



ELSEVIER

Physics Letters B 525 (2002) 277–282

PHYSICS LETTERS B

www.elsevier.com/locate/npe

Instantons and spontaneous color symmetry breaking

Christof Wetterich

Institut für Theoretische Physik, Universität Heidelberg, Philosophenweg 16, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany

Received 20 September 2001; received in revised form 5 December 2001; accepted 6 December 2001

Editor: P.V. Landshoff

Abstract

The instanton interaction in QCD generates an effective potential for scalar quark–antiquark condensates in the color singlet and octet channels. For three light quark flavors the cubic term in this potential induces an octet condensate and may lead to “spontaneous breaking” of color in the vacuum. Realistic masses of the ρ - and η' -mesons are compatible with renormalization-group-improved instanton perturbation theory.

© 2002 Elsevier Science B.V. Open access under [CC BY license](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0/).

It has been argued recently [1,2] that the physics of confinement in long distance QCD admits an equivalent description in the Higgs picture where color is “spontaneously broken” by an octet quark–antiquark condensate

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \bar{\psi}_{L\,jb} \psi_{R\,ai} \rangle - \frac{1}{3} \langle \bar{\psi}_{L\,kb} \psi_{R\,ak} \rangle \delta_{ij} \\ &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}} \bar{\xi} \left(\delta_{ia} \delta_{jb} - \frac{1}{3} \delta_{ij} \delta_{ab} \right). \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

The structure in the color indices $i, j, k = 1, \dots, 3$ and flavor indices $a, b = 1, \dots, 3$ is such that a physical $SU(3)$ symmetry remains unbroken. The physical vector meson states (gluons) transform as an octet with an equal mass $\sim \bar{\xi}$. They have integer electric charge and can be associated with the ρ -, K^* - and ω -mesons. Also the fermions (quarks) transform as a massive octet (plus a heavy singlet) with the appropriate charges to describe the baryon octet ($p, n, \Lambda, \Sigma, \Xi$). The Higgs mechanism generates a

mass for the gluons and therefore provides for an effective infrared cutoff in QCD. This may also lead to a simple explanation for the confinement of color charges: the gauge fields between such charges are squeezed into flux tubes by effect of the mass, in analogy to the Meissner effect in superconductors. We note that this speculated mechanism for the infrared cutoff in QCD is characteristic for three light flavors of quarks. (We neglect the $SU(3)$ -splitting in vacuum expectation values due to the mass of the strange quark.) For pure QCD without quarks one expects a different mechanism to work, and indeed the spectrum of low-lying excitations (glueballs) is very different from realistic QCD (baryons and mesons). A discussion of the intermediate two-flavor QCD can be found in [3].

A simple effective action for scalars representing quark–antiquark bound states $\sim \bar{\psi} \psi$ leads to a rather successful phenomenological picture, including realistic pion–nucleon couplings, vector-dominance for the electromagnetic interactions of pions, realistic decay rates of the ρ -mesons into pions and charged leptons and an explanation of the $\Delta I = 1/2$ rule for weak hadronic kaon decays [1]. This raises the question if

E-mail address: c.wetterich@thphys.uni-heidelberg.de (C. Wetterich).

this idea can get support from dynamical considerations.

We propose in this Letter a simple dynamical mechanism how spontaneous color breaking may be generated in QCD. It is based on the effective 't Hooft interaction for instanton effects [4,5], in accordance with speculations that instantons are crucial for an understanding of low energy QCD [6,7]. In short, the instanton-induced axial anomaly induces a “cubic term” in the effective potential for scalar $\bar{\psi}\psi$ -states which drives the minimum both for color octet and singlet scalars away from $\langle\bar{\psi}\psi\rangle = 0$. On the other hand, for large values of the octet condensate (1) the Higgs mechanism cuts off the large-size instantons and therefore stabilizes the effective potential. This cutoff is analogous to the one for instantons in the electroweak theory [4]. The instanton-induced quark–antiquark interactions are repulsive in the octet channel for small values of $\langle\bar{\psi}\psi\rangle$. They become attractive in presence of condensates which are in the vicinity of the minimum of the effective potential.

Besides a dynamical explanation of the color octet condensate our approach also solves two important problems in instanton physics.

