ is a finite set,
$f$ is a semi-modular, integer-valued, non decreasing, non negative function, defined on $S$,
$G(f, S)$ is the geometrization of $f$,
$G(r, S)$ is the pregeometry on $S$ with rank-function $r$ $E(f)$ is the expansion of $f$, defined on the set $X=\mathcal{U}_{a c s} X_{u}$.
$\forall A \subset S, X_{A}=\bigcup_{a \in A} X_{a}$.
$\rho$ is the rank-function of $E(f)$, i.e. $E(f)=G(\rho, X)$,
$\forall T \subset X, s(T)=\left\{a: a \in S, X_{a} \cap T \neq \emptyset\right\}$,
$\bar{A}^{G}$ is the closure of $A$ in the pregeometry $G$ (or simply $\bar{A}$ if there is no ambiguity). $\mathscr{F}$ is the category of pregeometries and strong maps.

We will use the simplified set notation: $A \cup e, A-e$, etc. for $A \cup\{e\}, A-\{e\}$. etc. ...

## 3. A class of functors of $\mathscr{T}$ into itself

Given a pregeometry $G(r, S)$ and an integer $k \geqslant 1$, we define $E_{k}(G)$ to be the expansion of the function $k r$. The motivation in this section is first to study some properties of $E_{k}$. in particular to characterize the flats of $E_{k}(G)$, and finally whow that $E_{k}$ defines a functor of $\mathscr{Y}$ into itself.

The following general properties of the expansions of a semi-modular function will be needed.

Lemma 3.1. If $K$ is a circuit of $E(f)$, then $\rho(K)=f(s(K))$.

Proof. $K$ is dependent in $E(f): \exists A \subset S$ such that $\left|K \cap X_{A}\right|>f(A)$. On the other hand, $\forall x \in K, K-x$ is independent and $\left|(K-x) \cap X_{A}\right| \leqslant f(A)$. Thus necessarily, $K \subset X_{A}$ and $\left|X \cap X_{A}\right|=f(A)+1$. Now consider $s(K): K \subset X_{s(K)} \cdot s(K)$ being the smallest subset $T$ of $S$ such that $K \subset X_{T}$, we have $s(K) \subset A$. If $\left|K \cap X_{s(K)}\right|=|K| \leqslant$ $f(s(K))$ we would have $: K \mid \leq f(A)$ which is a contradiction. Thus $\left|K \cap X_{x(K)}\right|>$ $f(s(K))$ and by repeating the above argument used with $A$, we can show that $|K|=\left|K \cap X_{(K)}\right|=f(s(K))+1$.

Lemma 3.2. If $K$ is a circuit of $E(f)$, then for any $x \in K$, we have $X_{s(x)} \subset \bar{K}$.
Proof. Excluding the trivial case when $K=\{x\}$ is a loop, suppose that $X_{s(x)}=$ $\left.\left\{x_{1}, x_{i}, x_{3}, \ldots, x_{m}\right\} f(s(x))=m \geqslant 1\right)$ and that $\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{i}\right\} \subset K, 1 \leq i<m$; we want to show that $x_{1} \in \bar{K}$, for $j=i+1, \ldots, m$.

Consider $y \in K, y \notin X_{s(x)}$ and $x_{j}, i+1 \leqslant j \leqslant m$.
$K-y$ is independent in $E(f)$ whereas $(K-y) \cup x_{i}$ is dependent because

$$
\left[(K-y) \cup x_{t}\right] \cap X_{s(K)}=\left|K \cap X_{s(K)}\right|>f(s(K))
$$

Thus $x, \overline{K-y}=K$.

### 3.1. Circuits and cyclic fiats of $E_{k}(G)$

From tise general properties of the expansion of a semi-modular function, $E_{k}(G)$ is defined on a set $X=\bigcup_{a \in s} X_{a}$ where $\left|X_{a}\right|=k r(a)$, with the foliuwing family of idependent set $\left\{I: I \subset X, \forall A \subset S,\left|I \cap X_{A}\right| \leqslant \operatorname{kr}(A)\right\}$ and the rank function

$$
r_{k}: \forall T \subset X, r_{k}(T)=\inf _{A \subset s}\left\{k r(A)+\left|\eta-X_{A}\right|\right\} .
$$

The following results are casy to prove: a set $X_{A}$ is independent if and only if $A$ is independent, and $X_{A}$ is closed if and only if $A$ is closed.

In gencral, the circuits and flats of an expansion $E(f)$ have no neat characterization but in the case of $E_{k}(G)$ it turns out that we have the following:

Theorem 3.3. A subset $F$ of $X$ is a cyclic flat of $E_{k}(G)$ if and only if $s(F)$ is a cyclic flat of $G$ and $F=X_{\text {s }}(F)$.

In order to prove Theorem 3.3 we need the following intermediary results:
Proposition 3.4. If $C$ is a circuit of $G$, any subset $K \subset X_{C}$ such that $|K|=k r(C)+1$ is a circuit of $E_{k}(G)$.

Proof. As $E_{k}(G)$ is the expansion of $k r$, we have $r_{k}\left(X_{C}\right)=k r(C)$ and any subset $K=X_{c}, K=k r(C)+1$ is dependent. To prove Proposition 3.4, we have to show that any subset $T \subset X_{C},|T|=k r(C)$ is independent in $E_{k}(G)$. Let $T \subset X_{c}$ and $T=k r(C) . \forall A \subset S,\left|T \cap X_{A}\right|=\left|T \cap X_{A \cap C}\right|$. If $C \cap A \neq C$, then $C \cap A$ is inde-
pendent in $G$ and

$$
\left|T \cap X_{A \cap C}\right| \leqslant\left|X_{A \cap C}\right| \leqslant k|A \cap C|=k r(A \cap C) \leqslant k r(A) .
$$

If $C \cap A=C$ then

$$
\left|T \cap X_{A \cap C}\right|=\left|T \cap X_{C}\right|=|T|=k r(C)=k r(A \cap C) \leqslant k r(A) .
$$

In all cases we have $\left|T \cap X_{A}\right| \leqslant k r(A)$ and thus $T$ is independent in $E_{k}(G)$.
Lemma 3.5. If $K$ is a circuit of $E_{k}(G), \forall x \in K, s(x)$ is not a relative isthmus of $s(K)$.

