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#### Abstract

The method of differentiation by integration due to Lanczos is generalized to cover derivatives of arbitrary order. Umbral versions and further extensions are indicated. © 2004 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved.
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1. Lanczos' generalized derivative $\mathrm{D}_{h} f$, defined by [5]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{h} f=\left(3 / 2 h^{3}\right) \int_{-h}^{h} t f(x+t) \mathrm{d} t=(3 / 2 h) \int_{-1}^{1} t f(x+h t) \mathrm{d} t \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an approximation to the first derivative of $f(x), \mathrm{d} f / \mathrm{d} x$ in the sense that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{h} f=f^{\prime}(x)+\mathrm{O}\left(h^{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and is aptly called a method of "differentiation by integration".
Two recent notes examined its robustness (with respect to random errors on data) [3] and probabilistic overtones [4]. We report in this note, the analogue of (1) for higher order derivatives. In other words defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{h}^{(n)} f=h^{(-n)} \int_{-1}^{1} \rho_{n}(t) f(x+h t) \mathrm{d} t \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]we choose $\rho_{n}(t)$ that satisfies
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{h}^{(n)} f=f^{(n)}(x)+\mathrm{O}\left(h^{2}\right), \quad n=1,2,3, \ldots \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Restricting the search to polynomials, we show in the next section that $\rho_{n}(t)$ is proportional to the familiar Legendre polynomials $P_{n}(t)$ [1]. Besides making a connection to Umbral (or operator) calculus [6,7], a formal operator representation for $\mathrm{D}_{h}^{(n)}$ can be given explicitly as a function of D where $\mathrm{D}=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} x$. Further generalizations are also indicated.

The emphasis being on formal connections, our approach in this note is somewhat heuristic.
2. To identify $\rho_{n}(t)$ in (3) we employ the "brute force approach" based on finite difference representation or Taylor expansion [3]. Let us write, for a given $n$,

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x+h t)= & f(x)+h t f^{\prime}(x)+\cdots+\frac{\left(h^{n} t^{n}\right)}{n!} f^{(n)}(x) \\
& +\frac{\left(h^{(n+1)} t^{(n+1)}\right)}{(n+1)!} f^{(n+1)}(x)+\frac{\left(h^{(n+2)} t^{(n+2)}\right)}{(n+2)!} f^{(n+2)}(\theta) . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (5) in (3) and requiring that $\rho_{n}(t)$ satisfy the constraints

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} \rho_{n}(t) t^{m} \mathrm{~d} t=0, \quad 0 \leqslant m<n \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} \rho_{n}(t) t^{n} \mathrm{~d} t=n! \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

we deduce from the theory of orthogonal polynomials or otherwise that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{n}(t)=\gamma_{n} P_{n}(t), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P_{n}(t)$ is the Legendre polynomial and $\gamma_{n}=\left(\frac{1}{2}\right)(1)(3)(5) \cdots(2 n+1)$.
Observing that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-1}^{1} \rho_{n}(t) t^{n+1} \mathrm{~d} t=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and using (5)-(7) we can also conclude that

$$
\mathrm{D}_{h}^{(n)} f=f^{(n)}(x)+\mathrm{O}\left(h^{2}\right)
$$

Thus, the $n$th derivative analogue of $\mathrm{D}_{h}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{D}_{h}^{(n)} & =\left(\gamma_{n} / h^{n}\right) \int_{-1}^{1} P_{n}(t) f(x+h t) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\left(\gamma_{n} / h^{(n+1)}\right) \int_{-h}^{h} P_{n}\left(\frac{t}{h}\right) f(x+t) \mathrm{d} t \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

with $\gamma_{n}$ given by (9). For $n=1$, (10) reduces to (1), and for $n=2,3, \ldots$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{D}_{h}^{(2)} f=\left(45 / 4 h^{5}\right) \int_{-h}^{h}\left(t^{2}-\frac{h^{2}}{3}\right) f(x+t) \mathrm{d} t,  \tag{10a}\\
& \mathrm{D}_{h}^{(3)} f=\left(525 / 4 h^{7}\right) \int_{-h}^{h} t\left(t^{2}-\frac{\left(3 h^{2}\right)}{5}\right) f(x+t) \mathrm{d} t . \tag{10b}
\end{align*}
$$