- (i) First the old question about the effective infrared cutoff for very large size QCD instantons is answered by the colored octet condensate. The induced gluon mass acts as a cutoff, very similar to the W -boson mass for electroweak instantons. Furthermore, the physics of confinement is now integrated in the instanton physics.
- (ii) Second we solve the problem of the “unboundedness of the naive instanton interaction”, which we explain briefly in the following.

For three massless flavors the contribution of instantons with size ρ to the effective $U(1)_A$ -violating fermion interaction reads¹ [4,5]

$$d\mathcal{L} = -d\zeta(\rho) \mathcal{A},$$

$$\mathcal{A} = \det \tilde{\varphi}^{(1)} + \det \tilde{\varphi}^{(2)}$$

$$- \frac{3}{4} (E(\tilde{\varphi}^{(1)}, \tilde{\chi}^{(1)}) + E(\tilde{\varphi}^{(2)}, \tilde{\chi}^{(2)})), \quad (2)$$

¹ We take the opportunity to correct the instanton vertex of [2]. It was based on the Fierz transform of an incorrect vertex quoted in [7].

with quark–antiquark bilinears

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{ab}^{(1)} = \bar{\psi}_{L ib} \psi_{R ai},$$

$$\tilde{\varphi}_{ab}^{(2)} = -\bar{\psi}_{R ib} \psi_{L ai},$$

$$\tilde{\chi}_{ij,ab}^{(1)} = \bar{\psi}_{L jb} \psi_{R ai} - \frac{1}{3} \bar{\psi}_{L kb} \psi_{R ak} \delta_{ij},$$

$$\tilde{\chi}_{ij,ab}^{(2)} = -\bar{\psi}_{R jb} \psi_{L ai} + \frac{1}{3} \bar{\psi}_{R kb} \psi_{L ak} \delta_{ij}, \quad (3)$$

and

$$E(\tilde{\varphi}, \tilde{\chi}) = \frac{1}{6} \epsilon_{a_1 a_2 a_3} \epsilon_{b_1 b_2 b_3} \tilde{\varphi}_{a_1 b_1} \tilde{\chi}_{ij, a_2 b_2} \tilde{\chi}_{ji, a_3 b_3}. \quad (4)$$

Partial bosonization replaces the quark–antiquark bilinears (3) by appropriate singlet and octet bosonic fields $\tilde{\varphi}_{ab}^{(1)} \rightarrow \sigma_{ab}$, $\tilde{\varphi}_{ab}^{(2)} \rightarrow \sigma_{ab}^\dagger$, $\tilde{\chi}_{ij,ab}^{(1)} \rightarrow \xi_{ij,ab}$, $\tilde{\chi}_{ij,ab}^{(2)} \rightarrow \xi_{ji,ba}^*$. Correspondingly, the interaction (2) transmutes into an effective potential for the scalar fields. An evaluation along the directions (1) and $\langle\bar{\psi}_{L ib} \psi_{R ia}\rangle = \bar{\sigma} \delta_{ab}$ results for constant ζ in a cubic effective potential

$$U_{an}(\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\xi}) = -\zeta \left(2\bar{\sigma}^3 + \frac{1}{3} \bar{\sigma} \bar{\xi}^2 \right). \quad (5)$$

It is obvious that for $\bar{\sigma} > 0$ the effective potential can always be arbitrarily lowered by an increase of the color octet condensate $|\bar{\xi}|$. The problem is that the effective instanton potential (5) is unbounded for large $\bar{\sigma}^2$, $\bar{\xi}^2$. Partial bosonization requires, however, that the effective scalar potential is bounded from below (for details see [2]) and one has to conclude that an effective quark interaction (2) alone cannot be bosonized.² Even though there are, in principle, stabilizing higher-order interactions $\sim \bar{\sigma}^4, \bar{\xi}^4$ induced by anomaly-free loop graphs with eight quark/antiquark legs, it makes no sense that the instanton contribution to the effective action increases without bounds for large values of the chiral condensates.

We will see that Eq. (5) with constant ζ is a valid approximation for not too large $|\bar{\xi}|$ and conclude that the instanton interaction induces spontaneous color symmetry breaking. The minimum of the effective instanton-induced potential does not occur for $\xi = 0$. On the other hand, the approximation of $d\zeta(\rho)$ being

² There are “negative directions” for an arbitrary sign of ζ . A similar problem appears for two flavors unless the negative directions are somewhat artificially excluded.

independent of $\tilde{\varphi}$ and $\tilde{\chi}$ or, equivalently, $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\tilde{\xi}$, holds only for small values of the chiral condensate (e.g., $|\tilde{\sigma}\rho^3| \simeq 3/(2\pi^2)$ [5]). The behavior for large values of the condensates is dominated by two effects.