As a consequence of Lemma 3.5, we have:
Proposition 3.6. The natural surjection extends to a strong map from $E_{k}(G)$ to $G$.
Proposition 3.7. If $K$ is a circuit of $E_{k}(G)$, then

$$
\bar{K}=X_{\bar{y}(\bar{K})} .
$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.2 we know that $X_{\{(\mathcal{K}\}} \subset \bar{K}$.
Let $a \in S, a \in \overline{s(K)}$ : there is a circuit $C$ in $G$ such that $C-a \in s(K)$ and $a \in C$. By Proposition 3.4, $\forall T C X_{C},|T|=k r(C)+1, T$ is a circuit of $E_{k}(G)$; then ior any element $a_{1} \in X_{a}, L=X_{C-a} \cup a_{1}$ is a circuit of $E_{k}(G)$,

$$
X_{C-a} \subset X_{\mathbf{* K})} \Rightarrow \bar{X}_{c, a} \subset \overline{X_{s(K)}} \subset \bar{K} .
$$

By Lemma 3.2,

$$
X_{a} \subset \bar{L}=\bar{X}_{c-a} \Rightarrow X_{a} \subset \bar{K} .
$$

Thus $\forall a \in \overline{s(\bar{K})}, X_{a} \subset \bar{K} \Rightarrow X_{\overline{(K)}} \subset \bar{K}$.
Conversely, let $x \in \bar{K}:$ there is a circuit $L$ of $E_{k}(G)$ such that $L-x \subset K, x \in L$.
By Lemma 3.5, $s(x)$ is not an isthmus of $s(L)$ : there exists a circuit $C$ containing $s(x)$ and $C \subset s(L)$, but

$$
L-x \subset K \Rightarrow s(L)-s(x) \subset s(K)-s(x)
$$

and

$$
\bar{C}=\overline{C-s(x)} \Rightarrow s(x) \in \overline{C-s(x)}=\bar{C} \subset \overline{s(L)} \subset \overline{s(K)} \Rightarrow x \in X_{\cdots, 1} \subset X_{\cdots,(1} .
$$

Finally $X_{\bar{s}(\bar{K})}=\overline{\boldsymbol{K}}$.
A description of all cyclic flats of $E_{k}(G)$ is $a^{\text {: }}$ hand. Let $F$ be a cyclic flat of $E_{k}(G): F$ is the union of closures of circuits contained in $F$, say $F=\bigcup_{i=1}^{\prime \prime} \bar{K}_{\text {, }}^{\prime}$ where each $K_{i}$ is a circuit of $E_{k}(G)$ :
$X_{u * F}$ is a flat in $E_{k} \Rightarrow s(F)$ is a flat in $G . \forall x \in S, x \in \bigcup_{i=1}^{m} s\left(K_{i}\right)$, by Lemma 3.5, $x$ is not an isthmus of $\bigcup_{i=1}^{m} s\left(K_{i}\right)$ and thus $s(F)$ does not contain any isthmus. $s(F)$ is a cyclic flat of $G$.

Conversely, let i! be a cyclic hat of $G$, say $H=\bigcup_{i=1}^{p} \bar{C}_{\text {, }}$ where $C$, is a circuit of $G, i=1, \ldots, p . X_{i}$, is a cyclic flat in $E_{k}(G)$ because, by Proposition 3.4, there is a circuit $K_{i}$ of $E_{k}(G)$ sush that $s\left(K_{i}\right)=C_{i}$ and then

$$
\bar{K}_{1}=X_{\overline{(1)}} \overline{\left.K_{1}\right)}=X_{\varepsilon_{1}}
$$

$H$ being a flat in $G, X_{H}$ is a flat in $E_{k}(G)$, as

$$
X_{i_{1}}=X_{U_{i, i}^{p} c_{i}^{a}}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{p} X_{C^{c}}=\bigcup_{i=1}^{p} \bar{K}_{i}^{E_{k}},
$$