3. It is easy to verify that $\mathrm{D}_{h}$ as defined in (1) is a delta operator [7] and an explicit representation for $\mathrm{D}_{h}$ and $\mathrm{D}_{h}^{(n)}$ in terms of the derivative operator $\mathrm{D}=(\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} x)$ is given below. Using the shift operator [7] form of Taylor expansion viz.,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+t)=E_{t} f(x)=\mathrm{e}^{t \mathrm{D}} f(x) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

in (10), it is seen that, formally,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{h}^{(n)} f=\left(\gamma_{n} / h^{n}\right) \int_{-1}^{1} P_{n}(t) \mathrm{e}^{t h \mathrm{D}} f(x) \mathrm{d} t \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting the Neumann-type expansion [2]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{\gamma z}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}(v+n) C_{n}^{(v)}(\gamma)\left(I_{\gamma+n}(z)\left(\frac{2}{z}\right)^{\nu}\right) \Gamma(v) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the special case $v=\frac{1}{2} ; C_{n}^{(1 / 2)}(\gamma)=P_{n}(\gamma)$, in (12) and noting the orthogonal property of $P_{n}(t)$, we deduce that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{h}^{(n)}=\left(2 \gamma_{n} / h^{n}\right)\left(f_{n}(h \mathrm{D})\right) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In (13), $I_{v}(z)$ and $C_{n}^{(v)}(\gamma)$ denote the modified Bessel function of the first kind and Gegenbauer polynomials [1,2] respectively, while in (14),

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(x)=\mathrm{I}_{n+1 / 2}(x) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2 x}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

denotes the modified spherical Bessel functions [1].
For $n=1$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{D}_{h}^{(1)} & =\mathrm{D}_{h}=\left(\frac{2 \gamma_{1}}{h}\right) \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2 h \mathrm{D}}} \mathrm{I}_{3 / 2}(h \mathrm{D}) \\
& =\left(\frac{3}{h}\right)\left[\frac{\left(\cosh h \mathrm{D}-\frac{\sinh h \mathrm{D}}{h \mathrm{D}}\right)}{h \mathrm{D}}\right], \quad[1, \text { p. 443]. } \tag{16}
\end{align*}
$$

4. The above approach suggests that the choice of kernels $\rho_{n}(t)$ in (3) need not be restricted to polynomials. In fact, the following operators also perform the task of "differentiation by integration".

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{h, \alpha}^{(n)} f=\left(\lambda_{n} / h^{n}\right) \int_{-1}^{1} C_{n}^{(\alpha)}(t)\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{\alpha-1 / 2} f(x+h t) \mathrm{d} t, \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$
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$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{h, \alpha}^{(1)} f=\left(2 \frac{\alpha \lambda}{h}\right) \int_{-1}^{1} t\left(1-t^{2}\right)^{\alpha-1 / 2} f(x+h t) \mathrm{d} t \quad\left(\alpha>-\frac{1}{2}\right) \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

can replace (10) and (1), and approximate $f^{(n)} x$ and $f^{\prime}(x)$, respectively. In (17)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}=\left(\frac{2^{n}(\alpha)_{n+1} n!}{(2 \alpha)_{n}}\right)\left(\frac{\Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma\left(\alpha+\frac{1}{2}\right) \sqrt{\pi}}\right) \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha)_{n}=\frac{\Gamma(\alpha+n)}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In Fig. 1, the estimated values of the second and third derivatives of the function $f(x)=\exp \left(-x^{2}\right)$ calculated using (17) for the case of $\alpha=2$, and $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}$ are plotted with their actual values. As is evident, the graphs are indistinguishable.

Calculations for a series of values of $\alpha$ yield a very similar result.
The operator connection, analogous to (14)-(16), is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D}_{h, \alpha}^{(n)}=\left(\frac{2}{h}\right)^{n} \Gamma(\alpha+n+1)\left(\mathrm{I}_{\alpha+n}(h \mathrm{D})\left(\frac{2}{h \mathrm{D}}\right)^{\alpha}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $\alpha=\frac{1}{2}, \lambda_{n}$ reduces to $\gamma_{n}$ of (9) and the results of the previous section can be recovered. Again, the limit $\alpha \rightarrow 0$, where the Gegenbauer polynomials go over to Chebyshev polynomials, gives yet another option. For example,

$$
\mathrm{D}_{h, 0}^{(n)} f=\left(\frac{\left(2^{n}\right)(n!)}{(\pi)\left(h^{n}\right)}\right) \int_{0}^{\pi}(\cos n t) f(x+h \cos t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

comes under this category. Generalizations with respect to functions of several variables can also be made on the lines indicated here.

A final comment on the choice of the discretization parameter $h$ is appropriate. On the basis of Eqs. (2) and (4), one would expect the approximations to improve as $h \rightarrow 0$, but a word of caution is in place. When the data are perturbed (random or systematic) such that $\left|f(x)-f^{\varepsilon}(x)\right|<\varepsilon$ (where $f^{\varepsilon}(x)$ is the perturbation), an optimal choice of $h$ does not $h \rightarrow 0$, but has an order $\varepsilon^{(1 / 3)}$ [3]. This is particularly relevant when the integrals in (1) or (3) are not evaluated exactly (cf. Gaussian schemes).
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