- (a) For large $\tilde{\xi}^2$ the gluons become massive and cut off the instanton contribution.
- (b) For large $\tilde{\sigma}^2$ or $\tilde{\xi}^2$ the quarks become heavy and the dependence of the instanton interaction on $\tilde{\sigma}$ and $\tilde{\xi}$ disappears.

We concentrate here on the first aspect. Instead of a careful study of the dependence of $d\zeta(\rho)$ on the gluon mass and therefore on $\tilde{\xi}$ (which could be done in analogy to [4]), we take a simplified approach which reflects the qualitative behavior correctly: we neglect the influence of $\tilde{\xi}$ for small ρ and omit the suppressed contribution for large ρ . As a result, the coefficient ζ depends on the value of the color octet condensate $\tilde{\xi}$ by the appearance of an effective cutoff $\rho_{\max}(\tilde{\xi})$ in the integral over instanton sizes.

The effective instanton vertex [4] is therefore multiplied by a factor

$$\zeta(\tilde{\xi}) = \frac{32}{15}\pi^6 \kappa (f'(0))^3 C_3 \int_0^{\rho_{\max}(\tilde{\xi})} \frac{d\rho}{\rho} f(\rho), \tag{6}$$

$$f(\rho) = \rho^5 \left(\frac{\alpha(1/\rho)}{\alpha(\tilde{\mu})} \right)^{-4/3} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha(1/\rho)} \right)^6 \times \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi}{\alpha(1/\rho)}\right). \tag{7}$$

This integral over instanton sizes involves a factor $d\rho \rho^{-5} d_0(\rho)$ related to the instanton density in absence of quarks [5]

$$d_0^{(N_c)} = C_{N_c} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha(1/\rho)} \right)^{2N_c} \exp\left[-\frac{2\pi}{\alpha(1/\rho)}\right]. \tag{8}$$

Here the coefficient C_{N_c} is evaluated in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ -scheme

$$C_{N_c} = \frac{4.6 \exp(-1.68 N_c)}{\pi^2 (N_c - 1)! (N_c - 2)!}, \tag{9}$$

and gives numerically $C_3 = 1.51 \times 10^{-3}$. The fermion determinant yields another factor [5,7]

$$d_{N_f} = \left(\frac{4\pi^2 \rho^3}{3} f'(0) \right)^{N_f} \left(\frac{\alpha(1/\rho)}{\alpha(\tilde{\mu})} \right)^{-\frac{1}{4\pi^2 \beta_0}}, \tag{10}$$

where the last factor relates the normalization of the fermion operator at the scale $1/\rho$ to the fixed renormalization scale $\tilde{\mu}$ used here. (This makes the result independent of $\tilde{\mu}$ in one-loop order.) The function $f(m\rho)$ can be calculated from the quark mass dependence of the instanton vertex [4,8] and we need here the lowest order in an expansion for small quark masses,³ $f'(0) = 1.34$. The remaining numerical factor obtains once the vertex quoted in [5] is Fierz-transformed to our basis. For the coupling $\alpha(\mu) = g^2(\mu)/(4\pi)$ we use here the running gauge coupling in the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ -scheme in three-loop order [9] with $\Lambda = \Lambda_{\overline{\text{MS}}}^{(3)} = 330$ MeV. We note that the height of the maximum of $f(\rho)$ depends on the precise definition of α and its β -function. We have therefore introduced in (6) a constant κ of order one which parametrizes this uncertainty. The perturbative value is $\kappa = 1$. Furthermore, κ accounts for the ambiguity in the Fierz transformation of the instanton vertex. We adopt the normalization scale for the fermion operators $\tilde{\mu} = 2$ GeV. Due to the strong increase of $\alpha(1/\rho)$ the function $f(\rho)$ vanishes for $\rho \rightarrow 1/\Lambda$ and we may take $f(\rho) \equiv 0$ for $\rho > 1/\Lambda$. The maximum of $f(\rho)$ at $\rho^{-1} = 613$ MeV is not very far from the “perturbative range”. For the range $\rho^{-1} \geq 800$ MeV the approximation (6) may be considered as a reliable guide, whereas for $\rho^{-1} \leq 500$ MeV it is expected [5] to break down. It seems not unreasonable to believe the qualitative feature of Eqs. (6), (7), namely that $f(\rho)$ suppresses the contribution of very large instantons such that ζ remains finite for $\rho_{\max} \rightarrow \infty$. This is, however, not crucial for our argument.⁴