$X_{1 \prime}$ is a cyclic flat of $E_{k}$. Theorem 3.3 is thus proved

### 3.2. Properies of the functor $E_{k}$

The transformation $E_{k}$ defined above induces an action on morphisms of $\mathscr{S}$ as follows. Given a strong map $\sigma$ from $G_{1}\left(r_{1}, S_{1}\right)$ to $G_{2}\left(r_{2}, S_{2}\right)$, with the classical convention of adding a point 0 to $S_{1}$ and $S_{2}$, the map $\sigma$ induces a function of the set $S_{1} \cup \cup_{1} S_{2} \cup 0$ (by definition $\sigma(0)=0$ and $\left.0 \in \bar{b}\right)$. $E_{k}\left(G_{i}\right)$ is a geometry on a set $X_{1}$, $E_{k}\left(G_{2}\right)$ is defined on $X_{2}$ and we consider the following function $\sigma_{k}$ from $X_{1} \cup 0$ to $\boldsymbol{X}$, Ul:
(i) ior any $a \in S$, $X_{a}$ has either no element if $r_{i}(a)=0$ or $k$ elements if f. $(A)=1$, in the latter case we can write $X_{u}=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{k}\right\}$;
(ii) for any element $a$ of $S_{i}$, if $\sigma(a)$ is 0 we set $\sigma_{k}\left(a_{i}\right)=0, \forall a_{i} \in X_{a}$ and if $\sigma(a)=\alpha \in S_{2}$, we set $\sigma_{k}\left(a_{i}\right)=\alpha_{i}, \forall a_{i} \in X_{a}$, where $X_{\alpha}=\left\{\alpha_{0}, \alpha_{2}, \alpha_{3}, \ldots, \alpha_{k}\right\}$.
We will say that $\sigma$ induces the function $\sigma_{k}$ from $X_{1} \cup 0$ to $X_{2} \cup 0$. For $E_{k}$ to be a functor, among other requirements, we need to prove that the function $\sigma_{k}$ extends to a strong map from $E_{k}\left(G_{1}\right)$ to $E_{k}\left(G_{2}\right)$, i.e. that we have the following:

Theorem 3.8. $\sigma$ being a strong map from $G_{1}\left(r_{1}, S_{3}\right)$ to $G_{2}\left(r_{2}, S_{2}\right)$, the point map $\sigma_{k}$ induced by $\sigma$ exiends to a strong map $E_{k}(\sigma)$ from $E_{k}\left(G_{1}\right)$ to $E_{k}\left(G_{2}\right)$.
We will first consider 2 special cases: first when $\sigma$ is an embedding and then when $\sigma$ is a single poine contraction.

Proposition :.9. If $G_{1}\left(r_{1} S_{1}\right)$ is a subgeometry of $G_{2}\left(r_{2}, S_{2}\right), E_{k}\left(G_{1}\right)$ is a subgeometry of $E_{i}\left(G_{)}\right)$

Proposition 3.10. If $G_{2}\left(r_{2}, S_{2}\right)$ is the contraction of $G_{1}\left(r_{1}, S_{1}\right)$ by a point $e \in S_{1}$, then $E_{k}\left(G_{z}\right)$ is the contraction of $E_{k}\left(G_{i}\right)$ by the set $X_{c}$.

Proof. We will suppose that $e$ is not a loop of $G$.
As $\xi_{2}=S_{1}-e$, the geometry $E_{k}\left(G_{2}\right)$ is defined on the set $X_{2}=X_{1}-X_{e}$ : let $\sigma_{k}$ be
the map induced by the contraction $G_{1} \rightarrow G_{1} / e=G_{2}$ from $X_{1} \cup 0$ to $X_{2} \cup 0$ : $\sigma_{k}\left(X_{e}\right)=0$.

Let $F$ be a flat of $E_{k}\left(G_{2}\right)$. We want to shcw that $\sigma_{k}^{-1}(F)=F \cup X$ is a flat of $E_{k}\left(G_{1}\right)$. If it is not the case, ther exist an $x \in X$ and a circuit $K$ of $E_{k}\left(G_{1}\right)$. $K-x \subset F \cup X$, with $x \in K, x \notin F \cup X$.
$x \in K \Rightarrow s(x)$ is not a relative isthmus in $s(K)$ (Lemma 3.5). In the contraction by $e, s(x)$ does not become a relative isthmus of $s(K)-e$ in $G_{1} / e$ so there is a circuit $L$ of $G_{1} / e$ such that $s(x) \in L, L \subset s(K)-e \subset s(F) . L \subset s(F)$ and $F$ being a flat of $E_{\mathrm{k}}\left(G_{2}\right)$ we have by Lemma 3.2 and Theorem 3.3.

$$
x \in X_{s(x)} \subset \bar{X}_{\mathrm{E}_{k}}^{\mathrm{E}_{1}\left(G_{y}\right)} \subset F
$$

which is a contradiction.
Thus $F \cup X_{c}$ is a flat of $E_{k}\left(G_{1}\right)$ and consequently $F$ is a flat of $E_{k}\left(G_{1}\right) / X_{c}: E_{k}\left(G_{2}\right)$ is thus a quotient of $E_{k}\left(G_{1}\right) / X_{e}$. Furthermore, it is clear that $E_{k}\left(G_{2}\right)$ and $E_{k}\left(G_{1}\right) / X$. are geometries with the same rank so finally, $E_{k}\left(G_{2}\right)=E_{k}\left(G_{1}\right) / X_{r}$.

In order to prove Theorem 3.8, we will invoke the fundamental result of Higgs [7] stating that any strong map can be decomposed into a surjection followed by an injection: if $\sigma$ is a strong map from $G_{1}$ to $G_{2}$, then $\sigma=i$ os where

$$
\underbrace{G_{1} \rightarrow G^{\prime} Q=\sigma\left(G_{1}\right) \xrightarrow{\prime}_{\rightarrow}^{\sigma} G_{2}}_{\sigma}
$$

$s$ is a surjection of $G_{1}$ into a quotient $Q$ of $G_{1}$ and $i$ is an embedding of $\sigma\left(G_{1}\right)$ (isomorphic to $Q$ ) into $G_{2}\left(\sigma\left(G_{1}\right)\right.$ is a sybgeometry of $G_{2}$.)

Furthermore, the surjection $G_{1} \stackrel{\leq}{\rightarrow} O$ can be decomposed into a sequence of elementary quotients:

$$
G_{1} \xrightarrow{s_{1}} Q_{1} \xrightarrow{s_{2}} Q_{2} \longrightarrow \cdots \xrightarrow{s_{k}} Q_{k}=Q .
$$

Any elementary quotient is decomposed further as an embedding into a single-element extension followed by the contraction by that element.

Any strong map is thus decomposed into the products of single-element contractions and extensions. We know that Theor 2 m 3.8 holds for those elementary strong maps. It is easy to see that Theorem 38 still holds when we compose elementary strong maps (this is a direct consequence of rule for composing strong maps) and thus Theorem 3.8 holds in general.

Let us consider the map $F$ of $\mathscr{S}$ into itself defined as follows:
(i) for any pregeometry $G(r, S), F(G)=E_{k}(G)$;
(ii) for any morphism $\sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(G, G^{\prime}\right), F(\sigma)=E_{k}(\sigma) \in \operatorname{Hom}\left[E_{k}(G) . E_{k}\left(G^{\prime}\right)\right]$.

Theorem 3.11. Fis a faithful functor of $\mathscr{P}$ into itself.
Proof. $F$ is a functor because
(a) if $i_{G}$ is the identity of $G(r, S)$, clearly

$$
F\left(i_{G}\right)=E_{k}\left(i_{G}\right)=i_{E_{k}}(G)
$$

(b) if a morphism $\sigma$ is the composition $\sigma=\sigma_{1}{ }^{\circ} \sigma_{2}$ then

$$
F(\sigma)=F\left(\sigma_{1} \circ \sigma_{2}\right)=E_{k}\left(\sigma_{1} \circ \sigma_{2}\right)=E_{k}\left(\sigma_{1}\right) \circ E_{k}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)=F\left(\sigma_{1}\right) \circ F\left(\sigma_{2}\right)
$$

$F$ is faithful because

$$
F(\sigma)=F\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) \Longleftrightarrow E_{k}(\sigma)=E_{k}\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right) \Longleftrightarrow \sigma_{k}=\sigma_{k}^{\prime} \Longleftrightarrow \sigma=\sigma^{\prime}
$$

In the following we will investigate some further properties of $E_{k}$. $A$ remarkable result is the following:

Theorem 3.12. If $G^{*}\left(r^{*}, S\right)$ is the dual of a given pregeometry $G(r, S)$ which has no isthmuses or loops, then $E_{k}\left(G^{*}\right)$ is ihe dual of $E_{k}(G)$.

Proof. We want to show that the following diagram is commutative:


First we note that $E_{k}(G)$ and $E_{k}\left(G^{*}\right)$ are defined on the same set $X,|X|=k|S|$ (because $\left.\forall a \in S, r(a)=r^{*}(a)=1\right)$.

The system of bases of $E_{k}(G)$ is

$$
\mathscr{B}=\left\{B: B \subset X,|B|=k r(S), \forall A \subset S,\left|B \cap X_{A}\right| \leqslant k r(A)\right\}
$$

and the family of bases of $E_{k}\left(C^{*}\right)$ is

$$
B^{*}=\left\{B^{*}: B^{*} \subset X,\left|B^{*}\right|=k r^{*}(S), \forall A \subset S,\left|B \cap X_{A}\right| \leq k r^{*}(A)\right\} .
$$

Call $A^{\prime}=\left\{B^{\prime}: B^{\prime}=X-B, B \in S\right\}$. We want to show that $\mathscr{B}^{\prime}=\mathscr{S}^{*}$.
$\forall B^{\prime} \in \mathcal{B}^{\prime}, \forall A \subset S$,
let $A^{\prime}=S-A$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
B^{\prime} \cap X_{A} \mid & =\left|(X-B) \cap\left(X-X_{A}\right)\right|=\left|X-\left(X_{A} \cup \cup B\right)\right| \\
& =|X|-\left|X_{A} \cdot \cup B\right|=|X|-\left|X_{A} \cdot\right|-|B|+\left|X_{A} \cup B\right|
\end{aligned}
$$

luat $B \in \mathscr{B} \Rightarrow\left|X_{A} \cdot \cup B\right| \leqslant \operatorname{kr}\left(A^{\prime}\right)$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
B^{\prime} \cap X_{n} \mid & \leqslant k|S|-k\left|A^{\prime}\right|-k r(S)+k r\left(A^{\prime}\right) \\
& \leqslant k n(S)-k n\left(A^{\prime}\right)(n=\text { nullity function }) \\
& \leqslant k n(S)-k n(S-A)=k r^{*}(A)
\end{aligned}
$$

thes $B^{\prime} \in \mathscr{B}^{*} \Rightarrow \mathscr{B}^{\prime} \subset \mathscr{B}^{*}$.

If we now call $\mathscr{B}^{* \prime}=\left\{B^{*^{\prime}}: B^{* \prime}=X-B^{*}, B^{*} \in \mathscr{B}{ }^{*}\right\}$ because of reciprocity of $G$ and $G^{*}$, we would have

$$
\mathscr{B}^{*} \subset \mathscr{B} \Rightarrow \mathscr{B}^{*} \subset \mathscr{B}^{\prime},
$$

finally

$$
\mathscr{B}^{\prime}=\mathscr{B}^{*} .
$$

We give without proofs some other interesting properties of $E_{\chi}$ :
Theorem 3.13. TG being the truncation of a gives pregeametry $G(r, S), E_{k}(T G)$ is the $k$-truncation of $E_{k}(G)$ (i.e., obtained by $k$ successive truncations of $E_{k}(G)$ ).

Corollary 3.14. If $G$ is an erection of $G^{\prime}, E_{k}(G)$ is an erection of $E_{k}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.
Theorem 3.15. If $G=G_{1} \oplus G_{2}$ then $E_{k}(G)=E_{k}(G \cdot) \oplus E_{k}\left(G_{3}\right)$.