The effective cutoff $\rho_{\max}(\tilde{\xi})$ is proportional to the inverse of the $\tilde{\xi}$ -dependent effective gauge boson mass $\mu_\rho(\tilde{\xi})$. By the Higgs mechanism the effective gauge boson mass is, in turn, proportional to the octet condensate $\tilde{\xi}$ and the effective gauge coupling $g(\mu_\rho)$

$$\mu_\rho^2(\tilde{\xi}) = g^2(\mu_\rho) Z_{\tilde{\xi}}^2. \tag{11}$$

We find it convenient to use μ_ρ instead of $\tilde{\xi}$ as the independent variable. Inverting the functional depen-

³ In [7] the factor $f'(0)$ should be replaced by $f'(0)^{N_f}$.

⁴ A cutoff of this type would be needed in pure QCD. The emergence of a different possible cutoff supports the idea that the cutoff relevant for pure QCD competes with the octet condensate. In our picture the octet condensate is dominant for three flavors.

dence $\bar{\xi}(\mu_\rho) = Z^{-1/2} \mu_\rho / g(\mu_\rho)$ one obtains a lower bound for μ_ρ

$$\mu_\rho(\bar{\xi} = 0) = \Lambda, \quad g^2(\bar{\xi} \rightarrow 0) \sim \frac{\Lambda^2}{\bar{\xi}^2}. \quad (12)$$

The unknown details of the way how the gluon mass acts as an infrared cutoff are absorbed into a proportionality factor c_ρ of order one

$$\rho_{\max}(\bar{\xi}) = \frac{c_\rho}{\mu_\rho}. \quad (13)$$

Inserting this cutoff in Eq. (6), one finds that for $\bar{\xi} \rightarrow 0$ the coefficient $\zeta(\bar{\xi})$ becomes almost independent of $\bar{\xi}$ whereas for large $\bar{\xi}$ it decreases rapidly $\sim \bar{\xi}^{-14}$. This qualitative behavior is sufficient for instanton induced color symmetry breaking, independent of the quantitative details. Indeed, the potential vanishes for $\bar{\xi} = 0$ and $|\bar{\xi}| \rightarrow \infty$ and takes negative values in a range of finite nonzero $\bar{\xi}$. For small values of $|\bar{\xi}|$ and arbitrary nonzero positive $\bar{\sigma}$ the term $\sim -\zeta \bar{\sigma} \bar{\xi}^2$ acts like a negative mass term for $\bar{\xi}$ which destabilizes the line $\bar{\xi} = 0$.

On the other hand, for fixed $\bar{\xi}$ and $\bar{\sigma}^2 \rightarrow \infty$ all effective fermion masses diverge and the instanton contribution depends on the fermion bilinears only through the effective gluon mass or $\rho_{\max}(\bar{\xi})$. The potential becomes positive

$$\begin{aligned} & \lim_{\bar{\sigma}^2 \rightarrow \infty} U_{an}(\bar{\sigma}, \bar{\xi}) \\ &= C_3 \int_0^{\rho_{\max}} d\rho \rho^{-5} \left(\frac{2\pi}{\alpha(1/\rho)} \right)^6 \exp\left(-\frac{2\pi}{\alpha(1/\rho)} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

and this guarantees the boundedness in the $\bar{\sigma}$ -direction.

We are now ready to discuss the instanton potential quantitatively by replacing in Eq. (5) $\zeta \rightarrow \zeta(\bar{\xi})$. In our conventions ζ is positive and the minimum in the $\bar{\sigma}$ -direction occurs for $\bar{\sigma}_0 \geq 0$. The determination of the ratio of expectation values $r_0 = \bar{\sigma}_0 / \bar{\xi}_0$ depends on details of the stabilization of the potential in the $\bar{\sigma}$ -direction which we do not investigate here. We keep r_0 as a parameter and investigate the potential on the line $\bar{\sigma} = r_0 \bar{\xi}$

$$\bar{U}_{an}(\mu_\rho) = -\frac{r_0}{3} (1 + 6r_0^2) Z^{-3/2} \frac{\mu_\rho^3}{g^3(\mu_\rho)} \zeta(\mu_\rho). \quad (15)$$