### 3.3. Propertias of the functor $G_{k}$

Given a pregeometry $G(r, S)$, for any integer $k \geqslant 1$, we consider the geometrization of $k r, G(k r, S)$, which we will write $G_{k}(G)$.
$G_{k}(G)$ is a subgeometry of $E_{k}(G)$ and expectedly, we will be able to derive some properties of $G_{k}$ which are similar to those of $E_{k}$, and prove the initial conjecture. As a consequence of Proposition 3.6 we have

Proposition 3.16. $G$ is a quotient of $G_{k}(G)$.
Theorem 3.17. Let $\sigma$ be a strong map from $G_{1}\left(r_{1}, S_{1}\right)$ to $G_{2}\left(r_{2}, S_{2}\right)$, then $\sigma$ induces a function from $S_{1} \cup 0$ to $S_{2} \cup 0$ which extends to a strong map $G_{k}(\sigma)$ from $G_{k}\left(G_{1}\right)$ to $G_{k}\left(G_{2}\right)$.

As for Theorem 3.8, the proof of Theorem 3.17 reduces to showing that it holds. in the cases when $\sigma$ is an embedding and when $\sigma$ is a single-element contraction.

Proposition 3.18. Let $G_{1}\left(r_{1}, S_{1}\right)$ be a subgeometry of $G_{2}\left(r_{2}, S_{2}\right)$, then $G_{k}\left(G_{1}\right)$ is a subgeometry of $G_{k}\left(G_{2}\right)$.

Proposition 3.19. Let $G_{2}\left(r_{2}, S_{2}\right)$ be the contraction of $G_{1}\left(r_{1}, S_{1}\right)$ by a point $e \in S$ : the function induced by the contraction from $S_{1} \cup 0$ to $S_{2} \cup 0$ extends to a strong map from $G_{k}\left(G_{1}\right)$ to $G_{k}\left(G_{2}\right)$.

Proof. To prove the proposition directly is not easy. We will use the fact that $G_{k}(G)$ is a subgeometry of $E_{k}(G)$ by the means of the following lemma:

Lemma 3.20. Given a pregeometry $H(S)$ and a subgeometry $H(T)$ of $H(S)$, TCS. let $H(S) / A$ be the contraction of $H(S)$ by the set $A \subset S$ and $H^{\prime}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ be the
sulbgeometry of $H(S) / A$ defined on the set $T^{\prime}=T \cap(S-A)=T-A$. Then the contraction $H(S) \rightarrow H(S) / A$ induces a function from $T \cup 0$ to $T^{\prime} \cup 0$ which extends to a strong map from $H(T)$ to $H^{\prime}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. We have to show that given any flat $F$ of $H(T)$, the set $F \cup(A \cap T)$ is a flat of $H(T)$.

As $H^{\prime}\left(T^{\prime}\right)$ is a subgeometry of $H(S) / A$, there is a tlat $F^{\prime}$ of $H(S) / A$ such that $F \subset F^{\prime}$ and $F^{\prime} \cap Y^{\prime}=F$. The. $F^{\prime} \cup A$ is a flat in $H(S)$ and $\left(F^{\prime} \cup A\right) \cap T$ is a flat of $H(T)$. The proof is completed by noting that:

$$
\left(F^{\prime} \cup A\right) \cap T=\left(F^{\prime} \cap T\right) \cup(A \cap T)=F \cup(A \cap T)
$$

We can now prove Proposition 3.19: let us consider $E_{k}\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $E_{k}\left(G_{2}\right)$; by Proposition 3.10, $E_{k}\left(G_{2}\right)$ is the contraction of $E_{k}\left(G_{1}\right)$ by the set $X_{e} . G_{k}\left(G_{1}\right)$ is the subgeometry of $E_{k}\left(G_{1}\right)$ defined on the set $Y_{1} \subset X_{1}\left(\forall a \in S,\left|Y_{1} \cap X_{u}\right|=1\right)$, and $G_{k}\left(G_{2}\right)$ is the subgeometry of $E_{k}\left(G_{z}\right)$ defined on $Y_{2}=Y_{1}-Y_{e}$. By the above lemma, the function of $Y_{1} \cup 0$ to $Y_{2} \cup 0$ induced by the contraction by $X_{c}$, extends io a strong map of $G_{k}(G)$ to $G_{k}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$.

Theorem 3.17 is thus proved: to any strong map $\sigma$ from $G_{1}\left(r_{1}\right)$ to $G_{2}\left(r_{2}\right)$ is associated a strong map $G_{k}(\sigma)$ from $G_{k}\left(G_{4}\right)$ to $G_{k}\left(G_{2}\right)$. The following diagram is commutative in $\mathscr{F}$ :

id is the strong map induced by the identity on the ground set.
As for $E_{k}$, the functor $F^{\prime}$ defined by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \forall \text { pregeometry } G(r, S), F^{\prime}(G)=G_{k}(G) \\
& \forall \sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(G, G^{\prime}\right), F^{\prime}(\sigma)=G_{k}(\sigma)
\end{aligned}
$$

is fathful.
$G_{n}(G)$ being a subgeometry of $E_{k}(G)$, the following results hold:
(i) if $G=G_{1} \oplus G_{2}$ then $G_{k}(G)=G_{k}\left(G_{4}\right) \oplus G_{k}\left(G_{2}\right)$;
(ii) if $G^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)$ is the truncation of $G(r, S)$, then $G_{k}\left(G^{\prime}\right)$ is obtained from $G_{k}(G)$ by a sequence of truncations.