Table 1

Vector-meson mass $\bar{\mu}_\rho$ and singlet chiral condensate $\langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle$

c_ρ	$\bar{\mu}_\rho$	$\rho_{\max}^{-1}(\bar{\mu}_\rho)$	$ \langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle ^{1/3}$	ξ_0 / κ
1.0	0.65	0.65	0.28	223
1.2	0.74	0.62	0.27	304
1.4	0.84	0.60	0.27	343

The location of the minimum is independent of r_0 , Z and the prefactor κ multiplying the integral (6). The vacuum expectation value $\bar{\mu}_\rho$ depends, however, on the unknown constant c_ρ , as shown in the Table 1 (with mass unit GeV). The only scale is set by the perturbative running of α and therefore $\bar{\mu}_\rho \sim \Lambda$.

This establishes the main result of our calculation, namely, that the instanton interaction leads to spontaneous color symmetry breaking, with expectation value $\bar{\xi}_0 = \bar{\xi}(\bar{\mu}_\rho) \neq 0!$ The value $\bar{\mu}_\rho$ should be identified with the mass of the ρ - and K^* -mesons in the limit of a vanishing strange quark mass m_s . In view of the uncertainties the result $600 \lesssim \bar{\mu}_\rho \lesssim 900$ MeV is very satisfactory. It should motivate a more detailed study how the gluon mass term cuts off the instanton integral, which amounts to a computation of c_ρ . (Since fluctuations with $\rho^{-2} < \mu_\rho^2$ are only suppressed instead of being completely eliminated we expect $c_\rho \geq 1$.) We observe that ρ_{\max} is typically near the maximum of $f(\rho)$ (Eq. (7)).

We next turn to the mass of the η' -meson which is directly related to the value of the anomaly potential at the minimum [1]

$$\begin{aligned} M_{\eta'}^2 - \tilde{m}_g^2 &= -\frac{18}{f_\theta^2} U_{an}(\bar{\sigma}_0, \bar{\xi}_0) \\ &= \frac{36\xi_0}{f_\theta^2} \left(\bar{\sigma}_0^3 + \frac{1}{6} \bar{\sigma}_0 \bar{\xi}_0^2 \right). \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

For f_θ we use [1] $f_\theta^2 = f^2(16x+7)/(7(1+x))$ which yields for the average meson decay constant $f = 106$ MeV and large x (i.e., $x = 6$) $f_\theta = 154$ MeV. (The corresponding two-photon decay width of the η' , i.e., $\Gamma(\eta' \rightarrow 2\gamma) = \alpha_{\text{em}}^2 M_{\eta'}^3 / (24\pi^3 f_\theta^2) = 2.7$ keV, agrees reasonably well with observation.) We also account here for the effect of nonvanishing quark masses [9] by $\tilde{m}_g = 410 \sqrt{Z_m / Z_p} = 340$ MeV. In the Table 1 we show the singlet quark–antiquark condensate $\bar{\sigma}_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle$ ($\bar{\mu} = 2$ GeV) which corresponds to $M_{\eta'} = 960$ MeV for $r_0 = 0.5$ and $\kappa = 1$. (Typical values of $|\langle \bar{\psi} \psi \rangle|^{1/3}$ for $r_0 = 1/3$ are 10 MeV smaller.) The

results agree well with common estimates [10]. We conclude that our estimate of $\zeta_0 = \zeta(\bar{\mu}_\rho)$ leads to a realistic value of $M_{\eta'}$!

We finally point out that the contributions of the nonvanishing strange quark mass to the effective potential are of similar magnitude as the anomaly-induced potential. They tend to increase $\bar{\sigma}_0$. It is interesting to note that the anomaly in two-flavor QCD has also the tendency to induce an octet condensate due to a negative quadratic term for $\bar{\xi}$.