If we consider duality, with evident notations, we have the following commutative diagram:


The whole picture is clear if we consider $E_{k}(G)$ and its dual $\left[E_{n}(G)\right]^{*}=$ $E_{k}\left(G^{*}\right):\left[G_{k}(G)\right]^{*}$ and $G_{k}\left(G^{*}\right)$ can be considered as defined on a same set. [ $\left.G_{k}(G)\right]^{*}$ being a contraction of $E_{k}\left(G^{*}\right)$ whereas $G_{k}\left(G^{*}\right)$ is a subgeometry of $E_{k}\left(G^{*}\right)$.

So far , most of the results we have derived for $G_{k}(G)$ are consequences of properties of $E_{k}(G)$. The following result is particular to $G_{k}$.

Theorem 3.21. For any pregeometry $G(r, S)$, and any two integers $k \geqslant k^{\prime} \geqslant 1$, $G_{k}(G)$ is a quotient of $G_{k}(G)$.

Proof. We need the two following lemmas. We call $r_{k}$ and $r_{k}$, the rank-functions of $G_{k}(G)$ and $G_{k}(G)$ and we set $k=k^{\prime}+d, d \geqslant 0$.

Lemma 3.22. If $K$ is a circuit of $G_{k}(G)$ then $r_{k}(K)=k^{\prime} r(K)$.

Prowi. $K$ being a circuit of $G_{k}(G),|K|=k r(K)+1$ and $r_{k}(K)=\{K ; 1=k r(K)$. Another way to write $r_{k}(K)$ is

$$
r_{k}(K)=\inf _{C \subset K}\{k r(A)+|K-A|\}
$$

Consider $K$ in $G_{k}(G):$

$$
\begin{aligned}
r_{k}(K) & =\inf _{A \subset K}\left\{k^{\prime} r(A)+|K-A|\right\}=\inf _{A \subset K}\{k r(A)-\operatorname{dr}(A)+\mid K-A\} \\
& \geqslant \inf _{A \subset K}\{k r(A)+|K-A|\}-\operatorname{dr}(K)=r_{k}(K)-\operatorname{dr}(K) \\
& =k r(K)-d r(K)=k^{\prime} r(K)
\end{aligned}
$$

thus $r_{k}(K) \geqslant k^{\prime} r(K)$.
On the other hand, letting $A=K$ in the formula, we have $r_{k}(K) \leqslant k^{\prime} r(K)$ so finaliy $r_{k}(K)=k^{\prime} r(K)$.

Lemma 3.23. $K$ being a circuit of $G_{k}(G), K$ has no relative isthmus in $G_{k}(G)$.
Proof. If it is not the case, there is a point $x \in X$ such that

$$
r_{k}(K)=r_{k}(K-x)+1
$$

so

$$
r_{k}(K-x)=\inf _{A \subset K-x}\left\{k^{\prime} r(A)+|(K-x)-A|\right\}
$$

Suppose the inf is attained for a set $B \subset K-x$ :

$$
k^{\prime} r(B)+|(K-x)-B|=r_{k}(K-x)=r_{k}(K)-1=k^{\prime} r(K)-1
$$

titen by adding $d r(B)$ to both sides of the equality

$$
k^{\prime} r(K)+d r(B)-1=k r(B)+|(K-x)-B|
$$

so

$$
k r(B)+|(K-x)-B|<k^{\prime} r(K)+d r(B) \leqslant k^{\prime} r(K)+d r(K)=k r(K)
$$

which is a contradiction as

$$
k r(K)=r_{k}(K)=r_{k}(K-x)=\inf _{A \subset K-x}\{k r(A)+|(K-x)-A|\} .
$$

The proof of Theorem 3.21 is now straightforward. Let $F$ be a flat of $G_{k}(G)$ : we have to show that $F$ is $\boldsymbol{a}$ flat of $G_{k}(G)$; if it is not the case, there is a cirsuit $K$ of $G_{k}(G)$ and a point $x \in K$ such that $x \notin F$ and $K-x \subset F$. But now,

$$
r_{k}(K)=r_{k}(K-x) \Rightarrow x \in \overline{K-\lambda^{( }{ }_{k}(\sigma)} \subset F
$$

which is a contradiction. Thus $F$ is a flat of $G_{k}(G)$.
Corollary 3.24. For any loopless pregeometry $G(r, S)$ and two integers $k \leqslant k^{\prime} \leqslant 1$, $G_{k}(G)=G_{k}(G)$ if and only if $G_{k} \cdot(G)$ is a Boolean algebra.

Theorem 3.25. Let $\sigma$ be a strong map between $G_{1}\left(r_{1}, S_{1}\right)$ and $G_{2}\left(r_{2}, S_{2}\right)$, then for any $t w o$ integers $k \leq k^{\prime} \leqslant 1$, the following diagram is commutative in $\mathscr{S}_{s}$ :


The quotient $\operatorname{map} G_{k}(G) \rightarrow G_{k}(G)$ is a natural transformation between the functors associated with $G_{k}$ and $G_{k^{\prime}}$.

Proof. The proof is immediate by checking the composition of the respective induced functions.

## 4. A generalization

In the case of $k=2$, given a pregeometry $G(r, S), E_{2}(G)$ is the expansion of the function $r+r$ : a generalization which is interesting to inivestigate is to consider the expansion of $r+r^{\prime}$ where $r^{\prime}$ is the rank-function of some pregeometry $\mathcal{G}^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}, S\right)$ (deined on the same ground set as $G$ ) associated to $G$. We will use the notation
$E\left(G+G^{\prime}\right)$ to denote the exparsion of $r+r^{\prime}$. More precisely, we will prove the following:

Theorem 4.1. If $\alpha$ is a functor of $\mathscr{P}$ into itself, the image of a given pregeometry $G(r, S)$ being $\alpha(G)=G^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}, S\right)$ the transformation associating to $G(r, S)$ the expansion $E\left(G+G^{\prime}\right)$ of the function $r+r^{\prime}$ is a functor of $\mathscr{P}$ into itself.

Theorem 4.1 will be proved as a consequence of the following 3 results.
Proposition 4.2. Given 2 pregeometries $G_{1}\left(r_{1}, S\right)$ and $G_{2}\left(r_{2}, S\right)$, if $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are the respective subgeometries defined on the same set $S-A, E\left(H_{1}+H_{3}\right)$ is a subgeometry of $E\left(G_{1}+G_{i}\right)$

Proposition 4.3. Given 2 pregeometries $G_{1}\left(r_{1}, S\right)$ and $G_{2}\left(r_{2}, S\right)$, e being an is>hmus of $G_{2}$, if $G_{1}^{\prime}\left(r_{1}^{\prime}, S-\varepsilon\right)$ is the contraction $G_{1} / e$ of $G_{1}$ by $e$ and $G_{2}^{\prime}\left(r_{2}^{\prime}, S-e\right)$ is the subgeometry of $G_{z}$ on $S-e$, then $E\left(G_{1}^{\prime}+G_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ is the contraction of $\left.E_{i} G_{1}+G_{2}\right)$ by the set $X_{\text {e }}$.

Proof. Let $E_{1}=E\left(G_{1}+G_{2}\right), E_{1}^{\prime}=E_{1} / X_{e}$ and $E_{2}=E\left(G_{i}^{\prime}+G_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. We will prove that $E_{1}^{\prime}$ is equal to $E_{2}$ by showing that $E_{1}^{\prime}$ and $E_{2}$ are defined by the samc family of independent sets. We will exclude the trivial case when $e$ is a loop of $G_{1}$. If $X$ is the ground set of $E_{i}$, both $E_{i}$ and $E_{2}$ are defined on $X-X_{r}$. Consider an independent set $I \subseteq X-X_{e}$ of $E_{1}^{\prime}$ and suppose that it is dependent in $E_{2}$.
$\exists A \subset S-e$ such that

$$
\left|I \cap X_{A}\right|>r_{1}^{\prime}(A)+r_{2}^{\prime}(A) \geqslant r_{1}(A)-1+r_{2}(A) .
$$

On the other hand $I$ independent in $E_{i}^{\prime}$ is also independent in $E_{1}$ and

$$
\left|I \cap X_{A}\right| \leqslant r_{1}(A)+r_{2}(A) .
$$

Combining the 2 inequalities, we get $\left|\hat{\cap} \cap \dot{X}_{A}\right|=r_{i}(A)+r_{2}(A)$ and also $r_{i}^{\prime}(A)=$ $r_{1}(A)-1$, i.e. $e \in \bar{A}^{G_{1}}$ or $r_{1}(A \cup e)=r_{1}(A)$.

Let $\rho_{1}$ and $\rho_{1}^{\prime}$ be the rank functions of $E_{1}$ and $E_{1}^{\prime}$ respectively:

$$
\forall T \subset X-X_{e}, \quad \rho_{1}^{\prime}(T)=\rho_{1}\left(T \cup X_{i}\right)-\rho_{1}\left(X_{e}\right)=\rho_{1}\left(T \cup X_{e}\right)-2 .
$$

We have

$$
\rho_{1}\left(X_{A}\right)=r_{1}(A)+r_{2}(A)=\left|I \cap X_{A}\right|=\rho_{1}\left(I \cap X_{A}\right)
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\rho_{i}^{\prime}\left(I \cap X_{A}\right) & =\rho_{1}\left[\left(I \cap X_{A}\right) \cup X_{c}\right]-2=\rho_{1}\left(X_{A} \cup X_{e}\right)-2 \\
& =\rho_{1}\left(X_{A \cup r}\right)-2=r_{1}(A \cup e)+r_{2}(A \cup e)-2 \\
& =r_{1}(A)+\left(r_{2}(A)+1\right)-2=\left|I \cap X_{A}\right|-1,
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction because $I$ is independent in $E_{i}^{\prime}$ and we must have $\rho_{1}\left(I \cap X_{A}\right)=\left|I \cap X_{A}\right|$. Thus $I$ is also independent in $E_{2}$.

Conversely suppose; that a set $I \subset X_{i}-X_{e}$ is independent in $E_{2}$ and dependent in $E ;$
$I$ is then independent in $E$, because

$$
\begin{aligned}
\forall A \subset S,\left|I \cap X_{A}\right|=\left|I \cap X_{A-c}\right| & \leqslant r_{1}^{\prime}(A-e)+r_{2}^{\prime}(A-e) \\
& \leqslant r_{1}(A)+r_{2}(A) .
\end{aligned}
$$

So for I to be dependent in $E^{\prime}$ we must have

$$
X_{e} \cap \bar{I}^{\mathbb{E}} \neq \emptyset
$$

or: $\exists K$, circuit of $E_{1}, K-X_{e} \subset 1, X_{e} \cap K \neq \emptyset$. As $X_{e}=\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$, w.l.o.g. suppose that $c_{1} \in K$. Then

$$
\left|\left(I \cup e_{1}\right) \cap X_{4(k)}\right| \geqslant|K|-1=r_{1}(s(K))+r_{2}\left(s\left(K^{K}\right)\right) \text { by Lemma } 3.1
$$

and akso

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left|\left(I \cup e_{1}\right) \cap X_{s}(K)\right|=\left|I \cap X_{s(K)}\right|+1=\left|I \cap X_{s(K)}\right|+1 \\
& \leqslant r_{1}^{\prime}(s(K)-e)+r_{2}^{\prime}(s(K)-e)+1 \\
& \quad\left(\text { because } I \text { is independent in } E_{2}\right) \\
&=\left(r_{1}(s(K))-1\right)+\left(r_{2}(s(K))-1\right)+1 \\
&=r_{1}(s(K))+r_{2}(s(K))-1,
\end{aligned}
$$