In presence of a (not too large) strange quark mass and the four fermion interactions generated by QCD-box diagrams the effective potential acquires additional contributions [2]

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta U(\bar{\sigma}, \mu_\rho) &= -2m_s \bar{\sigma} - \zeta^{(s)}(\mu_\rho) m_s \left(2\bar{\sigma}^2 + \frac{1}{6} \bar{\xi}^2(\mu_\rho) \right) \\ &+ \frac{g^4(\mu_\rho)}{16\pi^2 \mu_\rho^2} \left(\frac{g^2(\mu_\rho)}{g^2(\bar{\mu})} \right)^{-8/9} \\ &\times \left(3L_\sigma \bar{\sigma}^2 + \frac{4}{3} L_\chi \bar{\xi}^2(\mu_\rho) \right). \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

The gluon mass acts as cutoff in the box diagrams⁵ and we neglect the effect of the fermion mass, resulting in $L_\sigma = \frac{552}{117} L_\chi = \frac{23}{9}$. We note $d\zeta^{(s)} = (5/6) \times (\pi\rho)^{-2} (\alpha(1/\rho)/\alpha(\bar{\mu}))^{8/9} d\zeta$ and an additional contribution to the η' -mass $\Delta M_{\eta'}^2 = 16m_s \zeta^{(s)}(\bar{\mu}_\rho) \cdot (\bar{\sigma}_0^2 + \bar{\xi}_0^2/12)/f_\theta^2$.

In conclusion, the instanton-induced anomalous six-quark interactions tend to induce the spontaneous breaking of color. The destabilization of the “color-symmetric state” $\bar{\xi} = 0$ due to the instanton interaction seems to be quite robust. We could not find competing stabilizing terms from other effective interactions in QCD. The pure instanton interaction with fluctuations evaluated perturbatively and quark mass effects neglected gives already a very satisfactory picture with a realistic range for the vector-meson masses and the mass of the η' -meson. Even though the quantitative reliability of perturbation theory may be questioned, the main qualitative ingredients for the QCD Higgs de-

scription by octet condensation appear to occur rather generally.

Note added

It was suggested recently [12] that octet condensation may be searched for by simulations of instanton ensembles. This interesting proposal could give relevant results for an appropriate ensemble where the large-size instantons are not cut off by assumption. One would rather like to study the infrared cutoff effect of large $\bar{q}q$ -condensates, e.g., an octet condensate. This means that already the classical instanton solution should be determined in presence of a fermionic source term for the gauge field and its action evaluated as a functional of fermionic background fields. This yields additional important pieces in the effective action for fermions in an instanton background which are not included in present computations.

In a finite volume an investigation of the dependence on a source term which breaks both flavor and color seems mandatory. An example could be a “color flavor locked” quark mass term⁶ $M_{ab}^{ij} \sim \delta_a^i \delta_b^j$.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank J. Berges for stimulating discussions.

References

- [1] C. Wetterich, Phys. Lett. B 462 (1999) 164, hep-th/9906062; C. Wetterich, Phys. Rev. D 64 (2001) 036003, hep-ph/0008150.
- [2] C. Wetterich, Eur. Phys. J. C 18 (2001) 577, hep-ph/9908514.
- [3] J. Berges, C. Wetterich, Phys. Lett. B 512 (2001) 85, hep-ph/0012311; C. Wetterich, hep-ph/0102248.
- [4] G. 't Hooft, Phys. Rev. Lett. 37 (1976) 8; G. 't Hooft, Phys. Rev. D 14 (1976) 3432.
- [5] M. Shifman, A. Vainshtein, V. Zakharov, Nucl. Phys. B 163 (1980) 46.
- [6] C. Callan, R. Dashen, D. Gross, Phys. Rev. D 17 (1978) 2717; E. Shuryak, Nucl. Phys. B 203 (1982) 93.

⁵ For a detailed renormalization group investigation of the one-particle irreducible four-quark interactions $\sim \bar{\sigma}^2$ see [11].

⁶ The color-preserving quark mass investigated in [12] seems not very appropriate for a study of a color-breaking condensate.

- [7] T. Schäfer, E. Shuryak, *Rev. Mod. Phys.* 70 (1998) 323, hep-ph/9610451.
- [8] R. Carlitz, D. Creamer, *Ann. Phys. (NY)* 118 (1979) 429.
- [9] Particle Data Group, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 15 (2000) 1.
- [10] D. Jungnickel, C. Wetterich, *Eur. Phys. J. C* 1 (1998) 669, hep-ph/9606483.
- [11] E. Meggiolaro, C. Wetterich, *Nucl. Phys. B* 606 (2001) 337, hep-ph/0012081.
- [12] T. Schaefer, hep-lat/0102007.