which is a contradiction and thus $I$ is also independent in $E_{i}^{\prime}$.
Propositions 4.2 and 4.3 are special cases of the following situation: 2 strong maps are given $\sigma_{1}: G_{1}\left(r_{1}, S\right) \rightarrow G_{1}^{\prime}\left(r_{1}^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right), \sigma_{2}: G_{2}\left(r_{2}, S\right) \rightarrow G_{2}^{\prime}\left(r_{2}^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)$ and they induce a point map $u$ from $X \cup 0$ to $X^{\prime} \cup 0$ where $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ are the ground sets of $E\left(G_{1}+G_{2}\right)$ and $E\left(G_{1}^{\prime}+G_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ respectively.

For a puint $a$ of $S, X_{a}$ may have 0,1 or 2 elements as $\left|X_{a}\right|=r_{1}(a)+r_{2}(a)$. By convention we will write $X_{a}=\left\{a_{1}, a_{2}\right\}$ with $a_{i} 7^{t} 0$ (i.e. $a_{i}$ is a proper point of $X$ ) if and only if $r(a)=1$. The same notation being used for $X$, $u$ is titen deffned as follows: $\forall a \in S$, if $\sigma_{i}(a)=\alpha$ then $u\left(a_{t}\right)=\alpha_{1}$.

Propositions 4.2 and 4.3 say that in the special cases considered, $u$ extends to a strong map from $E\left(G_{5}+G_{2}\right)$ to $E\left(G_{1}^{\prime}+G_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. More generally, we have

Proposition 4.4. Any 2 strong maps $\sigma_{1}: G_{1}\left(r_{1}, S\right) \rightarrow G_{1}^{\prime}\left(r_{1}^{\prime}, S\right)$ and $\sigma_{2}: G_{i}\left(r_{2}, S\right) \rightarrow G^{\prime}\left(r_{2}^{\prime}, S^{\prime}\right)$ induce a strong map from $E\left(G_{1}+G_{2}\right)$ to $E\left(G_{1}^{\prime}+G_{2}^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. Using Higgs' deromposition, we can write:

$$
\sigma_{1}=i_{1} \circ g_{m} \circ g_{m, 1} \circ \cdots g_{2} \circ g_{1}
$$

where $g=g_{m} \cdots \sigma_{1}$ is the product of eiementary quotients tringing $G$, onto a quotient $Q=\sigma_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)$ and $i_{1}$ is an embedding of $\sigma_{1}\left(G_{1}\right)$ into $G_{i}:$

$$
Q_{1} \rightarrow Q_{i} \rightarrow Q_{2} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow Q_{n-1} \rightarrow Q=\sigma_{1}\left(G_{1}\right) \rightarrow G_{i} G_{i}
$$

We claim that the following diagram is commutative:

where $u_{1}$ is the map induced by $g_{1}$ and the identity of $G_{2}$.
$g_{1}$, being an elementary quotient, is the composition of a single-element extension, say by a point $e$, giving the pregeometry $\hat{G}_{i}$ defined on $S$ Ue, followed by the contraction by e giving $\hat{G}_{1} / e=\hat{Q}_{1}$. Let $\hat{G}_{2}$ be the single-element extension of $G$, with $e$ being an isthmus; the following diagram is commutative as a consequence of Propositions 4.2 and 4.3:

proving our claim.
By repeating the argument, we can show that the following diagram is also commutative

which is equivalent to the diagram:


Similarly, we have $\sigma_{2}=i_{2}{ }^{\circ} p$ where $p\left(G_{2}\right)$ is a quotient $R$ of $G_{2}$ and $i_{2}$ is an embedding of $R=\sigma_{2}\left(G_{2}\right)$ into $G_{2}^{\prime}$. The following diagram is commuative:


Finally, Proposition 4.4 is proved by showing that the following diagram is commutative

which is a consequence of Proposition 4.2.
As a consequence of Proposition 4.4 given a functor $\alpha$ of $\mathscr{F}$ into itself, the following transformation $T$ :
(a) $\forall$ pregeometry $G(r, S)$ whose image by $\alpha$ is denoted $\alpha(G)=G^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}, S\right)$, $T(G)=E\left(G+G^{\prime}\right)$,
(b) $\forall \sigma \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(G_{1}, G_{2}\right), T(\sigma)$ is the element of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(T\left(G_{1}\right), T\left(G_{2}\right)\right)$ induced by $\sigma$ and $\alpha(\sigma)$.
is a functor of $\mathscr{P}$ into itself. Theorem 4.1 is thus proved.
As examples of functors that one can define using Theorem 4.1, one may take for ${ }_{z}$ any tnown functor of $\mathscr{P}$ into itself: truncation, dual functor, $G_{k}$ defined in Section 3, or any of the functors obtained by using Theorem 4.5 below.

Considering geometrizations as subgeometries of expansions and using the same arguments as in Section 3, one obtains:

Theorem 4.5. If $\alpha$ is a functor of $\mathscr{P}$ into itself, the image of a given pregeometry $G(r, S)$ being $\alpha(G)=G^{\prime}\left(r^{\prime}, S\right)$, the transformation associating to $G(r, S)$ the geometrization of the function $r+r^{\prime}$ is a functor of $\mathscr{S}$ into itself.

By alternating or repetiaive applications of Theorems 4.1 and 4.5 one car get large sets of new functors of $\mathscr{Y}^{\prime}$ into itself.
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